Supporting Information

A Synthetic Model for the Putative Fe^{IV}₂O₂ Diamond Core of Methane Monooxygenase Intermediate Q

Miquel Costas,^a Jan-Uwe Rohde,^a Audria Stubna,^b Raymond Y. N. Ho,^a Luca Quaroni,^a Eckard Münck,^{*b} and Lawrence Que, Jr.^{*a}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Center for Metals in Biocatalysis, University of Minnesota, 207 Pleasant St. SE, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455 ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

Preparation of [Fe(BPMCN)(OTf)₂], (1) and [Fe(5-Me₂-BPMCN)(OTf)₂].

[Fe(BPMCN)(OTf)₂] (1). The preparation of 1 was carried out in an anaerobic box. The ligand BPMCN (*N*,*N*'-bis-(2-pyridylmethyl)-*N*,*N*'-dimethyl-*trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane, 220 mg, 0.68 mmol) was dissolved in THF (8 mL). The solution was then vigorously stirred while Fe(OTf)₂.2MeCN (296 mg, 0.68 mmol) dissolved in THF (10 mL) was added. After stirring for 1 h, the solution was filtered to obtain a white solid. Recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/hexane afforded the product as colorless fine needles (124 mg, 27%). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{23}H_{32}F_{6}FeN_{4}O_{6}S_{2}.H_{2}O$: C, 37.94; H, 4.34; N, 8.04. Found: C, 37.89; H, 4.18; N, 7.99.

The preparation of [Fe(5-Me₂-BPMCN)(OTf)₂] was carried out in an analogous manner with 5-Me₂-BPMCN in place of BPMCN. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{25}H_{36}F_{6}FeN_{4}O_{6}S_{2}$: C, 40.80; H, 4.57; N, 7.93. Found: C, 40.91; H, 4.52; N, 7.93. The ¹H NMR spectra shown in Figure S1 and their strong similarity indicate that 1 has the *cis*- β ligand topology found crystallographically for [Fe(5-Me₂-BPMCN)(OTf)₂].

Preparation and characterization of $[Fe_2(\mu-O)_2(BPMCN)_2](CF_3SO_3)_4$, (3)

A typical preparation of **3** is as follows: To a solution of **1** (13 mg, 2 μ mol) in 3 mL CH₂Cl₂ cooled to -83 °C was added 4 μ L of a 5M solution of TBHP in nonane (20 μ mol) diluted in 1 mL of CH₂Cl₂ also precooled to -83 °C; this mixture was maintained at this temperature for 24 h. 10 mL precooled pentane was then added to the reaction mixture, which was allowed to stand at this temperature until a dark blue solid was deposited. After decantation of the supernatant, the solid was washed three times with cold pentane and dried at low temperature under vacuum. Mössbauer analysis of ⁵⁷Fe enriched samples demonstrated the product to be generally >95% pure. The product was found to be stable for weeks at -83 °C, but slowly decomposed at -70 °C. The isolated solid was used for subsequent spectroscopic characterization or reactivity studies.

A sample of **3** was dried in vacuo at room temperature for several hours. The yellow powder that remained was subjected to elemental analysis (C, H, N, S, F) by Atlantic Microbab Inc. A portion of this powder was dissolved in 0.1 M HCl and analyzed for Fe content by ICP-AES. Calcd. for $C_{44}H_{56}F_{12}Fe_2N_8O_{14}S_4$ (found) %C, 38.05 (37.17); %H, 4.06 (4.70); %F, 16.41 (14.57); %Fe, 8.04 (7.18); %N, 8.07 (7.10); %S, 9.23 (8.02). Values for %Fe, %F, %N and %S are 12(1)% lower than expected for the given formulation. However the Fe:N:F:S atom ratio of 1:3.93:5.95:1.94 is almost precisely that predicted for an empirical formula of [Fe(BPMCN)](OTf)₂ for **3**, consistent with the Mössbauer data showing that the iron centers of **3** are >95% in one form. Inclusion of solvent molecules into the formulation may account for the experimental deviation; eg. Calcd. for $C_{44}H_{56}F_{12}Fe_2N_8O_{14}S_4$ •0.5C₅H₁₂•1.5CH₂Cl₂ (found) %C, 37.14 (37.17); %H, 4.22 (4.70); %F, 14.69 (14.57); %Fe, 7.19 (7.18); %N, 7.22 (7.10); %S, 8.26 (8.02).

Reaction of 3 with adamantane

Solid **3** obtained from the reaction of **1** with TBHP was dissolved in 2 mL acetone at -78 °C in an Schlenk flask. To this solution was added 3 mL of a -78 °C adamantane solution in

acetone:CH₂Cl₂ 2:1 v/v (50 equivalents relative to the amount of **1** used to generate **3**). The mixture was purged three times through a vacuum-Ar cycle and left under argon. The reaction mixture was then warmed in a -40 °C bath for two hours, after which time all the blue color of **3** had completely disappeared. Products were analyzed by GC and GC-MS after addition of an internal standard (naphthalene). Yields were reported relative to the amount of **3**, assumed to be formed quantitatively from **1**.





