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## 2. Synthetic Details and Characterizing Data

Compounds 1a-c were synthesized as shown in Scheme 1.

## Scheme S1.


(1-Hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-ylmethyl)-dimethyl-amine (4). ${ }^{1}$ A solution of dimethylamine hydrochloride ( $13.600 \mathrm{~g}, 166.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $37 \%$ aqueous formaldehyde ( $15.1 \mathrm{ml}, 170.00$ mmol) was added to $N$-hexylpyrrole ( $25.200 \mathrm{~g}, 166.74$ ) and the resulting biphasic mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The now clear and homogeneous solution was poured into 100 ml of $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with water ( 100 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated, yielding 30.90 g of a colorless oil that was used directly in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.33,1.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.74$ (quint, $J=7.47 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.31 (m broad, 6H), 0.90 (t broad, 3 H ).
(1-Hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-ylmethyl)-trimethyl-ammonium iodide (5). A solution of MeI $(20.720 \mathrm{~g}, 146.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute ethanol $(50 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of $\mathbf{4}$ in 100 mL of the same solvent. Reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature observing precipitation of a white solid. The precipitate was filtered and washed with cold ethanol ( 20 mL ) affording the title compound as a white powder $(33.090 \mathrm{~g}, 75.7 \%$ yield). The compound was used directly for the next step.
(1-Hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-ylmethyl)-triphenyl-phosphonium iodide (6). Iodide, 5 (27.000 $\mathrm{g}, 77.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triphenylphosphine $(23.610 \mathrm{~g}, 90.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended into 100 mL of acetonitrile. Mixture was refluxed for 5 h then cooled in an ice bath. The precipitate was filtered under reduce pressure and washed with hexane ( 100 mL ) affording directly the pure title compound as a white powder (37.740 g, 88.4). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~m}$ broad, 1 H$), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.301(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.305 (quint, $J=7.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-0.97(\mathrm{~m}$ broad, 6 H$), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 H)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NPI}$ : C, 62.93 ; H, 6.01; N, 2.53; Found: C, 62.73 ; H, 6.11; N, 2.67.

2-[2-(1-Hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)-vinyl]-thiazole, mixture of $\boldsymbol{E} / \boldsymbol{Z}$ (7). The phosphonium iodide $6(27.70 \mathrm{~g}, 50.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended under nitrogen in 500 mL of anhydrous toluene. ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}(6.170 \mathrm{~g}, 55.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added directly as a solid and the resulting purple suspension was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h . A solution of thiazole-2carboxaldehyde $(7.500 \mathrm{~g}, 66.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the
resulting brown suspension was stirred at room temperature over a week end. Mixture was poured into 500 mL of water, phases were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were evaporated affording 24.370 g of a sticky brown solid that was purified by chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) affording 6.00 g of the product as an $E / Z$ mixture of isomers as a yellow viscous oil. The $E / Z$ ratio was determined to be $1: 4$ (by GC-MS). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(E$ isomer) $\delta$ $7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76$ (quint, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.31\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$ broad, 6 H ), $0.88(\mathrm{t}$ broad, 3 H$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $167.8,143.5,136.1,129.8,124.4,122.3,117.5,117.3,109.1,47.5,31.9,31.7,26.8,22.9$, 14.4. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 69.19; H, 7.74; N, 10.76; Found: C, 69.07; H, 7.81; N, 10.83.

2-[2-(1-Hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)-vinyl]-thiazole pure E isomer (8). Iodine ( 300 mg ) was added in the dark and in small portions directly as a solid to a stirred solution of mixture of isomers $7(6.000 \mathrm{~g}, 23.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After one night at room temperature the yellow solution was diluted with 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with $5 \%$ $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ( 100 mL ). Organic phase was separated, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. Chromatographic purification $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ afforded the pure $E$ isomer as a yellow solid ( 5.63 g , yield $94 \%$ ).

