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## Legends for Figure S1:

Figure S1a: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H8G) of ApG within the pH values of $0.03 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.56$. Chemical shift variations at 63 different pH values $(0.03 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.56)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\delta H 8 G$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1b: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H8G) of ApG within the pH values of $7.56 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.63$. Chemical shift variations at 30 different pH values $(7.56 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.63)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\delta H 8 G$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1c: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H8G) of GpA within the pH values of $0.12 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.29$. Chemical shift variations at 61 different pH values $(0.12 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.29)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\delta H 8 G$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1d: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A, H8G) of GpA within the pH values of $6.93 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.70$. Chemical shift variations at 35 different pH
values ( $6.93 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.70$ ) have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ are shown in the respective graphs. H2A of GpA did not show any significant change in chemical shift with pH hence plot for H 2 A is not shown.

Figure S1e: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U, H6C, H 5 C ) of CpU within the pH values of $1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.92$. Chemical shift variations at 35 different pH values $(1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.92)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$, $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1f: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U, H6C, H5C) of CpU within the pH values of $6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.32$. Chemical shift variations at 25 different pH values $(6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.32)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2$ pH units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$, $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1g: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H5U, H6C, H5C) of UpC within the pH values of $1.97 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.79$. Chemical shift variations at 25 different pH values $(1.97 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.79)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs. H6U of UpC did not show any significant change in chemical shift with pH hence plot for H6U is not shown.

Figure S1h: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U, H6C, H 5 C ) of UpC within the pH values of $6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.42$. Chemical shift variations at 30 different pH values $(6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.42)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2$ pH units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$, $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1i: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H6U, H5U) of UpA within the pH values of $1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.98$. Chemical shift variations at 43 different pH values $(1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.98)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, H6U and H5U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{1 j}$ : pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U) of UpA within the pH values of $6.6 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.10$. Chemical shift variations at 47 different pH values $(6.6 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.10)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H 6 U and H 5 U are shown in the respective graphs. H8A and H2A of UpA did not show any significant change in chemical shift with pH hence plots for H 8 A and H 2 A are not shown.

Figure S1k: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H6U, H 5 U ) of ApU within the pH values of $1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.99$. Chemical shift variations at 36 different pH values $(1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.99)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, H 6 U and H 5 U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure 11: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A,H2A,H6U,H5U) of ApU within the pH values of $6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.96$. Chemical shift variations at 39 different pH values $(6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.96$ ) have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves in all cases. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, H6U and H5U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1m: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A) of ApEt within the pH values of $1.62 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.38$. Chemical shift variations at 18 different pH values ( $1.62 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.38$ ) have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H8A and H2A are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1n: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic proton (H8G) of GpEt within the pH values of $6.89 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.00$. Chemical shift variations at 15 different pH values ( $6.89 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.0$ ) have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curve. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value obtained from Hill plot of H 8 G is shown in the respective graph.

Figure S10: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U) of UpEt within the pH values of $6.65 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.44$. Chemical shift variations at 23 different pH values $(6.65 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.44)$ have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H 6 U and H 5 U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S1p: pH dependant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shift of aromatic protons (H6C, H5C) of CpEt within the pH values of $1.66 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.39$. Chemical shift variations at 22 different pH values ( $1.66 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.39$ ) have been measured in an interval of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{pH}$ units to obtain the sigmoidal curves. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H 6 C and H 5 C are shown in the respective graphs.

## Legends for Figure S2:

Figure S2a: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ of ApG in the $0.03 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.56 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $\quad(0.03 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.56)=0.297 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.34(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.88(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H2A $(0.03 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.56)=0.322 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.36(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.83(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8G $(0.03$ $\leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.56)=1.124 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=$ $0.99)$ with a slope $=0.92(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.64(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$, $\delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2b: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ of ApG in the $7.56 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.63 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $(7.56 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.63)=0.009 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.97(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.71(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H2A $(7.56 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.63)=0.032 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.98(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.65(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8G $(7.56 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.63)=0.068 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=0.92(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.42(\sigma=0.01)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2c: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ of GpA in the $0.12 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.29 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $\quad(0.12 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.29)=0.205 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right) \mathrm{vs}$. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.02(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.22(\sigma=0.01) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H2A $(0.12 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.29)=0.301 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.26(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.94(\sigma=0.01) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8G $(0.12 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.29)=1.184 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.03(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.68(\sigma=0.01)$. The values of correlation coefficient R , pKa obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2d: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ of GpA in the $6.93 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.7 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $(6.93 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.7)=0.056 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.96(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.16(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8G $(6.93 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.7)=0.141 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right) \mathrm{vs}$. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.00(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.17(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ are shown in the respective graphs. H 2 A of GpA did not show any significant change in $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ with pH , hence Hill plot for H 2 A was not done.

Figure S2e: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ of CpU in the $1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq$ 6.92. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H6U $(1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.92)=0.013 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.97)$ with a slope $=0.96(\sigma=0.07)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.48(\sigma=0.03)$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.92)=0.073 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.05(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.58(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}(1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.92)=0.289 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.99(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.56(\sigma=0.01) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5C $(1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.92)=0.262 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.01(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.58(\sigma=0.01)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2f: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ of CpU in the $6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq$ 11.32. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}(6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.32)=0.162 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.06(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.21(\sigma=0.02)$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.32)=0.059 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.01(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.25(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H6C $(6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.32)=0.078 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.98)$ with a slope $=1.08(\sigma=0.07)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.18(\sigma=0.03) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5C $(6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.32)=0.053 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.07(\sigma=0.06)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.18(\sigma=0.03)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2g: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ of UpC in the $1.97 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.79 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(1.97 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.79)=0.033 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right) \mathrm{vs}$. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.82(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.71(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H6C $(1.97 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.79)=0.242 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.81(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.71(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5C $(1.97 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.79)=0.247 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.82(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.71(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of
correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs. H6U of UpC did not show any significant change in $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ with pH , hence Hill plot for H6U was not done.

