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SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Table S1: Dimensions of the water boxes used in the dipeptide simulations.

| Dipeptide | Water box size $\mathbf{( \AA}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ser | $37 \times 37 \times 37$ |
| Thr | $37 \times 37 \times 37$ |
| SEP | $37 \times 37 \times 37$ |
| TPO | $37 \times 37 \times 37$ |
| $\beta$-O-GlcNAc (S) | $31 \times 31 \times 31$ |
| $\beta-$ O-GlcNAc (T) | $31 \times 31 \times 31$ |

Table S2: Dihedral values $(\phi)$ for the central two residues calculated from the NMR Jcoupling data.

|  | $\phi(\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N}-\mathbf{C o}-\mathbf{C})$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Residue | KSPP | KS $_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{P P}$ | $\mathbf{K S}_{\mathbf{O G}} \mathbf{P P}$ |
| $\mathbf{S}$ | $-82^{\circ}$ | $-83^{\circ}$ | $-83^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | $-80^{\circ}$ | $-71^{\circ}$ | $-77^{\circ}$ |
| Residue | KTPP | $\mathbf{K T}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{P P}$ | $\mathbf{K T}_{\mathbf{O G}} \mathbf{P P}$ |
| $\mathbf{T}$ | $-80^{\circ}$ | $-82^{\circ}$ | $-82^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | $-87^{\circ}$ | $-51^{\circ}$ | $-77^{\circ}$ |

Table S3: Dimensions of the water boxes used in the model peptide simulations. All sizes are in $\AA^{3}$.

|  | Unmodified | Phosphorylated | O-GlcNAcylated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AKAAAAKAAAAKAA | $56 \times 56 \times 56$ | --- | --- |
| AKAASAKAAAAKAA | $55 \times 55 \times 55$ | $55 \times 55 \times 55$ | $110 \times 110 \times 110$ |
| AKAATAKAAAAKAA | $55 \times 55 \times 55$ | $55 \times 55 \times 55$ | $109 \times 109 \times 109$ |
| KSPP | $47 \times 47 \times 47$ | $44 \times 44 \times 44$ | $48 \times 48 \times 48$ |
| KTPP | $59 \times 59 \times 59$ | $39 \times 39 \times 39$ | $53 \times 53 \times 53$ |

Table S4: ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{eN}}$ coupling constants obtained from dipeptide MD simulations.

| ${ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{a} \mathbf{N}}$ | Experimental | Theoretical $^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ser | $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $7.7 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| Thr | $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $7.5 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| SEP | $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $6.7 \pm 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| TPO | $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $7.2 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| O-GlcNAc (S) | $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $7.2 \pm 3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |
| O-GlcNAc (T) | $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $7.5 \pm 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Experimental ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{N}}$ coupling constants obtained from Ref 68.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Experimental ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{aN}}$ coupling constants evaluated at a pH of 8.0 obtained from Ref 58.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Experimental ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{aN}}$ coupling constants obtained from Ref 14.
${ }^{d}$ Theoretical coupling constants calculated using the Karplus equations (1) and (2).

Table S5: Percentage populations of the $\beta$-sheet and PPII conformations.

| Peptide | Percentage population |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta$-sheet | PPII |
| KSPP | 29.26 | 65.34 |
| $\mathrm{KS}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{PP}$ | 2.96 | 84.47 |
| $\mathrm{KS}_{\mathrm{OG}} \mathrm{PP}$ | 23.13 | 71.48 |
| KTPP | 25.92 | 69.87 |
| $\mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{PP}$ | 2.96 | 84.47 |
| $\mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{OG}} \mathrm{PP}$ | 23.13 | 71.48 |

Table S6: Distribution of the $\chi$ dihedral ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{OG}$ ) in the three conformational bins, $\mathrm{g}+$, g - and anti for KTPP, $\mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{PP}$ and $\mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{OG}} \mathrm{PP}$ peptides. The average value of the dihedral in the conformational bins and the associated value of the $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H} \in \mathrm{H}}$ coupling constant are also presented.

