## Supporting Information for

# A joint computational and experimental evaluation of $\mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ polymorphs as cathode materials for Ca ion batteries 

M. Elena Arroyo-de Dompablo ${ }^{1, *}$, Christopher Krich ${ }^{2}$, Jessica Nava-Avendaño ${ }^{3}$, Neven Biškup ${ }^{4}$, M. Rosa Palacín ${ }^{3}$ and Fanny Bardé ${ }^{2}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Malta Consolider Team, Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, (Spain)<br>${ }^{2}$ Toyota Motor Europe, Research \& Development 3, Advanced Technology 1, Battery team, Technical Centre, Hoge Wei 33 B, B-1930 Zaventem, (Belgium).<br>${ }^{3}$ Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (ICMAB-CSIC) Campus UAB, E-08193<br>Bellaterra, Catalonia (Spain)<br>${ }^{4}$ Instituto Pluridisciplinar, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid, (Spain)

*Corresponding author: e.arroyo@quim.ucm.es



Figure S.1.- (a) SEM images of synthetized $\mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ powder. (b) Comparison of XRD patterns of the electrodes before and after oxidation. The arrows indicate the diffraction peaks of the aluminium current collector. The broad feature indicated with * originates likely from solvent residues and carbon black present in the electrode as additive to enhance electronic conductivity.

TABLE I. Calculated lattice parameters (in $\AA$ ) for the spinel (SP) and the two post-spinel CM (S.G. Pbcm) and CF (S.G. Pnma) $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{x}=0,1)$ structures. Experimental values from this work are also listed.

| x | method | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $\mathrm{~V}\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CF-1 | GGA | 10.681 | 9.256 | 3.089 | 305.39 |
|  | GGA+U | 10.730 | 9.233 | 3.149 | 311.95 |
| CF-0 | GGA | 10.309 | 8.586 | 2.837 | 254.16 |
|  | GGA+U | 10.393 | 8.632 | 2.922 | 262.20 |
| CM-1 | GGA | 3.207 | 10.132 | 9.754 | 317.02 |
|  | GGA+U | 3.199 | 10.193 | 9.825 | 320.36 |
| CM-0 | GGA | 2.838 | 9.489 | 9.299 | 250.50 |
|  | GGA+U | 2.901 | 9.614 | 9.414 | 262.61 |
| SP-1 | GGA+U | 5.949 | 5.949 | 10.219 | 361.73 |
| SP-0 | GGA + U | 5.819 | 5.819 | 8.291 | 280.76 |
| CM-1 | Exp. | 3.159 | 9.994 | 9.675 | 304.55 |



Figure S.2.- (a-c) Optimized crystal structures of the possible $\mathrm{Ca}_{0.5} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ configurations within the unit cell. The three configurations have positive formation energies. (d) Optimized crystal structure of the most stable $\mathrm{Ca}_{0.5} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ configuration found in this study ( $2 a \times b \times c$ supercell)

## Thermodynamics of $\mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ polymorphs

As indicated in figure 3 of the main text, the CF and SP phases are both $0.3 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{u}$. less stable than the CM phase. The CF has a greater density, this is to say, CF is the high-pressure polymorph. It is well documented ${ }^{1}{ }^{2}$ that the CM polymorph transforms to the high pressure CF-polymorph at 30 GPa (room temperature). With the present computational results, we can make a fast evaluation of the pressure required for the $\mathrm{CF} \rightarrow \mathrm{CM}$ transformation $(\mathrm{P}=\Delta \mathrm{E} / \Delta \mathrm{V})$. This yields a calculated pressure of $24 \mathrm{GPa}(0 \mathrm{~K})$, which is of the same order than the experimentally observed. The SP phase has lower density than the CM phase, thus, it is a potential high temperature polymorph, a possibility to examine by means of calculated equations of state of the CM and SP polymorphs. Figure 6 in the main text shows the calculated total energy vs. volume curves of the $\mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ polymorphs; spinel ( SP in red circles) and marokite ( CM in black diamonds). Symbols correspond to the DFT calculated data, and lines show the fitting to the BirchMurnagham equation of state.

