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## 1. Materials and general methods:

General: All the chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as received. All the solvents were distilled prior to use. Dry solvents were obtained according to literature procedure. Both the starting materials were synthesized according to literature procedure. ${ }^{1}$ Column chromatography was performed with silica gel (230-400). UV-VIS spectra were recorded on a Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer from Agilent technologies. Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Quanta Master 40 spectrophotometer from Photon Technology International at a slit width of 2.0 nm . NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advance 300 with operating frequency of $300 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) and $75 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR) and Bruker Advance 600 with operating frequency of $600 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\right)$ and $150 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm values relative to residual solvent peak $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta_{\mathrm{H}}=7.27 \mathrm{ppm}, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}=77.00\right.$, DMSO-D6: $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}=2.5$, acetone-D6: $\delta_{\mathrm{C}}=$ 206.68, 29.92). High resolution ESI mass spectra (HRMS) was recorded in a Q-TOF micro mass from Waters. X-ray data were collected on a Bruker APEX2 CCD diffractometer at $100 \mathrm{~K}( \pm 0.2 \mathrm{~K})$.

## 2. Synthesis:

Scheme 1. Synthesis of compound 1


2,4,6-Triazinetriphenol: Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( $1.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added to a stirred solution of 4-cyanophenol ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM at $0{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Then it was allowed to come to room temperature. After stirring for next 12 h , solvent was evaporated and ice-cold water was poured into it to obtain white precipitate. Then it was neutralized by adding ammonia solution. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried to obtain the product as white powder $(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=8.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

Compound 1a: To a stirred solution of triazinetriphenol obtained above ( $0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.869 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 10 mL ), propargyl bromide ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, and the reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 14 h . Then it
was cooled down to room temperature, the solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water and dried with sodium sulfate. Finally the compound was purified by column chromatography (eluent EtOAc : hexane 1:4) to obtain the pure product (1a) as white fluffy solid ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=8.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.54(\mathrm{t}, J=2.31 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , Acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta=171.6,162.4,131.5,130.3,115.8,79.4,77.6,56.6 \mathrm{ppm}$. ESI MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=472.11[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

2,4,6-Triazinetribenzyl bromide: Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added to 4 -cyanobenzyl bromide ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Then it was allowed to come to room temperature. After stirring for next 12 h , the mixture was poured into ice-cooled water to result in a white precipitate. The aqueous solution was then neutralized by adding ammonia solution. The precipitate was filtered, washed with acetone and dried to get the product as white powder ( $0.985 \mathrm{~g}, 98.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=8.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.58 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm.

Compound 1b: To a suspension of triazinetribenzyl bromide ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 20 mL ), sodium azide ( $1.105 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 12 h at room temperature. Then DMF was removed under rotary evaporation and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate followed by washing with water and brine. The organic portion is then dried with sodium sulfate and removed to obtained the product (1b) as crystalline solid ( $2.01 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta=8.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=171.1,139.9,135.9,129.4,128.3,54.4 \mathrm{ppm}$. ESI MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=475.20[\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Compound 1: CuI ( $0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.105 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DBU ( 1 mL ) was added to degassed toluene (300 mL ) and heated to $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 15 min . A mixture of azide 1a ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21$ mmol ) and alkyne 1a ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in degassed toluene ( 15 mL ) and THF ( 5 mL ) in a round bottom flask and transferred into a glass syringe ( 25 mL ) and the mixture was then added slowly over 18 h at a flow rate of $0.02 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ using a syringe pump. The reaction mixture was stirred for further 24 h at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was taken in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed with water and brine. Removal of solvent resulted the product which was purified by column chromatography (eluent- $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) to
obtain the pure product (1) as white solid ( $0.116 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=8.57$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), $8.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ ), $7.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{f}}\right), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{HCH}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ), $6.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\right.$ ), $5.53\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{e}}\right), 5.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=170.8,170.5,160.3,144.9,138.4,136.3,130.5,129.8,129.0,128.7,121.4$, 114.6, 61.3, 54.2 ppm, HRMS (ESI, positive mode, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 5$ ) m/z: Calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 968.3258[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$, found: 968.3237.


Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+5 \% \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S2. COSY NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure S3. NOESY NMR spectra of 1 not showing any through-space cross peaks for the aromatic protons of top and bottom platforms.

