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## 1. Supplementary Material

### 1.1 Computational Details

Among the non-bonded fixed point charge, we selected the parametrization due to Åqvist, ${ }^{1}$ which has been originally developed to reproduce the solvation free energy and radial distribution function $(g(r))$ of aqueous $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions, the recent model developed by Allnér et al., ${ }^{2}$ in which $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ parameters have been tuned to reproduce the experimental first shell water/phosphate exchange rate and the parameters developed by Li et al., ${ }^{3}$ which are specific for Particle Mesh Ewald-based simulations. In particular, for the latter we chose the parameter set better accounting for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination number and hydration free energy (i.e., the CN set for TIP3P water). ${ }^{3}$ Among the cationic dummy atom (CDA) models, we have considered the one from Oelschlaeger et al. ${ }^{4}$ and Saxena et al. ${ }^{5}$ The former assigns a charge -1 to the central atom, while the surrounding dummy atoms, disposed as octahedron, have a fractional positive charge accounting for +3 . The Saxena model distributes the +2 charge entirely among the dummies, which are given only a repulsive "A coefficient" for the vdW potential. FF parameters for fixed point charge and CDA models are reported in Table S1. In the Amber FF, vdW interactions are calculated according to the simplified Lennard-Jones potential $V_{L-J}=\frac{A_{i j}}{r^{12}}-\frac{B_{i j}}{r^{6}}$, where $A_{i j}=\varepsilon_{i j}\left(R_{\min , i j}\right)^{12}$ is the repulsive term and $B_{i j}=2 \varepsilon_{i j}\left(R_{\min , i j}\right)^{6}$ is the attractive term. $\varepsilon_{i j}$ and $R_{m i n, i j}$ correspond to the energy minimum (i.e., the depth of the potential well) and the internuclear separation between species i and j at the energy minimum, respectively. $\varepsilon_{i j}$ and $R_{m i n, i j}$ are calculated by means of Lorentz-Berthelot mixing rules in which $\varepsilon_{i j}=$ $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{j}}$ and $R_{\min , i j}=\left(R_{\min , i i}+R_{\min , j j}\right) / 2$. The self-interaction values for $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{Mg}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{Mg}-}$ mg for each parametrization, as calculated in the Amber FF, are reported in the Table S1 ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{d}}$ for dummy atom species), together with the assigned point charge for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion/central atom (i.e., $q_{\mathrm{Mg}}$ ) and, where necessary, for the dummy atoms (i.e., $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ).

### 1.2 Radial distribution function

Analysis of $g_{M_{g-X}}(r)$ (Figure S5 and Table S2) displays a contraction of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds for the Åqvist parametrization in which the first peak is split in two: one corresponding to the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ coordination distance, and the other to the remaining
coordinating ligands lying at slightly higher $r$ value. The Saxena model reveals a similar pattern of the $g(r)$, shifted toward larger values of the radial distances. In the Allnér and Li models the $g(r)$ profile exhibits a single well defined first peak, lying at slightly larger values than the one obtained with the Åqvist FF. Also for these parametrizations, the distances between $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and its ligands are underestimated in all cases, while the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ distance is again overestimated in Allnér. For the Oelschlaeger parametrization, a different $g(r)$ is observed, showing a broad first peak shifted toward larger values of $r$ with respect to all other models. This corresponds to unrealistically large distances between $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and its ligands.

