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Table S1: Distortion Free Energy Analysis of the $10 S(+)$ and $10 R(-)$ Adducts in the $\mathrm{CA}^{*} \mathrm{C}$ Sequence Context ${ }^{a}$

|  | $10 S(+)($ anti $)$ | $10 S(+)($ syn $)$ | $10 R(-)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta E_{\text {int }}^{d}$ | 6.1 | -1.1 | -3.7 |
| $\Delta E_{\text {vdW }}^{d}$ | 29.4 | 30.7 | 30.3 |
| $\Delta E_{\text {electrostatic }}^{d}$ | -193.4 | -100.0 | -123.8 |
| $\Delta G_{\mathrm{PB}}^{d}$ | 178.4 | 94.7 | 110.0 |
| $\Delta G_{\text {nonpolar }}^{d}$ | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| $\Delta E_{\text {electrostatic }}^{d}+\Delta G_{\mathrm{PB}}^{d}$ | -15.0 | -5.3 | -13.9 |
| $\Delta G^{\text {distort }}$ | 21.8 | 25.4 | 13.9 |
| ${ }_{a}$ dl |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ All energies are in kcal/mol.

Table S2: Comparision of Structural Parameters for CA*C and CA*A Sequence Contexts Near Lesion_Site

|  | $\underline{\mathrm{CA}^{*} \mathrm{C}}$ |  | CA*A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $10 S(+)\left(\right.$ anti) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $10 \mathrm{R}(-)$ | $10 S(+)$ | $10 \mathrm{R}(-)$ |
| Rise ( $\AA$ ) | 8.0 | 8.3 | 7.6 | 8.6 |
| Unwinding ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 28 | 20 | $41^{\text {b }}$ | 29 |
| Quality of Watson-Crick hydrogen bonding ${ }^{c}$ | 560 | 316 | 599 | 363 |
| Roll ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 30 | 4 | 13 | 9 |
| Trajectory average bend angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $60^{d}$ | 22 | 25 | 23 |
| ${ }^{a}$ syn conformers are not amenable to reliable helical parameter calculations which depend on Watson-Crick base pairing (73, 74). |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{b} 26^{\circ}$ at intercalation pocket, $15^{\circ}$ at adjacent site stemming from steric effect of BP hydrox groups (36). |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{c}$ Computed as detailed in previous work (36). A value of 0 represents ideal Watson-Crick base pairs. In syn conformers this index is in the range of 5000 or higher. ${ }^{d} 25^{\circ}$ in syn conformer. |  |  |  |  |



Figure S1: Root mean sequare deviations (RMSDs) for the $10 S(+$ ) adduct anti conformer (red), $10 S$ $(+)$ adduct syn conformer (orange), $10 R(-)$ adduct (blue), and the unmodified control structure (green) in the CA* ${ }^{*}$ sequence context over the 2.5 -ns production MD simulation. The RMSDs were computed relative to the respective average structures over $1-2.5 \mathrm{~ns}$.


Figure S2: Watson-Crick hydrogen bond angles and distances (heavy atom to heavy atom) for the A* $6-\mathrm{T} 17$ base pair of the $10 S(+)$ adduct anti conformer in the CA* ${ }^{*}$ sequence context over the 2.5 -ns production MD simulation. The angles and distances for the $N^{6}-\mathrm{H} 6$ ( $\mathrm{A} * 6$ ) $\cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ (T17) hydrogen bond are in magenta; the angles and distances for the N3-H3 (T17) $\cdots \mathrm{N} 1(\mathrm{~A} * 6)$ hydrogen bond are in red.


Figure S3: Average helicoidal parameters for the structures of the $10 S(+)$ adduct anti conformer (red circles), $10 R(-)$ adduct (blue squares), and the unmodified control duplex (green diamonds) over $1-2.5 \mathrm{~ns}$ ( 3000 structures). The standard deviations are shown as error bars. The numbering scheme for the nucleotide base pair steps is that the C1-G22 to G2-C21 is step 1, the T2-A21 to C3-G20 is step $2, \ldots$, and so on.


Figure S4: Average backbone torsional parameters for the structures of the $10 S(+)$ adduct anti conformer (red circles), $10 R(-)$ adduct (blue squares), and the unmodified control duplex (green diamonds) over $1-2.5 \mathrm{~ns}$ ( 3000 structures). The standard deviations are shown as error bars. The red and blue bars on the axes indicate the intercalation pocket of the $10 S(+$ ) adduct ( $\mathrm{A} * 6$ - T17 and C7-G16) and the intercalation pocket of the $10 R(-)$ adduct (C5-G18 and A*6-T17), respectively. It should be noted that the residue numbers in Dials and Windows (56) differ from the IUPAC convention as follows: For $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$, residue numbers $1-10$ should be shifted +1 , and for $\epsilon$ and $\zeta$, residues $13-22$ should be shifted -1 to accord with the IUPAC convention.


Figure S5: Time dependence of the overall axis bend of the $10 S(+)$ adduct anti conformer (red), $10 S(+)$ syn conformer (orange), $10 R(-)$ adduct (blue), and the unmodified control structure (green) in the $\mathrm{CA} * \mathrm{C}$ sequence context over the 2.5 -ns production MD simulation.

$10 S(+)$ Adduct (CA*A, syn domain)

Figure S6: Stereo view of representative syn glycosidic conformation $\left[\chi=47.1^{\circ}\right.$, see also Figure 8 of Yan et al. (36)] of the $10 S(+)$ adduct $\left[\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~A}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{TT})\right]$ in the $\mathrm{CA}^{*}$ A sequence context (36). BP is in red, $\mathrm{A}^{*} 6-\mathrm{T} 17$ in green, and A7-T16 in blue. The backbone and sugar atoms are in grey. The O9 on BP and O4 on T16 are in yellow, and the $N^{6}$ on A7 is in orange; the hydrogen atoms of the O9-HO9 hydroxyl group on BP and the $N^{6}$ amino group on A7 are in white. The weak hydrogen bond/electrostatic interaction between $N^{6}$ (A7) and O9-HO9 (BP) is shown as solid pink dots. The $N^{6}$ (A7) to O9 (BP) distance is $3.67 \AA$.


Figure S7: Time dependence of the pseudorotation phase angle $P$ for C5 of the $10 S(+)$ adduct anti conformer in the $\mathrm{CA} * \mathrm{C}$ sequence context over the 2.5 -ns production MD simulation.

