# Spiroaspertrione A, a Bridged Spirocyclic Meroterpenoid as a Potent Potentiator of Oxacillin against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from *Aspergillus* sp. TJ23

Yan He,<sup>†,§</sup> Zhengxi Hu,<sup>†,§</sup> Weiguang Sun,<sup>†</sup> Qin Li,<sup>†</sup> Xiao-Nian Li,<sup>‡</sup> Hucheng Zhu,<sup>†</sup> Jinfeng Huang,<sup>†</sup> Junjun Liu,<sup>†</sup> Jianping Wang,<sup>†</sup> Yongbo Xue,<sup>\*,†</sup> and Yonghui Zhang<sup>\*,†</sup>

- <sup>†</sup>Hubei Key Laboratory of Natural Medicinal Chemistry and Resource Evaluation, School of Pharmacy, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430030, China
- <sup>‡</sup>State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author Tel.: 86-27-83692892. Fax: 86-27-83692762.

E-mail: yongboxue@mail.hust.edu.cn (Y. Xue); zhangyh@mails.tjmu.edu.cn (Y. Zhang).

## **Contents of Supporting Information**

Contents	Pages
Biological Assay Supplement Data	S3-S4
X-ray crystallographic structures of compounds 1 and 2	S5-S8
1D and 2D NMR spectra of compounds 1 and 2	S9-S22
HRESIMS, IR, UV, and ECD spectra of compounds 1 and 2	S23-S29

### **Biological Assay Supplement Data**

#### **Combination Susceptibility Test against MRSA**

Table S1. Combination susceptibility test of compounds 1 and 2 with various antibacterial antibiotics against MRSA in Checkerboard assay.

Antibiotics		∑EIC °	∑EIC °		
Antibiotics	Antibiotic alone (A)	A+ Cmpd 1	A+ Cmpd <b>2</b>	Σric <sub>1+A</sub>	$\sum \Gamma IC_{2+A}$
Oxacillin	32	$1^b$	8	0.28*	0.75
Piperacillin	64	4	16	1.06	1.25
Chloramphenicol	16	4	16	1.25	2.0
Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.12	1.03

<sup>*a*</sup>The MIC of compounds 1 and 2 alone against MRSA were 4  $\mu$ g/mL and 16  $\mu$ g/mL, respectively.

<sup>b</sup>The MIC of oxacillin combined with compound 1 against MRSA was 1  $\mu$ g/mL (oxacillin and 1 were co-dosed at sub-MIC levels, both 1  $\mu$ g/mL).

\*Compound 1 was determined to work synergistically with oxacillin, with a  $\sum$ FIC value of 0.28. However, it did not show any synergistic effect on other antibiotics. In addition, combination effect of piperacillin, chloramphenicol, and vancomycin with 1 against MRSA seem to be accumulative.

 $^{c}\Sigma FIC = FIC_{Cmpd} + FIC_{Antibiotic}$ ;  $FIC_{Cmpd} = [MIC_{Cmpd}$  in combination]/[MIC\_{Cmpd} alone];  $FIC_{Antibiotic} = [MIC_{Antibiotic}$  in combination]/[MIC\_Antibiotic alone]. The combination is considered synergistic when the  $\Sigma FIC$  value is  $\leq 0.5$ , accumulative or indifferent when the  $\Sigma FIC$  value is > 0.5 but < 2, and antagonistic when the  $\Sigma FIC$  is  $\geq 2$ .

