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## Animal Welfare Statement

All procedures performed on animals in these experiments were in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as adopted and promulgated by the National Institute of Health (NIH: $8^{\text {th }}$ edition) and approved by an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

## Abbreviations List

bis(pinacolato)diboron $\quad\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}\right)$, 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf) and tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium $(0)\left(\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right.$, hours post dose (HPD), acetylcholine (ACh), positive allosteric modulator (PAM), adverse event (AE), gastrointestinal (GI), cardiovascular (CV), powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD); guinea pig ileum (GPI), longitudinal muscle myenteric plexus (LMMP), muscarinic acetylcholine receptor (mAChR), central nervous system (CNS), non-human primate (NHP), area under the curve (AUC), Alzheimer's disease (AD), multi-drug resistance protein (MDR), human liver microsome (HLM), lipophilic efficiency (LipE), pharmacokinetics (PK), Morris water maze (MWM), and amphetamine stimulated locomotor activity (aLMA).
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## Synthesis Procedures for Relevant Intermediates and Final Compounds

## General Information

All solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial sources and were used as received. All reactions were monitored by TLC (TLC plates F254, Merck) or UPLC-MS analysis (Waters Acquity, ESCI +/-, APCI +/-). Melting points were obtained with a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Mass spectrometry data obtained using a Waters SQ MS (single quad) Tune: ESI-3.5kV Capillary/APCI(in ESCI mode)- $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ Corona Pin, 30 V Cone, Source $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Desolvation $475{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Desolvation Gas N2 $400 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{hr}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained using deuterated solvent on a Varian 400 MHz instrument. All ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR shifts are reported in $\delta$ units (ppm) relative to the signals for chloroform ( 7.27 ppm ), DMSO ( 2.50 ppm ) and MeOH ( 3.31 ppm ). All coupling constants $(J$ values) are reported in hertz (Hz). NMR abbreviations are as follows: br, broadened; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q , quartet; p , pentuplet; m , multiplet; dd, doublet of doublets; ddd, doublet of doublet of doublets. HPLC purity analysis of the final test compounds was carried out using one of three methods. Method A: UPLC/UV. WuXi AppTec, Shanghai, China. Column: Agilent Xtimate C18, $5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mm}, 3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; UV purity detected at 220 nm ; Mobile phase $\mathrm{A}=0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; Mobile phase $\mathrm{B}=0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Gradient: $1 \%$ B to $100 \% \mathrm{~B}$ in 5.0 min . Flow rate: $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Method B: UPLC/UV WuXi AppTec, Shanghai, China. Column: Xbridge C18, $2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 5$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$; UV purity detected at 220 nm ; Mobile phase $\mathrm{A}=0.0375 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; Mobile phase $\mathrm{B}=0.01875 \%$ TFA in CH3CN. Gradient: $1 \%$ B to $5 \%$ B in $0.6 \mathrm{~min}, 5 \%$ B to $100 \%$ B in $4.4 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \%$ B to $1 \%$ B for 0.3 min , hold at $1 \%$ B for 0.4 min . Flow rate: $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Method C: Column: Waters Atlantis C18 4.6 x $50 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; UV purity detected at 215 nm ; Mobile phase A: $0.05 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; Mobile phase B: $0.05 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$; Gradient: $95.0 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 5.0 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ linear to $5.0 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 95.0 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in 4.0 min , hold at $5.0 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 95.0 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to 5.0 min . Flow rate: $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. All final compounds were determined to have a purity of $>95 \%$ by one of the aforementioned methods unless stated otherwise.

Tail pieces:


