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## S1. Materials and Synthetic Methods

## General Information

All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere passed through drierite absorbents (Fisher Scientific), unless otherwise indicated. Reagents and anhydrous solvents (excluding $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) were purchased from Glen Research, Sigma-Aldrich, Acros, Fisher, ChemImpex, and Oakwood Chemicals and were used as received without further purification. Anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was obtained using a Pure Solv (Innovative Technology) solvent purification system at Duke University. Deuterated chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, methanol $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$, dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, and dimethyl sulfoxide ( $\left[d_{6}\right]$ DMSO) for all NMR experiments were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, and the former was deacidified using potassium carbonate prior to use. Deionized water was obtained from an ELGA PURELAB Flex (Veolia Water Technologies) water purification system. Diethylpyrocarbonate (DEPC) treated water was used for RNAse free solutions and was produced with water was incubated with DEPC ( $1 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) overnight, followed by autoclaving at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. All microwave syntheses were performed using a Biotage Initiator+. Synthesized products were purified using flash column chromatography using 230-400 mesh silica gel (Silicycle). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were obtained using a Varian Unity 500 MHz or a 400 MHz Varian Inova spectrometer at the Department of Chemistry at Duke University. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm in reference to the peaks of deuterated solvents $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, and $\left.\left[d_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}\right)$. Mass spectra were obtained using ESI-MS on an Agilent LC/MSD Trap. RNA oligomers were synthesized on a MerMade 6/12 Oligonucleotide Synthesizer (BioAutomation) and purified using a 3-5 micron polydivinylbenzene 4, $4^{\prime}$-Dimethoxytrityl affinity column. Plate reader assays were run on a SpectraMax I3 (Molecular Devices) and used Corning 4514384 well plates.
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Fig S1-1. The aminoglycoside receptor library. Hygromycin B (Hygro), tobramycin (Tobra), and paromomycin (Paro) were removed from the library to improve the predictive power of the principal component analysis.

## Synthesis of protected benzofuranyluridine derivative for solid phase synthesis

## Synthesis of 5-(2-benzofuranyl)-uridine (2)

Adapted from Gallagher-Duval et al.
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In a microwave vial, 5-iodouridine (1, $260.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-benzofuranylboronic acid ( $150.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.), and potassium hydroxide ( $81.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) were dissolved in 4.0 mL degassed water bubbled with argon. A solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ in degassed water ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added ( 0.14 M total). The solution was stirred under microwave irradiation at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, high absorption, for 1 hour. The solid product was cooled, collected by filtration, and rinsed with water, ethyl ether ( 1 mL ), then hexanes ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} x$ 3). Crude product was dissolved in a 1:1 MeOH/acetone solution and cooled and concentrated under nitrogen to precipitate impurities. Solution was filtered, collected, concentrated in vacuo, dissolved in $\sim 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ water and lyophilized to yield $\mathbf{2}$ as a white solid ( $190.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\left[d_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \delta 11.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,0.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.09(\mathrm{q}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=12.0$, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ([d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right] \mathrm{DMSO}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 161.0,153.7,150.3,149.7,138.0,129.5,124.9$, 123.7, 121.7, 111.5, 105.4, 104.6, 89.4, 85.3, 75.1, 70.1, 60.8. HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}-361.1030$; Found -361.1031 ( $\left.\pm 1.2 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$.

Synthesis of $5^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Bis}(\mathrm{t}$-butylsilyl)-2'-O-(t-butyldimethylsilyl)-5-(2-benzofuranyl)-uridine (3)

Adapted from Ghanty et al. ${ }^{2}$


Compound (2) (319.0 mg, 0.88 mmol$)$ was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylformamide (DMF, $4.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2 \mathrm{M}$ ) with $3 \AA$ molecular sieves stirring in an ice bath under nitrogen. Di-tert-butylsilyl-bis(trifluoromethansulfonate) ((t-Bu) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}\right)(490 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv.) was added drop-wise over 45 minutes and then allowed to react at room temperature for 15 minutes. The solution was diluted using 0.3 mL DMF, an additional $(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{2} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.15 mmol ) was added, and the reaction proceeded for 20 minutes. The solution was quenched with imidazole ( $793.0 \mathrm{mg}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 13.0$ equiv.) for 10 minutes while stirring. Tertbutyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $572.9 \mathrm{mg}, 3.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.3$ equiv.) was added and a reflux condenser was attached to the reaction flask. Solution was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reacted for 1 hour. Reaction was cooled on an ice bath and quenched with 5 mL water. Precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with a minimal amount of chilled $\left(4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{MeOH}$. Product in the MeOH filtrate was isolated via silica column flash chromatography (86:9:4:1 hexanes:EtOAc:MeOH:TEA). The remaining solid product was dissolved in EtOAc and concentrated in vacuo. Both pure products were combined to give 3 as a white solid ( 485.3 mg , $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26$ (td, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$4.30(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.09(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.0,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $160.1,153.9,148.9,147.7,135.0,129.4,125.0,123.4,121.7,110.8,106.7,106.3,93.9,76.2$, 75.8, 75.0, 68.0, 27.8, 27.2, 26.1, 23.2, 20.6, 18.5, -4.1, -4.8. HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}_{2}-615.2916$; Found $-615.2920( \pm 0.6 \mathrm{ppm})$.

Synthesis of 2'-O-(t-butyldimethylsilyl)-5-(2-benzofuranyl)-uridine (4) Adapted from Ghanty et al. ${ }^{2}$
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Anhydrous (3) (171.0 mg, 0.28 mmol ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.2$ $M$ ) in a polypropylene test tube while stirring under nitrogen in an ice/salt bath. A separate solution was prepared by diluting HF-pyridine ( $36.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ equiv.) with anhydrous pyridine $(224 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a separate polypropylene test tube. The HF-pyridine solution was slowly added to the former solution and reacted for 2 hours. Solution was then diluted with 1.4 $\mathrm{mLCH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, quenched with a 2.8 mL of a saturated, aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution, and then allowed to warm to room temperature. The solution was washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ), and then the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ layer was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), and again with a brine solution (5 mL x 2). Organic layer was then dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and dried in vacuo. Crude product was purified with silica column flash chromatography (73:24:3 hexanes:EtOAc:MeOH) to yield 4 as a white solid ( $106.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
(CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{td}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.39(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.19(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.11(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.1,154.0$, $149.6,148.1,137.8,129.3,124.9,123.3,121.5,110.8,106.8,105.8,92.7,85.7,75.1,71.0$, 62.2, 25.6, 18.1, -4.8, -5.1. HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}-475.1895$; Found 475.1896 ( $\pm 1.6 \mathrm{ppm})$.