#### Time kill curves of compound 1 and/or oxacillin against MRSA

 Table S2. Time kill curves of compound 1 and/or oxacillin against MRSA

Time (h)	Control	1/32MIC <sub>Ox</sub> + 1/4MIC <sub>1</sub>	1/32 MIC <sub>0x</sub>	1/4 MIC <sub>1</sub>	1/2 MIC <sub>1</sub>	MIC <sub>1</sub>	2 MIC <sub>1</sub>	4 MIC <sub>1</sub>	MIC <sub>Ox</sub>
0	$5.50\pm0.09$	$5.52 \pm 0.25$	$5.50\pm0.22$	$5.50\pm0.15$	$5.50\pm0.10$	$5.52\pm0.10$	$5.50\pm0.17$	$5.55 \pm 0.11$	$5.50\pm0.32$
2	$6.05\pm0.25$	$5.26 \pm 0.54$	$5.59\pm0.10$	$5.65\pm0.27$	$5.60\pm0.30$	$5.34\pm0.24$	$5.31 \pm 0.25$	$5.27\pm0.13$	$5.34\pm0.20$
4	$7.10\pm0.21$	$5.15 \pm 0.17$	$6.15 \pm 0.37$	$5.81 \pm 0.21$	$5.51\pm0.27$	$5.15\pm0.26$	$4.98\pm0.11$	$4.81\pm0.25$	$5.22 \pm 0.15$
6	$7.98\pm0.17$	$4.74 \pm 0.23$	$6.72 \pm 0.39$	$5.92 \pm 0.25$	$5.32 \pm 0.41$	$4.92 \pm 0.44$	$4.91 \pm 0.23$	$4.70\pm0.20$	$4.78 \pm 0.21$
8	$8.41\pm0.20$	$4.22 \pm 0.21$	$7.51 \pm 0.18$	$6.27 \pm 0.13$	$5.50 \pm 0.25$	$4.80 \pm 0.27$	$5.10 \pm 0.17$	$4.45 \pm 0.15$	$5.15 \pm 0.19$
12	$9.07\pm0.16$	$5.02 \pm 0.15$	$8.16 \pm 0.15$	$6.50 \pm 0.15$	$5.72 \pm 0.18$	$5.13 \pm 0.23$	$5.14 \pm 0.22$	$5.05 \pm 0.25$	$5.90\pm0.09$
24	10.10±0.19	5.36±0.31*	9.06±0.25*	7.47±0.11*	$7.25 \pm 0.17$	$5.55\pm0.17$	$5.41 \pm 0.12$	$5.11 \pm 0.18$	$8.19\pm0.22$

\*At 24h time point, the combination of compound 1 (1/4 MIC<sub>1</sub>, 1  $\mu$ g/mL) and oxacillin (1/32 MIC<sub>0x</sub>, 1  $\mu$ g/mL) resulted in log CFU reductions of 3.70 and 2.11 for using oxacillin (1  $\mu$ g/mL) or 1 (1  $\mu$ g/mL) alone.



Figure S1 Time kill curves of compound 1 against MRSA. Time kill curves of compound  $1 (\geq MIC_1)$  inhibited the growth of bacteria entering to the exponential phase resulting nearly constant bacterial counts, which indicate that 1 is bacteriostatic in nature.



Figure S2 Time kill curves of combination of 1 and oxacillin against MRSA. Combination of 1 (1  $\mu$ g/mL) with oxacillin (1  $\mu$ g/mL) showed bacteriostatic effects, with a slight reduction of colony counts after 2 h.

#### **Molecular Docking**

**Table S3** Predicted binding free energies of compounds 1 and 2 with five PBPs of MRSA, which considered to be important or possible media for staphylococcal  $\beta$ -lactam resistance. (Surflx-Dock scores)<sup>a</sup>

DDDID	Ductoin nome	Comp	ounds
PDDID	Protein name	1	2
5TRO	PBP1	4.473	3.352
2ZC3	PBP2	1.094	1.579
4CJN	PBP2a <sup>§</sup>	5.516*	4.435
3PBR	PBP3	4.419	4.258
3HUM	PBP4	3.416	2.135

<sup>a</sup>Docking score/interaction potential of compounds with targets (kcal/mol).

\*The docking scores predicted that PBP2a showed significantly higher binding affinity for 1 than other PBPs.

<sup>8</sup>The predicted docking score of the reported PBP2a inhibitors Ceftaroline and Meropenem were 6.017 and 5.602.



Figure S3. View of the molecule of 1 with the atom-labelling scheme (displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level).



Figure S4. View of the hydrogen-bonded motif of 1 (hydrogen-bonds are shown as dashed lines).



Figure S5. View of the molecule of 2 with the atom-labelling scheme (displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level).



Figure S6. View of the hydrogen-bonded motif of 2 (hydrogen-bonds are shown as dashed lines).