## 1-Hexyl-5-(2-thiazol-2-yl-vinyl)-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde (9). Anhydrous DMF

 $(1.540 \mathrm{~g}, 21.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under nitrogen to freshly distilled $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ $(3.220 \mathrm{~g}, 21.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resulting solution was stirred until complete conversion into a glassy solid that was taken up with anhydrous acetonitrile ( 15 mL ). The resulting colorless solution was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a yellow solution of $\mathbf{8}(5.300 \mathrm{~g}, 20.35$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetonitrile ( 100 mL ). The solution color turns red. Mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and diluted with 15 ml of a $10 \% \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ aqueous solution. After 10 min under vigorous stirring the suspension was diluted with 50 ml of water and 100 ml of AcOEt. Organic phase was separated, washed again with $5 \% \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{ml})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated yielding 5.88 g of a brown yellow solid that was purified by chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give the title compound as a yellow oil ( 5.000 g , yield $85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 179.0,165.9,144.0,139.0,132.9,125.0$, $124.0,120.2,119.0,109.3,45.4,31.8,31.6,26.5,22.8,14.3$. Mass (GC/MS) - 288 (100)[M+], 287(96), 259(38), 231(19), 217(20), 203(49), 189(36), 175(50). Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 66.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.99$; N, 9.71; Found: C, 66.44; H, 6.96; N, 9.95.
Dibutyl-(4-\{2-[1-hexyl-5-(2-thiazol-2-yl-vinyl)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl]-vinyl\}-phenyl)-amine (10). A solution of 4-[bis-butylamino]-benzyl diethylphosphonate ${ }^{2}$ ( $6.400 \mathrm{~g}, 18.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 100 ml ) was cooled under nitrogen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}(2.240 \mathrm{~g}, 20$ mmol ) was added directly as a solid. After 10 minutes a solution of aldehyde 9 ( 5.000 g , 17.32 mmol ) in 100 ml of the same solvent was added dropwise. The solution immediately turns deep orange. After 1.5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOK}(2.000 \mathrm{~g}, 17.82$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 ml ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 additional hours. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the red oily residue was taken up with 150 mL of saturated NaCl and extracted with $\mathrm{AcOEt}(2 \times 150$ ml ). Organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated yielding 7.70 g of a red oil that was purified by chromatography (first $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{AcOEt} /$ Hexane $1: 4$, then $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ Hexane $2: 1$ ) affording the pure compound as a red very viscous oil ( 4.500 g , yield $54 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.70 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74$ (quint, $J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 167.8,147.9,143.5,135.9,130.4,128.4,127.4,124.6$, $122.1,117.0,116.5,111.8,111.6,109.9,106.9,51.0,43.5,31.9,31.8,29.8,26.8,22.9$, 20.7, 14.2. Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 76.02; H, 8.85; N, 8.58; Found: C, 75.96; H, 8.78; N, 8.47.

## 2-(2-\{5-[2-(4-Dibutylamino-phenyl)-vinyl]-1-hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl\}-vinyl)-thiazole-5-