## Synthesis of 5'-O-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-2'-O-(t-butyldimethylsilyl)-5-(2-benzofuranyl)uridine (5) <br> Adapted from Ghanty et al. ${ }^{2}$
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To a solution of dried (4) (117.1 mg, 0.25 mmol ) in anhydrous pyridine ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{M}$ ) stirring in an ice/salt bath under nitrogen, 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride (7) (430.4 mg, 1.27 mmol , 5.0 equiv.) was added. The solution was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 6 hours. Solution was quenched with 2 mL MeOH for 5 minutes and dried in vacuo. The solid was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and then brine ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ 2). The organic layer was dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and dried in vacuo. Crude product was purified with silica column flash chromatography (50:49:1 hexanes:EtOAc:TEA) and dried in vacuo to yield 5 as a yellow foam ( $180.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$, $90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49$
(s, 1H), 7.47-7.41 (m, 5H), 7.21(t, 2H, J=8.0 Hz), $7.10(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.84(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.60(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.27 (dd, 1H, J = 11.0, 3.0 Hz ), 2.73 (br s, 1H), 0.92 (s, 9 H ), 0.15 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.1,158.5,158.5,153.4,149.5,147.1,144.5$, 135.7, 135.4, 134.7, 130.1, 130.0, 128.7, 128.1, 127.8, 126.9, 124.0, 122.5, 120.7, 113.2, 113.1, 110.8, 107.3, 106.1, 87.7, 86.9, 84.0, 75.9, 71.1, 63.2, 55.1, 25.6, 17.9, -4.7, -5.1. HRMS (ESI+) $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SiNa}-799.3021$; Found $-799.3015( \pm 0.8 \mathrm{ppm})$.

## Synthesis of $5^{\prime}$-O-(4,4'-Dimethoxytrityl)-3'-O-[(2-cyanoethoxy)(N,N-diisopropylamino) phosphino]-2'-O-(t-butyldimethylsilyl)-5-(2-benzofuranyl)-uridine (6) Adapted from the Krishnamurthy et al . ${ }^{3}$



To an oven-dried microwave vial purged with argon, anhydrous (5) ( $150.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.193$ mmol ) and 5-ethylthio-1H-tetrazole (16) ( $35.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.) were added sequentially and maintained under argon. The mixture was dissolved using anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.16 \mathrm{M}$ ). Reagent (8) ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.) was then added dropwise via syringe. The solution was stirred under microwave irradiation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, low absorption, 30 sec prestir, for 1 hour. Solution was concentrated to dryness, and purified by silica column flash chromatography (gradient from 10\% EtOAc in Hexanes + 3\% TEA to 80\% EtOAc in Hexanes + $3 \%$ TEA, loaded using $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and dried in vacuo to yield 6 as a white solid ( 176.4 mg ,
$0.181 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%)$. Both major products observed by TLC were isolated and determined to be diastereomers based on identical mass spectral characterization and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{P}$-NMR chemical shifts characteristic of phosphoramidites (150.95 and 148.84 ppm). An H-phosphonate impurity of $2.6 \%$ of the total yield was observed ( 8.01 and 7.57 ppm ) but is not expected to interfere with the solid phase synthesis because it is unable to react with the 5 ' hydroxyl group of the preceding nucleoside. Diastereomer 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.87(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.33-2.21(m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.0,158.5,153.4,149.3,147.3,144.6,135.8,135.4,135.0,130.19$ (peak overlap), 130.17, 128.7, 128.3, 127.9, 126.9, 124.0, 122.5, 120.6, 117.2, 113.2, 113.1, 110.8, 107.1, 105.8, 87.5, 86.9, 84.1, 75.09 (peak overlap), 75.06, 73.0, 72.9, 62.8, 57.5, 57.4, 55.2, 43.4, 43.3, 25.7, 25.6, 24.74, 24.67, 24.61 (peak overlap), 20.11, 20.05, 18.0, -4.7, -4.9. Diastereomer 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $\delta 8.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.44-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.79-6.74 (m, 1H), 6.71-6.66 (m, 4H), 6.27 (d, 1H, J = 7.2 Hz), $5.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 7.2, 4.4 Hz ), $4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.71 (dd, 1H, $J=11.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 10.4, 2.4 Hz ), 2.74-2.61 (m, 2H), $1.13(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.07 (d, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.0,158.6,158.5,153.4,149.7,147.3$, 144.5, 135.6, 135.2, 134.8, 130.13, 130.08, 128.7, 128.2, 128.0, 127.0, 124.0, 122.6, 120.7, 117.9, 113.31, 113.26, 110.9, 107.4, 105.9, 87.2, 86.6, 84.0, 77.6, 75.8, 72.2, 72.1, 63.2, 59.2, 59.1, 55.1, 42.9, 42.8, 29.7, 25.8, 24.65, 24.58, 24.5 (peak overlap), 20.6, 20.5, 18.1, 1.0, -4.50, -4.53, -4.8. Combined Diastereomers: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 150.95, 148.84;
diastereomer 1 corresponds to 150.95 while diastereomer 2 corresponds to 148.84 . HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{PSi} 977.4280$; Found 977.4299 ( $\pm 1.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

## Synthesis of guanidinylated paromomycin and kanamycin

The following reactions were performed based on literature procedures. ${ }^{5}$

## Boc-guanidinylation of Paromomycin (9)



To an oven-dried 5 mL round bottom flask, paromomycin (5 amines, $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ ) and 1,4-dioxane ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ ). While stirring, N,N'-di-Boc-N"-triflylguanidine (10) (125 mg, $0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.) was added. After five minutes of stirring, triethylamine ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 12$ equiv.) was added slowly and the reaction was stirred for three days. After 3 days, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} x$ 3) and washed with brine ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layer was dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and dried in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by silica column flash chromatography $\left(90: 10 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ and dried in vacuo to yield 11 as a white solid ( 54 mg , $0.0295 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.49$ (s, 90H). HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{78} \mathrm{H}_{137} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{34}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]-913.96$, Found $-913.9725( \pm 0.5 \mathrm{ppm})$.