## 3. NMR Spectroscopy of host-guest complexes:

For 1:1 NMR binding study in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, stock solution of $\mathbf{1}$ and stock solution of guests
 the mixture was allowed to stand for 1 h and data were collected. For binding study in THF- $d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ mixture, first all the stock solutions were prepared in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, and mixed in such a way so that 1:guest ratio becomes $1: 1$, then THF- $d_{8}$ was added to make $2: 1$ ratio of THF- $d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ mixture. Then the mixture was allowed to equilibrate for 3 h and data were collected. The molecular structure of the guest molecules are listed below:
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12, not included


Figure S4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of naphthalene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing no shift in naphthalene (2) protons (at $7.84,7.47 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and host (1) protons (at $8.55,8.28,7.11 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of naphthalene $\subset 1$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing mild upfield shift in naphthalene (2) protons (at 7.95, $7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.05,0.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.02,0.02$ ), and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.01 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of anthracene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing upfield shift in anthracene (3) protons (at $8.44,8.0,7.45 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.11,0.07,0.05 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.55,8.28$, $\mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.02,0.02 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.11 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.02 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of anthracene $\subset 1$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing upfield shift in anthracene (3) protons (at $8.55,8.1,7.54 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.47,0.31,0.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.12,0.13 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at 7.57 ppm , $\Delta \delta=0.09 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of phenanthrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing upfield shift in phenanthrene (4) proton (at $8.7,7.9,7.76 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.1,0.08,0.09 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at 8.55 , $8.28 \mathrm{ppm} \Delta \delta=0.04,0.05 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.11 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of phenanthrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (2:1) showing upfield shift in phenanthrene (4) protons (at $8.87,8.03,7.92 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.3,0.22,0.31 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) resonances as well as inn host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.05,0.06 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of pyrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing negligible shift in pyrene (5) protons (at $8.19,8.09 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.03,0.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.55,8.28 \mathrm{ppm} \Delta \delta=0.01$, 0.01 ppm ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.11 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.01 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of pyrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing upfield shift in pyrene (5) protons (at $8.3,8.2 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.19,0.24 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}$, $\Delta \delta=0.08,0.09 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.08 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of triphenylene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing negligible shift in triphenylene (6) protons (at $8.66,7.66 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.06,0.02 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host protons (at $8.55,8.28 \mathrm{ppm}$ $\Delta \delta=0.02,0.02 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.11 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.02 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of triphenylene $\subset 1$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (2:1) showing upfield shift in triphenylene (6) protons (at $8.82,7.76 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.35,0.14 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.14,0.15 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=$ $0.11 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S14. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\operatorname{benz}(a)$ anthracene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing upfield shift in benz(a)anthracene (7) protons (at 9.19, $8.84 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.62,0.47 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.55,8.28 \mathrm{ppm} \Delta \delta=0.13,0.13 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at 7.11 ppm , $\Delta \delta=0.11 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S15. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\operatorname{benz}(a)$ anthracene $\subset 1$ in THF- $d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing upfield shift in benz (a)anthracene (7) protons (at 9.36, $8.99 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.64,0.48 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.32,0.33 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at 7.57 ppm , $\Delta \delta=0.27 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S16. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of benzo $(a)$ phenanthrene $\subset 1$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing upfield shift in benzo(a)phenanthrene (8) protons (at $8.79,8.73 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.2,0.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.55,8.28 \mathrm{ppm} \Delta \delta=0.03,0.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at 7.11 ppm , $\Delta \delta=0.03 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of benzo $(a)$ phenanthrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in THF- $d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing upfield shift in benzo(a)phenanthrene (8) protons (at $8.95,8.9 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.34,0.46 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.18,0.17 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at 7.57 $\mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.16 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of benzo $(a)$ pyrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing upfield shift in benzo $(a)$ pyrene (9) protons (at $9.05,8.53 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.19,0.18 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.55,8.28$ $\operatorname{ppm} \Delta \delta=0.13,0.12 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.11 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.11 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S19. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of benzo $(a)$ pyrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing upfield shift in benzo(a)pyrene (9) protons (at 9.24, $9.2 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \boldsymbol{\delta}=0.32,0.56 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) proton (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.32,0.33 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=$ $0.27 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S20. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of perylene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing upfield shift in perylene (10) protons (at $8.19,7.67,7.49 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.48,0.23,0.19 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) proton (at $8.55,8.28$ $\operatorname{ppm} \Delta \delta=0.09,0.09 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.11 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.09 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).


Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of perylene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing upfield shift in perylene (10) protons (at $8.34,7.77,7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.52,0.24,0.22 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.19,0.21 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at $7.57 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.2$ ppm).


Figure S22. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of benzo(ghi)perylene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing upfield shift in benzo(ghi)perylene (10) protons (at $9.05,8.39 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.1,0.05 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) proton (at $8.55,8.28 \mathrm{ppm} \Delta \delta=0.04,0.04 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at 7.11 ppm , $\Delta \delta=0.03 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S23. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of benzo $(\mathrm{ghi})$ perylene $\subset \mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{THF}-d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing upfield shift in benzo(ghi)perylene (10) protons (at $9.21,8.51 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.18,0.1 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) as well as in host (1) protons (at $8.58,8.37 \mathrm{ppm}, \Delta \delta=0.2,0.21 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and downfield shift in host proton (at 7.57 ppm , $\Delta \delta=0.17 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S24. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Coronene $+\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showing no shift in coronene (12) proton (at 8.92 ppm ) or in host protons (1) (at $8.55,8.28,7.11 \mathrm{ppm})$.


Figure S25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Coronene $+\mathbf{1}$ in THF- $d_{8} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(2: 1)$ showing no shift in coronene (12) proton (at 9.06 ppm ) or in host protons (at $8.58,8.37,7.57 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

## 4. Absorption Spectroscopy of host-guest complexes:

Absorption spectra for $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of both $\mathbf{1}$ and each guest were collected separately. For 1:1 absorption spectra, a $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ solution of $10^{-3} \mathrm{M} 1$ was added to $1 \mathrm{ml} 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of guest and the solution was mixed properly and data was collected.


Figure S26. Absorption spectra of $\mathbf{1}\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in red traces, guest molecules (2, 3, $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ in $10^{-5}$ M ) in blue traces and 1:1 complex of $\mathbf{1}$ and the guest molecules (2, 3, $\mathbf{4}$ and 5 ) in magenta traces.


Figure S27. Absorption spectra of $\mathbf{1}\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in red traces, guest molecules (6, 7, $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ in $10^{-5}$ M ) in blue traces and 1:1 complex of $\mathbf{1}$ and the guest molecules ( $\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ ) in magenta traces.


Figure S28. Absorption spectra of $\mathbf{1}\left(10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in red traces, guest molecules ( $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ ) in blue traces and 1:1 complex of $\mathbf{1}$ and the guest molecules ( $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ ) in magenta traces.

## 5. Fluorescence titration of host-guest complexes:

All fluorescence titration experiments were performed in dry chloroform solvent at 298K. Solvent was sonicated for 15 minutes to degas before preparation of stock solution. During titration aliquots of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ was added to a $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of the guest and stirred for 2 min , the mixture was allowed to settle down for 30 seconds and the data were collected. All data are given in normalized form. Data obtained from fluorescence spectroscopy were fitted by using following equation:

Here we assume that the dilution error, due to addition of small volume of host, compared to large volume of guest, is negligible. Since only the guests are fluorescently active and host is fluorescently silent, the fluorescence of the complex formed is getting static quenched, so it will follow the equation which looks like Stern-Volmer equation

$$
F_{0} / F=1+K_{\mathrm{a}}[H]
$$

Here $\mathrm{F}_{0}=$ Initial fluorescence of the guest molecule, $F=$ fluorescence after addition of host molecule, $K_{\mathrm{a}}=$ association constant, $[H]=$ host concentration. Change in fluorescence intensity is plotted against the concentration of the host molecule added, and $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ is calculated from a non-linear least square fitting using a 1:1 model. ${ }^{2}$ The titrations were repeated for three times to obtain the estimated standard uncertainty. The covariance of fit in all cases is in $10^{-3}$ range except for the titration with naphthalene.