4-(2-Methyl-1,3-oxazol-4-yl)benzonitrile (S2): A mixture of 4-(bromoacetyl)benzonitrile (S1) (9.5 g, 42 mmol$)$ and acetamide $(6.26 \mathrm{~g}, 106 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 48 hours, and then it was filtered. After the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo, silica gel chromatography (eluent: EtOAc in petroleum ether, $0 \%$ to $20 \%$ ) afforded S2 as a white solid ( $7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81$ (br d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.68 (br d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Methyl 4-(2-methyl-1,3-oxazol-4-yl)benzoate (S3): Compound $\mathbf{S 2}$ ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and concentrated sulfuric acid $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were combined in $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated at reflux for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and then slowly poured into ice water $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was adjusted to a $\mathrm{pH}=7-$ 8 with solid sodium hydroxide. Upon removal of MeOH in vacuo, copious yellow solid precipitated, which was collected via filtration to provide $\mathbf{S 3}$ as a yellow solid ( $6.5 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
[4-(2-Methyl-1,3-oxazol-4-yl)phenyl]methanol (S4): Lithium aluminum hydride ( $4.19 \mathrm{~g}, 110 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a mixture of $\mathbf{S 3}(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 27.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at -30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. Water ( 4.5 mL ) and aqueous sodium hydroxide solution $(15 \%, 4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were slowly added to the reaction mixture as it warmed to room temperature. The mixture was then diluted with EtOAc ( 200 mL ) and filtered; the filtrate was dried over sodium sulfate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford $\mathbf{S 4}$ as a white solid ( 4.0 g , $76 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(4-(Chloromethyl)phenyl)-2-methyloxazole, hydrochloride salt (S5): Thionyl chloride (7.55 g, 63.5 mmol ) was slowly added to a mixture of $\mathbf{S 4}(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Removal of solvent in vacuo provided $\mathbf{S 5}$ as a yellow solid ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 165.5,139.7$, 136.5, 135.7, 129.3, 126.2, 125.8, 44.8, 12.0.

[4-(1-Methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)phenyl]methanol (S7): A mixture of [4-(hydroxymethyl)phenyl]boronic acid (S6) $(8.00 \mathrm{~g}, 52.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-bromo-1-methyl-1 H -pyrazole ( $12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 78.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$, and potassium carbonate $(14.6 \mathrm{~g}, 106 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4 -dioxane $(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was diluted with water ( 50 mL ) and extracted with EtOAc ( $5 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried (magnesium sulfate), filtered, and concentrated in vacuo; trituration of the residue with EtOAc ( 100 mL ) provided $\mathbf{S 7}$ as a white solid ( $4.60 \mathrm{~g}, 46 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41\left(\mathrm{AB}\right.$ quartet, $\left.J_{\mathrm{AB}}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{AB}}=40.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ).

4-(4-(Chloromethyl)phenyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrazole, hydrochloride salt (S8): Thionyl chloride (7.77 g, 65.3 $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to a mixture of $\mathbf{S} 7(4.10 \mathrm{~g}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.25 hours, whereupon it was combined with another similar crude reaction mixture derived from $\mathbf{S 7}(2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was triturated with EtOAc $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford $\mathbf{S 8}$ as a white solid $(8.1 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ (AB quartet, $\left.J_{\mathrm{AB}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \Delta v_{\mathrm{AB}}=63.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 137.8,132.4,131.9$, 129.5, 129.2, 125.7, 123.8, 45.02, 37.4; LCMS $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2}, 207.06$; found: 206.8.

Final analogs:

6-(4-(1H-Pyrazol-1-yl)benzyl)-2-((3R,4S)-3-hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-5-methylisoindolin-1-one
(11a): A mixture of $20(1.716 \mathrm{~g}, 4.599 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1-(4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole ( $1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 6.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(336 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 9.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1,4-dioxane ( 20 mL ) and water ( 2 mL ) was degassed with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 5 min , sealed, and heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The mixture was filtered through diatomaceous earth and the filter cake washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a residue that was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent: EtOAc/petroleum ether $0: 1$ to $1: 0$ ) to give $\mathbf{1 1 a}(680 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%)$ as an off-white solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.10-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.8,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.02-1.88 (m, 2H), 1.62 (br. s., 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.6,141.2,141.00,140.06,139.1,138.6$, $137.9,130.5,129.6,126.8,124.8,124.6,119.4,107.6,72.1,68.9,66.9,55.6,46.4,39.1,30.0,20.5 ;$ LCMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, 404.19; found: 404.0.