## Deprotection of Boc-Guanidinoparomomycin (12)



In an oven-dried 5 mL round bottom flask, 11 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was dissolved ethyl acetate $(0.548 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.04 \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{HCl}(0.047 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature. After 4 hours, the solution was diluted with toluene ( 3 mL ), concentrated in vacuo, and then diluted with water ( 3 mL ). Subsequent lyophilization of the water provided 12 as a white solid powder (16.5 mg, $0.0199 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ). HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-825.4$, Found $-825.4101( \pm 1.2 \mathrm{ppm})$. LCMS showed a single peak at 11.9 min and had a single mass at $864.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})$.

## Boc-guanidinylation of Kanamycin (14)



13
14
To an oven-dried 5 mL round bottom flask, kanamycin ( 4 amines, $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.041 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ ) and 1,4 -dioxane ( $1.71 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.02 \mathrm{M}$ ). While stirring, N,N'-di-Boc-N"-triflylguanidine (10) (129 mg, $0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 8$ equiv.) was added. After five
minutes of stirring, triethylamine ( $0.065 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ equiv.) was added slowly and the reaction was stirred for three days. After 3 days, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} x$ 3) and washed with brine ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The organic layer was dried using anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and dried in vacuo. Resulting residues was purified by silica column flash chromatography $\left(90: 10 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ and dried in vacuo to yield 14 as a white solid ( 53 mg , $0.037 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 1.51$ (s, 72H). HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{62} \mathrm{H}_{108} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{27}[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]-727.3$, Found $-727.3806( \pm 1.3 \mathrm{ppm})$.

## Deprotection of Boc-Guanidinokanamycin (15)



In an oven-dried 5 mL round bottom flask, $14(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.034 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv.) was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( $0.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.04 \mathrm{M}$ ) and $\mathrm{HCl}(0.074 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature. After 4 hours, the solution was diluted with toluene $(3.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, concentrated in vacuo, and then diluted with water ( 4 mL ). Subsequent lyophilization of the water provided 15 a white solid powder ( $21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$ ). HRMS (ESI+) Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]-651.3$, Found $-651.3322( \pm 0.7 \mathrm{ppm})$. LCMS showed a single peak at 11.7 min and had a major mass of $650.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

## S2. RNA Training Set Design

RNAStructure ${ }^{6}$ was employed to determine the most stable structures of RNA sequences with $95 \%$ predicted probable structural motif formation. Each sequence contained a constant stem and hairpin sequence, except for the hairpin training set motifs, which had a constant stem sequence. Variable sequences and number of nucleotides were inserted at the secondary structures of interest to increase the diversity of the RNA training set. SI Table 1 lists the 60 sequences that were determined by RNAStructure, as well as the GC content and sequence length. As a secondary analysis, MC-FOLD ${ }^{7}$ was used to check the RNA sequences, with the correct structure having $\sim-4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ Gibbs free energy compared to any other structures found. The RNA constructs were further assessed using the FARFAR de novo RNA protocol ${ }^{8}$ to determine an ensemble of 20 structures per sequence and identify the most flexible sites for BFU nucleoside insertion. This procedure involved taking each RNA sequence and subjecting it to the FARFAR algorithm available on the Rosie webserver; ${ }^{9}$ this algorithm uses fragment-based assembly to build the 3D RNA structure stepwise, with the goal of finding a diverse set of structures with low energies that could represent the dynamic ensemble of 3D RNA structures found in solution. We ran the base FARFAR algorithm with the following conditions: 1) vary bond lengths and angles; 2) optimize the RNA after fragment assembly; 3) use a bulge-favorable entropic score term; and 4) use the latest (2012) force-field. Monte Carlo simulations were run for 10,000 cycles, producing 1000 structures, which were then clustered based on RMSD to the lowest energy structure. To ensure diversity in 3D structure, we selected the lowest energy structure and the lowest energy exemplars of the next 19 lowest energy clusters. Studying these structures in the KiNG molecular viewer, ${ }^{10}$ we identified nucleotides in the secondary structure motif region for each of the sixteen RNA sequences that were chosen as the RNA training set. Nucleotides that were part of the bulge or the loop were compared across each of the 20 RNA conformations to assess their predicted dynamics. Uracil had highest priority if it was present. If the nucleotide was flipped out of the stack in more than 5 of
the 20 RNA structures (Figure S2-6), we considered it dynamic enough to be a candidate for replacement with BFU. This process was repeated for each of the 16 training set sequences.

Table S2-1. RNA sequences of RNA training set, N represents a variable nucleotide (nt). A number of nucleotides were variable in each structure motif: Bulge (2-4 nt), Internal loop ( $3 \times 3$ nt ), Asymmetrical Internal Loop ( $3 \times 1-2 \mathrm{nt}$ ), Hairpin ( $4-6 \mathrm{nt}$ ), and Stem ( 6 nt ).

| Secondary Motifs | RNA Sequences |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bulge | GGACAC NNN CAGAGUACCUCUGGUGUCC |
| Internal Loop | GGACAC NNN CAGAGUACCUCUG NNN GUGUCC |
| Asymmetric Internal Loop | GGACAC NNN CAGAGUACCUCUG NN GUGUCC |
| Hairpin | GGACACUGGACAC NNNN GUGUCCAGUGUCC |
| Stem | GGACAC NNNNNN CAGAGUACCUCUG NNNNNN GUGUCC |

Table S2-2: RNA Training Set Sequences. The RNA synthesized have their corresponding code in parentheses.

| RNA ID | Sequence | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sequence } \\ \text { Length }\end{array}$ | GC Content (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { IL-001 } \\ \text { (IL A) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC AUG CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { AGA GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 56.4 |
| IL-002 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC UAC CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { CAC GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 56.4 |
| IL-003 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC GAA CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { CGA GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 56.4 |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { IL-004 } \\ \text { IL B) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC CCC CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { ACA GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 69.7 |
| IL-005 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC CUG CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { ACU GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 56.4 |
| IL-006 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC GAU CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { CAA GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 53.8 |
| IL-007 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC UCC CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { UAU GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 53.8 |
| IL-008 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { GUCUGGACAC AGA CAGAGUACCUCUG } \\ \text { GAG GUGUCCAGAC }\end{array}$ | 39 | 56.4 |
| IL-009 |  |  |  |
| (IL C) |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}GUCUGGACAC AGU CAGAGUACCUCUG <br>