**Figure S7.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S8**. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S9. DEPT NMR spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S10. HSQC spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S11. HMBC spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S12**. <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S13. NOESY spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1) in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S14**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of andiconin B (**2**) in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Figure S15. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum of andiconin B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Figure S16. DEPT NMR spectrum of andiconin B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Figure S17. HSQC spectrum of andiconin B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Figure S18. HMBC spectrum of andiconin B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



**Figure S19**.  ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$  COSY spectrum of andiconin B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Figure S20. NOESY spectrum of andiconin B (2) in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Figure S21. HRESIMS spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1).



Figure S22. IR spectrum of spiroaspertrione A (1).











Figure S25. IR spectrum of andiconin B (2).



Figure S26. UV spectrum of andiconin B (2).



Figure S27. Comparison of the experimental ECD spectra of 1 with calculated ECD spectra of their respective enantiomers.

Table S4.	Important	thermodynamic	parameters	(a.u.)	and	Boltzmann	distributions	of	the
optimized 1	and ent-1 a	at LC-wPBE/6-31	1++G**//B3	LYP/	6-311	++G** level	in the MeOH.		

Conformations	E+ZPE	Gibbs	Ratio
1	-1271.940724	-1270.547484	100.0%
ent-1	-1271.940724	-1270.488205	100.0%

E+ZPE, G: total energy (E) in the DMSO at LC-wPBE/6-311++ $G^{**}/B3LYP/6-311++G^{**}$  level with IEFPCM solvent continuum model, zero point energy (ZPE) and Gibbs free energy (G) in the MeOH at B3LYP/6-311++ $G^{**}$  level with IEFPCM solvent continuum model. %: Boltzmann distributions, using the relative Gibbs free energies as weighting factors.

	1	1			en	<i>t</i> -1	
C1	-4.113	-0.574	1.758	C1	4.113	-0.574	1.758
C2	3.078	-1.424	1.372	C2	-3.078	-1.424	1.372
C3	-2.815	-0.918	1.768	C3	2.815	-0.918	1.768
C4	3.172	0.144	-1.295	C4	-3.172	0.144	-1.295
C5	2.801	1.034	-0.373	C5	-2.801	1.034	-0.373
C6	2.407	-0.309	1.739	C6	-2.407	-0.309	1.739
C7	-4.793	-0.056	0.557	C7	4.793	-0.056	0.557
C8	3.344	-1.928	0.015	C8	-3.344	-1.928	0.015
C9	3.034	2.487	-0.409	C9	-3.034	2.487	-0.409
C10	-1.486	0.614	-1.505	C10	1.486	0.614	-1.505
C11	-0.086	1.021	-1.012	C11	0.086	1.021	-1.012
C12	1.087	-1.224	-0.83	C12	-1.087	-1.224	-0.83
C13	-0.489	-0.408	1.055	C13	0.489	-0.408	1.055
C14	1.539	2.088	1.332	C14	-1.539	2.088	1.332
C15	-2.437	0.384	-0.32	C15	2.437	0.384	-0.32
C16	2.63	-1.258	-1.179	C16	-2.63	-1.258	-1.179
C17	-1.917	-0.792	0.559	C17	1.917	-0.792	0.559
C18	1.82	0.68	0.719	C18	-1.82	0.68	0.719
C19	-3.957	0.367	-0.673	C19	3.957	0.367	-0.673
C20	0.554	0.003	-0.023	C20	-0.554	0.003	-0.023
C21	2.235	-0.039	3.215	C21	-2.235	-0.039	3.215

Table S5. Optimized coordinates of 1 and *ent*-1 at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level in the MeOH.