### 1.3 The Catalytic $\mathbf{M g}^{2+}$-aided Active Site.

Group II intron ribozyme (GII-I) presents a peculiar catalytic site which enables the intron self-splicing reaction. ${ }^{6}$ The importance of this site is associated to a two $-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ aided mechanism that is also exploited by the spliceosome and is therefore critical in regulating gene expression in humans. The peculiar GII-I active site, characterized by the presence of two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ at a $\sim 4 \AA$ distance ${ }^{6}$ (Figure S21), is not reported among the CPs classified by Zheng et al. ${ }^{7}$ because of its low statistical abundance, which is most likely associated to its very specific biological function. ${ }^{7-9}$

Our molecular simulations reveal that only the Åqvist and the Saxena FFs are capable of reproducing a catalytically competent conformation of the two-metal-aided active site (Figure S22), consistent with the X-ray structure. Surprisingly, by employing the Allnér parameters, the active site distorts after a few nanoseconds of MD (i.e., $\sim 10 \mathrm{~ns}$, Figure S23). The Allnér model has been tuned to reproduce the kinetics of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ water/phosphate exchange reactions and presents a lower free energy barrier for water exchange than the Åqvist model. ${ }^{2}$ This may determine the structural instability of the catalytic site, which is largely solvent exposed. Additionally, the vicinity of the two catalytic $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions ( $\sim 4 \AA$ ) leads to a strong electrostatic repulsion, which may favor $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-water/phosphate exchange and the structural distortion of the active site. A CDA model as the one due to Saxena succeeds in limiting these instabilities by spreading the $2+$ charge among the dummy atoms in the direction of the coordinating donor atoms. At increased $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions concentration (i.e., 25 mM ) one of the metal ions (i.e., $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{A}$ )
looses its original coordination geometry even with the Åqvist parameters, although no additional ions locate in the vicinity of the binding site (Figure S22), while the geometry is retained with the Saxena model. Overall, these data indicate that the choice of the classical parameters for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions in metal-dependent active sites can be influenced by the structural characteristics of the site itself and, importantly, by the experimental working conditions relative to $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ concentration.

## 2. Supplementary Figures

### 2.1 Group II Intron Ribozyme



Figure S1. Superposition between the 4FAQ and 4FAR X-ray structures of group II intron ribozyme (GII-I), ${ }^{10}$ which have been crystallized in the presence of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions, respectively. $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(4 \mathrm{FAQ})$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(4 \mathrm{FAR})$ ions are shown as blue and orange van der Waals spheres. The overall architecture of GII-I is represented as gray ribbons. In the table, the ions (i.e., $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ) which have a site match among the two structures are reported with the numbering scheme as in the two X-ray structures (blue and orange lines). The sign "-" refers to the ions which do not have a match.


Figure S2. RMSD ( $\AA$ ) vs simulation time (ns) of the catalytically competent form of group II intron ribozyme with empirical $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ force field parameters according to the Åqvist, Allnér, Li, Saxena and Oelschlaeger models in (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$, and to the Åqvist, Allnér, Li and Saxena models in (f), (g), (h) and (i), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. The RMSD without residues of the domain IV is reported with the light blue line. This part of the ribozyme is highly solvent exposed and is responsible in some case of the oscillatory behavior of the RMSD.


Figure S3. $\operatorname{RMSF}(\AA)$ vs residue number of the catalytically competent form of group II intron ribozyme with empirical $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ force field parameters according to the $\AA q v i s t$, Allnér, Li, Saxena and Oelschlaeger models in (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$, and to the Åqvist, Allnér, Li and Saxena models in (f), (g), (h) and (i), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$.


Figure S4. Radius of gyration $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)(\AA)$ vs simulation time (ns) of the catalytically competent form of group II intron ribozyme with empirical $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ force field parameters according to the Åqvist, Allnér, Li, Saxena and Oelschlaeger models in (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$, and to the Åqvist, Allnér, Li and Saxena models in (f), (g), (h) and (i), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. The $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$ without residues of the domain IV is reported with the light blue line. This part of the ribozyme is highly solvent exposed and is responsible in some case of the oscillatory behavior of the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$.


Figure S5. Radial distribution function $(g(r))$ for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions within $6 \AA$ of their coordination sphere (including the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ligands), calculated over MD simulations of GII-I ribozyme, performed with the Åqvist (blue), Allnér (green), Li (red), Saxena (orange) and Oelschlaeger (magenta) parameters at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$.