Figure S2h: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ of UpC in the $6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq$ 11.42. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}(6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.42)=0.244 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.16(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.06(\sigma=0.01)$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.42)=0.068 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.16(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.04(\sigma=0.01) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H6C $(6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.42)=0.037 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.16(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.14(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5C $(6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.42)=0.021 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.17(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.06(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2i: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ of UpA in the $1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq$ 6.98. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $(1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.98)=0.188 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.17(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.07(\sigma=0.01)$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A} \quad(1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.98)=0.219 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.18(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.06(\sigma=0.01) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H6U $(1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.98)=0.094 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.27(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.12(\sigma=0.01) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.98)=0.052 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right) \mathrm{vs}$. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.17(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.01(\sigma=0.01)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2j: The Hill plots for $\delta H 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ of UpA in the $6.6 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.1 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H 6 U $(6.6 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.1)=0.226 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}$ $=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.07(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.09(\sigma=0.01) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}(6.6 \leq \mathrm{pH}$
$\leq 11.1)=0.117 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.06(\sigma=0.02)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.09(\sigma=0.01)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$, and 8 H 5 U are shown in the respective graphs. H 8 A and H 2 A of UpA did not show any significant change in $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ with pH , hence Hill plots for H 8 A and H 2 A were not done.

Figure S2k: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ of ApU in the $1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq$ 6.99. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $(1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.99)=0.216 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right) \mathrm{vs}$. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.98)$ with a slope $=0.97(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.95(\sigma=0.02)$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A} \quad(1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.99)=0.242 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.98)$ with a slope $=0.96(\sigma=0.06)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.95(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}(1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.99)=0.089 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.98)$ with a slope $=0.92(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.98(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.99)=0.159 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.98)$ with a slope $=0.96(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.95(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S21: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ of ApU in the $6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq$ 10.96. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $(6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.96)=0.021 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.04(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.35(\sigma=0.02)$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H2A $(6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.96)=0.007 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.99(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.33(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H6U $(6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.96)=0.126 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.99(\sigma=0.03)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.36(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.96)=0.017 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.13(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.42(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2m: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, of ApEt in the $1.62 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.38 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8A $(1.62 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.38)=0.228 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.98)$ with a slope $=0.97(\sigma=0.1)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.11(\sigma=0.04) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H2A $(1.62 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.38)=0.206 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.98)$ with a slope $=0.98(\sigma=0.1)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.10(\sigma=0.04)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2n: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ of GpEt in the $6.98 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.00 . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H8G $(6.98 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.00)=0.149 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=0.95(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.25(\sigma=0.02)$. The value of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}$ is shown in the respective graph.

Figure 20: The Hill plots for $\delta H 6 U, \delta H 5 U$, of $U p E t$ in the $6.65 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.44$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H6U $(6.65 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.44)=0.198 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.03(\sigma=0.05)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.44(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H5U $(6.65 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.44)=0.091 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=0.99)$ with a slope $=1.04(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.43(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S2p: The Hill plots for $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$, of CpEt in the $1.66 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.39$. $\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for H 6 C $(6.65 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.44)=0.321 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=1.00)$ with a slope $=1.01(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.83(\sigma=0.02) . \Delta_{\mathrm{T}}$ for $\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}(1.66 \leq$ $\mathrm{pH} \leq 6.39)=0.190 \mathrm{ppm}$. The plot of $\log \left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{T}}-\Delta\right) / \Delta\right)$ vs. pH gave a straight line $(\mathrm{R}=$ $1.00)$ with a slope $=1.02(\sigma=0.04)$ and $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.82(\sigma=0.02)$. The values of correlation coefficient $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ obtained from Hill plot analysis, and the Hill slope values of $\delta \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and $\delta \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ are shown in the respective graphs.

## Legends for Figure S3:

Figure S3a: pH dependant fraction protonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H 8 G ) of ApG within the pH values of $0.3 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.56$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.261 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 8 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.297$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.153 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 2 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 2 A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.322)$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}(7.933$ ppm ) of H 8 G is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 8 G and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta$ (1.124) in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and H 8 G are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3b: pH dependant fraction deprotonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of aromatic protons $(\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, H 8 G ) of ApG within the pH values of $7.56 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.63$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.261 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of H 8 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.009$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}$ (8.153 ppm ) of H 2 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 2 A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.032 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(7.933 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 8 G is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8G and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.068 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $f_{D} . p K_{a}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H8A, H2A and H8G are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3c: pH dependant fraction protonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ of aromatic protons $(\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, H 8 G ) of GpA within the pH values of $0.12 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 7.29$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.344 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 8 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.205$ ppm ) in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.206 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 2 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 2 A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.301 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$ (7.904 ppm) of H8G is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8G and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(1.184 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and H 8 G are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3d: pH dependant fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) of aromatic protons (H8A, H8G) of GpA within the pH values of $6.93 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.70$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.345 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 8 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.056$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}(7.906$ ppm ) of H 8 G is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 8 G and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.141 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H8A and H8G are shown in the respective graphs. H2A of GpA did not show any significant change in fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) with pH , hence pH dependant fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) of aromatic proton H2A was not done.