Analysis of the Mössbauer Spectra of 3

The Mössbauer spectra of **3** were analyzed with a spin Hamiltonian appropriate for an exchange coupled system involving two $S_1 = S_2 = 1$ Fe^{IV} sites.

$$H = J\mathbf{S}_{1} \bullet \mathbf{S}_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \{ D_{i}(\mathbf{S}_{iz}^{2} - \frac{2}{3}) + E_{i}(\mathbf{S}_{ix}^{2} - \mathbf{S}_{iy}^{2}) - g_{n}\beta_{n}\mathbf{B} \bullet \mathbf{I}_{i} + \frac{eQ_{i}V_{iz'z'}}{12} [3\mathbf{I}_{iz'}^{2} - \frac{15}{4} + \eta_{i}(\mathbf{I}_{ix'}^{2} - \mathbf{I}_{iy'}^{2})] \}$$

In this eq the index i sums over the two Fe sites, J is the exchange coupling constant, \mathbf{D}_i is the zero-field splitting (ZFS) tensor of site i (principal components D_i and E_i), \mathbf{g}_i and \mathbf{A}_i are the gand A-tensors of the sites, and $H_Q(i)$ describes the quadrupole interaction of site i (ΔE_{Qi} and η_i). In order to obtain satisfactory fits we had to allow that the A-tensors and the EFG-tensors are rotated by Euler angles (α_A , β_A , γ_A) and (α_{EFG} , β_{EFG} , γ_{EFG}) relative to the ZFS tensor. We have no evidence for inequivalent Fe sites, and therefore we used the same fine structure and hyperfine structure parameters for both sites.

For J < 0 a ground state with total spin S = 2 results. The magnetic features of the high field Mössbauer spectra result from mixing the $M_S = \pm 1$ sublevels of the quintet with the $M_S = 0$ ground state. However, the large local zero-field splittings of the Fe^{IV} sites also mix the S = 1 and S = 0 multiplets with the S = 2 manifold, somewhat modifying the expectation values of the electronic spin. For antiferromagnetic coupling, J > 0, the mixing is more elaborate. Thus, the zero-field mixing terms mix the substates of the S = 2 manifold into the diamagnetic ground state. Because the energies of the levels of the S = 2 multiplet depend on the applied magnetic field, the energy denominators of the second-order mixing terms depend on the applied field, and thus the expectation values of the electronic site spins become field dependent, producing field dependent magnetic hyperfine splittings in the Mössbauer spectra.

Good fits were obtained as long as $|J| < 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. For antiferromagnetic coupling and $J > 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, the ground state did not produce the required size of the hyperfine field. For the ferromagnetic case and D < -5 cm⁻¹ the 4.2 K data could be fit, but when these fits were checked against spectra taken at higher temperature, the theory produced hyperfine fields that were too large. [If only a single multiplet is considered, the internal magnetic field, at 4.2 K and saturation, is proportional to S whereas at high temperature the field follows the Curie law and is thus proportional to S(S+1). If J < -5 cm⁻¹ the S = 2 multiplet has too much weight at higher temperature.]

The J-values compatible with our data are rather small. However, there are no precedents for $Fe^{IV}Fe^{IV}$ systems with local $S_i = 1$ sites. The exchange coupling for MMO-Q is antiferromagnetic with $J > 60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, but the Fe^{IV} sites of compound Q are high-spin, $S_1 = S_2 = 2$.

Good fits were also obtained for J = 0, again for equivalent sites. This situation would accommodate an Fe^{IV} monomer and also the (somewhat unlikely) case that **3** is a symmetric dimer with an isolated S = 1 ground state.

EXAFS analysis of 3

Fourier transform of the Fe K-edge EXAFS data (r' space) and Fourier-filtered EXAFS spectrum ($k^3 \chi'(k)$, inset) of **3** in frozen butyronitrile solution; Fourier-transformed range k = 2-13.5 Å⁻¹ (Back-transformation range r' = 0.85-3.15 Å); experimental data (•••) and best fit (—). Fitting: 1 O at 1.79 Å ($\Delta\sigma^2$, -0.0007 Å²), 3 N at 1.99 Å (0.0038), 1 Fe at 2.81 Å (0.009), and 7 C at 2.92 Å (0.010).



The *r*' space spectrum exhibits prominent features centered at r' = 1.3, 1.7, 2.0 and 2.3 Å, where *r*' corresponds to the actual metal-scatterer distance *r* after a phase shift correction of approximately 0.4 Å ($r \sim r' + 0.4$ Å). The presence of an intense peak in the outer-sphere region is typical for the metal-metal scatterer of rigid M₂(μ -O)₂ cores.^{1,2} In contrast, in complexes where only C scatterers contribute to the outer-sphere EXAFS spectrum, the corresponding peaks have much less intensity, e.g. [Fe(5-Me₃-TPA)(acac)](ClO₄)₂.¹

The parameters of the best fit for **3** agree well with those found for the crystallographically characterized [Fe₂(μ -O)₂(5-Et₃-TPA)₂](ClO₄)₃: 1 O at 1.82 Å ($\Delta\sigma^2$, -0.0007 Å²), 3 N at 2.00 Å (0.0032), 1 Fe at 2.61 Å (0.0065), and 7 C at 2.87 Å (0.0036).¹ Comparison of this fit with the crystal structure shows that the coordination numbers for the first coordination sphere as determined by EXAFS analysis are underestimated while distances are found to be in good agreement.

- (1) H.-F. Hsu, Y. Dong, L. Shu, V. G. Young, Jr., L. Que, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 5230–5237.
- (2) L. Que, Jr., W. B. Tolman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., accepted for publication.