Figure S6. Histograms showing the ligand composition of the coordination sphere of each $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ site in GII-I as found in the $4 \mathrm{FAR}^{10} \mathrm{X}$-ray structure. In the $x$-axis, binding sites are renumbered according to the numbering of our simulated structure (4FAQ). Namely only the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites of 4FAR matching with $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ sites of 4 FAQ structure are reported, with internal numeration from \#1 to \#23 according to Figure S1. The $y$-axis reports the coordination number $(C N)$ for each $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binding site. The limited resolution of the X -ray structure (i.e., $2.8 \AA$ ) impedes the complete dissection of the coordination sites.

## PDB Dataset

 <br> 0 RNA ligands $\square 2$ RNA ligands <br> 4 RNA ligands 1 RNA ligand $\square 3$ RNA ligands}

Figure S7. Histogram showing the population of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-RNA coordination patterns extracted from Zheng et al. ${ }^{7}$ for the whole PDB dataset ( $y$-axis). The number of RNA ligands (from 0 to 4 ) is highlighted with different colors, as specified in the bottom legend. The $x$-axis reports the number of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions (i.e., population) having a specific coordination pattern. Bars of different colors are used to identify coordination patterns characterized by the presence of different ligand types: only $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ (gold) or at least one $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (red, if in majority with respect to sites containing at least one $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ), $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (green, if in majority) or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (blue, if in majority) ligand.


Figure S8. Histograms showing the composition of the first coordination sphere of each of the $24 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites in GII-I as determined from MD performed with the Åqvist, Allnér, Li and Saxena parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$. The $x$-axis reports the $24 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites in GII-I, including sites 1 and 2 , which are constitutive of the catalytic binuclear site. The $y$-axis reports the $C N$ for the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination sphere. Donor atom types are identified with different colors as specified in the bottom legend.


Figure S9. Statistical distribution of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{X}$ ligands (where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) in the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ inner-sphere (expressed as percentages), obtained from the combination of three different replicas of GII-I, performed with the Åqvist and Allnér parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$. Donor atom types are identified with different colors as specified in the bottom legend.


Figure S10. Statistical distribution of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{X}$ ligands (where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ) in the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ inner-sphere (expressed as percentages) as calculated from MD simulations of GII-I performed with the Åqvist, Allnér, Li and Saxena parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$. Donor atom types are identified with different colors as specified in the bottom legend. Remarkably, the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{X}$ statistical distribution is almost identical in the simulations performed with the Åqvist and Allnér parametrizations, while the occurrence of water ligands increases in Li and decreases in the Saxena model.
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Figure S11. Histograms determining the population of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-$ RNA CPs, observed during MD simulations of GII-I, performed with the Åqvist (a) and Allnér (b) models at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$ (blue bars) and 25 mM (red bars). The $x$-axis reports the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+} \mathrm{CPs}$ identified from MD simulations. The number of RNA ligands (from 0 to 5) is highlighted with different colors as shown in the bottom legend. The $y$-axis reports the number of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions (i.e., population) having a specific CP. The label N refers to binding sites not observed in the PDB dataset.


Figure S12. Histograms showing the composition of the coordination sphere of each of the first 24 out of $48 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites in GII-I as determined from MD performed with the Åqvist, Allnér, Li and Saxena parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. The $x$-axis reports the $24 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites in GII-I, including sites 1 and 2 , which are constitutive of the catalytic site. The sites changing their ligand composition with respect to the simulation at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10$ mM are highlighted in red. Donor atom types are identified with different colors as specified in the bottom legend. The $y$-axis reports the $C N$ for the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination sphere. $63 \%$ of the sites exhibits the same composition at the two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ concentrations with the Allnér model, followed by Saxena ( $46 \%$ ), Åqvist ( $42 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{Li}(33 \%)$.


