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## Supporting Information

## Syn-Series


$91 \mathrm{mg}(R)-(+)-4-b e n z y l-3-p r o p i o n y l-2-o x a z o l i d i n o n e ~(~ 0.391 ~ m m o l, ~ 1.1 ~ e q u i v . ; ~ 99 \% ~ e e, ~ A l d r i c h ~$ Chemical Company) were dissolved in $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}^{2}$ dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{M})$, cooled with an ice-salt bath and treated with $450 \mu \mathrm{LBu} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$ solution ( $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.27 \text { equiv.). After } 5 \mathrm{~min} \text { at }}$ this temperature $89 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Hünig's base ( $0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.43$ equiv.) were added and stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was continued for 45 min . The mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with a solution of 100 mg aldehyde 6 ( $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in 0.4 mL dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After 2 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction was quenched by addition of pH 7 phosphate buffer and extracted with ether ( 4 x ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. The residue was redissolved in 5 mL MeOH , cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $1.3 \mathrm{~mL} 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Stirring was continued for one hour, followed by evaporation to dryness, work-up with ether/ 1 N HCl , ether extraction (3x), drying with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporation. Flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 10:1, 5:1 [P] ) yielded 197 mg 7 as a colorless foam (containing residual amount of the imide; yield calculated based on nmr integration to be $97 \%$ from aldehyde 6; d.r. > 25:1).

MW $514.65 \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR $\quad 7.85-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.24-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ph}) ; 7.21-$
500 MHz
7.22 (m, 1H; Ar); 7.18-7.20 (m, 2H; Ph); 5.18 (d, $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3$ ); 4.69-4.75 (m,
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \quad 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ ); 4.19-4.27 (m, 2H; H-3'); 3.98 (dq, $J=7.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2$ ); 3.24 (dd, $J=$ $13.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4$ 'a); 2.80 (dd, $J=13.4,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ ); 1.11 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H; H-4); 0.98 (s, 9H; TBS); 0.23 (s, 6H; TBS).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR
125 MHz
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

ESI-MS
$176.82\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 156.41\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 152.94\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ '); $149.12\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 144.33\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right)$; 134.84 (Cq; Ph); 129.49 (CH; Ph); 129.10 (CH; Ph); 127.63 (CH; Ph); 124.16 (CH; Ar); 114.16 (CH; Ar); 114.03 (CH; Ar); 72.03 (CH; C-3); 66.44 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-3$ ); 55.15 (CH; C-2’); 44.17 (CH; C-2); $37.81\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right.$ '); $25.64\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ; 18.28$ (Cq; TBS); $10.05\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right) ;-4.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.
1051.7 (2M + Na; 10); 539.4 (10); 538.4 (35); 537.4 (M + Na; 100).


267.1 mg of the Evans-aldol product 7 ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) were dissolved in 2.6 mL dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{M})$, cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with $91 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 2,6-lutidine ( $0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) and subsequently by dropwise addition with $155 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TBSOTf ( $0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.). The reaction continued for 16 h at rt , was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, extracted with EtOAc (4x), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. Flash column chromatography (hexane; hexane/EtOAc 10:1) yielded 318 mg silyl ether as a white solid (97\%).

MW $628.91 \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R} \quad \quad 7.86(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.51(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.16-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}$

500 MHz
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR
125 MHz
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ + Ph); 5.03 (d, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3$ ); 4.46-4.51 (m, 1H; H-2’); 4.12 (dd, $J=9.1,2.2$ Hz, 1H; H-3’a); 4.01 (t, $J=8.1-8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3 ’ \mathrm{~b}) ; 3.98$ (dq, $J=6.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-$ 2); 3.23 (dd, $J=13.4,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4$ 'a); 2.74 (dd, $J=13.4,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4 ’ \mathrm{~b}) ; 1.17$ (d, J = $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4$ ); 0.96 (s, 9H; TBS); 0.88 (s, 9H; TBS); 0.21 (s, 6H; TBS); 0.01 (d, 3H; TBS); -0.21 (s, 3H; TBS).
$173.60\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 156.21\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 153.08\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ '); $148.87\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 146.36\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right)$; 135.16 (Cq; Ph); 129.50 (CH; Ph); 129.05 (CH; Ph); 127.50 (CH; Ph); 124.39 (CH; Ar); 114.65 (CH; Ar); 114.18 (CH; Ar); 74.02 (CH; C-3); 66.16 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-3$ ); 55.64 (CH; C-2'); 46.74 (CH; C-2); $37.63\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right)$; $25.77\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ; 25.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ;\right.$ TBS); 18.26 ( $\mathrm{C}_{q}$; TBS); 18.15 (Cq; TBS); $11.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right) ;-4.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-4.46$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-5.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.
ESI-MS 653.1 (15); 652.2 (40); 651.1 (M + Na; 100).

HR-MS
$\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}_{2}=[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$
calculated: 651.2898 found: 651.2910 [+ 1.9 ppm ]


315 mg of the bis-TBS ether ( $0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) were dissolved in 5 mL THF ( 0.1 M ), cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated subsequently with $0.80 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( $30 \% ; 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 14.0$ equiv.) and 147 mg $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0$ equiv.). The instantly formed yellowish-orange solution was warmed gradually to rt and quenched after 16 h reaction time with 1 N HCl , extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(4 \mathrm{x})$, the combined organic extract was washed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ solution, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. Flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc $10: 1,5: 1$ [P], 2:1) provided pure product 8 along with some fractions of impure product which were re-chromatographed to yield a total of 162 mg free acid 8 (91\%).