UAA GUGUCCAGAC\end{array}\right)\)

| AIL-010 | GUCUGGACAC AUC CAGAGUACCUCUG CU GUGUCCAGAC | 38 | 55.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AIL-011 | GUCUGGACAC GAC CAGAGUACCUCUG CU GUGUCCAGAC | 38 | 57.9 |
| AIL-012 | GUCUGGACAC CAA CAGAGUACCUCUG C GUGUCCAGAC | 37 | 56.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BG-001 } \\ \text { (Bulge A) } \end{gathered}$ | GUCUGGACAC UC CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 36 | 56.7 |
| BG-002 | GUCUGGACAC GA CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 35 | 58.8 |
| BG-003 | GUCUGGACAC AG CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 35 | 58.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BG-004 } \\ \text { (Bulge B) } \end{gathered}$ | GUCUGGACAC GCU CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 36 | 58.3 |
| BG-005 | GUCUGGACAC UCG CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 36 | 58.3 |
| BG-006 | GUCUGGACAC ACG CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 36 | 58.3 |
| BG-007 | GUCUGGACAC ACU CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 36 | 55.6 |
| BG-008 | GUCUGGACAC GUG CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 36 | 58.3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BG-009 } \\ \text { (Bulge C) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | GUCUGGACAC UGU CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 36 | 55.6 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BG-010 } \\ \text { (Bulge D) } \end{gathered}$ | GUCUGGACAC GAUA CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 37 | 54 |
| BG-011 | GUCUGGACAC AGUA CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 37 | 54 |
| BG-012 | GUCUGGACAC UGAA CAGAGUACCUCUG GUGUCCAGAC | 37 | 54 |
| HP-001 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU GGAA AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 56.7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { HP-002 } \\ \text { (HP A) } \end{gathered}$ | CAUGUGCUGGACAU GCUA AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 56.7 |
| HP-003 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU GACA AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 56.7 |
| HP-004 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU CAGG AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 59.5 |
| HP-005 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU UACA AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 54 |
| HP-006 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU AGAC AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 56.7 |
| HP-007 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU CUUC AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 56.7 |


| HP-008 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU AUAC <br> AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 32 | 54 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| HP-009 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU CGAUA <br> AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 33 | 55.2 |
| HP-010 <br> (HP B) | CAUGUGCUGGACAU AUAUG <br> AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 33 | 52.6 |
| HP-011 | CAUGUGCUGGACAU GCAUA <br> AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 33 | 55.2 |
| HP-012 <br> (HP C) | CAUGUGCUGGACAU ACAGUG <br> AUGUCCAGCACAUG | 33 | 55.2 |
| STM-001 <br> (Stem A) | GGACAU GAUCUG CAGACUACGUCUG <br> CAGAUC AUGUCC | 37 | 51.3 |
| STM-002 | GGACAU ACAGAU CAGACUACGUCUG <br> AUCUGU AUGUCC | 37 | 45.9 |
| STM-003 | GGACAU ACUUCA CAGACUACGUCUG <br> UGAAGU AUGUCC | 37 | 45.9 |
| STM-004 | GGACAU CUGGAC CAGACUACGUCUG <br> GUCCAG AUGUCC | 37 | 56.7 |
| STM-005 | GGACAU GCUUAC CAGACUACGUCUG <br> GUAAGC AUGUCC | 37 | 51.3 |
| STM-006 <br> (Stem B) | GGACAU UCACGC CAGACUACGUCUG <br> GCGUGA AUGUCC | 37 | 56.7 |
| STM-007 | GGACAU CAGACG CAGACUACGUCUG <br> CGUCUG AUGUCC | 37 | 56.7 |
| STM-008 | GGACAU GGAGAG CAGACUACGUCUG <br> CUCUCC AUGUCC | 37 | 56.7 |
| STM-009 | GGACAU UCUCUC CAGACUACGUCUG <br> GAGAGA AUGUCC | 37 | 51.3 |
| STM-010 <br> (Stem C) | GGACAU ACGAUC CAGACUACGUCUG <br> GAUCGU AUGUCC | 37 | 51.3 |
| STM-011 | GGACAU GAACUA CAGACUACGUCUG <br> UAGUUC AUGUCC | 37 | 45.9 |
| STM-012 | GGACAU CUACAG CAGACUACGUCUG <br> CUGUAG AUGUCC | 37 | 51.3 |



Figure S2-1: Sequence logo of Internal Loop sequences from the training set $(A)$ and the extended dataset (B)


Figure S2-2: Sequence logo of Asymmetric Internal Loop sequences from the training set (A) and the extended dataset (B)
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Figure S2-3: Sequence logo of Bulge sequences from the training set $(A)$ and the extended dataset (B)


Figure S2-4: Sequence logo of hairpin sequences from the training set (A) and the extended dataset (B)


Figure S2-5: Sequence logo of Stem sequences from the training set $(A)$ and the extended dataset (B)


Figure S2-6: Three predicted structures of the BG-B sequence. A, B, and C depict the RNA backbone in black. The uracil marked for replacement with BFU is in gold. A and C show the uracil stacking with the other bulged bases, while $B$ shows it flipped into the solvent.

## S3. RNA Solid Phase Synthesis and Purification



Scheme S3-1. Outline of solid phase synthesis cycle. Key: 1. Detritylation; 2. Activation and Coupling; 3. Capping; 4. Oxidation, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ 5. Detritylation; 6. Next cycle; 7. Cleavage from solidphase; 8. Deprotections.

A solid phase synthesizer was used for synthesis of all RNA sequences and allowed for selective modification with the BFU nucleoside. In total, 16 sequences of the RNA training set, a BFU modified bulge (U25) and hairpin (G33) TAR were synthesized. Orthogonally protected adenosine, guanosine, cytosine, uracil, and BFU phosphoramidite were dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile. Polystyrene columns were used with the first 3' nucleotide attached. For each nucleotide, the 4,4 ' dimethoxytrityl group was removed with trichloroacetic acid. The next nucleotide was incorporated using a tetrazole catalyst. Unreacted 5' hydroxyl groups are capped with acetic anhydride and N -methylimidazole dissolved in tetrahydrofuran/pyridine. Finally, the phosphodiester bond is oxidized with iodine in pyridine/water mixture, which
increases the stability of the RNA sequence. The synthesis is then repeated until the RNA sequence has been fully synthesized.