|  | $\mathrm{g}+\left(60^{0}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | g- $\left(-60^{0}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | anti $(180)^{0}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pepti de | Avera ge | Populat ion (\%) | $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{H} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}}$ | Avera ge | Populat ion (\%) | $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{H} \mathbf{~} \mathrm{H}}$ | Avera ge | Populat ion (\%) | $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{H} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}}$ |
| KTPP | 46.2 | 45 | 4.5 | -54.8 | 36 | 10.0 | 165.0 | 19 | 4.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{P} \\ & \mathrm{P} \end{aligned}$ | 53.0 | 5 | 4.6 | -47.3 | 95 | 9.9 | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{OG}} \\ & \mathrm{PP} \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | -61.3 | 83 | 10.0 | 150.6 | 17 | 5.0 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Definition of the conformational bins: g+ $\left(0^{0}<\chi<120^{\circ}\right)$, g- $\left(-120^{\circ}<\chi<0^{0}\right)$, anti (-$180^{\circ}<\chi<-120^{\circ}$ or $120^{\circ}<\chi<180^{\circ}$ )


Figure S1: 2D distribution of the OY...HNT H-bond distances as a function of $\phi / \psi$ dihedrals for all the dipeptides. Structures exhibiting the OY...HNT H-bond have been presented for illustration. The distances are presented in $\AA$, with contours every $0.25 \AA$. Only distances between the range $1.25 \AA-3.0 \AA$ have been plotted for the sake of clarity.


Figure S2: 2D distribution of HG1...O H-bond distances as a function of $\phi / \psi$ dihedrals for (a) Ser and (b) Thr dipeptides. 2D distribution of HN...OP H-bond distances as a function of $\phi / \psi$ dihedrals for (c) SEP and (d) TPO dipeptides. 2D distribution of $\mathrm{HN}_{\text {carb }} \ldots \mathrm{O} \mathrm{H}$-bond distances as a function of $\phi / \psi$ dihedrals for (e) O GlcNAc (S) and (f) O-GlcNAc (T) dipeptides. Structures exhibiting the described Hbonds have been presented for illustration. The distances are presented in $\AA$, with contours every $0.25 \AA$. Only distances between the range $1.25 \AA-3.0 \AA$ have been plotted for the sake of clarity.


Figure S3: Relative population distributions in the $\alpha$-helix, $\beta$-sheet and PPII-helical regions for (a) Ser/SEP/O-GlcNAc (S) and (b) Thr/TPO/O-GlcNAc (T) from dipeptide MD simulations.


Figure S4: $\phi / \psi$ distributions corresponding to $\mathrm{PO}_{3}-\mathrm{HN}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{3} / \mathrm{HNT}$ H-bonded structures ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H} \text {-bond }}<3.0 \AA$ ) from SEP and TPO dipeptide simulations.


Figure S5: $\phi / \psi$ distributions corresponding to $\mathrm{O}_{\text {carb }}-\mathrm{HN}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {carb }}-\mathrm{HNT} \mathrm{H}$-bonded structures $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{bond}}<3.0 \AA\right.$ ) from O-GlcNAc (S) and O-GlcNAc (T) dipeptide simulations.


Figure S6: $\mathrm{d}_{i, i+4}$ backbone O...HN H-bond distances ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H} \text {-bond }}<4.0 \AA$ ) from the Baldwin peptide simulations. (a) N5 Ser, (b) N5 Thr, (c) N5 SEP, (d) N5 TPO, (e) N5 O-GlcNAc (S) and (f) N5 O-GlcNAc (T). All distances are in Å.


Figure S7: OH ( $\mathbf{N} 5 \mathrm{Thr}$ )...O ( $\mathbf{N} 1 \mathrm{Ala}$ ) H-bond distances ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{bond}}<6.0 \AA$ ) from the $\mathbf{N} 5$ Thr Baldwin peptide simulations. Distances are in $\AA$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 8: \mathrm{NC}_{a} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{OG}$ time series corresponding to $\mathrm{KTPP}, \mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{PP}$ and $\mathrm{KT}_{\mathrm{OG}} \mathrm{PP}$. Dihedral values are reported in ${ }^{0}$.


Figure S9: Karplus curves corresponding to the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}$ coupling constant as a function of dihedral values evaluated using equation (1) and (2). ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{N}}$ corresponds to the $\phi(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{C})$ dihedral values while ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Hf}}$ correspond to the $\chi_{1}(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C} \alpha-\mathrm{C} \beta-\mathrm{OG})$ dihedral.