Figure S3e: pH dependant fraction protonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U, $\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ ) of CpU within the pH values of $1.87 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.92$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(\delta 7.930)$ of H 6 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.013$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(5.816 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 5 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 5 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.073 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 7.902 ppm ) of H 6 C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.289 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(5.969 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H5C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.262 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $f_{p} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H6U, H5U, H6C and H5C are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3f: pH dependant fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U, $\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}$ ) of CpU within the pH values of $6.92 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.32$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 7.930 ppm ) of H 6 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.162 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 5.816 ppm ) of H 5 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 5 U and divided by the
total change $\Delta \delta(0.059 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 7.902 ppm ) of H 6 C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.0 .078 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}\left(5.969 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ ) of H 5 C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.053 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of fraction deprotonation $f_{D} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H6U,H5U, H6C and H5C are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure $\mathbf{S 3 g}$ : pH dependant fraction protonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ of aromatic protons $(\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$, H 5 C ) of UpC within the pH values of $1.97 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.79 . \delta_{\text {neutral }}(5.858 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 5 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 5 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.033 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 7.936 ppm ) of H 6 C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.242 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(6.026 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H5C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 5 C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.247 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and H5C are shown in the respective graphs. H6U of UpA did not show any significant change in fraction protonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) with pH , hence pH dependant fraction protonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) of aromatic proton H2A was not done.

Figure S3h: pH dependant fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) of aromatic protons (H6U, H5U, H6C, H5C) of UpC within the pH values of $6.79 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.42$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 7.918 ppm ) of H6U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H6U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.244 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 5.858 ppm ) of H 5 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 5 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.068 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(7.936 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 6 C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.037 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}\left(6.026 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ ) of H 5 C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.021 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to
deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H 6 U , $\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and H 5 C are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3i: pH dependant fraction protonation of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H6U, H 5 U ) of UpA within the pH values of $1.11 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.60$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.435 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 8 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.188$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {neutral }}(8.256 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 2 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 5 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.219 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$ (7.748 ppm) of H6U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.094 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 5.785 ppm ) of H5U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.052 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $f_{p} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H8A, H2A H6U and H5U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3j: pH dependant fraction deprotonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of aromatic protons $(\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U})$ of UpA within the pH values of $6.60 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.10$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(7.918 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 6 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H6U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.226$ ppm ) in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}$ (5.731 ppm ) of H5U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.117 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H 6 U and H 5 U are shown in the respective graphs. H8A and H2A of UpA did not show any significant change in fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) with pH , hence pH dependant fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) of aromatic protons H8A and H2A were not done.

Figure S3k: pH dependant fraction protonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, H6U, H5U) of ApU within the pH values of $1.35 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.99$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.362 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of H8A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.216 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$
( 8.222 ppm ) of H 2 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 2 A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.242 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(7.767 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 6 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.089 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}\left(5.639 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ ) of H 5 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.159 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of $\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, H6U and H5U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3I: pH dependant fraction deprotonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A, $\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}$ ) of ApU within the pH values of $6.99 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 10.96$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.362 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H8A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.021 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 8.222 ppm ) of H 2 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 2 A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.007 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(7.767 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 6 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 6 U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.126 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} . \delta_{\text {neutral }}\left(5.638 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ ) of H 5 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.017 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H8A, $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and H 5 U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3m: pH dependant fraction protonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ of aromatic protons (H8A, H2A) of ApEt within the pH values of $1.62 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.38$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.354 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of H 8 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H8A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.228 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $f_{\mathrm{P}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.270 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 2 A is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 2 A and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.206$ ppm ) in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $f_{p} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H8A and H2A are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3n: pH dependant fraction deprotonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) of aromatic proton (H8G) of GpEt within the pH values of $6.89 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.00$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(8.010 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 8 G is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H 8 G and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.149 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $f_{D} . \mathrm{pK}_{a}$ value obtained from Hill plot of H8G is shown in the respective graph.

Figure S30: pH dependant fraction deprotonation $\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)$ of aromatic protons $(\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U})$ of UpEt within the pH values of $6.65 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 11.44$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}$ ( 7.884 ppm ) of H 6 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H6U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.198$ $\mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(5.918$ ppm ) of H5U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.091 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to deprotonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}$. $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H 6 U and H 5 U are shown in the respective graphs.

Figure S3p: pH dependant fraction protonation ( $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) of aromatic protons (H6C, H5C) of CpEt within the pH values of $1.66 \leq \mathrm{pH} \leq 6.39$. $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\text {neutral }}(7.848 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 6 U is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H6U and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.321 \mathrm{ppm})$ in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$. $\delta_{\text {neutral }}(6.069 \mathrm{ppm})$ of H 5 C is substracted from $\delta_{\text {obs }}$ at each pH values of H5C and divided by the total change $\Delta \delta(0.190$ ppm ) in going from neutral to protonated state to get the value of $f_{p} . \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values obtained from Hill plot of H 6 C and H 5 C are shown in the respective graphs.

## Legends for Figure S4:

Panels (A1) - (E2) show the chamical shift change over the pH-range, $\Delta \delta\left[\delta_{N}-\delta_{P / D}\right.$, in $\mathrm{ppm} ; \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{D}$ stands for neutral, protonated and deprotonated state], as a basis for atom- $\pi \sigma$ interaction ${ }^{12,16}$ between nearest neighbor nucleobases in $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{6} . \Delta \delta$ corresponds to the relative shielding (upfield shift, $\Delta \delta>0$ ) or deshielding (downfield shift, $\Delta \delta<0$ ) as a function of pH (see Figure S 1 ). $\Delta \delta$ of nucleotide-3'-ethylphosphate and nucleoside have
been used for mimicking 3 '-nucleotidyl unit ( Np ) and 5'-nucleotidyl unit ( $\mathrm{pN}^{\prime}$ ) for corresponding dinucleotide monophospahte ( NpN ').