Purification of the RNA sequences began with cleavage of the RNA off the solid phase support and deprotection of the bases of the sequences with $333 \mu \mathrm{~L} \times 3$ ammonium methylamine for 7.5 minutes and then allowed to incubate for two hours. Afterwards, the solutions were dried down using a vacuum concentrator until crystals were formed. To deprotect the 2' hydroxyl group, crystals were dissolved in $115 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ dimethyl sulfoxide, $60 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ triethylamine, and $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of triethylamine: hydrogen fluoride (30\%) and heated for 2.5 hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling to room temperature, 1.75 mL of quenching buffer (Glen Research) is added to the solutions. Finally, a polydivinylbenzene 4,4' dimethoxytrityl affinity column was used to purify the RNA sequence. The columns are pre-conditioned with 0.5 mL acetonitrile and 1 mL 2 M triethylammonium acetate (TEAA). The RNA sequence solutions are added to the column, washed with 1 mL of a $1: 9$ acetonitrile:TEAA solution, 1 mL water, 2 mL trifluoroacetic acid, and 2 mL water. Subsequently the RNA is eluted from the column, and the 4,4' dimethoxytrityl group is removed, with 1 mL of 1 M ammonium bicarbonate. Ethanol precipitation is used as the final step in RNA purification. The RNA sequences were dissolved in phosphate buffer ( 10 mM $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 7.3 ), and the concentration was analyzed using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. The purity of the RNA was determined with 20\% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) run with 1X tris, borate, and EDTA (TBE) buffer. Gels were made by mixing $75 \mathrm{~mL} 20 \%$ polyacrylamide solution (19:1 acrylamide:bisacrylamide), $750 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ammonium persulfate, and $75 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) and poured into a glass gel support. After 1 hour of polymerization, the gel was pre-run without sample for 1 hour at 11 W . The gels were run with sample at 11 W for $\sim 2.5$ hours. Afterwards, the gel was stained with Diamond dye ${ }^{\circledR}$ for 30 minutes, followed by washing with water $2 \times 15$ minutes.


Figure S3-1. 20\% PAGE gel run at a constant 11W for 2.5 hours in 1X TBE buffer. The columns from left to right; A) ladder, B) Blg A, C) Blg B, D) Blg C, E) Blg D, F) Hp A, G) Hp B, H) Hp C, I) AL A, J) AL B, K) AL C.


Figure S3-2. 20\% PAGE gel run at a constant 11W for 2.5 hours in 1X TBE buffer. The columns from left to right; A) ladder, B) Stm A, C) Stm B, D) Stm C, E) IL A, F) IL B, G) IL C, H) TAR Blg, I) TAR Hp.

## S4. Plate Reader Assay: Method and Data

A serial dilution of small molecule aminoglycosides (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, 1, 1.2, 1.4, 1.6, 2, 2.8, 3.2, and $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration in 384 well plate) was performed in a 96 well plate. In a 384 well plate, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of each small molecule solution is added in triplicate, followed by $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 400 nM RNA (final concentration: 200 nM ). The plate is shaken on an orbital shaker for 10 minutes at 100 RPM in order to confirm proper mixture of the small molecule and RNA, followed by centrifugation at $3220 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 1 minute to remove any possible air bubbles. After incubation for 15 minutes in the dark, the plate is scanned at excitation of 322 nm and emission of $455 \mathrm{~nm}, 50$ flashes/read (Fig S4-1). The following graphs are the fluorescence titration results of each RNA sequence to the small molecule library (Fig S4-2).

Each experimental point was taken as the average of three wells in a single experiment. Errors were calculated from the standard deviation of the triplicate experiments. The error for each concentration was averaged to obtain the average error for each aminoglycoside:RNA titration
(Table S4-1).


Figure S4-1. Aminoglycosides ( $0-4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and the labeled RNA ( 200 nM ) were diluted in 10 mM $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, 25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$, and 0.5 mM EDTA at pH 7.3. The aminoglycoside and RNA were combined and shaken in a 384 well plate, followed by centrifugation. After incubating the plate, the wells were excited at 322 nM and scanned for emission at 455 nm .
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Figure S4-2. All RNA sequence titration curves to the small molecule receptors. Each graph shows a single RNA sequence and the receptors titration curves.

Table S4-1. Percent error of the titration curves. Each titration curve was run in experimental triplicate, and the standard deviation was calculated for each point. The averaged percent errors for each small molecule:RNA pair are shown below. Raw data is available upon request.

|  | AL A | AL B | AL C | Bg A | Bg B | Bg C | Bg D | Hp A | Нр B | Hp C | IL A | IL B | IL C | Stm A | Stm B | Stm C | TAR HP | TAR Bg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amik | 4.95 | 5.92 | 6.42 | 5.54 | 5.09 | 5.57 | 3.71 | 6.47 | 6.53 | 4.52 | 7.32 | 5.52 | 7.31 | 5.65 | 6.53 | 5.78 | 7.13 | 5.01 |
| Apra | 3.95 | 4.16 | 4.19 | 7.28 | 4.39 | 4.13 | 6.52 | 3.57 | 5.81 | 5.12 | 6.71 | 4.24 | 3.93 | 4.95 | 5.27 | 6.68 | 3.47 | 5.62 |
| 2DOS | 7.56 | 4.36 | 4.39 | 3.49 | 4.56 | 5.26 | 4.68 | 3.91 | 4.49 | 4.06 | 4.09 | 4.61 | 6.28 | 5.24 | 6.97 | 4.88 | 5.36 | 4.44 |
| D-Strep | 4.22 | 3.57 | 7.35 | 5.23 | 5.27 | 5.02 | 7.45 | 3.88 | 5.16 | 5.63 | 5.07 | 7.05 | 6.56 | 5.82 | 6.09 | 6.41 | 3.65 | 4.78 |
| Kana | 5.06 | 5.99 | 5.04 | 5.61 | 4.27 | 4.56 | 5.48 | 5.18 | 4.40 | 4.91 | 5.33 | 6.01 | 4.74 | 5.72 | 6.55 | 6.07 | 4.81 | 3.86 |
| Neam | 4.96 | 7.02 | 5.88 | 4.94 | 3.68 | 4.28 | 5.03 | 3.73 | 3.45 | 2.95 | 6.92 | 4.46 | 5.15 | 4.69 | 5.51 | 6.33 | 3.55 | 6.42 |
| Neom | 7.75 | 4.00 | 5.43 | 3.67 | 5.33 | 5.57 | 4.52 | 6.19 | 7.41 | 10.30 | 3.93 | 7.53 | 7.22 | 4.13 | 6.47 | 5.88 | 4.27 | 6.71 |
| Strep | 7.63 | 4.86 | 7.43 | 5.53 | 5.43 | 6.13 | 7.62 | 4.75 | 4.89 | 7.13 | 5.05 | 4.24 | 6.68 | 5.36 | 6.87 | 5.07 | 6.18 | 4.06 |
| Siso | 4.76 | 7.57 | 3.52 | 6.12 | 4.13 | 7.81 | 5.58 | 4.93 | 7.32 | 7.25 | 6.34 | 5.16 | 3.6 | 7.26 | 6.10 | 5.52 | 4.92 | 4.26 |
| Guan-Kana | 5.00 | 4.66 | 3.72 | 7.62 | 3.91 | 7.39 | 6.48 | 5.87 | 3.81 | 5.87 | 7.11 | 6.88 | 6.85 | 7.77 | 4.42 | 6.72 | 3.37 | 5.04 |
| Guan-Paro | 4.81 | 4.48 | 7.28 | 5.28 | 4.92 | 5.46 | 3.56 | 6.75 | 4.81 | 8.51 | 7.58 | 5.81 | 4.24 | 6.62 | 4.09 | 6.28 | 3.92 | 4.06 |
| Hygro | 5.59 | 5.78 | 7.11 | 4.71 | 7.56 | 4.08 | 5.79 | 7.44 | 7.75 | 6.75 | 4.06 | 6.86 | 3.75 | 4.14 | 7.33 | 6.42 |  | * |
| Tobra | 4.58 | 3.91 | 7.32 | 8.98 | 7.11 | 6.97 | 5.04 | 5.32 | 6.12 | 5.75 | 5.93 | 7.33 | 4.51 | 5.46 | 4.87 | 4.37 | * | * |
| Paro | 5.82 | 3.58 | 6.45 | 5.24 | 5.78 | 5.76 | 4.55 | 3.45 | 5.34 | 5.84 | 5.07 | 5.74 | 7.82 | 5.22 | 4.37 | 4.65 | * | * |