Naphthalene (2):


Figure S29. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of naphthalene (2) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=275 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(1.2 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=$ $34.63 \pm 0.54 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Anthracene (3):



Figure S30. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of anthracene (3) ( $\left.\lambda_{e x}=320 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$. Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(3.7 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=$ $26.04 \pm 0.28 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Phenanthrene (4):



Figure S31. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of phenanthrene (4) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=295 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(1.56 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=29.62 \pm$ $0.11 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Pyrene (5):



Figure S32. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of pyrene (5) $\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}=275 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$. Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(5.1 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=32.55 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Triphenylene (6):



Figure S33. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 1 into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of triphenylene (6) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=260 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(6.27 \pm 0.37) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=27.36 \pm$ $0.15 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Benz(a)anthracene (7):



Figure S34. Fluorescence titration of $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ into $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of benz(a)anthracene (7) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=291 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(8.6 \pm 0.27) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=28.15 \pm$ $0.08 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Benzo(a)phenanthrene (8):



Figure S35. Fluorescence titration of $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ into $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of benzo(a)phenanthrene (8) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=271 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(1.39 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=$ $29.81 \pm 0.17 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$

## Benzo(a)pyrene (9):



Figure S36. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 1 into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of benzo(a)pyrene (9) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=300 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(1.47 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=29.48 \pm$ $0.07 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Perylene (10):



Figure S37. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 1 into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of perylene (10) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=255 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(4.3 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=26.42 \pm$ $0.1 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Benzo(ghi)perylene (11):



Figure S38. Fluorescence titration of $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of $\mathbf{1}$ into $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of benzo(ghi)perylene (11) at $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=303 \mathrm{~nm}$. Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host (1) concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(7.2 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1},-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=$ $27.65 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## Coronene (12):



Figure S39. Fluorescence titration of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ solution of 1 into $2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of Coronene (12) ( $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=305 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Inset: non-linear least square fitting curves of the relative fluorescence intensities vs. the host concentration. $K_{\mathrm{a}}=(6.75 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ and $-\Delta G^{0}{ }_{298}=27.53 \pm$ $0.2 \mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{M}$.

## 6. X-ray Crystallography:

Single crystals of $\mathbf{1}$ and the complexes, with 4-5 eq excess of the PAH guests were grown by slow evaporation of the solution in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Data were collected at 100 K on a Bruker Kappa APEX2 CCD diffractometer with MoK $\alpha$ radiation. ${ }^{3}$ Preliminary lattice parameters and orientation matrices were obtained from three sets of frames. Then full data were collected using the $\omega$ and $\phi$ scan method with the frame width of $0.5^{\circ}$. Data were processed with the SAINT+ program for reduction and cell refinement. ${ }^{4}$ Multiscan absorption corrections were applied by using the SADABS program for area detector. ${ }^{5}$ The structures were solved by SHELXT ${ }^{5}$ and refined with SHELXL ${ }^{5}$ using Olex2 program. ${ }^{7}$ Similar 1,2 and 1,3 bond length (SADI, SAME), similar Uij (SIMU), rigid body (RIGU) restraints were applied wherever necessary. Equal atomic displacement (EDAP) and planar (FLAT) constraints were applied in a few cases. The CIFs are submitted into CCDC (1451119, 1451120 to 1451123-1451128 and 1478698-1478701) and can be obtained through https://summary.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structure-summary-form.

## Table S1

| Identification code | $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{6} \subset 2(1) .\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{1.5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{56.75} \mathrm{H}_{41.75} \mathrm{Cl}_{8.25} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1274.26 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 10.110(2) |
| b/Å | 21.965(5) |
| c/Å | 27.902(6) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 99.418(11) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 94.933(10) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.930(10) |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 6087(2) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.391 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.438 |
| F(000) | 2606.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.36 \times 0.34 \times 0.34$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 1.486 to 49.992 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11,-26 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 25,-33 \leq 1 \leq 33$ |
| Reflections collected | 97099 |
| Independent reflections | $21026\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0816, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0862\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 21026/253/1552 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.388 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1239, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.3630$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1818, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.4048$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.77/-1.23 |



Figure S40. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{6} \subset 2(\mathbf{1}) .\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{1.5}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. One of the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecule located inside the cage was disordered over two positions and refined with EADP constraints. Another $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ with $50 \%$ is disordered over two positions. Similar bond length restraints (SADI), similar Uij restraints and rigid body (RIGU) restraints were further applied for all solvent molecules.