The electrostatic interaction between the partial charge distribution of a nucleobase (depending upon its pseudoaromatic character) and the $\pi$-electron density corresponding to the next base constitutes charge transfer through atom- $\pi \sigma$ interaction ${ }^{12,16,43}$. The protonation/deprotonation at nucleobase changes the conformational characteristics of pentose moieties as well as the partial charge distribution of aglycone (particularly for heteroatoms), in ground state, which in turn, causes a differential electrostatic interaction between neighboring nucleobases.

Panel (A1) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of ApG in alkaline range ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 7.0-11.0 ; \mathrm{ApG}^{-}$): H8A and H2A of ApG [(H8A) $\mathrm{pG}^{-}:-0.009 \mathrm{ppm}$ and (H2A) $\left.\mathrm{pG}^{-}:-0.032 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and ApEt [no change of (H8A)pEt and (H2A)pEt, as adenosine does not have any deprotonation site]; H8G of ApG [Ap( $\underline{\mathbf{H 8 G}}^{-}$): 0.068ppm] and G [ $\mathbf{H 8 G}$ : 0.138 ppm$]$. Panel (A2) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of GpA in alkaline range ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 7.0-11.0$; $\mathrm{G}^{-} \mathrm{pA}$ ): H8G of GpA [( $\left.\mathbf{H 8 G}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pA}$ : $0.141 \mathrm{ppm}]$ and $\mathrm{GpEt}\left[\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 8 G}}{ }^{-}\right) \mathrm{pEt}: 0.149 \mathrm{ppm}\right] ; \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ and H2A of GpA $\left[\mathrm{G}^{-} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A}}):-\right.$ 0.056 ppm and $\mathrm{G}^{-} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 2 A}}):-0.004 \mathrm{ppm}$ ] and A [no change of $\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H 2 A}}$ as adenosine does not have any deprotonation site].

Panel (B1) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of ApU in acidic range ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 2.0-7.0 ; \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{pU}$ ): H8A and H 2 A of $\mathrm{ApU}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pA}:-0.216 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.(\underline{\mathbf{H 2 A}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pA}:-0.242 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and $\mathrm{ApEt}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pEt}:-0.321 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{H 2 A}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pEt}:-$ $0.19 \mathrm{ppm}]$; H6U and H5U of $\mathrm{ApU}\left[\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}):-0.089 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}):-0.159 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and U [no change of $\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}$ as uridine does not have any protonation site]. Panel (B2) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of ApU in alkaline range $(\mathrm{pH}$ $\left.\sim 7.0-11.0 ; \mathrm{CpU}^{-}\right): \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ and H 2 A of $\mathrm{ApU}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A}}) \mathrm{pU}^{-}: 0.021 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{H 2 A}}) \mathrm{pU}^{-}:-$ 0.007 ppm ] and ApEt [no change of ( $\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A})}$ )pEt and ( $\underline{\mathbf{H 2 A}}) \mathrm{pEt}$, as adenosine does not have any deprotonation site,]; H 6 U and $\mathrm{H5U}$ of $\mathrm{ApU}\left[\mathrm{Ap}\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 6}}^{-}\right): 0.126 \mathrm{ppm}, \operatorname{Ap}\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 5}}^{-}\right)\right.$: $0.017 \mathrm{ppm}]$ and U [ $\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}: 0.176 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}: 0.084 \mathrm{ppm}]$.
Panel ( $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{1}$ ) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of UpA in acidic range $\left(\mathrm{pH} \sim 1.0-7.0 ; \mathrm{UpA}^{\mathrm{H}+}\right): \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and H 5 U of $\mathrm{UpA}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}) \mathrm{pA}^{\mathrm{H}}:-0.094 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, $(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}) \mathrm{pA}^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.052 \mathrm{ppm}$ ] and UpEt [no change of $(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}) \mathrm{pEt}$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}) \mathrm{pEt}$ as uridine
does not have any protonation site]; H8A and H2A of $\mathrm{UpA}\left[\mathrm{Up}(\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A}})^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.188 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Up}(\underline{\mathbf{H} 2 \mathbf{A}})^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.219 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and $\mathrm{A}\left[\underline{\mathbf{H} 8 \mathbf{A}}^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.216 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.\underline{\mathbf{H} 2 A}^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.198 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$. Panel (C2) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of UpA in alkaline range ( pH $\sim 7.0$ - 11.0; $\left.\mathrm{U}^{-} \mathrm{pA}\right): \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and H 5 U of $\mathrm{UpA}\left[\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pA}: 0.226 \mathrm{ppm},\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pA}:-\right.$ $0.117 \mathrm{ppm}]$ and $\mathrm{UpEt}\left[\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pEt}: 0.198 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 5}}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pEt}: 0.091 \mathrm{ppm}\right] ; \mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ and H2A of UpA [almost no change, $\mathrm{U}^{-} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 8 A}}):-0.003 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\mathrm{U}^{-} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 2 A}}):-0.002 \mathrm{ppm}$ ] and A [no change of H8A and H2A as adenosine does not have any deprotonation site].