2-((3R,4S)-3-Hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-5-methyl-6-(4-(thiazol-4-yl)benzyl)isoindolin-1-one (11b): To a mixture of $\mathbf{2 0}(802 \mathrm{mg}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(15 \mathrm{~mL}), 1,4$-dioxane $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added 4-(4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)thiazole (1) ( $451 \mathrm{mg}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 0.215 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 891 $\mathrm{mg}, 6.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The reaction was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent: $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 0\right.$ to $\left.94: 6\right)$ to give $\mathbf{1 9 b}$ as a brown oil. The oil was triturated with MTBE ( 8 mL ) and concentrated to give $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ as a brown solid ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.3,11.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.1,155.5,154.9,140.8,140.6,140.4,139.4,132.5,131.4,129.6,126.7,125.2,123.9,114.2,72.0,66.7$, $66.5,55.3,46.2,38.7,30.2,20.3$; LCMS $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, 421.15$; found: 421.2.

General Procedure A: A 3.0 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 3.0 equiv.) was added to a mixture of benzyl bromide (1.2 equiv.) and 20 ( 1.0 equiv.) in anhydrous 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.05 M ) at room temperature. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added and the mixture was heated to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h whereupon it was cooled to room temperature, quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, then concentrated in vacuo.

2-((3R,4S)-3-Hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-5-methyl-6-(4-(2-methyloxazol-4-yl)benzyl)isoindolin-1-one (11c): Following general procedure A with $\mathbf{S 5}(196 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{1 1 c}$ was obtained as an orange oil. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 0$ to $95: 5$ ) to afford 11c as a yellow solid (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 36 \%)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.9$, $11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.4,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 168.1,161.8,140.8,140.6,140.1,140.0$, $139.4,134.8,131.4,129.5,129.4,125.7,125.2,123.9,72.0,66.7,66.5,55.3,46.2,38.7,30.2,20.34,14.0$; LCMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 419.19$; found: 419.5.

2-((3R,4S)-3-Hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-5-methyl-6-(4-(1-methyl-1H-pyrazol-4-yl)benzyl)isoindolin-1-one (11e): Following general procedure A with $\mathbf{S 8}(195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}), 11 \mathbf{e}$ was obtained as a yellow residue. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 0$ to19:1) to afford 11e as a yellow
solid that was recrystallized from EtOAc/Heptanes to give a white solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) $\delta 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ ( d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.40 ( d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $1.7,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) $\delta 168.2,140.7,140.5,139.7,138.1,136.4,131.4,130.9,129.6,128.0,125.6,125.1,123.8,122.2$, $72.0,66.7,66.5,55.3,46.2,39.1,38.6,30.2,20.4 ;$ LCMS $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 418.21$; found: 418.5 .

General Procedure B: A 3.0 M aqueous solution of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 3.0 equiv.) was added to a mixture of benzyl bromide ( 1.0 equiv.) and 21 ( 1.0 equiv.) in anhydrous $\operatorname{THF}(0.18 \mathrm{M})$ at room temperature. $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added and the mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h whereupon it was cooled to room temperature, quenched with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, then concentrated in vacuo.

## 7-(4-(1H-Pyrazol-1-yl)benzyl)-2-((3R,4S)-3-hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-6-methyl-3,4-

dihydroisoquinolin-1(2H)-one (12a): Following general procedure B with 1-(4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)-1 H pyrazole ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 12a was obtained as a yellow residue. The residue was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide ( 1 mL ) and purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Column: Waters Sunfire C18 19x100, 5 u . Mobile phase A: $0.05 \%$ TFA in water ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); Mobile phase B: $0.05 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right.$ ). Gradient: $70.0 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 30.0 \%$ MeCN linear to $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 70 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ in $8.5 \mathrm{~min}, 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 70 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ linear to $0 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ in 0.5 min , HOLD at $0 \%$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 100 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ to 10.0 min . Flow: $25 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.) to give 12a as an off-white solid ( $5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{\text {}}$ ) 8.37 (d, $\left.J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38$ (ddd, $J=12.3,10.3,4.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{td}, J=11.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{tt}, J=10.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{)} 3.00$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~m}, J=6.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(2,2$ H), $1.75(\mathrm{qd}, J=12.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; LCMS $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 418.21$; found: 418.5.