Figure S41. Crystal structure of $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{6} \subset 2(1) .\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{2}$, viewed along $a$-axis, illustrating the layer arrangement. Notice that the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ molecules located away from the molecular cavity, but filling the lattice void, are shown in space filling mode.

Table S2

| Identification code | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3} \subset 1 .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.5}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{61.5} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{Cl}_{7.5} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1317.07 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $a / \AA$ | $10.7001(8)$ |
| $b / \AA$ | $20.2403(14)$ |
| $c / \AA$ | $29.729(2)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /^{\circ}$ | $91.170(4)$ |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $V$ olume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $6437.2(8)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg/cm }}{ }^{3}$ | 1.359 |
| $\mu /$ mm $^{-1}$ | 0.386 |
| $F(000)$ | 2718.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.34 \times 0.32 \times 0.32$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 2.434 to 55.064 |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 13,-26 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 24,-38 \leq 1 \leq 38$ |
| Reflections collected | 88463 |
| Independent reflections | $14748\left[R_{\text {int }}=0.0429, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0387\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $14748 / 287 / 896$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.027 |
| Final $R$ indexes $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | $R_{1}=0.0838, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2181$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.1103, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2374$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | $1.17 /-0.90$ |
| CCDC number | 1451120 |



Figure S42. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3} \subset 1 .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.5}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. The disordered solvent molecules are refined with similar bond length (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) restraints and rigid body (RIGU) restraints.


Figure S43. Crystal structure of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3} \subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.5}$, viewed along $a$-axis. The single $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule located away from the molecular cavity is shown in space-filling mode.


Figure S44. Crystal structure of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3} \subset \mathbf{1} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.5}$, viewed along $b$-axis, illustrating the layer arrangement.

Table S3

| Identification code | Naphthalene (2) $\subset$ 1. (2) 1.8 $^{( }\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{75.47} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{Cl}_{2.36} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1307.47 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 $1_{1} \mathrm{C}$ |
| a/Å | 10.7998(10) |
| b/Å | 20.2414(19) |
| c/Å | 29.845(3) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.909(5) |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/Å ${ }^{3}$ | 6520.6(10) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.332 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.177 |
| F(000) | 2723.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.3 \times 0.28 \times 0.22$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3.392 to 55.462 |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 26,-38 \leq 1 \leq 38$ |
| Reflections collected | 103965 |
| Independent reflections | 14979 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0997, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.1116\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 14979/585/920 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.030 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1317, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.3505$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.2334, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.4111$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 2.28/-0.65 |



Figure S45. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in naphthalene $(\mathbf{2}) \subset \mathbf{1} .(2){ }_{1.8}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{1.2}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in 50\% probability level. The naphthalene molecule bound inside the cage is disordered over two positions (85:15). The second component was constrained with DFIX, FLAT and AFIX 116 and EADP. Another site was occupied with naphthalene guest and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule in 80:20 ratio. Restraints such as SIMU, SADI and RIGU were applied to treat. DFIX restraints were further applied to avoid undesired intermolecular contacts. Despite our repeated efforts, the maximum residual electron density, close to the disordered component, cannot be modelled in any meaningful way.

Table S4

| Identification code | Anthracene (3) $\subset 1 .\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3.5}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{71.5} \mathrm{H}_{52.5} \mathrm{Cl}_{10.5} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1542.01 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 $1^{\prime}$ n |
| $a / \AA$ ¢ | 16.420(14) |
| $b / \AA$ | 13.581(11) |
| $c / \AA$ | 32.38(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 92.96(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 7210(10) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.421 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.464 |
| $F(000)$ | 3156.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.2$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ} 2.726$ to 54.852 |  |
| Index ranges | $-20 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 21,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-41 \leq 1 \leq 41$ |
| Reflections collected | 55200 |
| Independent reflections | 15592 [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.1310, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.2414\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 15592/0/919 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.882 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0853, \mathrm{w}_{2}=0.2148$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.2592, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2908$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.97 /-0.73$ |  |
| CCDC number | 1451123 |



Figure S46. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in anthracene(3) $\subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3.5}$ Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. One of the chloroform molecules was disordered and treated with same distance SADI, similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body restraints (RIGU).