Panel (D1) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of UpC in acidic range $\left(\mathrm{pH} \sim 2.0-7.0 ; \mathrm{UpC}^{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ : H 6 U and H 5 U of $\mathrm{UpC}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}) \mathrm{pC}^{\mathrm{H}+}: 0.002 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$, $(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}) \mathrm{pC}^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.033 \mathrm{ppm}$ ] and UpEt [no change of $(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}) \mathrm{pEt}$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}) \mathrm{pEt}$ as uridine does not have any protonation site]; H 6 C and H 5 C of $\mathrm{UpC}\left[\mathrm{Up}(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}})^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.242 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Up}(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 C}})^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.247 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and C $\left[\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}}^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.309 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.\underline{\mathbf{H 5 C}}^{\mathrm{H}+}:-0.19 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$. Panel (D2) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of UpC in alkaline range $(\mathrm{pH}$ $\sim 7.0$ - 11.0; $\left.\mathrm{U}^{-} \mathrm{pC}\right): \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}$ and H 5 U of $\mathrm{UpC}\left[\left(\mathbf{H 6 U}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pC}: 0.068 \mathrm{ppm},\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}{ }^{-}\right) \mathrm{pC}\right.$ : $0.033 \mathrm{ppm}]$ and $\mathrm{UpEt}\left[\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pEt}: 0.198 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 5}}^{-}\right) \mathrm{pEt}: 0.091 \mathrm{ppm}\right] ; \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and H 5 C of $\mathrm{UpC}\left[\mathrm{U}^{-} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}}): 0.037 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{U}^{-} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}}):-0.021 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and C [no change of $\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H C}}$ as cytidine does not have any deprotonation site].

Panel (E1) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of CpU in acidic range ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 2.0-7.0$; $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{pU}$ ): H 6 C and H 5 C of $\mathrm{CpU}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pU}:-0.289 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left.(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 C}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pU}:-0.262 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and $\mathrm{CpEt}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pEt}:-0.321 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 C}})^{\mathrm{H}+} \mathrm{pEt}:-\right.$ $0.19 \mathrm{ppm}]$; H6U and H5U of $\mathrm{CpU}\left[\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{H}^{+}} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}): 0.013 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{H}^{+}} \mathrm{p}(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}):-0.073 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$ and U [no change of $\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}$ as uridine does not have any protonation site]. Panel (E2) shows the pH -dependent $\Delta \delta$ of following aromatic protons of CpU in alkaline range $(\mathrm{pH}$ $\left.\sim 7.0-11.0 ; \mathrm{CpU}^{-}\right): \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}$ and H 5 C of $\mathrm{CpU}\left[(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}}) \mathrm{pU}^{-}: 0.078 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{H 5 C}}) \mathrm{pU}^{-}$: 0.053 ppm ] and CpEt [no change of $(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}}) \mathrm{pEt}$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{H 6 C}}) \mathrm{pEt}$, as cytidine does not have any deprotonation site]; H 6 U and H 5 U of $\mathrm{CpU}\left[\mathrm{Cp}\left(\underline{\mathbf{H} 6}^{-}\right): 0.013 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{Cp}\left(\underline{\mathbf{H 5}}^{-}\right)\right.$: $0.073 \mathrm{ppm}]$ and U [ $\underline{\mathbf{H 6 U}}: 0.176 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{H 5 U}}: 0.084 \mathrm{ppm}]$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (at 500 MHz with $\delta_{\mathrm{DSS}}=0.015 \mathrm{ppm}$ as internal standard) for nucleoside adenosine (A), Guanosine (G), Uridine (U) and Cytidine (C) [with sample concentration of 1 mM ] have been studied at acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$, neutral $(\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.3)$ state to compare with the $5^{\prime}$-nucleotidyl moieties of dimer, $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{6}$. The pH -dependent chemical
shifts ( $\delta$, in ppm ) of aromatic protons are as follows: $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}}=8.555($ at $\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and 8.339 (at $\mathrm{pH}=6.6) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}}=8.458($ at $\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and $8.260($ at $\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$ for $\mathbf{A}$. $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{G}}=8.591$ (at pH $=1.9), 8.002($ at $\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$ and $7.864($ at $\mathrm{pH}=10.3)$ for $\mathbf{G} . \delta_{\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}}=5.901$ (at $\left.\mathrm{pH}=6.6\right)$ and 5.817 (at $\mathrm{pH}=10.3) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{U}}=7.871($ at $\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$ and $7.695($ at $\mathrm{pH}=10.3)$ for $\mathbf{U} . \delta_{\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{C}}=$ $6.054($ at $\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and $6.244($ at $\mathrm{pH}=6.6) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}}=7.84($ at $\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and $8.149($ at $\mathrm{pH}=$ 6.6) for $\mathbf{C}$.

## Legends for Figure S5:

Panels $(\mathbf{A})-(\mathbf{F})$ show the dimerisation $\operatorname{shift}^{31-33}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{NpEt}}-\delta_{\mathrm{NpN}^{\prime}}\right.$, in ppm) of the aromatic protons of 3 ' nucleotidyl unit of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{6}$ at neutral $(\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$, acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.4)$ state. Due to base-base stacking interaction in dinucleoside monophosphates, $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{6}$, the aromatic protons are shifted upfield compared to their monomeric counterparts, $7 \mathbf{- 1 0}$. [except for $\delta_{\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}}$ of ApU at neutral $\mathrm{pH}, \delta_{\mathrm{H} 5 \mathrm{U}}$ of UpA in alkaline pH and $\delta_{\mathrm{HGU}}$ of UpC as well as $\delta_{\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{C}}$ of CpU at both neutral and acidic pH where different partial charges between the nearest neighbor neucleobases cause these aromatic proton to get deshielded on dimerisation.]

