

Supporting Information

Facile metal-assisted hydrolysis of a urethane.

T. Ross Kelly*, Marta Cavero and Yajun Zhao

E. F. Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467.

ross.kelly@bc.edu

2-Acetoxy-6-(bromomethyl)pyridine 11: 2-Acetoxy-6-methylpyridine (**10**, prepared following literature procedures¹) (200 mg, 1.32 mmol), 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 11 mg, 5 mol %) and CCl₄ (50 mL) were introduced into a 100 mL two-necked round-bottomed flask fitted with a reflux condenser and nitrogen inlet. The mixture was heated at reflux, and N-bromosuccinimide (NBS, 236 mg, 1.32 mmol) was added in 20 mg portions over a period of 2 hours. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight. Two thirds of the solvent was evaporated under house vacuum and the mixture was allowed to cool down to room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to give a yellow residue. This was chromatographed on silica gel (30 cm x 1.5 cm), eluting with EtOAc/hexane (1:4) to afford 160 mg (52 %) of the title compound as a colorless crystalline solid. **mp:** 55-56 °C. **¹H NMR** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 7.80 (app.t, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (d, *J*=8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H). **¹³C NMR** (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 168.8, 157.1, 156.1, 140.5, 121.7, 115.9, 32.9, 21.6. **HRMS** (ES): calculated for C₆H₇NBrO (*M* – CH₂=C=O +1) 187.9711. Found: 187.9718.

Pyridone 13: To a solution of methyl(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)amine (**12**, 200 mg, 1.64 mmol, prepared following literature procedures²) and triethylamine (600 µL, 6 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added a solution of 2-acetoxy-6-(bromomethyl)pyridine (**11**, 170 mg, 0.74 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for two hours. The reaction mixture was washed with water (4 mL x 2) and the aqueous layer was extracted with more CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL x 2). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (4 mL x 2), dried over Na₂SO₄, and the solvent evaporated to give a yellow-brown oil. This was chromatographed on silica gel (30 cm x 1.5 cm), eluting with EtOAc/MeOH (8:1) to afford 83 mg (49 %) of the title compound as a colourless viscous oil. **¹H NMR** (CDCl₃, 400 MHz): δ 10.59 (bs, 1H), 8.60 (d, *J*=5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (dt, *J*=7.6, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, *J*=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, *J*=9.2, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (app.dd, *J*=7.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, *J*=9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.05 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 2H), 3.50 (s, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H). **¹³C NMR** (CDCl₃, 100 MHz): δ 163.8, 157.8, 149.2, 145.2, 140.9, 136.9, 123.4, 122.6, 119.5, 104.6, 63.1, 57.7, 42.9. **HRMS** (ES): calculated for C₁₃H₁₆N₃O (*M*+1) 230.1293. Found: 230.1298. **UV** λ_{max} (water:MeCN 9:1 + TFA, c=2x10⁻⁴ M) 260, 285, 300 (ε= 3,300; 3,000; 3,700).

Carbamate 9: To a solution of pyridone **13** (83 mg, 0.36 mmol and *N*-methyl-*N*-phenylcarbamoyl chloride (**15**, from Aldrich, 183 mg, 3 equiv.) in anhydrous acetonitrile (15 mL) was added triethylamine (350 µL). The resulting mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The mixture was evaporated to dryness affording a yellow-brown oil. This was chromatographed on silica gel (30 cm x 1.5 cm), eluting with EtOAc/MeOH (4:1) to afford 110 mg (87 %) of the title compound as a colorless viscous oil. **¹H NMR** (CD₃COCD₃, 400 MHz): δ 8.49 (m, 1H), 7.84 (t, *J*=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (dt, *J*=7.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (d, *J*=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.46 (m, 3H), 7.43-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.27 (t, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23-

1. Takashi, K.; Yasumasa, H.; Takasi, B.; Ichiro, T.; Hidehiko, K. *Chem. Lett.* **1991**, 1989.

2. Holzgrabe, U. *Arch. Pharm.* **1987**, 320, 647.

7.19 (m, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 3.69 (s, 2H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (CD_3COCD_3 , 100 MHz): δ 160.2, 159.6, 158.7, 153.5, 149.5, 143.9, 140.4, 136.9, 129.6, 127.1, 126.8, 123.4, 122.6, 120.9, 114.9, 64.2, 63.5, 42.9, 38.4. HRMS (ES): calculated for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_4\text{O}_2$ ($M+1$) 363.1821. Found: 363.1809. UV λ_{max} (MeCN+TFA, $c=2\times 10^{-4}$ M) 260, 285 ($\epsilon=13,700$; 800); λ_{max} (MeCN/ H_2O 1:1 + TFA) 260, 285 ($\epsilon=8,800$; 900).

***N*-Methylaniline:** UV λ_{max} (water:MeCN 9:1 + TFA, $c=2\times 10^{-4}$ M) 254, 260, 276, 280, 285 ($\epsilon=300$, 250, 90, 90, 90).

Kinetic studies, general procedures.

Ionic strength was maintained with NaClO_4 . pHs reported are those of the buffer solution prior to dilution with the organic solvent.³ The buffers used were of the aminosulfonate type since these are known⁴ to be poor ligands to divalent metals. Buffers used: 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid hydrate (MES, $\text{pK}_a=6.1$), 2-[[tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl]amino]-1-ethanesulfonic acid (TES, $\text{pK}_a=7.5$), *N*-[tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl]-3-aminopropane-1-sulfonic acid (TAPS, $\text{pK}_a=8.4$), 2-(cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic acid (CHES, $\text{pK}_a=9.3$), 3-(cyclohexylamino)-1-propanesulfonic acid (CAPS, $\text{pK}_a=10.4$). Reactions were followed by reverse-phase HPLC (C18) by monitoring the changes in the areas of the *N*-methylaniline and carbamate **9** peaks at 254 nm (carbamate elutes at 4.75 min and aniline at 2.01 min in 65:35 water/acetonitrile containing 0.1 % v/v trifluoroacetic acid) and the area of the pyridone **13** peak at 300 nm (2.01 minutes). From the areas, concentrations were calculated using an external calibration method. Areas vs concentration plots were linear between 0-10 mM for aniline, 0-8 mM for carbamate and 0-5 mM for pyridone. Two mM solutions of the complex were used for kinetic studies. The majority of reactions were followed to at least 95 % completion and all of them (except when the contrary has been stated in the discussion) obeyed first-order reaction kinetics. Values of k_{obs} were calculated from the plots of $\ln[\text{carbamate}]$ vs time, using computer-assisted least-squares regression analysis (Excel 2000). Correlation coefficients were generally > 0.99 and never < 0.985 .

3. Quoted pHs correspond to the buffers before mixing with the organic solvent. This may have an effect on the absolute rates of reaction but it should not significantly affect the relative rates.

4. Groves, J. T.; Baron, L. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 5442.