## Parameters of Energy -Volume fitting to the Brich-Murnagham EOS

CM: $\quad \mathrm{E}_{0}=50.99 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{u} \mathrm{V}_{0}=80.37 \mathrm{~A}^{3} / \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{u}$.
$\mathrm{B}_{0}=99.50 \mathrm{GPa} \mathrm{B}_{0}{ }^{\prime}=6.96$
reg.coef $=0.76 \mathrm{E}-05$
SP: $\quad \mathrm{E}_{0}=50.71 \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{u} \mathrm{V}_{0}=90.88 \mathrm{~A}^{3} / \mathrm{f} . \mathrm{u}$.
$\mathrm{B}_{0}=90.13 \mathrm{GPa} \mathrm{B}_{0}{ }^{\prime}=6.09$
reg.coef $=0.35 \mathrm{E}-05$

## Energy -Volume fitting to the Brich-Murnagham EOS with Bo`fixed to 4



Figure S.3. Calculated total energy vs. volume curves of the $\mathrm{CM}-\mathrm{CaMn} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and fitting to the Birch-Murnagham equation of state, with B' fixed to 4.

Yamanaka et al ${ }^{2}$ determined, experimentally, the bulk modulus of the marokite (CM) phase. The bulk moduli were calculated by the Birch-Murnaghan equation of state using the observed volumes as a function of pressure. The calculation provided $\mathrm{B}_{0}=155(2) \mathrm{GPa}$ for $\mathrm{CM}-\mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. In the calculation $\mathrm{B}_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ was fixed to 4.0. For shake of comparison we performed a similar data analysis, fixing $B_{0}$ 'to 4 , as shown in figure S.3. The parameters of Energy -Volume fitting to the Brich-Murnagham EOS $\left(\mathrm{B}_{0}^{\prime}\right.$ fixed to 4 GPa ) are $\mathrm{E}_{0}=51.06 \mathrm{eV} /$ f.u $\mathrm{V}_{0}=79.63 \mathrm{~A}^{3} /$ f.u. and $\mathrm{B}_{0}=$ 139.75 GPa.

At $\mathrm{p}=0$, DFT calculations yield the enthalpy of the marokite $\rightarrow$ spinel transition at 0 K :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Marokite- } \mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \rightarrow \text { Spinel- } \mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{0}=27.02 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

At constant pressure, and 300 K , the enthalpy of the transformation is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta H_{298}=\Delta H_{0}+\int_{0}^{298} \Delta C_{p} d T \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is the heat capacity at constant pressure, and $\Delta \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{Cp}$ (spinel)- Cp (marokite).

For solids, where expansion is relatively very small, Cp and Cv are very similar. Through the quasi-harmonic Debye model, one could calculate the thermodynamic quantities at any temperatures and pressures, from the calculated $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{V}$ data (DFT refers to $\mathrm{T}=0 \mathrm{~K}$ ). By using this method, as implemented in the GIBBS code, we estimated the heat capacities, Cv , of the $\mathrm{CaMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ polymorphs. (157.3 and $158.9 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ for marokite and spinel, respectively, at $\mathrm{p}=0$ and $\mathrm{T}=298$ $\mathrm{K})$. Integration of $\Delta \mathrm{Cv}$ between 0 and 298 K gives an enthalpy variation of $0.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. Then, according to equation (2), the enthalpy of the marokite-spinel transformation at 298 K is 27.22 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. We have also estimated the entropy variation at 298 K :

$$
\text { Marokite-CaMn }{ }_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \rightarrow \text { Spinel-CaMn } \mathrm{O}_{4} \quad \Delta \mathrm{~S}_{298}=8.3 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{Kmol}
$$
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