Panel (A) shows dimerisation shift for H8A and H2A of ApG compared to H8A and H2A of ApEt at neutral $(\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$, acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.4)$ state. Panel $(\mathbf{B})$ shows dimerisation shift for H8G of GpA compared to H8G of GpEt at neutral ( $\mathrm{pH}=$ $6.6)$, acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.4)$ state. Panel $(\mathbf{C})$ shows dimerisation shift for H8A and H2A of ApU compared to H8A and H2A of ApEt at neutral ( $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$ ), acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.4)$ state. Panel $(\mathbf{D})$ shows dimerisation shift for H5U and H6U of UpA compared to H5U and H6U of UpEt at neutral ( $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$ ), acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.4)$ state. Panel $(\mathbf{E})$ shows dimerisation shift for H 5 U and H6U of UpC compared to H5U and H6U of UpEt at neutral ( $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$ ), acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.4)$ state. Panel $(\mathbf{F})$ shows dimerisation shift for H 5 C and H 6 C of CpU compared to H 5 C and H 6 C of CpEt at neutral $(\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$, acidic $(\mathrm{pH}=1.9)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.4)$ state. See Table S3 for details.
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Table S1. pH -dependent ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}( \pm 0.1)^{\mathrm{a}}$, percentage population of N -type pseudorotamer ${ }^{6}(\% \mathrm{~N})$ and corresponding free energy $\left(\Delta G_{\mathrm{N} /(298 \mathrm{~K})}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$ and Stacking free energy $\left(\Delta \Delta G^{\circ}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 298 K for $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

| Compd. |  | $\mathrm{pH}=1.9( \pm 0.1)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$ ( $\pm 0.1)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{pH}=10.3( \pm 0.1)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${ }^{3} J_{12}{ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{3} J_{2^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}$ | ${ }^{3} J^{3} 4^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \\ & \mathrm{~N} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta G^{\circ}$ | $\Delta \Delta G^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{3} J_{12}{ }^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{3} J_{2^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}$ | ${ }^{3} J^{3} 4^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \\ & \mathrm{~N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta G^{\circ}$ | $\Delta \Delta G^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{3} J_{12} 2^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{3} J_{2^{\prime 3}}$ | ${ }^{3} J^{3}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta G^{\circ}$ | $\Delta \Delta G^{\circ}$ |
| ApG <br> (1) | Ap | 4.4 | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $51^{\text {g }}$ | -0.1 | -1.4 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 54 | -0.4 | -3.6 | 5.2 | $5.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4.2 | 46 | 0.4 | $-2.8{ }^{\text {k }}$ |
|  | pG | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 49 | 0.1 |  | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 53 | -0.3 |  | 4.7 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 49 | 0.1 |  |
| GpA <br> (2) | Gp | 4.0 | $5.0^{\text {d }}$ | 5.4 | 59 | -0.9 | $-0.9{ }^{\text {k }}$ | 4.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 56 | -0.6 | -3.2 | 6.2 | $5.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.6 | 25 | 2.7 | -1.7 |
|  | pA | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 56 | -0.6 |  | 4.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 53 | -0.3 |  | 5.1 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 43 | 0.7 |  |
| ApU <br> (3) | Ap | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 46 | 0.4 | -0.9 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 54 | -0.4 | -3.6 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.2 | 46 | 0.4 | $-2.8{ }^{\text {k }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{U}$ | 3.7 | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $61^{\mathrm{g}}$ | -1.1 |  | 3.5 | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $-^{\text {h }}$ | $64^{\mathrm{g}}$ | -1.4 |  | 3.9 | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $58^{\mathrm{g}}$ | -0.7 |  |
| UpA <br> (4) | Up | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 45 | 0.5 | $-0.3{ }^{\text {k }}$ | 4.6 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 50 | 0.0 | -0.8 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 45 | 0.5 | -0.7 |
|  | pA | 4.6 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 53 | -0.3 |  | 4.8 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 51 | -0.1 |  | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 47 | 0.3 |  |
| UpC (5) | Up | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 47 | 0.3 | $-0.5{ }^{\text {k }}$ | 3.9 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 57 | -0.7 | -1.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.5 | 49 | 0.1 | -1.1 |
|  | pC | 3.2 | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $68^{\text {g }}$ | -1.8 |  | 3.4 | 5.3 | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $65^{\text {g }}$ | -1.5 |  | 3.8 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 59 | -0.9 |  |
| CpU <br> (6) | Cp | 3.8 | 5.2 | 6.2 | 67 | -1.7 | -1.1 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 67 | -1.7 | -1.9 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 6.4 | 70 | -2.1 | $-2.3{ }^{\text {k }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{U}$ | 3.7 | 5.1 | - ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | $61^{\mathrm{g}}$ | -1.1 |  | 2.6 | 5.0 | $-^{\text {h }}$ | $77^{\text {g }}$ | -3.0 |  | 2.5 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 78 | -3.1 |  |
| $\operatorname{ApEt}(7)$ |  | 5.7 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 37 | 1.3 | - | 6.8 | 5.3 | 2.7 | 21 | 3.2 | - | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $-^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |
| $\operatorname{GpEt}(8)$ |  | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $-^{\text {e }}$ | $50^{\text {f }}$ | $0.0{ }^{\text {f }}$ | - | 6.5 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 26 | 2.6 | - | 7.3 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 14 | 4.4 | - |
| $\operatorname{UpEt}(\mathbf{9})$ |  | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - | 5.3 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 42 | 0.8 | - | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.6 | 38 | 1.2 | - |
| CpEt (10) |  | 4.1 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 56 | -0.6 | - | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 48 | 0.2 | - | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $-^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | - |

