

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

for

### Electron-Withdrawing Substituents Decrease the Electrophilicity of the Carbonyl Carbon. An Investigation with the Aid of $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR Chemical Shifts, $\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$ Frequency Values, Charge Densities and Isodesmic Reactions to Interpret Substituent Effects on Reactivity

Helmi Neuvonen,<sup>\*†</sup> Kari Neuvonen,<sup>†</sup> Andreas Koch,<sup>‡</sup> Erich Kleinpeter,<sup>‡</sup> and Paavo  
Pasanen<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>*Department of Chemistry, University of Turku, FIN-20014 Turku, Finland*

<sup>‡</sup>*University of Potsdam, D-14415 Potsdam, POB 691553, Germany*

#### Table of Contents

1. Table S1- Table S4: IR C=O stretching frequencies (pp S2-S5)
2. Tables S5 and S6: the calculated heat of formation values and C=O bond-orders (pp S6-S7).
3. About  $\sigma^*$  constant (p. S8).

**Table S1.** IR C=O stretching frequencies (in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) for substituted phenyl acetates (**3**), phenyl dichloroacetates (**2**) and phenyl trifluoroacetates (**1**)  $\text{RCOOAr}$ .

Ar	$\sigma^a$	R		
		$\text{CH}_3$	$\text{CHCl}_2$	$\text{CF}_3$
4-OMe-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-0.27	1760	1763 <sup>b</sup>	1797
4-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-0.17	1762	1765 <sup>b</sup>	1799
H-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0	1765	1777 <sup>b</sup>	1800
4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.23	1761	1767 <sup>b</sup>	1801
4-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.23	1764	1762 <sup>c</sup>	1804
3-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.37	1770	1783 <sup>b</sup>	1804
4-CN-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.66	1769	1781 <sup>b</sup>	1800
3-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.71	1771	1775 <sup>c</sup>	1805
4-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.78	1763	1788; 1767 <sup>b</sup>	1806
2,4-(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>		1774	1779	1818

<sup>a</sup>Ref. 6. <sup>b</sup>From ref. 3. <sup>c</sup>From ref. 4.

**Table S2.** IR C=O stretching frequencies (in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) for 0.1 M solutions in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  for substituted phenyl acetates (**3**), phenyl dichloroacetates (**2**) and phenyl trifluoroacetates (**1**)  $\text{RCOOAr}$ .

Ar	$\sigma^a$	R		
		$\text{CH}_3$	$\text{CHCl}_2$	$\text{CF}_3$
4-OMe-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-0.27	1759	1778; 1761	1796
4-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-0.17	1758	1776	1797
H-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0	1763; 1744	1780	1799
4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.23	1760	1784; 1761	1801
4-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.23	1763	1788; 1765	1803
3-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.37	1766	1784; 1773	1802
4-CN-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.66	1771	1785; 1770	1802
3-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.71	1772	1787; 1775	1805
4-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	0.78	1767	1789; 1768	1806
2,4-(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>		1790	1802	1820

<sup>a</sup>Ref. 6.

**Table S3.** IR C=O stretching frequencies (in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) for substituted phenyl acetates RCOOPh (4) and methyl acetates RCOOMe (5).

R	$\sigma^*$ <sup>a</sup>	RCOOPh	RCOOMe
$\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2$	-0.1	1762	
$\text{CH}_3$	0	1765	1747
$\text{PhCH}_2$	0.215	1760	
$\text{MeOCH}_2$	0.520	1775	1754
$\text{PhOCH}_2$	0.850	1781	
$\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$	1.05	1759	1759
$\text{CHCl}_2$	1.94	1777	1766
$\text{CCl}_3$	2.65	1787	1770
$\text{CF}_3$	2.60	1800	1791

<sup>a</sup>Ref. 10.

**Table S4.** IR C=O stretching frequencies (in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) for 0.1 M solutions in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  for substituted phenyl acetates RCOOPh (**4**) and methyl acetates RCOOMe (**5**).

R	$\sigma^{\alpha}$	RCOOPh	RCOOMe
$\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2$	-0.1	1758; 1715	
$\text{CH}_3$	0	1763; 1744	1739
$\text{PhCH}_2$	0.215	1752	
$\text{MeOCH}_2$	0.520	1775	1753
$\text{PhOCH}_2$	0.850	1779; 1757	
$\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}$	1.05	1777	1759
$\text{CHCl}_2$	1.94	1780	1766; 1750
$\text{CCl}_3$	2.65	1788; 1770	1769
$\text{CF}_3$	2.60	1799	1788

<sup>a</sup>Ref. 10.

**Table S5.** Heat of formation values ( $\Delta E_f$  in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and C=O bond-orders for phenyl acetates RCOOPh (4).

R	$\Delta E_f$	C=O bond-order
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	-60.94	1.838746
CH <sub>3</sub>	-57.67	1.843757
PhCH <sub>2</sub>	-28.71	1.852833
MeOCH <sub>2</sub>	-88.43	1.831022
PhOCH <sub>2</sub>	-53.98	1.880711
CH <sub>2</sub> Cl	-56.83	1.842511
CHCl <sub>2</sub>	-58.53	1.872288
CCl <sub>3</sub>	-61.02	1.871240
CF <sub>3</sub>	-200.26	1.893330

**Table S6.** Heat of formation values ( $\Delta E_f$  in kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) and C=O bond-orders for phenyl substituted phenyl acetates (**3**), phenyl dichloroacetates (**2**) and phenyl trifluoroacetates (**1**) RCOOAr.

Ar	$\Delta E_f$	R			CF <sub>3</sub>		
		CH <sub>3</sub>	CHCl <sub>2</sub>	CF <sub>3</sub>	C=O bond-order	$\Delta E_f$	C=O bond-order
4-OMe-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-95.65	1.845953	-96.48	1.871403	-238.81	1.895021	
4-Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-67.16	1.846558	-67.95	1.871981	-210.23	1.895682	
H-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-57.79	1.847150	-58.54	1.872608	-200.76	1.896194	
4-Br-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-49.96	1.850701	-50.51	1.875533	-192.48	1.899559	
4-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-64.36	1.849716	-64.97	1.874743	-207.00	1.898538	
3-Cl-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-64.26	1.850340	-64.85	1.875416	-206.85	1.899761	
4-CN-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-22.46	1.853217	-22.80	1.877856	-164.50	1.902094	
3-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-65.88	1.854922	-65.85	1.979208	-207.36	1.904758	
4-NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	-66.40	1.859566	-66.35	1.882382	-207.65	1.907062	
2,4-(NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub>	-69.96	1.878377	-69.58	1.899659	-209.10	1.928517	

### About $\sigma^*$ constant

The substituent constants  $\sigma^*$  for aliphatic groups have been originally determined with the aid of alkaline and acid hydrolysis of esters  $\text{RCOOR}'$  by the eqn. (1) where subscripts A and B refer to acidic and basic hydrolysis, respectively. Rate coefficient  $k_0$  refers to the reaction of  $\text{CH}_3\text{COOR}'$ .

$$\sigma^* = (1/2.48)[\log(k/k_0)_B - \log(k/k_0)_A] \quad (1)$$

The factor 2.48 is chosen to put  $\sigma^*$  values on about the same scale with Hammett  $\sigma$  values. The  $\sigma^*$  values thus obtained describe the polar effects of the groups R because the polar effects on the acidic hydrolysis are thought to be small and the steric effects are cancelled by eqn. (1).<sup>10</sup>