Figure S13. Statistical distribution of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{X}$ ligands (where $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ) in the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ inner-sphere (expressed as percentages) as calculated from MD simulations of GII-I performed with the Åqvist, Allnér, Li and Saxena parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. Donor atom types are identified with different colors as specified in the bottom legend.

### 2.2 Hepatitis Delta Virus Ribozyme



Figure S14. RMSD ( $\AA$ ) vs simulation time (ns) of the hepatitis delta virus (HDV) ribozyme with empirical $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ force field parameters according to the Åqvist and Allnér models in (a) and (b), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$ and to the Åqvist and Allnér in (c) and (d), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. The RMSD without residues 48 to 60 is reported with the green line. This part of the ribozyme is highly solvent exposed and is responsible in some case of the oscillatory behavior of the RMSD.


Figure S15. RMSF ( $\AA$ ) vs simulation time (ns) of the hepatitis delta virus (HDV) ribozyme with empirical $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ force field parameters according to the Åqvist and Allnér models in (a) and (b), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$ and to the Åqvist and Allnér in (c) and (d), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$.


Figure S16. Radius of gyration $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)(\AA)$ vs simulation time (ns) of the hepatitis delta virus (HDV) ribozyme with empirical $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ force field parameters according to the Åqvist and Allnér models in (a) and (b), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$ and to the Åqvist and Allnér in (c) and (d), respectively, at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. The $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$ without residues 48 to 60 is reported with the green line. This part of the ribozyme is highly solvent exposed and is responsible in some case of the oscillatory behavior of the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}$.
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Figure S17. Histograms determining the population of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-$ RNA coordination patterns observed during MD simulations of the HDV ribozyme performed with the Åqvist (a) and Allnér (b) $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ parameters at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$ (blue bars) and 25 mM (red bars). The $x$-axis reports the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+} \mathrm{CPs}$ identified from MD simulations. The number of RNA ligands (from 0 to 4) is highlighted with different colors. The $y$-axis reports the number of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions (i.e., population) having a specific CP. The most populated $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-$ RNA coordination patterns are $2 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}: 4 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ( $\mathrm{A} q \mathrm{qvist}$ ) and $1 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}: 5 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$ (Allnér), with the Allnér parameters favoring more hydrated sites.


Figure S18. Histograms showing the composition of the coordination sphere of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ inner-sphere sites in HDV as determined from MD performed with the Åqvist and Allnér parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$. The $x$-axis reports the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites in HDV. The $y$ axis reports the $C N$ for the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination sphere. Donor atom types are identified with different colors as specified in the bottom legend.


Figure S19. (a) Histograms showing the composition of the coordination sphere of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites in HDV as determined from MD performed with the Åqvist and Allnér parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. The $x$-axis reports the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sites in HDV. The $y$ axis reports the $C N$ for the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination sphere. The sites that change their ligand composition with respect to the simulation at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$ are highlighted in red. Remarkably, for both $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ models, only two of the six original sites preserve the same ligand composition at the two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ concentrations (see Figure S18). (b) Statistical distribution of different RNA ligands in the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ inner-sphere (expressed as percentages) as calculated from MD simulations of HDV performed with the Åqvist and Allnér parametrizations at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=25 \mathrm{mM}$. Donor atom types are identified with different colors as specified in the bottom legend.


Figure S20. Statistical distribution of different RNA ligands in the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ inner-sphere (expressed as percentages) as calculated from MD simulations grouping the results of both the GII-I and the HDV according to the parametrization (Åqvist and Allnér) and the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ concentration conditions employed.

### 2.3 The Catalytic Site



Figure S21. Active site from the crystal structure of the reactive adduct (PDB entry: 4FAQ). ${ }^{10}$ The oxygen atom of the nucleophilic water molecule and the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions are shown as red and orange spheres, respectively. Phosphates are shown in licorice and are colored according to element. An arrow indicates the direction of the nucleophilic attack on the scissile phosphate.


