## **Supporting Information for:**

## The Effect of Mechanical Interlocking on Crystal Packing: Prediction and testing

Fabio Biscarini, Massimiliano Cavallini, David A. Leigh,<sup>b,\*</sup> Salvador León,<sup>c</sup> Simon J. Teat,<sup>d</sup> Jenny K. Y. Wong<sup>b</sup> and Francesco Zerbetto<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Istituto di Spettroscopia Molecolare, Via Gobetti 101, 40129, Bologna, Italy.

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Supramolecular and Macromolecular Chemistry, Department of Chemistry,
University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK (email David.Leigh@Warwick.ac.uk).
Address from October 1st, 2001: Department of Chemistry, University of Edinburgh, The
King's Buildings, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, United Kingdom.

<sup>c</sup> Dipartimento di Chimica "G. Ciamician", Università degli Studi di Bologna, V. F.
 Selmi 2, I-40126, Bologna, Italy (email gatto@ciam.unibo.it)

<sup>d</sup> CCLRC Daresbury Laboratory, Warrington, UK

			PE	E <sub>mac-th</sub>	E <sub>mac-xt</sub>	$E_{hb}$	E <sub>hb(intra)</sub>	E <sub>hb(inter)</sub>	E <sub>hb(mac</sub>	) $r_{hb(1)}$	$r_{hb}$	(2)
	PI	Ŧ	1	-0.28	0.87	0.64	-0.15	0.61	0.45	0.5	1 0.4	.3
	$E_{ma}$	c-th	-0.28	1	-0.48	-0.19	0.84	-0.71	-0.76	-0.7	8 -0.7	78
	E <sub>ma</sub>	c-xt	0.87	-0.48	1	0.45	-0.34	0.59	0.48	0.57	7 0.5	3
	E	ıb	0.64	-0.19	0.45	1	0.06	0.75	0.61	0.50	5 0.4	.5
	$E_{hb(i)}$	ntra)	-0.15	0.84	-0.34	0.06	1	-0.62	-0.72	-0.7	3 -0.8	30
	E <sub>hb(i</sub>	nter)	0.61	-0.71	0.59	0.75	-0.62	1	0.95	0.93	3 0.8	9
	E <sub>hb(i</sub>	nac)	0.45	-0.76	0.48	0.61	-0.72	0.95	1	0.92	2 0.9	1
	$r_{hbb}$	(1)	0.51	-0.78	0.57	0.56	-0.73	0.93	0.92	1	0.9	8
$r_{hb}$		(2)	0.43	-0.78	0.53	0.45	-0.80	0.89	0.91	0.98	8 1	
W		m	0.45	-0.06	0.32	-0.03	0.15	-0.13	-0.19	-0.1	6 -0.2	21
Z		v	0.33	-0.07	0.32	-0.24	0.08	-0.24	-0.30	-0.2	1 -0.2	24
	$S_n$	n	0.35	-0.14	0.40	-0.27	-0.03	-0.19	-0.23	-0.1	4 -0.1	15
	$V_{i}$	n	0.35	-0.14	0.40	-0.27	-0.04	-0.18	-0.22	-0.1	3 -0.1	13
	N <sub>no</sub>	nH	0.38	-0.01	0.27	-0.12	0.15	-0.20	-0.28	-0.2	0 -0.2	25
	N <sub>nonH</sub>	$N_H$	-0.05	0.22	-0.36	0.41	0.29	0.13	0.11	0.0	1 -0.0	)5
	$S_{nonH}$	$S_H$	0.11	0.26	-0.20	0.47	0.37	0.13	0.07	-0.0	1 -0.1	10
	$V_m/$	$S_m$	0.15	-0.08	0.19	-0.13	-0.13	-0.01	0.05	0.00	6 0.1	0
	D	с	-0.19	-0.16	-0.33	-0.17	-0.10	-0.07	0.01	-0.1	3 -0.1	11
	$D_{c}$	el	-0.32	-0.15	-0.37	-0.34	-0.16	-0.16	-0.07	-0.1	8 -0.1	13