2-((3R,4S)-3-Hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-6-methyl-7-(4-(thiazol-4-yl)benzyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin$\mathbf{1 ( 2 H )}$-one (12b): Following general procedure B with 4-(4-(bromomethyl)phenyl)thiazole (1) ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 12b was obtained as a brown residue. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent: heptanes/EtOAc 7:3 to 0:1) to give 12b as an off-white solid( $111 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.87$ (dd, $J=0.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=0.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{ddd}, J=4.3,10.3,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.9,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$ (dt, $J=5.1,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t}, J=10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{dq}, J=$ $4.7,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.7,156.3,152.8,141.5,140.1,137.8$, 136.1, 132.2, 130.0, 129.1, 129.0, 127.2, 126.6, 112.1, 72.4, 67.7, 67.0, 56.8, 40.6, 39.2, 29.0, 27.9, 19.9; LCMS $\left.\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}, 435.17$; found: 435.4.

## 2-((3R,4S)-3-Hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-6-methyl-7-(4-(2-methyloxazol-4-yl)benzyl)-3,4-

dihydroisoquinolin- $\mathbf{1 ( 2 H )}$-one (12c): Following general procedure B with $\mathbf{S 5}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$, the reaction mixture was heated to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h and $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ was obtained as a brown oil. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluent: heptanes/EtOAc $7: 3 \mathrm{To} 0: 1$ ) to give 12c as a white solid( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ (ddd, $J=4.3$, $10.3,12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.1,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-$ $3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{dq}, J=4.9,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{td}, J=2.1,12.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,161.8,141.4,140.5,139.7,137.7,136.1,132.9,129.9,129.04$, $128.96,128.90,127.1,125.5,72.4,67.5,67.0,56.8,40.6,39.2,29.0,27.9,19.8,14.0 ;$ LCMS $m / z[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 433.20$; found: 433.5.

General Procedure C (library protocol): $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(33.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.241 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of benzyl halide ( 0.096 mmol ) and $17(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(0.60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.120 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.008 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h whereupon it was cooled to room temperature, concentrated in vacuo, and purified via prep HPLC to give the final products.

6-(4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)benzyl)-2-((3S,4R)-3-hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-5-methylisoindolin-1-one
(11f): Following general procedure C with 1-(4-(chloromethyl)phenyl)- 1 H -imidazole ( $18.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 11f
was obtained and purified via prep-HPLC (Column: Phenomenex Gemini C18 $21.2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, 10u. Mobile phase A: $0.225 \%$ formic acid in water (v/v); Mobile phase B: MeCN. Gradient: $1 \%$ B to $41 \%$ B over 8 min, Flow: 35 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). LCMS m/z $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 403.19$; found: $404 \mathrm{at} \mathrm{rt}=2.063 \mathrm{~min}$.

2-((3S,4R)-3-hydroxytetrahydro-2H-pyran-4-yl)-5-methyl-6-(4-(4-methyl-1H-imidazol-1-yl)benzyl)isoindolin-1-one (11g): Following general procedure C with 1-(4-(chloromethyl)phenyl)-4-methyl-1H-imidazole (3) (18.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 11g was obtained and purified via prep-HPLC. (Column: Phenomenex Luna C18 $25 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$, 5 u . Mobile phase A: $0.225 \%$ formic acid in water ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); Mobile phase B: MeCN. Gradient: $30 \%$ B to $60 \% \mathrm{~B}$ over 10 min , Flow: $35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). LCMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 417.21$; found: 418 at rt = 2.128 min .

## Additional characterization on compound 1:

PXRD:


Thermodynamic solubility study:

| Compound | Lot\# | Solubility (mg/mL) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SGN | $\mathbf{5 0 m M}$ <br> phosphate buffer | Fassif | Fessif |
| PF-06827443 | PF-06827443- | 0.003 | 0.0013 | 0.0048 | 0.0397 |
|  | $00-0004$ | $\mathrm{pH}=1.4$ | $\mathrm{pH}=6.49$ | $\mathrm{pH}=6.11$ | $\mathrm{pH}=6.13$ |