 carbaldehyde (11). A solution of ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(0.67 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2.5 M hexane solution, 1.68 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 2 ml ), was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of compound $\mathbf{1 0}(0.410 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the same solvent $(18 \mathrm{ml})$. The solution was stirredat $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes, the color slowly turns brown. A solution of anhydrous and freshly distilled N -formylmorpholine ( $0.15 \mathrm{ml}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 2 ml ) was added dropwise and the solution stirred for 60 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 20 min at room temperature. The clear, fluorescent yellow solution was poured into 50 ml of a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and stirred at room temperature for 15 minutes. The deep violet organic phase was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml}$ ). Combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated yielding a violet oil that was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, Hexane/AcOEt 3:1) affording the pure title compound as a deep violet oil that over a week crystallizes into a sticky solid ( 0.200 $\mathrm{g}, 46 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 9.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.75 (quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.65-1.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta$ $181.6,175.1,153.2,148.2,138.1,136.5,130.2,130.0,127.7,125.8,124.2,114.6,112.7$, $111.8,111.0,108.0,51.0,43.6,31.9,31.8,29.8,26.8,22.9,20.7,14.2$. EI-MS ( $\mathrm{T}=451$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)-517(100)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 474$ (20), 432 (16), 259 (15), 237 (7). Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 74.23$; H, 8.37; N, 8.12; Found: C, 73.96; H, 8.47; N, 7.91.
2-(3-Cyano-4-\{2-[2-(2-\{5-[2-(4-dibutylamino-phenyl)-vinyl]-1-hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl\}-vinyl)-thiazol-5-yl]-vinyl\}-5,5-dimethyl-5H-furan-2-ylidene)-malononitrile (1a). A suspension of aldehyde $11(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acceptor $2(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 ml of ethanol and a drop of piperidine was heated under microwave in a sealed vessel at a constant power of 90 W for 8 min with a simultaneous cooling (nitrogen 20 psi ). Reaction mixture was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and filtered affording a golden brown powder that was washed directly on the filter with 10 ml of cold ethanol ( $0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 173.7,173.2,152.8,14 \delta$ 173.7, 173.2, 152.8, 148.3, 139.4, 136.7, 133.6, 130.9, 130.8, 127.9, 126.0, 125.8, 124.1,
$114.6,114.3,113.9,112.4,111.8,111.1,110.6,108.8,97.6,56.3,51.0,31.8,30.0,29.8$, 26.7, 26.6, 22.9, 20.7, 14.2, 14.1. Mass spectrometry-FAB, m/e (relative intensity) 724.2 (100), 681.2 (5), 431.3 (12), 339.2 (14). Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}: \mathrm{C}, 74.10$; H, 6.94; N, 12.06; Found: C, 73.60; H, 7.20; N, 11.92.

## 3-[2-(2-\{5-[2-(4-Dibutylamino-phenyl)-vinyl]-1-hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl\}-vinyl)-thiazol-

 5-yl]-propenal (12). A solution of ${ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{BuLi}(1.47 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a 2.5 M hexane solution, 3.68 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( 4 mL ), was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of compound $10(0.900 \mathrm{~g}, 1.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the same solvent ( 30 mL ). The solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min , the color slowly turns brown. A solution of freshly distilled dimethylaminoacroleine ( $0.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 4 mL ) was added dropwise and the solution stirred for 60 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 60 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ) was poured into the clear, fluorescent yellow solution and the resulting deep red mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 min . The deep violet organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with AcOEt $(2 \times 20$ $\mathrm{ml})$. Combined organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated yielding a violet oil that was purified by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right.$, Hexane/AcOEt 3:1) affording the pure title compound as a deep violet solid $(0.470 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 9.61(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75$ (quint, $J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 192.2,171.4,148.9,148.1,141.3,137.6,132.4,130.3,129.6$, 128.7, 127.6, 124.5, 124.4, 115.2, 111.9, 111.8, 111.2, 107.8, 51.0, 43.6, 31.8, 31.7, 29.8, 22.9, 20.7, 14.2. EI-MS $\left(T=452{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)-543$ (100)[M $\left.{ }^{+}\right]$, 500 (8), 443 (6), 393 (4), 272 (12), 218 (6). Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 75.09; H, 8.34; N, 7.73; Found: C, 75.00; H, 8.60; N, 7.40.
## 2-(2-\{3-[2-(2-\{5-[2-(4-Dibutylamino-phenyl)-vinyl]-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl\}-vinyl)-thiazol-5-yl]-allylidene\}-1,1-dioxo-1,2-dihydro-1 $\lambda^{6}$-benzo[b]thiophen-3-ylidene)-

malononitrile (1c). A suspension of aldehyde $12(0.100 \mathrm{mg}, 0,184 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acceptor 3 $(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.217 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 35 mL ) was refluxed for 3 h . The resulting violet
suspension was hot filtered and the dark violet precipitate was washed directly on the filter with 50 ml of boiling ethanol. Crystallization form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}$ (1:1) afforded the pure compound as a violet shiny solid ( 60 mg , yield $48 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 8.83(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{td}, J=7.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H$ ), 1.67-1.21 (m, 14H), 1.01-0.80 (m, 9H); Mass spectrometry-FAB, m/e (relative intensity) 755.1 (100), 699.1 (8), 543.2 (20), 392.2 (43). Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 71.49; H, 6.53; N, 9.26; Found: C, 71.32; H, 6.65; N, 9.24.