C22	2.87	-2.064	-2.46	C22	-2.87	-2.064	-2.46
C23	-1.92	-2.193	-0.116	C23	1.92	-2.193	-0.116
C24	-4.336	-0.553	-1.857	C24	4.414	1.804	-1.03
C25	-4.414	1.804	-1.03	C25	4.336	-0.553	-1.857
01	-6.019	0.034	0.536	01	6.019	0.034	0.536
02	4.077	-2.899	-0.146	02	-4.077	-2.899	-0.146
03	3.764	3.137	-1.128	03	-3.764	3.137	-1.128
04	2.224	3.07	0.516	04	-2.224	3.07	0.516
H1	-4.749	-0.731	2.626	H1	4.749	-0.731	2.626
H2	3.49	-2.065	2.149	H2	-3.49	-2.065	2.149
Н3	-2.383	-1.365	2.665	Н3	2.383	-1.365	2.665
H4	3.823	0.413	-2.123	H4	-3.823	0.413	-2.123
H5	-1.43	-0.278	-2.14	Н5	1.43	-0.278	-2.14
H6	-1.86	1.418	-2.148	Н6	1.86	1.418	-2.148
H7	-0.185	2.003	-0.537	H7	0.185	2.003	-0.537
H8	0.575	1.159	-1.874	H8	-0.575	1.159	-1.874
H9	0.561	-1.268	-1.788	Н9	-0.852	-2.156	-0.311
H10	0.852	-2.156	-0.311	H10	-0.561	-1.268	-1.788
H11	-0.09	-1.235	1.654	H11	0.09	-1.235	1.654
H12	-0.619	0.439	1.743	H12	0.619	0.439	1.743
H13	0.484	2.36	1.348	H13	-1.934	2.198	2.34
H14	1.934	2.198	2.34	H14	-0.484	2.36	1.348
H15	-2.323	1.265	0.331	H15	2.323	1.265	0.331
H16	1.208	0.251	3.461	H16	-1.208	0.251	3.461
H17	2.49	-0.925	3.801	H17	-2.49	-0.925	3.801
H18	2.888	0.777	3.552	H18	-2.888	0.777	3.552
H19	3.94	-2.17	-2.659	H19	-2.443	-3.068	-2.375
H20	2.443	-3.068	-2.375	H20	-2.403	-1.56	-3.313
H21	2.403	-1.56	-3.313	H21	-3.94	-2.17	-2.659
H22	-1.425	-2.196	-1.09	H22	1.425	-2.196	-1.09
H23	-1.4	-2.915	0.525	H23	1.4	-2.915	0.525
H24	-2.938	-2.562	-0.255	H24	2.938	-2.562	-0.255
H25	-4.061	-1.598	-1.694	H25	3.915	2.159	-1.937
H26	-5.417	-0.511	-2.017	H26	5.493	1.819	-1.204
H27	-3.843	-0.215	-2.775	H27	4.189	2.509	-0.221
H28	-4.189	2.509	-0.221	H28	3.843	-0.215	-2.775
H29	-3.915	2.159	-1.937	H29	4.061	-1.598	-1.694
H30	-5.493	1.819	-1.204	H30	5.417	-0.511	-2.017



Figure S28. Comparison of the experimental ECD spectra of 2 with calculated ECD spectra of their respective enantiomers.

Table S6.	Important	thermodynamic	parameters	(a.u.)	and	Boltzmann	distributions	of	the
optimized 2	and <i>ent</i> -2 a	at LC-wPBE/6-31	1++G**//B3	LYP/6	5-311	++G** level	in the MeOH.		

Conformations	E+ZPE	Gibbs	Ratio		
2	-1348.423360	-1347.940047	100.0%		
ent-2	-1348.423360	-1347.940047	100.0%		
E+ZPE, G: total energy (E)	in the DMSO at LC-wPBI	E/6-311++G**//B3LYP/6-311+	++G** level with IEFPCM		
solvent continuum model,	zero point energy (ZP	E) and Gibbs free energy	(G) in the MeOH at		

B3LYP/6-311++G\*\* level with IEFPCM solvent continuum model. %: Boltzmann distributions, using the relative Gibbs free energies as weighting factors.

	- -	2			en	<i>t</i> -2	
C1	-3.706	-0.961	1.714	C1	3.706	-0.961	1.714
C2	-2.679	-1.625	1.16	C2	2.679	-1.625	1.16
C3	-4.312	0.239	1.109	C3	4.312	0.239	1.109
C4	2.386	-1.327	0.913	C4	-2.386	-1.327	0.913
C5	2.818	2.388	-0.152	C5	-2.818	2.388	-0.152
C6	-1.781	0.606	-1.884	C6	1.781	0.606	-1.884
C7	-0.363	0.032	-2.152	C7	0.363	0.032	-2.152
C8	0.041	-0.754	1.499	C8	-0.041	-0.754	1.499
C9	1.598	-1.393	-1.453	C9	-1.598	-1.393	-1.453
C10	0.556	2.02	0.126	C10	-0.556	2.02	0.126
C11	2.493	1.063	-0.799	C11	-2.493	1.063	-0.799
C12	-2.366	0.227	-0.508	C12	2.366	0.227	-0.508
C13	-0.432	-1.272	0.12	C13	0.432	-1.272	0.12
C14	3.584	-0.027	-0.78	C14	-3.584	-0.027	-0.78
C15	-1.985	-1.228	-0.119	C15	1.985	-1.228	-0.119
C16	-3.863	0.64	-0.311	C16	3.863	0.64	-0.311
C17	1.438	-0.149	1.237	C17	-1.438	-0.149	1.237
C18	2.863	-1.381	-0.54	C18	-2.863	-1.381	-0.54
C19	0.44	-0.468	-0.932	C19	-0.44	-0.468	-0.932
C20	1.192	0.634	-0.095	C20	-1.192	0.634	-0.095
C21	-2.353	-2.315	-1.169	C21	2.353	-2.315	-1.169