Molecular Formula Strings

| Compound Number | SMILES |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)c4cocn4)C(=O)N(C2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]50 |
| 11a | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)n4cccn4)C(=O)N(C2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]50 |
| 11b | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)c4cscn4)C(=O)N(C2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]50 |
| 11c | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3) $44 \operatorname{coc}(\mathrm{n} 4) \mathrm{C}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{C} 2)[\mathrm{C} @ \mathrm{H}] 5 \mathrm{CCOC}[\mathrm{C} @ @ \mathrm{H}] 50$ |
| 11e | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)c4cnn(c4)C)C(=O)N(C2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]50 |
| 11f | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)n4ccnc4)C(=O)N(C2)[C@@H]5CCOC[C@H]50 |
| 11g | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)n4cc(nc4)C)C(=O)N(C2)[C@@H]5CCOC[C@H]50 |
| 12a | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)n4cccn4)C(=O)N(CC2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]5O |
| 12b | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)c4cscn4)C(=O)N(CC2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]50 |
| 12c | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)c4coc(n4)C)C(=O)N(CC2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]5O |
| 12d | Cc1cc2c(cc1Cc3ccc(cc3)c4cocn4)C(=O)N(CC2)[C@H]5CCOC[C@@H]5O |

Composite $M_{1}-M_{5}$ PAM functional dose response curves for compound 1 in the presence of $\mathrm{EC}_{20}$ of $\mathbf{A C h}$ : $\mathbf{M}_{1,3,5}$ used a FLIPR assay while $\mathbf{M}_{2,4}$ used a CAMP readout

Methods were previously published. ${ }^{1}$


[^1]Functional dose response curves for 1 in the presence (PAM mode, blue diamond) and absence (Agonist mode, red circle) of an $\mathrm{EC}_{20}$ of $\mathbf{A C h}$ in $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ FLIPR for compounds in table 1 of main manuscript
Methods were previously published. ${ }^{2}$


[^2]
## $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ binding as measured by inhibition of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ PAM radioligand [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]PT-1284

Methods were previously published. ${ }^{3}$


## Hippocampal Slice Assay Methods

Slice preparation. Adult (8-12 weeks) male Sprague Dawley rats were deeply anesthetized with isofluorane and perfused transcardially with ice-cold high-sucrose artificial cerebrospinal (ACSF) cutting solution containing 206 mM sucrose, $26 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaHCO} 3,3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaH} 2 \mathrm{PO} 4,7 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,10 \mathrm{mM}$ glucose, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 0.89 mM L-ascorbate, bubbled with $95 \% \mathrm{O} 2 / 5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$. Brains were removed into ice cold cutting ACSF, and coronal hippocampal slices were made ( $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) using a vibrating microtome (Leica VT1000S or 1200S). Slices were incubated at 35 degrees $C$ in recording ACSF ( $124 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.25$ mM NaH2PO4, 26 mM NaHCO3, 10 mM Glucose, $1.3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl2} 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,,1 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium pyruvate, 0.89 mM L -ascorbate) bubbled with $95 \% \mathrm{O} 2 / 5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$ for at least 1 hour prior to recording.

Extracellular recordings. Slices were placed onto MED-P515A 64-channel multi-electrode arrays (MED64 system, Automate Scientific, Inc.) with the CA1 pyramidal cell layer positioned directly over the array contacts, and held down with a $U$-shaped platinum horseshoe over a square of nylon mesh. Slices were perfused continuously with recirculating ACSF warmed to $30-32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ bubbled with $95 \% \mathrm{O} 2 / 5 \% \mathrm{CO} 2$. Electrophysiological signals were high-pass filtered at 0.1 Hz and digitized at 20 kHz using MED64 Mobius acquisition software (WitWerx, Inc). Compound was added stepwise in serially increasing concentrations, with each step lasting 20 min . For assessing PAM activity, compound was applied in the continuous presence of an EC20 concentration of 100 nM carbachol, an orthosteric agonist at cholinergic receptors ("PAM mode").

Data analysis. Extracellular action potentials were detected offline using custom-written Matlab scripts. CA1 spiking activity was measured as the multi-unit firing rate for each electrode contacting the stratum pyramidale. Rates were computed for each channel within a slice, then averaged across channels to generate a single median activity rate for the slice. The median activity during the last 10 min of each step was taken as the activity level for that concentration. For each slice, the effect of the concentration was measured as the median firing rate in the presence of the compound minus the median firing rate under the initial baseline conditions (compound alone for AGO mode, in 100 nM carbachol for PAM mode). Statistical comparisons were done by considering each slice to be an independent $N$ : mean firing rates across slices $(n=4)$ and SEM are reported.