2-(3-Cyano-4-\{4-[2-(2-\{5-[2-(4-dibutylamino-phenyl)-vinyl]-1-hexyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl\}-vinyl)-thiazol-5-yl]-buta-1,3-dienyl\}-5,5-dimethyl-5H-furan-2-ylidene)-malononitrile (1b). Aldehyde $12(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acceptor $2(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a solution of $\mathrm{EtOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{AcONH}_{4}(2.5 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{AcOH}(3.8 \mathrm{mg})$. The violet mixture was refluxed for 2 h , color turns dark brown. After cooling at room temperature, mixture was filtered affording a dark precipitate that was washed directly on the filter with $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then crystallized form ethanol to give the pure compound as a dark powder $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}$, yield $64 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (dd, $J=11.7,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 173.4,170.9,149.0,148.2,147.4$, $138.1,135.5,135.4,134.5,128.4,127.7,124.5,124.3,117.8,115.2,112.5,111.8,111.1$, $111.0,108.1,97.8,97.7,51.0,43.6,31.8,29.8,26.8,26.5,22.9,20.7,14.1$ Mass spectrometry-FAB, m/e (relative intensity) 724.2 (100), 681.2 (5), 431.3 (12), 339.2 (14). Anal. Calcd for: $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$ : C, 74.55; H, 7.23; N, 11.59; Found: C, 74.21; H, 7.38 N , 11.19.

Table S1. Absorption maxima in $\mathrm{nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max }\right.$ in $\left.10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ for the low-energy bands of chromophores 1a-c in various solvents.

|  | THF | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | benzene | DMF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 a}$ | $718(57.0)$ | 751 | 731 | 725 |
| $\mathbf{1 b}$ | $691(38.4)$ | 722 | 722 | 693 |
| $\mathbf{1 c}$ | $812(46.4)$ | $850(42.2)$ | 832 | 841 |

Table S2. Half-wave potentials ( $E_{1 / 2}$ vs. $\mathrm{FeCp}_{2}{ }^{+/ 0}$ in V) for chromophores 1a, 1b and $\mathbf{1 c}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 0.1 \mathrm{M}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]^{-}$.

|  | $E_{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2+/+}\right)$ | $E_{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+/ 0}\right)$ | $E_{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{M}^{0 /-}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 a}$ | +0.22 | -0.03 | -1.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 b}$ | +0.21 | -0.06 | -0.95 |
| $\mathbf{1 c}$ | +0.27 | -0.01 | -0.78 |

## 3. Details for the Two-Photon Measurements and Preliminary Data for 1b and 1c

The femtosecond laser used is a Ti:Sapphire-based CPA-2001 system (CLARK-MXR) which provides laser pulses at 775 nm of 140 fs ( $\mathrm{FW} 1 / \mathrm{eM}$ of intensity) duration, with an energy of $0.94 \mathrm{~mJ} /$ pulse at a 1 KHz repetition rate. The laser pumps two optical parametric amplifier systems (TOPAS, Light Conversion), tunable over a broad range from visible to near-infared. 2-3 $\mu \mathrm{J}$ of 1300 nm light from TOPAS2 is focused tightly into a 2.5 mm -thick piece of calcium fluoride $\left(\mathrm{CaF}_{2}\right)$ for generation of the probe whitelight continuum (WLC) pulse. Pump and WLC-probe overlap at the sample in both space and time. Prior to arriving on the sample, a fraction of WLC-probe is sampled by a broadband 50/50 beam splitter as reference in order to monitor fluctuations in the probe beam. Both WLC-probe reference and signal are sent into a dual-fiber input spectrometer (Spectro150, Acton Research), which is coupled to a dual diode array (Princeton Instruments Silicon DPDA 2048).