Table S7. Optimized coordinate of 2 and *ent-2* at B3LYP/6-31G(d) level in the MeOH.

C22	-4.007	2.168	-0.466	C22	4.007	2.168	-0.466
C23	-4.875	-0.039	-1.272	C23	4.875	-0.039	-1.272
C24	1.986	0.62	2.449	C24	-1.986	0.62	2.449
C25	3.783	-2.571	-0.804	C25	-3.783	-2.571	-0.804
O1	-5.201	0.848	1.699	01	5.201	0.848	1.699
O2	2.688	-2.152	1.756	02	-2.688	-2.152	1.756
O3	3.898	2.936	-0.05	O3	-3.898	2.936	-0.05
O4	1.682	2.93	0.334	O4	-1.682	2.93	0.334
05	4.543	0.161	0.255	05	-4.543	0.161	0.255
H1	-4.157	-1.296	2.645	H1	4.157	-1.296	2.645
H2	-2.312	-2.53	1.644	H2	2.312	-2.53	1.644
Н3	-1.765	1.697	-1.969	Н3	2.445	0.257	-2.682
H4	-2.445	0.257	-2.682	H4	1.765	1.697	-1.969
Н5	-0.448	-0.812	-2.845	H5	-0.235	0.775	-2.695
H6	0.235	0.775	-2.695	H6	0.448	-0.812	-2.845
H7	-0.621	0.029	1.89	H7	-0.088	-1.541	2.256
H8	0.088	-1.541	2.256	H8	0.621	0.029	1.89
Н9	1.909	-1.068	-2.455	Н9	-1.231	-2.418	-1.563
H10	1.231	-2.418	-1.563	H10	-1.909	-1.068	-2.455
H11	0.007	2.376	-0.749	H11	0.076	2.111	1.009
H12	-0.076	2.111	1.009	H12	-0.007	2.376	-0.749
H13	2.257	1.307	-1.846	H13	-2.257	1.307	-1.846
H14	-1.843	0.846	0.236	H14	1.844	0.846	0.236
H15	-0.151	-2.33	0.056	H15	0.151	-2.329	0.056
H16	4.086	-0.066	-1.757	H16	-4.086	-0.066	-1.757
H17	-1.851	-2.154	-2.125	H17	1.851	-2.154	-2.125
H18	-3.427	-2.36	-1.356	H18	2.043	-3.299	-0.799
H19	-2.043	-3.299	-0.799	H19	3.427	-2.36	-1.356
H20	-3.892	2.47	-1.511	H20	3.892	2.47	-1.511
H21	-3.258	2.704	0.129	H21	4.996	2.483	-0.125
H22	-4.996	2.483	-0.125	H22	3.258	2.704	0.129
H23	-4.578	0.092	-2.318	H23	4.578	0.092	-2.318
H24	-5.859	0.423	-1.143	H24	4.989	-1.109	-1.081
H25	-4.989	-1.109	-1.081	H25	5.859	0.423	-1.143
H26	1.325	1.444	2.734	H26	-1.325	1.444	2.734
H27	2.986	1.018	2.26	H27	-2.059	-0.066	3.298
H28	2.059	-0.066	3.298	H28	-2.986	1.018	2.26
H29	4.052	-2.615	-1.865	H29	-4.052	-2.615	-1.865
H30	3.291	-3.511	-0.534	H30	-4.703	-2.49	-0.217
H31	4.703	-2.49	-0.217	H31	-3.291	-3.511	-0.534
H32	4.861	1.081	0.18	H32	-4.861	1.081	0.18