[^3]
## In-Vivo IP1 Accumulation Assay Raw Data for Compound 1

Methods for this assay were previously published. ${ }^{4}$ Exposure for striatal portion of each animal brain, IP1 accumulation and dose:

| $\boldsymbol{C}_{b, u}(\mathbf{n M})$ | IP1 Fold Increase over Baseline | Dose |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.0 | 1.8 |  |
| 13.0 | 1.4 |  |
| 12.0 | 1.8 | $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 15.0 | 1.2 |  |
| 19.0 | 1.9 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 50.0 | 5.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 37.0 | 3.3 |  |
| 50.0 | 2.1 |  |
| 51.0 | 5.3 |  |
| 40.0 | 4.9 |  |
|  |  |  |
| 105.0 | 30.3 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| 89.0 | 12.5 |  |
| 76.0 | 5.6 |  |
| 139.0 | 39.2 |  |
| 98.0 | 3.1 |  |

[^4]
## In-Vivo IP1 Accumulation Assay Raw Data for Compound 5 <br> Exposures were estimated per dose group using PK from a satellite group as described ${ }^{5}$.

| Dose | Study A |  |  | Study B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.2 mg/kg | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 3.2 mg/kg | $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | 1.1 | 3.7 | 14.6 | 2.4 |  | 40.8 |
|  | 0.6 | 4.9 | 11.5 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 40.6 |
|  | 1.8 | 3.6 | 12.0 | 3.3 | 22.0 | 25.4 |
|  | 1.1 | 4.5 | 9.4 | 4.3 | 17.7 | 28.1 |
|  | 1.4 | 1.3 | 13.1 | 3.1 | 11.9 | 18.2 |
| average | 1.2 | 3.6 | 12.1 | 2.8 | 14.4 | 30.6 |
| sd | 0.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 9.9 |
| sem | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 4.4 |

## Locomotor Activity Data for Compound 1

## Animals and Equipment

Male C57BL/6J mice at 6-8 weeks of age were delivered from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) were used for spontaneous and amphetamine-stimulated locomotor studies. Mice were group housed on Innovive IVC racks (San Diego, CA) in an environmentally controlled room under normal 6AM/6PM light/dark cycle conditions with food and water ad libitum. Mice were acclimated to the facility for at least 1 week prior to testing. All testing was performed in Omnitech Open Field monitors running Fusion Software (Omnitech USA; Columbus, OH). Each monitor was enclosed in a sound attenuating box and lights (40 lux) were on for testing. Each monitor consists of sets of 16 infrared (IR) light beams along the horizontal x and y axes to detect animal movement. Data were recorded in 10 min bins. For the locomotor experiment Compound 1 was dissolved in $10 \%$ Cremophor/Sterile water; haloperidol in $0.3 \%$ Tartaric acid, and amphetamine (corrected for active moiety) dissolved in saline.

## Locomotor Data Statistical Analysis

Distance traveled (cm) was recorded in 10 min bins and summed to reflect total distance traveled for the 90 minute test session. Data were analyzed using R 3.0.1 statistical software ${ }^{6}$. The effects of treatment and time and their interaction on distance traveled were assessed using a two-way repeated measure analysis of variance (RM ANOVA) using generalized least squares methods from nonlinear mixed effects models (NLME) library ${ }^{7}$. To account for correlations within subject a first order autoregressive scheme was employed, which assumed that

[^5]correlations decay exponentially with the lab between measurements. Time dependence of the variance was also allowed. The model was fitted using the method of restricted maximum likelihood. Significant analysis of variance (ANOVA) results were followed by post-hoc pairwise comparisons of least squared means across treatment arms, separately at each time point ${ }^{8}$. In order to adjust for multiple hypothesis testing a false discovery rate (FDR) method was used which controls the expected proportion of false discoveries amongst the rejected hypotheses ${ }^{9}$. Data were considered statistically significant at a level of p -value $(\mathrm{p})<0.05$. Longitudinal data were aggregated within each animal over time to yield total distance traveled. A one-way ANOVA was conducted on total distance traveled data with treatment as fixed factor. Bartlett's tests were used to access homogeneity of variances across groups and Shapiro-Wilk test to test normality assumption. Significant ANOVA findings were followed by post-hoc pairwise comparisons of least squared means across treatment arms, with FDR correction for multiple tests. Findings were considered statistically significant at a level of $p$-value (p) $<0.05$.