Figure S22. Histogram showing the composition of the two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions (A and B) of the catalytic site of GII-I ribozyme as reproduced the different $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ force field models and by $\mathrm{QM} / \mathrm{MM}$ MD simulations. ${ }^{1-5}$ The $\mathrm{QM} / \mathrm{MM}$ MD simulations were detailed in a previous study performed by us. ${ }^{6}$


Figure S23. Snapshot of the catalytic site of GII-I ribozyme as obtained after 200 ns of classical MD simulations with the Åqvist and the Allnér model at $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=10 \mathrm{mM}$. A complete distortion of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-\mathrm{B}$ coordination sphere is visible in the simulations performed with the Allnér model.

### 2.4 Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculations



Figure S24. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ charge (e) and free energy of formation ( $\Delta G_{\text {form }}, \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of innersphere $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination sites, (a) and (b) respectively, and of outer-sphere $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination sites, (c) and (d) respectively, plotted as a function of the number of RNA ligands, and calculated at the DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G** level for the models shown in Figure 5a. The Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) charge is used to estimate the charge. Gold circles, red squares and blue triangles refer to model systems characterized by the presence of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}$-only, at least one $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ or one $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ as non-water ligands, respectively. Model systems characterized by $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ ligands only but corresponding to a different geometrical isomer are indicated with golden empty circles. For each model system the CP is reported in parenthesis.


Figure S25. Charge rearrangements ( $\Delta \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{e}$ ) of the (a) non $-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-coordinated and (b) $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-coordinated ligands atoms in the inner-sphere coordination sites; (c) amount of charge transferred ( $\Delta \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{e}$ ) from the ligands towards $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion calculated from the NBO charge distribution and the B3LYP functional with $6-311++\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set. Each contribution is dissected by atom type with light blue, gold, red and dark blue referring to water $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$, phosphate $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}\right)$, nucleobases coordinated via $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ atoms, respectively. (d) Schematic picture of the polarization and charge transfer effects exerted by a $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion. The formal charge of each CP is reported in parenthesis.


Figure S26. Charge rearrangements ( $\Delta \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{e}$ ) of the (a) non $-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-coordinated and (b) $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-coordinated ligands atoms in the inner-sphere coordination sites; (c) amount of charge transferred ( $\Delta \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{e}$ ) from the ligands towards $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion calculated from the NBO charge distribution and the M06 functional with 3-21G basis set. Each contribution is dissected by atom type with light blue, gold, red and dark blue referring to water $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$, phosphate $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}\right)$, nucleobases coordinated via $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ atoms, respectively. (d) Schematic picture of the polarization and charge transfer effects exerted by a $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion. The formal charge of each CP is reported in parenthesis.


Figure S27. Charge rearrangements ( $\Delta \mathrm{q}$, e) of the (a) non $-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-coordinated and (b) $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$-coordinated ligands atoms in the inner-sphere coordination sites; (c) amount of charge transferred ( $\Delta \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{e}$ ) from the ligands towards $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion calculated from the Bader charge distribution and the M06 functional with $6-311++\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set. Each contribution is dissected by atom type with light blue, gold, red and dark blue referring to water $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$, phosphate $\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}\right)$, nucleobases coordinated via $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ atoms, respectively. (d) Schematic picture of the polarization and charge transfer effects exerted by a $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion. The formal charge of each CP is reported in parenthesis.


Figure S28. Average contribution to $\Delta G_{\text {form-is }}$ and $\Delta G_{\text {form-os }}$ per ligand in (a) and (b), respectively. Data come from simulations at the DFT/M06/6-311++G** level of theory.


Figure S29. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ charge (e) and free energy of formation ( $\Delta G_{\text {form }}, \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of innersphere $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ coordination sites, (a) and (b) respectively, plotted as a function of the number of RNA ligands, and calculated at the DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G** level for the models shown in Figure 5a. The Polarizable Continuum Model (PCM) ${ }^{11}$ was used with a dielectric constant of 4 . The Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) charge is used to estimate the charge. Gold circles, red squares and blue triangles refer to model systems characterized by the presence of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}$-only, at least one $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}$ or one $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ as non-water ligands, respectively. Model systems characterized by $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ph}}$ ligands only but corresponding to a different geometrical isomer are indicated with golden empty circles. For each model system the CP is reported in parenthesis.