## S5. Training Set Principal Component Analysis and Loading Plots

Raw fluorescence data is inserted into the XLSTAT software (Addinsoft) in order to determine the principal components for the RNA training set. The first PCA plot had a predictive power of $78 \%$ using leave-one-out analysis (Fig S5-1) and it was determined hygromycin-B, tobramycin, and paromomycin could be removed and the predictive power of the PCA plot increased to $87 \%$ predictive power. Finally, the PCA with the guanidinylated aminoglycosides was found to have $100 \%$ predictive power for the clusters between RNA structure motifs. The loading factors indicate the importance of each aminoglycoside to the PCs from PC1-PC11 (Table S5-1). The loading plots for PC1 vs PC2, PC1 vs PC3, and PC2 vs PC3 allows the aminoglycoside importance for each PC compared to the other aminoglycosides (Fig S5-2).


Figure S5-1. PCA plot of the RNA training set with all 12 commercially available aminoglycosides. Paromomycin, tobramycin, and hygromycin-B had the least effect on the principal components, and were removed to increase the predictive power from $78 \%$ to $87 \%$.

Table S5-1: Loading factors of the final receptor library. The PCA gave 11 PC based on the data: $95 \%$ of the variance was explained by the first 3 PC's while $100 \%$ of the variance was explained by the first 10 PCs.

## Loading Factors

|  | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 | PC8 | PC9 | PC10 | PC11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2DOS | 0.948 | 0.184 | -0.177 | -0.043 | 0.112 | 0.005 | 0.150 | -0.039 | 0.008 | -0.003 | 0.000 |
| Amik | 0.902 | -0.390 | -0.108 | -0.013 | -0.040 | -0.118 | -0.047 | -0.060 | -0.023 | 0.007 | 0.000 |
| Apra | 0.938 | 0.064 | -0.230 | 0.068 | -0.020 | -0.132 | -0.071 | -0.018 | 0.027 | -0.001 | 0.000 |
| D-Strep | 0.823 | 0.539 | 0.024 | -0.182 | 0.156 | -0.016 | -0.064 | 0.052 | -0.003 | 0.001 | 0.000 |
| Guan-Kana | 0.876 | -0.337 | 0.155 | 0.224 | 0.202 | 0.037 | -0.026 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Guan-Paro | 0.871 | -0.020 | 0.447 | -0.186 | -0.002 | -0.033 | 0.019 | -0.004 | -0.001 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Kana | 0.948 | -0.299 | -0.042 | 0.016 | -0.101 | 0.006 | -0.002 | 0.015 | 0.004 | -0.023 | -0.004 |
| Neam | 0.949 | -0.289 | -0.027 | 0.016 | -0.104 | 0.047 | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.015 | 0.029 | -0.001 |
| Neom | 0.949 | -0.291 | -0.035 | 0.020 | -0.107 | 0.024 | 0.014 | 0.018 | 0.006 | -0.013 | 0.005 |
| Siso | 0.869 | 0.433 | -0.001 | -0.019 | -0.035 | 0.224 | -0.061 | -0.035 | -0.007 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Strep | 0.826 | 0.539 | 0.043 | 0.120 | -0.058 | -0.056 | 0.053 | 0.043 | -0.026 | 0.003 | 0.000 |



Figure S5-2. PCA plot and loadings for the training set with the expanded aminoglycoside library. All of the aminoglycosides are positively correlated with PC 1, while d-Strep and Strep are important for PC 2 and PC 3. The guanidinylated aminoglycosides nearly explained all the variance for PC 3.


Figure S5-3. All RNA motifs separated with $95 \%$ confidence intervals. The centroid of each ellipse is shown with a large point.


Figure S5-4. Removal of 2-DOS and Neam had minimal change in the global PCA analysis. There is still $100 \%$ predictive power by LOOCV.

## S6. TAR Structural Classification

Utilizing the solid phase synthesis from above, two different sites on TAR RNA were fluorescently tagged with BFU. The TAR RNA sequence used was: GGCAGAUC( $\left.U^{*}\right)$ GAGCCUG(G*)GAGCUCUCUGCC; where the starred position are the two sites of modification, either within the bulge ( $U^{*}$ ) or the hairpin ( $G^{*}$ ). After synthesis, the fluorescence assay described above was run against the TAR RNA using the small molecule receptors. The graph below show the binding curves of the small molecule receptors to TAR RNA (Figure S6-1). The TAR RNA raw data was externally validated against the RNA training set principal components (Fig S6-2).

## Bulge TAR



HP TAR


Figure S6-1. The titration curves of the small molecule receptors to bulge and hairpin TAR.


Figure S6-2. PCA plot of the RNA training set and the bulge and hairpin TAR RNA.