## Spontaneous Locomotor Activity (sLMA)

On the test day, mice ( $\mathrm{n}=9 / \mathrm{group}$ ) were acclimated to the procedure room for 1 h , weighed and dosed with compound 1 ( $1,3.2$ and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{SC}$ ) or vehicle, then returned to their home cage. Thirty minutes later mice were individually placed in a test chamber for 90 min . Data are shown as mean total distance $(\mathrm{cm})$. There were no statistically significant effects observed but a trend towards increased activity was seen at $3.2 \mathrm{and} 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Fig Xa and $b$ ).

Figure: Spontaneous Locomotor Activity Total Distance (A) and Time Course (B)



## Amphetamine-Stimulated Locomotor Activity (aLMA)

On the test day, mice ( $\mathrm{n}=10 /$ group) were acclimated t the procedure room for one h prior to testing. Mice were weighed and individually placed in the test chamber for a 1 h habituation period. Next, mice were removed and dosed with compound $1(0.32,1$ and $3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{SC})$, haloperidol $(0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{SC})$ or vehicle and returned to the chamber for 30 min . Finally, mice were removed and dosed with amphetamine ( $1.78 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, IP) or vehicle and returned to the chamber for the 90 min test session. Compound 1 significantly attenuated the hyperactivity induced by amphetamine in C57BL/6J mice at doses that had no significant effects on spontaneous locmotor activity.

[^6]${ }^{9}$ Benjamini, Y., and Yekutieli, D. (2001). The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. Annals of Statistics 29, 1165-1188.

Locomotor Figure: Amphetamine-Stimulated Locomotor Activity Time Course
aLMA: Time Course


## Scopolamine-Disrupted Morris Water Maze (MWM)

Male Wistar rats (Sino-British SIPPR/BK Lab, Shanghai, China) were acclimated to the facility in HDBiosciences (Shanghai, China) 1 week prior to testing. A circular tank ( 1.5 m diameter, 60 cm h ) was filled with $21-22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ water up to 40 cm depth and made opaque with nontoxic black paint. The tank was surrounded by a black curtain to reduce external distractions and large visual cues were hung. Rats ( $200-220 \mathrm{~g}$ ) were subjected to a visible platform ( 15 cm diameter; 1 cm above the water) pretest. For training (acquisition) days, the platform was submerged just below the surface of the water. For each individual rat the location of the platform was fixed throughout the 5 training days. For each group of rats, however, the location of platform covered all four quadrants in a counterbalance manner and with the same pattern across groups. Rats received 4 trials each day with a 1 h intertrial interval (ITI). Each trial was a maximum of 60 sec and rats were allowed to remain on the platform for 10 sec once they located it, those that failed to locate the platform were gently guided to it. The release location was randomized daily across all 4 quadrants. The time to locate the platform (escape latency), distance to the platform (path length) and swim speed were automatically recorded by the video-tracking system and data analyzed using R 3.0.1 as described above, where endpoint for MWM include swim distance (cm), escape latency (s) and swim speed $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s})$. . Compound $1(0.1,0.32 \& 3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{SC})$, donepezil ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{SC}$ ) or vehicle ( $20 \% \mathrm{HP} \beta \mathrm{CD}$, SC) was administered daily 15 min prior to the first trial each day. Scopolamine ( $0.032 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, \mathrm{SC}$ ) or vehicle (saline, SC) was delivered 30 min prior to the first trial each day. Twenty-four $h$ after the last training session rats were subjected to a 60 sec probe trial with the platform removed. Compound 1 significantly improved deficits induced by scopolamine with no confounding effects on swim speed in the MWM test.

Scopolamine-Disrupted Morris Water Maze Data

MWM: Escape Latency
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