${ }^{a}$ calculated using DAISY simulation program package (supplied by Bruker Spectrospin, Germany) of the experimental ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra. ${ }^{b}$ calculated using PSEUROT ${ }^{4-}$ ${ }^{6}$ (see the experimental section for details). The negative $\Delta G_{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}(298 \mathrm{~K})}^{\mathrm{o}}$ implies relatively more N-type conformational population, so more stabilization due to stacking. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
The stacking free energy $\left[\Delta \Delta G^{\circ} \cong \Delta G_{\text {Stacking }}^{\mathrm{o}} \text {, in } \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \text { ] has been calculated by [ } \Delta G_{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}(298 \mathrm{~K})}^{\mathrm{o}}\right]_{\text {dimer }}-\left[\Delta G_{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}(298 \mathrm{~K})}^{\mathrm{o}}\right]_{\text {monomer }}$ (see Experimental section for details). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Due to the spectral overlap with HOD signal at these pH , we have taken values by extrapolation from nearest available pH . ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ No NMR experiments have been performed as there is no pronation/deprotonation site at such pH range. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ value for EtpGpEt (see ref. 5) has been used as 5'-ethylphosphate has very little conformational effect on sugar geometry ${ }^{6}$, so can be ignored. ${ }^{g} \% \mathrm{~N}( \pm 3.0)$ has been calculated by $\% \mathrm{~N}=100 *\left(7.9-{ }^{3} J_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}\right) / 6.9$ ] due to unavailability of all ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ no PSEUROT could be performed. ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ No simulation of the spectra could be performed due to either spectral overlapping or overlap with HOD signal. ${ }^{\mathrm{k}} \Delta \Delta G^{\circ}$ has been calculated using [ $\left.\Delta G_{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}(298 \mathrm{~K})}^{\mathrm{o}}\right]_{\text {monomer }}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$.

Table S2. PSEUROT (v5.4) calculations ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ based on ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ at acidic ( $\mathrm{pH}=1.9$ ), neutral $(\mathrm{pH}=6.6)$ and alkaline $(\mathrm{pH}=10.3)$ state for $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{1 0}$ in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

| Compd. |  | $\mathrm{pH}=1.9( \pm 0.1)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{pH}=6.6 \mathbf{( \pm 0 . 1 )}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{pH}=10.3$ ( $\pm 0.1$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $P_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $P_{\text {S }}$ | $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$ | \%S | $\mathrm{rms}^{\text {d }}$ | $P_{\text {N }}$ | $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $P_{\text {S }}$ | [ $\left.\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$ | \%S | $\mathrm{rms}^{\text {d }}$ | $P_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $P_{\text {S }}$ | $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$ | \%S | $\mathrm{rms}^{\text {d }}$ |
| ApG <br> (1) | Ap | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -15 | 40 | 141 | 40 | 46 | $\pm 0.1$ | -15 | 40 | 141 | 40 | 54 | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | pG | -11 | 39 | 131 | 39 | 51 | $\pm 0.1$ | -11 | 39 | 131 | 39 | 47 | $\pm 0.1$ | -11 | 39 | 131 | 39 | 51 | $\pm 0.1$ |
| GpA$(2)$ | Gp | 34 | 36 | 175 | 36 | 41 | $\pm 0.1$ | 34 | 36 | 175 | 36 | 44 | $\pm 0.1$ | 34 | 36 | 175 | 36 | 75 | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | pA | 7 | 36 | 138 | 36 | 45 | $\pm 0.1$ | 7 | 36 | 138 | 36 | 47 | $\pm 0.1$ | 7 | 36 | 138 | 36 | 57 | $\pm 0.1$ |
| ApU <br> (3) | Ap | 5 | 37 | 139 | 37 | 54 | $\pm 0.1$ | 5 | 37 | 139 | 37 | 46 | $\pm 0.1$ | 5 | 37 | 139 | 37 | 54 | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{U}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - b | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - b | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - b | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| UpA <br> (4) | Up | 15 | 37 | 135 | 37 | 55 | $\pm 0.1$ | 15 | 37 | 135 | 37 | 50 | $\pm 0.1$ | 15 | 37 | 135 | 37 | 55 | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | pA | -16 | 41 | 131 | 41 | 47 | $\pm 0.1$ | -16 | 41 | 131 | 41 | 49 | $\pm 0.1$ | -16 | 41 | 131 | 41 | 53 | $\pm 0.1$ |
| UpC <br> (5) | Up | 15 | 36 | 135 | 36 | 53 | $\pm 0.1$ | 15 | 36 | 135 | 36 | 43 | $\pm 0.1$ | 15 | 36 | 135 | 36 | 51 | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | pC | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - b | - b | $-{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - b |
| CpU <br> (6) | Cp | 39 | 35 | 180 | 37 | 33 | $\pm 0.1$ | 39 | 35 | 180 | 37 | 33 | $\pm 0.1$ | 39 | 35 | 180 | 37 | 30 | $\pm 0.1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{U}$ | - b | - b | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - b | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - b | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {b }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{ApEt}(7)$ |  | 23 | 35 | 152 | 35 | 63 | $\pm 0.1$ | 23 | 35 | 152 | 35 | 79 | $\pm 0.1$ | $-^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $-^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| GpEt (8) |  | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 23 | 35 | 154 | 35 | 74 | $\pm 0.1$ | 23 | 35 | 154 | 35 | 86 | $\pm 0.1$ |
| UpEt (9) |  | $-^{\text {c }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $-^{\text {c }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $-{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 10 | 37 | 135 | 37 | 58 | $\pm 0.1$ | 10 | 37 | 135 | 37 | 62 | $\pm 0.1$ |
| CpEt (10) |  | 13 | 36 | 134 | 36 | 44 | $\pm 0.1$ | 13 | 36 | 134 | 36 | 52 | $\pm 0.1$ | $-^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{pH}$-dependent ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ at 298 K . See the experimental section for details of PSEUROT methodology. Geometries of the pentose moieties have been defined by puckering amplitudes and pseudorotational angle ${ }^{6}$ for N-type ( $P_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) and S-type conformer ( $P_{\mathrm{S}}$ and [ $\left.\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$ ). During several PSEUROT optimizations [ $\left.\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{S}}$ were initially kept fixed to identical values in the range from $27^{\circ}$ to $45^{\circ}$ for $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}$ and surveyed the conformational hyperspace for N - and S-type pseudorotamers, in $1^{\circ}$ steps. For 7 -9 at neutral and alkaline $\mathrm{pH}, P_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\left[\Psi_{m}\right]_{\mathrm{N}}$ (minor conformers, mole fraction $\leq 70 \%$ ) were kept fixed. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to the non-availability of endocyclic ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{HH}}$, PSEUROT could not be performed. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ No NMR experiments have been performed (See Table S1). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The overall rms of the PSEUROT calculations. The error estimates have been assessed in terms of $\Delta J_{\max }$ and r.m.s. (see experimental section for details) having $\Delta J_{\max }$ and r.m.s. values $\leq 0.4$ and $\leq 0.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respectively.