Figure S6-3. All RNA sequence separated into $95 \%$ confidence interval ellipses. The centroid of each ellipse is shown with a large point. The bulge TAR (Blg TAR) and hairpin TAR (Hp TAR) were externally validated and shown on the plot above.


Figure S6-4. All RNA sequence separated into $95 \%$ confidence interval ellipses with 2-DOS and Neam removed from the PCA analysis. The centroid of each ellipse is shown with a large point. The bulge TAR (Blg TAR) and hairpin TAR (Hp TAR) were externally validated and shown on the plot above.


PC 1 (80.65\%)
Figure S6-5. PCA plot of the RNA training set with 2-DOS and Neam removed and the bulge and hairpin TAR RNA

## S7. Cheminformatic Analysis of Aminoglycoside Receptors



Table S7-1. The cheminformatic parameters used by Tan and co-workers were used to classify each of the small molecules. ${ }^{11}$ The protonation state of each molecule was determined using Marvinview's pKa plugin; the most common species at pH 7.4 was used to determine cheminformatic parameters. Then an SDF file containing all of the small molecule structures was imported to an Instant Jchem database. 18 cheminformatic parameters were calculated using the IJchem built-in Chemical Terms calculators. The remaining two parameters, $\log P$ and $\operatorname{logS}$, were calculated using the webservice AlogPS v. 2.1; since $\log \mathrm{P}$ and $\log \mathrm{S}$ concern neutral molecules, these values used the neutral state rather than the charged states used for the 18 IJchem parameters.

Table S7-2. Comparision of LOOCV and principal component variances depending on the removal of each aminoglycoside. Removal of a single aminoglycoside did not change the predictive power. Taking out two of Neam, Neom, or Kana has the only effect on the LOOCV analysis, with the predictive power lowering to 91.5-97.2\%.

| Removed | LOOCV | PC 1 | PC 2 | PC 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 100 | 81.22 | 12.09 | 2.96 |
| 2-DOS | 100 | 80.40 | 12.15 | 3.80 |
| Amik | 100 | 81.78 | 9.93 | 4.11 |
| Apra | 100 | 80.70 | 11.08 | 3.99 |
| d-Strep | 100 | 80.25 | 11.24 | 3.99 |
| G-Kana | 100 | 82.50 | 10.92 | 2.62 |
| G-Paro | 100 | 82.17 | 12.30 | 2.66 |
| Kana | 100 | 80.22 | 11.27 | 4.02 |
| Neam | 100 | 80.92 | 10.78 | 4.13 |
| Neom | 100 | 81.69 | 9.99 | 4.10 |
| Siso | 100 | 80.38 | 11.59 | 3.95 |
| Strep | 100 | 80.22 | 11.28 | 4.03 |
| Neom/Kana | 97.2 | 80.77 | 10.31 | 4.40 |
| Neam/Kana | 94.3 | 79.98 | 11.11 | 4.46 |
| Neam/Neom | 91.5 | 82.38 | 8.95 | 4.56 |

Tanimoto Coefficients

|  | Amik | Apra d-Strep | G-Kana | G-Paro | Kana | Neam | Neom | Siso | Strep |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-DOS | 0.257 | 0.321 | 0.267 | 0.300 | 0.284 | 0.403 | 0.422 | 0.375 | 0.215 | 0.243 |
|  | Amik | 0.703 | 0.573 | 0.523 | 0.515 | 0.638 | 0.594 | 0.624 | 0.490 | 0.588 |
|  |  | Apra | 0.713 | 0.626 | 0.657 | 0.798 | 0.762 | 0.835 | 0.557 | 0.653 |
|  |  |  | d-Strep | 0.837 | 0.867 | 0.615 | 0.618 | 0.648 | 0.454 | 0.910 |
|  |  |  |  | G-Kana | 0.947 | 0.744 | 0.692 | 0.705 | 0.469 | 0.763 |
|  |  |  |  |  | G-Paro | 0.705 | 0.674 | 0.758 | 0.463 | 0.791 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Kana | 0.926 | 0.931 | 0.545 | 0.561 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Neam | 0.889 | 0.521 | 0.563 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Neom | 0.536 | 0.591 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Siso | 0.435 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Strep |  |

Figure S7-1. Tanimoto coefficients calculated for the receptor library. A threshold of 0.85 was used to determine highly correlated receptors (dark green).

S8. NMR Spectra, HPLC Chromatograms, and LC-MS Analysis



## LabSolutions Analysis Report

## <Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-133-BFU-ACN-blank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | JEF-3-133-BFU-ACN-blank |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-133-BFU-ACN-blank.lod |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-Grd95-05_Fast18min_PDA-ACN.Jcm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | : JEF-3-133-ACN-BFU.Jct |  |  |
| Vial ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | : 1-41 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 uL. |  |  |
| Date Acquired | :3/29/2016 1:41:52 PM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | :3/29/2016 1:59:54 PM | Processed by | ; chemist |

<Chromatogram>
mAU

shimadozutions Analysis Report
<Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-133-BFU-ACN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-133-BFU-ACN |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-133-BFU-ACN.Jod |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-Grd95-05_Fast18min_PDA-ACNLIcm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | :JEF-3-133-ACN-BFU.Jcb |  |  |
| Vial \# | : 1-42 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 uL |  |  |
| Date Acquired | : 3/29/2016 2-00:24 PM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 3/29/2016 2:18:25 PM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram>

mAU

<Peak Table>

| PDA Ch1 254nm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peakily | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% |
| 1 | 6.251 | 780567 | 181074 | 100.000 |
| Total |  | 780567 | 181074 | 100.000 |

Figure S8-3. HPLC analysis of $\mathbf{2}$.



## LabSolutions Analysis Report

| <Sample Information> |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Name | : JEF-3-131-DTBFU-blank7 |  |  |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-131-DTBFU-blank7 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-131-DTBFU-blank7.Icd |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-Grd75-95_fast30min_PDA-ACN.Icm |  |  |
| Batch Filename |  |  |  |
| Vial \# | : 1.41 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 uL |  |  |
| Date Acquired | : 3/31/2016 11:56:41 AM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 3/31/2016 12:26:44 PM | Processed by | chemist |

<Chromatogram>
mAU


## LabSolutions Analysis Report

## <Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-131-DTBFU-6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-131-DTBFU-6 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-131-DTBFU-7 .Jod |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-Grd75-95_fast30min_PDA-ACN.Icm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | : JEF-3-131-DTBFU-4.lcb |  |  |
| Vial \# | : 1 -42 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 uL |  |  |
| Date Acquired | :3/31/2016 12:27:13 PM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | :3/31/2016 1:02:22 PM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram>

maU


## <Peak Table>

PDA Ch1 254nm

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peakit. | Ret. Time | Area |  |  |  | Height | Area\% |
| 1 | 20.371 | 4032938 | 172067 | 97.151 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 27.752 | 118253 | 3722 | 2.849 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 4151191 | 175788 | 100.000 |  |  |  |

Figure S8-6. HPLC analysis of $\mathbf{3}$.




