## Internal Morphology-Controllable Self-Assembly in Poly(Ionic Liquid) Nanoparticles

Weiyi Zhang, <sup>†</sup> Zdravko Kochovski, <sup>‡</sup> Yan Lu, <sup>‡</sup> Bernhard V.K.J. Schmidt, \* <sup>†</sup> Markus Antonietti, <sup>†</sup> Jiayin Yuan<sup>\* †</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Max-Planck-Institute of Colloids and Interfaces, D-14476 Potsdam, Germany
<sup>‡</sup> Soft Matter and Functional Materials, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Hahn-Meitner-Platz 1, 14109 Berlin, Germany .

KEYWORDS: poly(ionic liquid) nanoparticles • self-assembly • shape controllable • fine interior structure • cryo-EM, ET measurement



Movie 1 (file C12\_tomo.avi). *Poly*(*TILM-C12Br*) *nanoparticle*. Related to Fig. 3 (A, B and C). Cryo-ET of a single poly(TILM-C12Br) nanoparticle.

Initially, tomographic slices along the Z axis of the tomographic volume are shown. Then, a rendering of the entire segmented

particle appears. In what follows, the particle is being gradually clipped along the Z axis, with the clipping plane reaching its central region. Finally the whole volume is being rotated back and forth along the X axis for a better visualization of the particle internal structure. (scale bar: 25 nm)



**Movie 2 (file C16\_tomo.avi).** *Poly(TILM-C16Br) nanoparticle.* Related to Fig. 3 (D, E and F). Cryo-ET of a single poly(TILM-C16Br) nanoparticle.

Initially, tomographic slices along the Z axis of the tomographic volume are shown. Then, a rendering of the entire segmented

particle appears. In what follows, the particle is being gradually clipped along the Z axis, with the clipping plane reaching its central region. Finally the whole volume is being rotated back and forth along the X axis for a better visualization of the particle internal structure. (scale bar: 25 nm)



**Figure S1.** Synthetic route toward triazolium-based poly(ionic liquid) (TPIL) nanoparticles. VA86 serves as water-soluble thermoinitiator.



**Figure S2.** TEM images and number-averaged particle size distributions obtained via DLS of poly(ionic liquid) nanoparticles prepared from poly(TILM-C12I) (A), poly(TILM-C12Br) (B); poly(TILM-C14Br) (C); and poly(TILM-C16Br) polymers. All samples are measured in a concentration of 0.4 g/L in water.



**Figure S3.** SEM images of poly(TILM-C12Br) nanoparticles prepared via drop casting of its aqueous dispersion. (A) overview; (B) close view.



**Figure S4.** Overview of a cryo-EM image of poly(TILM-C12I) PIL nanoparticles in aqueous dispersion.



Figure S5. Overview of a cryo-EM image of poly(TILM-C12Br) PIL nanoparticles in aqueous dispersion.



Figure S6. Overview of a cryo-EM image of poly(TILM-C14Br) PIL nanoparticles in aqueous dispersion.



Figure S7. Overview of a cryo-EM image of poly(TILM-C16Br) PIL nanoparticles in aqueous dispersion.



Figure S8. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of the triazolium ionic liquid monomers in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S9. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra of poly(ionic liquid)s in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S10. Differential scanning calorimetry curves of the dried solid poly(ionic liquid) polymers. The dash line indicates the preparation temperature of cryo-EM samples. Thermogravimetric analysis measurements showed that all poly(ionic liquid) samples started to decompose at ca. 250 °C under N<sub>2</sub> and left a residue of  $1.0 \pm 0.5$  wt% at 1000 °C.



**Figure S11.** Cryo-EM image of aqueous solution of TILM-C14Br at c=30 g/L. (A) Overview. The insert is a size distribution curve derived from dynamic light scattering measurement of the same sample. (B) Close view of the cryo-EM image, only micelles (dark dots) can be found overall in the suspension solution. No multilamellar or unilamellar vesicles were identified. Their size was rather small, ca. 5 nm in diameter, as determined from the DLS measurements. This result revealed that the PIL nanoparticles did not form from the solutions of ionic liquid monomers before the polymerization, but during the polymerization.



**Figure S12.** A) a TEM image of the poly(TILM-C12Br) (5 g/L) dispersion when produced via dispersion polymerization in water. B) a TEM image of a re-dispersed sample. To prepare this sample, the original PIL nanoparticle dispersion was freeze-dried to produce a white powder. This powder was dissolved in DMF. The DMF solution was then dialyzed in water to produce an aqueous dispersion of 5 g/L.



**Figure S13.** 3D renderings (before tilting) of (A) poly(TILM-C12Br) and (B) poly(TILM-C16Br) PIL nanoparticles that have been clipped along the Z axis at their central regions. Tomographic slices along the Z axis of the tomographic volume at a slight offset with respect to the clipping planes are also shown.



**Figure S14.** Cryo-EM images of elongated nanoworm superstructures of poly(TILM-C12I) prepared with monomer concentration at 204 mM (Entry 4, Table S2). (A) overview and (B) close view of the nanoworm.



**Figure S15.** Representative cryo-ET images of PIL nanoparticles. Left: wasp models; right: onion models.





**Figure S16.** Overview of Cryo-EM images of pH responsive PIL nanoparticles in aqueous solution: (A) poly(TILM-C12Br) before adding ammonium hydroxide (pH=5); (B) poly(TILM-C12Br) after adding ammonium hydroxide (pH=8).

**Table S1.** The *d* spacing values of poly(TILM-C12I), poly(TILM-C12Br), poly(TILM-C14Br) and poly(TILM-C16Br) nanoparticles received from small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) measurements and cryo-EM images .

Compound	<i>d</i> spacings (d <sub>2</sub> ) from SAXS measurements/ nm	d spacings (d <sub>1</sub> ) from cryo-EM images / nm
Poly(TILM-C12I)	2.15±0.4	3.10±0.5
Poly(TILM-C12Br)	2.22±0.7	3.20±0.5
Poly(TILM-C14Br)	2.56±1.1	3.55±0.5
Poly(TILM-C16Br)	2.99±1.9	4.00±0.5

**Table S2.** Nanoparticle sizes obtained from different monomer concentrations in the dispersion polymerization of TILM-C12Br. The initiator concentration (6.50 mM) was kept constant during polymerization in water.

Entry	Monomer concentration in mM (in g/L)	Polymerization temperature [•C]	$D_h[nm]^{a)}$
1	25.5 (10 g/L)	70	22
2	51.1 (20 g/L)	70	21
3	102 (40 g/L)	70	28
4	204 (80 g/L)	70	75
5	408 (160 g/L)	70	120
6	51.1 (20 g/L)	60	23
7	51.1 (20 g/L)	80	24
8	51.1 (20 g/L)	90	26

a) The hydrodynamic diameter D<sub>h</sub> was determined from DLS measurement.