Figure S1. Preliminary 2PA data for $\mathbf{1 b}$ (squares) and 1c (triangles) in THF and CH 2 Cl 2 respectively ( $\mathbf{1 c}$ was insufficiently soluble in THF). Filled symbols are pump-probe data $\left(\lambda_{\text {pump }}=1800 \mathrm{~nm}\right.$ for $\mathbf{1 b}, 1900 \mathrm{~nm}$ for $\mathbf{1 c}$ ) and open symbols are Z-scan data.

## 4. Computational Methodology

The geometry optimization was performed at the semi-empirical Hartree-Fock (HF) Austin Model 1 (AM1) ${ }^{3}$ method. All molecules are studied in their all-transoid configurations (shown in Scheme 1 of the paper). On the basis of the AM1 geometries, the electronic properties (dipole moments, transition dipole moments and transition energies) are evaluated using the semi-empirical Intermediate Neglect of Differential Overlap (INDO) Hamiltonian, ${ }^{4}$ as implemented in the ZINDO code. The spectroscopic parametrization, along with the Mataga-Nishimoto electron repulsion scheme, ${ }^{5}$ is used. The INDO calculation is coupled to a Multi-Reference Determinants Configuration Interaction (MRDCI) scheme, for which the excitations are taken among the 6 highest occupied and 6 lowest unoccupied $\pi$-molecular orbitals. Five reference determinants are considered: $\mathrm{SCF}, \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{H}-2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{H}-1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~L}+1(\mathrm{H}$ is the HOMO \{Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital\} and L the LUMO \{Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital\}) and SCF refers to the self-consistent field determinant). The two-photon absorption (2PA) cross sections are calculated using the Sum-Over-States (SOS) method ${ }^{6}$ including the first 300 singlet excited states.

To obtain non-degenerate two-photon absorption cross-sections we follow the approach outlined in reference 7, where a good agreement between theoretical and experimental values of non-degenerate 2 PA cross-sections has been found.

The non-degenerate and degenerate cross-sections are compared in Table 1 of the paper. When using the actual photon energy of the pump beam used for $\mathbf{1 a}(0.689 \mathrm{eV}$, corresponding to 1800 nm ) huge pre-resonance enhancement effects are obtained (see second line in Table S2). This overestimation of the non-degenerate cross-sections is is caused by the overestimation of the transition energies by the INDO method (compare Tables 1 and Figure 2 in the main paper, Table S 1 ). In order to overcome this problem, we calculated non-degenerate 2PA cross-sections using a "scaled pump" energy. Here the ratios of the pump, probe and transition energies are adjusted to the experimental values (rather than using a fixed pump energy). In this case, the energies of the pump beam photons are set to $0.971 \mathrm{eV}, 0.948 \mathrm{eV}$ and 1.066 eV for chromophores $\mathbf{1 a - c}$ respectively. This provides a much more realistic estimate of the pre-resonance enhancement (see third line in Table S2), especially, as within the often-applied two-state model, the pre-
resonance enhancement is determined by the ratio between the probe photon energy and the transition energy. ${ }^{8,9}$

Table S3. Degenerate and non-degenerate 2PA cross-sections in GM for chromophores 1a-c using the INDO/MRDCI method (with a $6 * 6$ CI space and five determinants), using the unscaled experimental pump energy and a pump energy scaled as described in the text.

|  | 1a | 1b | 1c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\delta_{\text {deg }}$ | 752 | 1070 | 904 |
| Unscaled $\delta_{\text {non-deg }}$ | 2565 | 3791 | 2825 |
| scaled $\delta_{\text {non-deg }}$ | 1224 | 1888 | 1113 |
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