	<i>C</i>	k	-0.24	-0.24	-0.24	-0.42	-0.26	-0.16	-0.05	-0.1	5 -0.0	)8
		$W_m$	$Z_{v}$	$S_m$	$V_m$	N <sub>nonH</sub>	$N_{nonH}/N_H$	$S_{nonH}/S_H$	$V_m/S_m$	$D_c$	$D_{el}$	$C_k$
]	PE	0.45	5 0.33	0.35	0.35	0.38	-0.05	0.11	0.15	-0.19	-0.32	-0.24
E	mac-th	-0.0	6 -0.07	-0.14	-0.14	-0.01	0.22	0.26	-0.08	-0.16	-0.15	-0.24
E	mac-xt	0.32	2 0.32	0.40	0.40	0.27	-0.36	-0.20	0.19	-0.33	-0.37	-0.24
]	E <sub>hb</sub>	-0.0	3 -0.24	-0.27	-0.27	-0.12	0.41	0.47	-0.13	-0.17	-0.34	-0.42
E <sub>h</sub>	b(intra)	0.15	5 0.08	-0.03	-0.04	0.15	0.29	0.37	-0.13	-0.10	-0.16	-0.26
E <sub>h</sub>	b(inter)	-0.1	3 -0.24	-0.19	-0.18	-0.20	0.13	0.13	-0.01	-0.07	-0.16	-0.16
E	nb(mac)	-0.1	9 -0.30	-0.23	-0.22	-0.28	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.01	-0.07	-0.05
R	<b>h</b> b(1)	-0.1	6 -0.21	-0.14	-0.13	-0.20	0.01	-0.01	0.06	-0.13	-0.18	-0.15
R	<b>h</b> b(2)	-0.2	1 -0.24	-0.15	-0.13	-0.25	-0.05	-0.10	0.10	-0.11	-0.13	-0.08
	$W_m$	1.00	0.92	0.87	0.86	0.97	-0.17	-0.09	0.40	0.08	-0.02	0.11
	$Z_{v}$	0.92	2 1.00	0.97	0.97	0.95	-0.47	-0.42	0.48	-0.03	-0.02	0.14
	$S_m$	0.87	0.97	1.00	1.00	0.89	-0.62	-0.54	0.49	-0.10	-0.08	0.13
	$V_m$	0.86	<b>6</b> 0.97	1.00	1.00	0.88	-0.62	-0.55	0.54	-0.12	-0.09	0.13
Λ	nonH	0.97	0.95	0.89	0.88	1.00	-0.21	-0.16	0.42	0.05	0.00	0.12
N <sub>no</sub>	$M_{H}/N_{H}$	-0.1	7 -0.47	-0.62	-0.62	-0.21	1.00	0.92	-0.34	0.37	0.18	-0.04
$S_{no}$	$_{nH}/S_{H}$	-0.0	9 -0.42	-0.54	-0.55	-0.16	0.92	1.00	-0.44	0.27	0.05	-0.16
V	$m/S_m$	0.40	0.48	0.49	0.54	0.42	-0.34	-0.44	1.00	-0.21	-0.17	-0.02
	$D_c$	0.08	-0.03	-0.10	-0.12	0.05	0.37	0.27	-0.21	1.00	0.95	0.90
1	$D_{el}$	-0.0	2 -0.02	-0.08	-0.09	0.00	0.18	0.05	-0.17	0.95	1.00	0.96
	$C_k$	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.12	-0.04	-0.16	-0.02	0.90	0.96	1.00

**Table 1.** PCA correlations matrix. The strongest correlations are shown in bold.





Figure 1 continued



**Figure 1.** Correlation between some non-covalent interactions: a) PE versus other van der Waals energy; b) PE versus the interaction energies of the macrocycle with the crystal environment; c) rotaxane-crystal hydrogen bond energy versus macrocycle-crystal hydrogen bond energy; d) rotaxane-crystal  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking energy versus macrocycle-crystal  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking energy; e) rotaxane-crystal other van der Waals energy versus macrocycle-crystal crystal other van der Waals energy.