## LabSolutions Analysis Report

<Sample Information>
Sample Name
Sample ID
Data Filename
Method Filename
Batch Filename
Vatch
Injection Volume
Date Acquired
Date Processed
JEF-3-134-f34-41-blank JEF-3-134-f34-41-blank
JEF-3-134--34-41-blank.lod

JEF-Grd95-05_med16min_PDA-ACN.Icm
JEF-3-134-fraction-tests.lc內
$1-41$
10 uL
:3/30/2016 9.08:30 AM
-3/30/2016 9.24:32 AM

| Sample Type | : Unknown |
| :--- | :--- |
| Acquired by <br> Processed by | : chemist |
| :chemist |  |

<Chromatogram>
mAU


## LabSolutions Analysis Report

## <Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-134-f34-41 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-134-f34-41 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-134-f34-41.Jcd |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-Grd95-05_med16min_PDA-ACN.Icm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | : JEF-3-134-fraction-tests.lcb |  |  |
| Vial \# | : 1-42 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 uL |  |  |
| Date Acquired | : 3/30/2016 9-25:03 AM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 3/30/2016 9:41:06 AM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram>

malu

<Peak Table>
PDA Ch1 254nm

|  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak\# Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% |  |
| 1 | 12.526 | 638182 | 124395 | 93.099 |
| 2 | 12.956 | 47303 | 9807 | 6.901 |
| Total |  | 685485 | 134202 | 100.000 |

Figure S8-9. HPLC analysis of 4.


<Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-blank5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-blank5 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-blank5.Icd |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-Grd95-05_Fast20min_PDA-ACN.Icm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | :JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-5.lcb |  |  |
| Vial \# | : 1-22 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 uL |  |  |
| Date Acquired | : 4/5/2016 10:09:26 AM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 4/5/2016 10:29:29 AM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram> <br> mAU



## LabSolutions Analysis Report

## <Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-5 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-5.lcd |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-Grd95-05 Fast20min_PDA-ACN.lcm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | : JEF-3-138-DMT-BFU-5.Icb |  |  |
| Vial \# | : 1-23 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 uL |  |  |
| Date Acquired | : 4/5/2016 10:29:59 AM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 4/5/2016 10:50:02 AM | Processed by | : chemist |

<Chromatogram>
maU

<Peak Table>

| PDA Ch1 254nm |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Height | Area\% |
| 1 | 9.310 | 1889628 | 272745 | 97.290 |
| 2 | 13.564 | 52641 | 4070 | 2.710 |
| Total |  | 1942269 | 276815 | 100.000 |

Figure S8-12. HPLC analysis of 5.



$\rightarrow 8.8 \downarrow \tau \sim$



## LabSolutions Analysis Report

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-175-PhosBFU-Dias1-blank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-175-PhosBFU-Dias 1-blank |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-175-PhosBFU-Diasi-blank.lod |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-ISO-100-ACN 12 min PPDA.lcm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | :JEF-3-175-PhosBFU-Dias 1 .lcb |  |  |
| Vial il | : 1-61 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 ul. |  |  |
| Date Acquired | :7/13/2016 10:26:34 AM Action | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 7/13/2016 10:38:37 AM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram>

mal


## LabSolutions Analysis Report

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-175-F81 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-175-F81 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-175-F81.lod |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-ISO-100-ACN_12min_PDA.lcm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | : JEF-3-175.lcb |  |  |
| Vial \# | : 1-62 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 ul. |  |  |
| Date Acquired | : 7/12/2016 2:10:42 PM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 7/12/2016 3:39:42 PM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram> <br> mAU <br> 

<Peak Table>

| PDA Ch2 325nm Peakil Ret. Time |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Area | Height | Area\% |
| 1 | 6.311 | 103777 | 15749 | 7.206 |
| 2 | 7.572 | 1336371 | 157352 | 92.794 |
| Total |  | 1440149 | 173101 | 100.000 |

Figure S8-18. HPLC analysis of 6, diastereomer 1.

## Labsolutions Analysis Report

<Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-blank-1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-blank-1 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-blank-1.lcd |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-150-100-ACN_12min_PDA |  |  |
| Batch Filename | : JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-Diastereomers.lcb |  |  |
| Vial | : 1 -10 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 ul |  |  |
| Date Accuired | : 4/13/2016 1:18.48 PM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 4/13/2016 1:30.51 PM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram>

mal


## Labsolutions Analysis Report

<Sample Information>

| Sample Name | : JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-Dias2-F108-112 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample ID | : JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-Dias2-F108-11 |  |  |
| Data Filename | : JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-Dias2-F108-112.lod |  |  |
| Method Filename | : JEF-ISO-100-ACN 12 min PDA. cm |  |  |
| Batch Filename | :JEF-3-141-PhosBFU-Diastereomers.lcb |  |  |
| Vial a | : 1 -12 | Sample Type | : Unknown |
| Injection Volume | : 10 ul. |  |  |
| Date Acquired | : 4/43/2016 1:43-53 PM | Acquired by | : chemist |
| Date Processed | : 5/17/2016 9:17:08 AM | Processed by | : chemist |

## <Chromatogram>

mAU

<Peak Table>
PDA Ch2 325nm

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Peak\# | Ret. Time | Area | Area\% |
| 1 | 2.109 | 45107 | 0.897 |
| 2 | 3.977 | 65315 | 1.299 |
| 3 | 4.887 | 65079 | 1.295 |
| 4 | 5.712 | 19089 | 0.380 |
| 5 | 6.791 | 4831767 | 96.129 |
| Total |  | 5026356 | 100.000 |

Figure S8-19. HPLC analysis of 6, diastereomer 2.


Figure S8-20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of11.


Figure $\mathbf{S 8}-21 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of 14.


Figure S8-22. LC-MS analysis of 12.


Figure S8-23. LC-MS analysis of 15.
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