## 3. Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Force field (FF) vdW parameters ( $A$ and $B, \AA^{12} \cdot \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $\AA^{6} \cdot \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and charges ( $q$, e) for fixed point charge (i.e., Åqvist, Allnér and Li) and CDA (i.e., Saxena and Oelschlaeger) models employed in this work.

| NAME | $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{M g}-\mathrm{Mg}}$ <br> $\left(\AA^{12} \cdot \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{M g}-\mathrm{Mg}}$ <br> $\left(\AA^{6} \cdot \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{A}_{d-d}$ <br> $\left(\AA^{12} \cdot \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{B}_{d-d}$ <br> $\left(\AA^{\AA} \cdot \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{M g}}$ <br> $(e)$ | $\boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{d}}$ <br> $(e)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aqvist | 225.26 | 28.39 | - | - | +2 | - |
| Allnér | 2405.86 | 5.32 | - | - | +2 | - |
| $\mathbf{L i}$ | 1673.12 | 8.26 | - | - | +2 | - |
| Saxena | 729.00 | 278.89 | 0.0025 | 0.000 | 0 | +0.333 |
| Oelschlaeger | 4901.75 | 1681.29 | 2.126 | 0.326 | -1 | +0.5 |

Table S2. Summary of the simulations length performed for the different systems investigated.

| MODEL | GII-I Ribozyme |  | HDV Ribozyme |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m M}$ | $\mathbf{2 5} \mathbf{~ m M}$ |
| Åqist | 200 ns <br> 100 ns <br> 100 ns | 100 ns | 200 ns | 200 ns |
|  | 200 ns <br> 100 ns <br> 100 ns | 100 ns | 200 ns | 200 ns |
| Li | 200 ns | 100 ns | - | - |
| Saxena | 200 ns | 100 ns | - | - |
| Oelschlaeger | 100 ns | - | - | - |
| SUBTOTAL | 1300 ns | 400 ns | 400 ns | 400 ns |
| TOTAL | $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ |  |  |  |

Table S3. $\mathrm{R}_{\text {max }}(\AA)$ of the radial distribution function $(g(r))$ for different $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ligand types, as reproduced by the Åqvist, Allnér, Li, Saxena and Oelshlaeger models. The $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}-$ ligands coordination distance $(\AA)$, as calculated at the DFT/M06 level using the using the $6-311++\mathrm{g}^{* *}$ basis set, is also reported (last row).

| $\mathbf{R}_{\text {max }}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { A }\end{array}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Åqvist | Oph | Ow | Os (02' O3') | Ob (06 04) | N7 |
|  | 1.865 | 1.995 | 2.075 | 1.975 | 2.265 |
| Allnér | Oph | Ow | Os (02') | Ob (06 O4 O2) | N1 N7 |
|  | 1.965 | 2.055 | 2.135 | 2.045 | 2.295 |
| Li | Oph | Ow | Os | Ob (06 O4 O2) | N7* |
|  | 1.935 | 2.035 |  | 2.015 | -2.195 |
| Saxena | Oph | Ow | Os (02' O3') | Ob (06 04) | N1 N7 |
|  | 2.035 | 2.165 | 2.175 | 2.085 |  |
| Oelschlaeger | Oph | Ow | Os (02' O3') | Ob (06 O4 O2) | N1 N3 N7 |
|  | 2.235 | 2.365 | 2.325 | 2.285 | 2.465 |
| DFT/M06 | Oph | Ow | Os (02') | Ob (06 O4 O2) | N1 N3 N7 |
|  | 2.03 | 2.115 | 2.12 | 2.07 | 2.225 |
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