Reactivity of Monolayer Protected Silver Clusters Towards Excess Ligand: A Calorimetric Study

Ananya Baksi,¹ M. S. Bootharaju,¹ Pratap K. Chhotaray,² Papri Chakraborty,¹ Biswajit Mondal,¹ Shridevi Bhat,¹ Ramesh Gardas² and Thalappil Pradeep¹*

¹DST Unit of Nanoscience and Thematic Unit of Excellence, Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai – 600036, India.

²Department of Chemistry, Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai – 600036, India.

*Corresponding author, E-mail: pradeep@iitm.ac.in

Content:

Serial Number	Description	Page
		Number
S1	<i>ITC of Ag₃₂(SG)₁₉ (1 mM) vs. GSH (5 mM) at 303K</i>	S2
S2	<i>ITC of Ag₃₂(SG)₁₉ (1 mM) vs. GSH (7.5 mM) at 303K</i>	S 3
S3	<i>ITC of Ag₃₂(SG)₁₉ (1 mM) vs. GSH (7.5 mM) at 313K</i>	S4
S4	<i>ITC of Ag₃₂(SG)₁₉ (1 mM) vs. GSH (7.5 mM) at 323K</i>	S5
S5	<i>ITC of Ag</i> ₁₁ (SG) ₇ (1 mM) vs. GSH (7.5 mM) at 323K	S6
S6	TEM image of AgNP	S7
S7	UV-Vis absorption spectra of the products from different	S8
	reaction	
S8	ITC of AgNP vs. GSH at 298K	S9
S9	pH change while reaction	S10
S10	Comparison between thermograph of O_2 purged and not purged	S11
	samples of $Ag_{32}(SG)_{19}$ vs. GSH reaction.	
S11	Stability of $Ag_{11}(SG)_7$ cluster in ascorbic acid medium	S12
S12	ITC of $Ag_{11}(SG)_7$ (1 mM) vs. GSH (7.5 mM) with O_2 purging	S13
S13	Density vs. Temperature of different samples	S14
S14	Speed of Sound vs. Temperature of different samples	S15
S15	Isentropic compressibility vs. Temperature of different samples	S16
S16	Coefficient of thermal expansion vs. Temperature of different	S17
	samples	
S17	Comparison among density, sound velocity, coefficient of	S18
	thermal expansion and isentropic compressibility of different	
	samples at 303K	



Figure S1. A) Real time isothermal titration calorimetric data of $Ag_{32}(SG)_{19}$ (1 mM) vs. GSH (5 mM) (top) and B) respective heat change data (down) at 303 K. The thermodynamic parameters obtained are listed in C). Corresponding features were seen in UV-vis absorption as shown in D).



Figure S2. A) Real time isothermal titration calorimetric data of $Ag_{32}(SG)_{19}(1 \text{ mM})$ vs. GSH (7.5 mM) (top) and B) at 303 K respective heat change data (down). The thermodynamic parameters obtained are listed in C).



Figure S3. A) Real time isothermal titration calorimetric data of $Ag_{32}(SG)_{19}(1 \text{ mM})$ vs. GSH (7.5 mM) (top) and B) at 313 K respective heat change data (down). The thermodynamic parameters obtained are listed in C).



Figure S4. A) Real time isothermal titration calorimetric data of $Ag_{32}(SG)_{19}(1 \text{ mM})$ vs. GSH (7.5 mM) (top) and B) at 323 K respective heat change data (down). The thermodynamic parameters obtained are listed in C).



Figure S5. A) Real time isothermal titration calorimetric data of $Ag_{11}(SG)_7$ (1 mM) vs. GSH (7.5 mM) (top) and B) at 323 K respective heat change data (down). The thermodynamic parameters obtained are listed in C).



Figure S6. A) UV-vis absorption spectrum of AgNP protected with GSH showing the plasmon band at 407 nm characteristic of silver nanoparticles. B) TEM image of AgNP@SG showing ~ 20 nm particles. A portion of the image is expanded.



Figure S7. UV-vis absorption spectra of reaction product from different reactions showing

similar products.



Figure S8. A) Real time isothermal titration calorimetric data of $AgNP@SG (3.6 \times 10^{-8}mM)$ vs. GSH (7.5 mM) (top) and B) at 298 K respective heat change data (down). The thermodynamic parameters obtained are listed in C). Corresponding change in the UV-vis absorption is shown in D).



Figure S9: Change in pH with reaction when 1 mM of $Ag_{32}(SG)_{18}$ cluster was reacted with 10 mM GSH solution. Equal volume of GSH was added at 10 minute interval. First data point is before the addition of GSH. Time was counted after adding GSH. We find that the solution becomes increasingly acidic in the course of the reaction. Free GSH contribution was ruled out by subtracting the contribution from GSH addition to pure water. The pH change observed in the reaction was measurable accurately. Experimental details are presented in the manuscript.



Figure S10. Comparison of heat change for the reaction of $Ag_{32}(SG)_{19}(1 \text{ mM})$ vs. GSH (10 mM) at 303 K with and without N_2 purging.



Figure S11: Cluster solution (in water) was taken in cuvette and $10 \ \mu$ L of 1 mM ascorbic acid solution (in water) and time dependent UV-vis spectra were measured. As the cluster was stable after 40 min, 10 μ L ascorbic solution at each 10 min time interval was added and continued measurement up to 80 min.



Figure S12. Comparison of heat change for the reaction of $Ag_{11}(SG)_7$ (1 mM) vs. GSH (7.5 mM) at 303 K with O_2 purging.



Figure S13. Temperature dependent change in density of various samples is showing decrease in density with increasing temperature.



Figure S14. Temperature dependent change in sound velocity of various samples is showing increase in speed of sound with increasing temperature.



Figure S15. Temperature dependent change isentropic compressibility of various samples is showing thiolates are more compressible than clusters or nanoparticles.



Figure S16. Temperature dependent change in coefficient of thermal expansion of various samples is showing thiolates are more expandable than clusters or nanoparticles.



Figure S17. Comparative A) speed of sound, B) sound velocity, C) isothermal expansion and D) isentropic compressibility of different materials at 303K.