Table S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ chemical shifts ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ as well as the dimerisation shifts ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ (shown in parenthesis) for aromatic protons of compounds $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 1 0}$ at three different pHs as specified below.

| Compd. |  | pH=1.9 ( $\pm 0.1$ ) |  |  |  | $\mathrm{pH}=6.6$ ( $\pm 0.1)$ |  |  |  | $\mathbf{p H}=10.3$ ( $\pm$ 0.1) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢H8 | ¢H2 | סH5 | 8H6 | סH8 | ¢ H 2 | 8H5 | 8H6 | 8H8 | 8H2 | 8H5 | 8H6 |
| ApG <br> (1) | Ap | $\begin{gathered} 8.526 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 5 2})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.436 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 3 6})^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 8.262 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 9 2})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.153 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 1 1 7})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 8.268 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 8 6})^{\text {b,c }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.180 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 9})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
|  | pG | 8.626 | - | - | - | 7.942 | - | - | - | 7.868 | - | - | - |
| GpA <br> (2) | Gp | $\begin{gathered} 8.382 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 1 3 2})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 7.913 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 9 7})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 7.775 \\ (\mathbf{0} .09)^{\text {b }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
|  | pA | 8.544 | 8.456 | - | - | 8.344 | 8.206 | - | - | 8.399 | 8.210 | - | - |
| ApU <br> (3) | Ap | $\begin{gathered} 8.567 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 1 1})^{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.449 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 2 3})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 8.362 \\ (-\mathbf{0 . 0 0 8})^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.222 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 4 8})^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 8.342 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 1 2})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.228 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 4 2})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{U}$ | - | - | 5.789 | 7.853 | - | - | 5.638 | 7.767 | - | - | 5.653 | 7.649 |
| UpA <br> (4) | Up | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.831 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 8 7})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.833 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 5 1})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.731 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 1 8 7})^{b} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.751 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 1 3 3})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.843 \\ (-\mathbf{0 . 0 0 5})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.534 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 1 7 7})^{b} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | pA | 8.604 | 8.451 | - | - | 8.435 | 8.256 | - | - | 8.438 | 8.258 | - | - |
| UpC <br> (5) | Up | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.891 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 2 7})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.916 \\ (-\mathbf{0 . 0 3 2})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.858 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 6})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.919 \\ (-\mathbf{0 . 0 3 5})^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.794 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 4 4})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.689 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 2 2})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | pC | - | - | 6.273 | 8.178 | - | - | 6.024 | 7.935 | - | - | 6.046 | 7.901 |
| CpU <br> (6) | Cp | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 6.231 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 2 7})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.191 \\ (-\mathbf{0 . 0 2 2})^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.970 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 9 9})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.902 \\ (-\mathbf{0 . 0 5 4})^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 5.924 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 1 4 5})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.836 \\ (\mathbf{0 . 0 1 2})^{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{p} \mathbf{U}$ | - | - | 5.889 | 7.917 | - | - | 5.816 | 7.930 | - | - | 5.767 | 7.792 |
| ApEt (7) |  | 8.578 | 8.472 | - | - | 8.354 | 8.270 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\operatorname{GpEt}(\mathbf{8})$ |  | 8.514 | - | - | - | 8.010 | - | - | - | 7.865 | - | - | - |
| UpEt (9) |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.918 | 7.884 | - | - | 5.838 | 7.711 |
| CpEt (10) |  | - | - | 6.258 | 8.169 | - | - | 6.069 | 7.848 | - | - | - | - |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ In ppm. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The values in parenthesis with bold, indicate the dimerisation shifts ( $\delta_{\mathrm{NpEt}}-\delta_{\mathrm{NpN}}$ ) of the corresponding protons (See Figure S5). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ dimerisation shifts ( $\delta_{\mathrm{NpEt}}-\delta_{\mathrm{NPN}}$ ) at this pH have been calculated using $\delta_{\mathrm{NpEt}}$ of neutral pH assuming that there will be no change of chemical shift over the pH due to the absence of any protonation/deprotonation site at that particular pH range.

