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## Supporting Information



Figure 1. Expanded portion of the Amide A region showing exchange of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ signal due to for Fc-peptide conjugates 1 and 3. A drop of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3}$. For 1, the H/D exchanged occurred at room temperature over a 90 minute period. Whereas, peptides 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ required heating of the sample at $58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes to obtain complete $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ exchange.


Figure 2. Solution electrochemistry of FcPeptides 1-3 in 0.1 m TBAP/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at a Scan rate of $\left.100 \mathrm{mV} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathbf{1}(), 2(), \mathbf{3 (}\right)$.


Figure 3. IR spectra ( KBr ) of peptide $\mathbf{1 - 3}$.


Figure 4. RAIRS of the Amide A region of $\mathbf{1}$.


Figure 6. RAIRS of the Amide A region of $\mathbf{3}$.


Figure 5. RAIRS of the Amide A region of 2.

Peptide tilt angles calculation by RAIRS.
$D_{o b s}=\frac{I_{1}}{I_{2}}=C\left(\frac{2\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \gamma-1\right) \bullet \frac{1}{2}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-1\right)\right]+1}{2\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \gamma-1\right) \bullet \frac{1}{2}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}-1\right)\right]+1}\right)$
$D_{\text {obs }}$ represents the observed ratio of Amide I and Amide II absorbances and $\gamma, \theta_{i}$ and C, the tilt angle of the peptide axis from the surface normal, the angle between the transition moment (amide I amide II) and the helix axis, and the scaling constant, respectively. In case of helical peptides the transition moment from the helix axis is reported as $39^{\circ}$ of $\theta_{l}$ and $75^{\circ}$ of $\theta_{2}$, respectively. ${ }^{1,2}$ The scaling factor, $C$, can be determined from the ratio of Amide I and Amide II absorbance in a KBr pellet as an approximation of a random orientation. As reported in the literature ${ }^{3}$ for peptidic monolayers the scaling factor is approximated to $1.5 .^{4-6}$

## Collagen melting temperature determination.

Fc-peptides 1, 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ were fit to the following general sigmoid curve shape using the following formula:

$$
y=A_{2}+\frac{A_{1}-A_{2}}{1+e^{-(x-x c) / d x}}
$$

where $A_{2}$ is the fully H -bonded system and $A_{1}$ is the melted peptide. $d x$ is a parameter that accommodates the steepness of the sigmoid and $x_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the melting temperature. Residuals were minimized using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm built into Origin 7.0 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA).

Table 1. Parameters from CV of the Concentrated and Mixed Monolayers of Fc-peptides in $2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{NaClO} 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

| Compound | $\Delta \boldsymbol{E}(\mathbf{m V})$ |  | $\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\text {fwhm }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m V})$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $35(10)$ | $60(18)$ | $145(8)$ | $126(16)$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $40(7)$ | $40(15)$ | $140(12)$ | $110(10)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $45(10)$ | $40(15)$ | $150(15)$ | $110(10)$ |
| $\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $40(12)$ | $30(10)$ | $125(15)$ | $95(5)$ |
| $\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $36(15)$ | $25(10)$ | $120(20)$ | $110(10)$ |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $40(10)$ | $50(11)$ | $130(10)$ | $128(15)$ |

## amixed monolayers of Fc -Peptides using hexanethiol



Figure 7. CA results for the calculation of $k_{\mathrm{ET}}$ for compound $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Impedance CNLS fitting: The curve fitting was done using ZSimpWin software (v2.00). All parameters were permitted to float during the curve fitting process. The resultant model chosen (see Figure 4 of main text) has a $\chi^{2}$ value of 0.002 on a typical data set. Of course inclusion of additional parameters resulted in a slightly lower $\chi^{2}$ value( $\sim 0.001$ ). However, we have chosen to follow the general rule that addition of a parameter should result in a 10 fold decrease in $\chi^{2}$ value. Equivalent circuit models that contained 4 or fewer parameters resulted in an 8-15 fold increase in $\chi^{2}$ value.
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