Figure S47. The asymmetric unit of anthracene(3) $\subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3.5}$ in which the guest and solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode. The shortest $d_{\text {C-Cl.... }}$ is $4.1 \AA$


Figure S48. Crystal structure of anthracene $\subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3.5}$ viewed along $b$-axis in top and $a$ axis in the bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in the space filling mode.

Table S5

| Identification code | Phenanthrene (4) $\subset \mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1421.07 |
| Temperature/K | 293.15 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| a/Å | 16.154(4) |
| b/Å | 13.571(3) |
| c/Å | 31.638(6) |
| $\alpha /^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.061(14) |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 6936(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.361 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.308 |
| $F(000)$ | 2944.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.29 \times 0.21 \times 0.16$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ} 2.574$ to 50.572 |  |
| Index ranges | $-18 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 19,-15 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 15,-37 \leq 1 \leq 37$ |
| Reflections collected | 67978 |
| Independent reflections | 12141 [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.0965, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.1788\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 12141/509/986 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.041 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $I>=2 \sigma(I)]$ | $R_{1}=0.1040, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2792$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.2291, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.3517$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 1.05 /-0.46$ |  |
| CCDC number | 1478700 |



Figure S49. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in phenanthrene $(\mathbf{4}) \subset \mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. Two of the dichloroethane solvent molecules were disordered and treated with same distance (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body (RIGU) restraints.


Figure S50. The asymmetric unit of phenanthrene $(4) \subset \mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ in which the guest and solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode. The shortest $d_{\text {C-Cl.... }}$ are 3.7 and $3.9 \AA$


Figure S51. Crystal structure of phenanthrene $(\mathbf{4}) \subset \mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ viewed along $b$-axis in top and $a$-axis in the bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in the space filling mode.

Table S6

| Identification code | Pyrene $(\mathbf{5}) \subset \mathbf{1 .}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{73} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{Cl}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1506.73 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $16.133(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $13.761(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $32.115(9)$ |
| $\alpha^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $92.117(18)$ |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | $7125(4)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }}$ /cm |  |



Figure S52. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in pyrene(5) $\subset 1 .\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. One of the triazole rings in $\mathbf{1}$ is disordered over two orientations and treated with similar distance (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body (RIGU) restraints. The pyrene molecule is also disordered over two orientations in same plane and refined with the above restraints. The chloroform molecules are also disordered and were treated similarly. One of the chloroform molecule is disordered over three positions and refined with SUMP to obtain single molecule.


Figure S53. The disordered pyrene molecules of pyrene(5) $\subset$ 1. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ are shown in two separate models for clarity.


Figure S54. The asymmetric unit of pyrene(5) $\subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ in which the guest and solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode. The shortest $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} . . \mathrm{C}}$ is $3.8 \AA$


Figure S55. Crystal structure of pyrene $\subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ viewed along $b$-axis in top and $a$-axis in the bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in the space filling mode.

Table S7

| Identification code | Triphenylene $(\mathbf{6}) \subset \mathbf{1} .\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{Cl}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1532.38 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 $1 / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $a / \AA$ ¢ | 16.056(2) |
| b/A | 13.8514(17) |
| $c / \AA$ | 32.082(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 91.878(7) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $^{3}$ | 7131.1(16) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.427 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.415 |
| $F(000)$ | 3144.0 |
| Crystal size/ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.34 \times 0.32 \times 0.3$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ} 2.8$ to 54.564 |  |
| Index ranges | $-20 \leq h \leq 20,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 15,-40 \leq 1 \leq 40$ |
| Reflections collected | 71481 |
| Independent reflections | 15592 [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.1207, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.1334\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 15592/1099/1058 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.075 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0980, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2734$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.1930, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.3441$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.65/-0.54 |
| CCDC number | 1451124 |



Figure S56. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in triphenylene(6) $\subset$ 1. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. One of the triazole rings is disordered over two orientations and treated with similar distance (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body (RIGU) restraints. The chloroform molecules are disordered and were treated similarly.


Figure S57. The asymmetric unit of triphenylene $(\mathbf{6}) \subset 1$. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ in which the guest and solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode. The shortest $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} \ldots \mathrm{C}}$ is $4.0 \AA$


Figure S58. Crystal structure of triphenylene $(\mathbf{6}) \subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{3}$ viewed along $b$-axis in top and $a$ axis in the bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in the space filling mode.

Table S8

| Identification code | Benz $(a)$ anthracene(7) $\subset \mathbf{1} . \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{Cl} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1273.23 |
| Temperature/K | 293.15 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $21.861(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $15.4737(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $18.600(2)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $98.075(7)$ |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume $^{\circ} \AA^{3}$ | $6229.4(13)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} /$ cm |  |



Figure S59. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in benz $(a)$ anthracene $(\mathbf{8}) \subset 1 . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in 50\% probability level.


Figure S60. The figure illustrating significant C-H... $\pi$ contacts between the host and guest in benz $(a)$ anthracene $(\mathbf{8}) \subset \mathbf{1} . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$


Figure S61. The asymmetric unit of benz $(a)$ anthracene $(8) \subset 1 . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in which the guest and solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode. The shortest $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} . . . \mathrm{C}}$ is $4.1 \AA$


Figure S62. The crystal structure of benz $(a)$ anthracene $(\mathbf{8}) \subset 1 . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ viewed along $a$-axis in top and $b$-axis in bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode to highlight that they occupy isolated voids, rather than continuous channel.

## Table S9

| Identification code | $\{\text { benzo( } \text { a) phenanthrene }(\mathbf{8})\}_{2} \subset(\mathbf{1})_{2} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1372.18 |
| Temperature/K | 293.15 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 17.7889(16) |
| b/Å | 21.013(2) |
| c/Å | 37.032(3) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 102.091(5) |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 13535(2) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.347 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.237 |
| F(000) | 5696.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.24 \times 0.21 \times 0.18$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2.24 to 54.942 |
| Index ranges | $-23 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 23,-27 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 27,-47 \leq 1 \leq 47$ |
| Reflections collected | 181530 |
| Independent reflections | 30814 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0886, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0707\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 30814/707/1738 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.012 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1274, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.3329$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1978, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.3887$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.72/-1.36 |



Figure S63. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in $\{\text { benzo }(a) \text { phenanthrene }(\mathbf{8})\}_{2} \subset$ (1) $)_{2}$. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{7}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. One of the benzo(a)phenanthrene was refined with EADP constraint and the DFIX 1.38 for C-C bond lengths. The dichloroethane solvent molecules are disordered over two positions and treated with similar distance (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body (RIGU) restraints. One of them was constrained with EADP.


Figure S64. The asymmetric unit of $\{\text { benzo }(a) \text { phenanthrene }(\mathbf{8})\}_{2} \subset(\mathbf{1})_{2} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{7}$ in which the guest and solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode. The shortest $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} . . . \mathrm{c}}$ is $4.0 \AA$.


Figure S65. The crystal structure of $\{\operatorname{benzo}(a) \text { phenanthrene }(\mathbf{8})\}_{2} \subset(\mathbf{1})_{2} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{7}$.

Table S10

| Identification code | benzo $(a)$ pyrene $(\mathbf{9}) \subset 1 .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1495.15 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1 / n}$ |
| $a / \AA$ | 16.1736(17) |
| b/Å | 13.7072(16) |
| $c / \AA$ | 31.887(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 92.389(6) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 7063.1(13) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.406 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.307 |
| $F(000)$ | 3096.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.26 \times 0.24 \times 0.18$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ $/{ }^{\circ} 2.556$ to 50.332 |  |
| Index ranges | $-19 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 19,-15 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 15,-37 \leq 1 \leq 34$ |
| Reflections collected | 69263 |
| Independent reflections | 12050 [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.1512, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.2526\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 12050/2105/1257 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.025 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.1179, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.2958$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.3066, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.4008$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3} 0.80 /-0.54$ |  |
| CCDC number | 1451127 |



Figure S66. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in benzo(a)pyrene $(\mathbf{9}) \subset 1$. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. The benzo(a)pyrene molecules are disordered over two positions and refined with same distance (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body (RIGU) restraints. The dichloroethane solvent molecules are also disordered over two positions and refined in a similar manner.


Figure S67. The disordered benzo(a)pyrene molecules of benzo(a)pyrene(9) $\subset \mathbf{1}$. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ 3are shown in two separate models for clarity.


Figure S68. The asymmetric unit of benzo $(a)$ pyrene $(9) \subset 1 .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ in two different models, according to the disorder, in which the guest and solvent molecules are shown in space filling mode to illustrate the close contacts between them. The shortest $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} . . . \mathrm{c}}$ is $4.0 \AA$.


Figure S69. Crystal structure of benzo $(a)$ pyrene $(9) \subset 1$. $3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ viewed along $b$-axis in top and $a$-axis in the bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in the space filling mode.

Table S11

| Identification code | Perylene $(\mathbf{1 0}) \subset \mathbf{1} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1495.15 |
| Temperature/K | 100 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $a / \AA$ | 16.2180(16) |
| $b / \AA$ | 13.8125(12) |
| $c / \AA$ | 31.653(3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 92.288(6) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 7085.0(11) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.402 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.306 |
| $F(000)$ | 3096.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.32 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3.874 to 50.314 |
| Index ranges | $-19 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 19,-16 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-37 \leq 1 \leq 37$ |
| Reflections collected | 92937 |
| Independent reflections | 12511 [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.1069, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.1212\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 12511/853/1077 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.194 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.1265, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.3332$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.2277, \mathrm{w}_{2}=0.3891$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 1.41/-0.80 |
| CCDC number | 1451126 |



Figure S70. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in perylene $\subset 1$. $3\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. The dichloroethane molecules are disordered over two positions; thus refined with same distance (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body restraints (RIGU).


Figure S71. The figure illustrating the close contacts between $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and perylene molecules bound inside 1. The shortest distance observed between chlorine and perylene ( $d_{\mathrm{C} \text {-cl }}{ }^{-\cdot \mathrm{C}}$ ) is $3.2 \AA$.


Figure S72. Crystal structure of perylene $(\mathbf{1 0}) \subset \mathbf{1} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ viewed along viewed along $b$-axis in top and $a$-axis in the bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in the space filling mode.

Table S12

| Identification code | Benzo $($ ghi $)$ perylene $(\mathbf{1 1}) \subset$ 1. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{82} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 1519.05 |
| Temperature/K | 293.15 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1 / n}$ |
| $a / \AA$ | 16.1030(17) |
| b/Å | 13.8295(15) |
| $c / \AA$ | 31.954(4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 92.721(6) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{3}$ | 7108.1(13) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.419 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.306 |
| $F(000)$ | 3144.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.26 \times 0.21 \times 0.18$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ $/{ }^{\circ} 2.552$ to 52.864 |  |
| Index ranges | $-19 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 20,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16,-39 \leq 1 \leq 39$ |
| Reflections collected | 92449 |
| Independent reflections | 14393 [ $\left.R_{\text {int }}=0.0843, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.1193\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 14393/1824/1271 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.047 |
| Final $R$ indexes [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0757, w R_{2}=0.1987$ |
| Final $R$ indexes [all data] | $R_{1}=0.1702, w R_{2}=0.2489$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.60/-0.62 |
| CCDC number | 1478699 |



Figure S73. Top and side views of the asymmetric unit in benzo(ghi)perylene(11) $\subset$ 1. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$. Thermal ellipsoids are shown in $50 \%$ probability level. The benzo(ghi)perylene molecule is disordered over two positions; thus refined with same distance (SADI), similar Uij (SIMU) and rigid body restraints (RIGU). The dichloroethane molecule is also disordered over two positions and refined in a similar manner.


Figure S74. The disordered components benzo(ghi)perylene $(11) \subset 1 .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ asymmetric unit is shown in two different models for better clarity.


Figure S75. The two models of benzo $(g h i)$ perylene $(\mathbf{1 1 )} \subset \mathbf{1}$ is shown in space filling mode. The disordered triazole is pointing towards the viewer. Also note the guest molecule in two different orientation to reach the best fit.


Figure S76. The figure illustrating the close contacts between $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and benzo(ghi)perylene molecules bound inside 1. The shortest distance observed between chlorine and benzo( $g h i$ )perylene hydrogen ( $d_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{C}}$ ) is $3.2 \AA$.


Figure S77. Crystal structure of benzo $(g h i)$ perylene $(11) \subset \mathbf{1} .\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ viewed along viewed along $b$-axis in top and $a$-axis in the bottom. The solvent molecules are shown in the space filling mode.


Figure S78. The figures obtained though force field based molecular modelling to explain the entry and positioning of coronene(12) into and inside $\mathbf{1}$ might not be feasible.
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