MW $355.40 \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR $\quad$ 7.76-7.77 (m, 1H; Ar); $7.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.30-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 5.24(\mathrm{~d}$,

500 MHz
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR 125 MHz $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone
$J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3) ; 2.72$ (dq, $J=7.0,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2) ; 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-$ 4); 0.92 (s, 9H; TBS); 0.11 (s, 3H; TBS); -0.11 (s, 3H, TBS).
$174.00\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 157.91\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 149.02\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 147.05\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 119.85(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ;$ 112.49 (CH; Ar); $108.84(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 74.60(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{C}-3) ; 47.89(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{C}-2) ; 25.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ;\right.$ TBS $) ; 17.85\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ; 10.55\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right) ;-5.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-5.90\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.

ent-8

MW $355.40 \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R \quad$ 7.77-7.76 (m, 1H; Ar); $7.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.30-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 5.23(\mathrm{~d}$, 500 MHz $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3) ; 2.72$ (dq, $J=7.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2) ; 1.11$ (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-$
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR
125 MHz
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone
ESI-MS (-)
4); 0.91 (s, 9H; TBS); 0.10 (s, 3H; TBS); -0.11 (s, 3H, TBS).
174.05 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1$ ); 157.88 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$; Ar); $148.99\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 147.00\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 119.82(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ar})$; 112.45 (CH; Ar); 108.82 (CH; Ar); 74.57 (CH; C-3); 47.87 (CH; C-2); $25.29\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$; TBS); 17.82 (Cq; TBS); $10.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right)$; -5.30 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-5.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.

## HR-MS

$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}=[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$
calculated: 354.1373 found: 354.1365 [-2.2 ppm].


160 mg of the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{8}$ ( $0.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and 153 mg CDI ( $0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv.) were dissolved in 9 mL dry $\operatorname{DMF}(0.05 \mathrm{M})$ and were stirred at rt for 3 h followed by administration of $191 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ HSNAC (neat; $1.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.). After 1 h 55 mg DMAP ( 0.45 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) were added and stirring was continued for 16 h upon which additional $96 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ HSNAC ( $0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) were added and stirring was continued for 24 h (TLC showed only trace amounts of starting material). The reaction mixture was quenched with 0.5 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc (4x). The combined organic extracts were thoroughly washed with brine (2x) and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$-impregnated silica on top of a regular silica gel column; hexane/EtOAc 5:1 [traces SM], 1:1, EtOAc pure [P], $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 10:1) yielded 8.8 mg recovered starting material (6\%) and 171.3 mg of the SNAC ester 9 (83\%) as a yellowish oil, which crystallized upon storage.

MW $456.63 \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SiS}$

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR

500 MHz
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR
125 MHz
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone

ESI-MS (+)
ESI-MS (-)
9.70 (br, 1H; OH); 7.70-7.72 (m, 1H; Ar); 7.56 (t, $J=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}$ ); 7.39 (br, 1H; NHAc); 7.25-7.26 (m, 1H; Ar); 5.09 (d, J = $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3$ ); 3.24-3.34 (m, 2H; H-2'); 2.87-2.99 (m, 3H; H-2 + H-1’); 1.89 (s, 3H; H-4'); 1.20 (d, J = $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-$ 4); 0.92 (s, 9H; TBS); 0.10 (s, 3H; TBS); -0.13 (s, 3H, TBS).
199.92 (Cq: C-1); 169.88 (Cq ; C-3) ; 158.14 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$; Ar); 149.03 (Cq; Ar); 146.22 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$; Ar); 120.01 (CH; Ar); 112.36 (CH; Ar); 109.15 (CH; Ar); 75.11 (CH; C-3); 56.87 (CH; C-2); $38.74\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-2\right.$ ) ; $28.24\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ '); $25.30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ; 22.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-\right.$ 4'); 17.84 (Cq; TBS); 12.15 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right)$; -5.33 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-5.82\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.
481.1 (5); 480.1 (13); 479.1 (M + Na; 46); 457.0 ( M + H; 10).
910.7 (2M - H; 10); 455.1 (M - H; 100).

HR-MS
calculated: 479.1648
found: 479.1637 [-2.3 ppm].

125.7 mg of the TBS-protected SNAC ester 9 ( 0.275 mmol , 1.0 equiv.) were dissolved in 5.5 mL acetonitril $(0.05 \mathrm{M})$ and treated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1.1 mL HF (30\%). The mixture was gradually warmed to rt and stirring was continued until TLC analysis showed complete turnover (44h). The mixture was quenched with pH 7.0 phosphate buffer and brine, extracted with EtOAc (4x) and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:1, EtOAc pure, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 10: 1[\mathrm{P}]\right)$ yielded 88.4 mg of the free alcohol (94\%) as a white solid.

MW $342.27 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR} \quad 9.54(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{OH}) ; 7.74-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$; Ar); $7.54(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$; Ar); $7.40(\mathrm{br}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz} \quad 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{NHAc}) ; 7.26-7.27$ (m, 1H; Ar); 5.08 (d, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3$ ); 5.02 (br, 1H; OH); $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone $\quad 3.21-3.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right)$; 2.87-3.03 (m, 3H; H-2 + H-1'); 1.89 (s, 3H; H-4'); 1.19 (d, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4)$.
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR $\quad 200.50\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}: \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 170.22\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-3\right.$ ) ; $158.05\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \operatorname{Ar}\right) ; 149.15\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \operatorname{Ar}\right) ; 146.76\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right.$; $125 \mathrm{MHz} \quad \mathrm{Ar}) ; 119.86$ (CH; Ar); 112.16 (CH; Ar); 108.78 (CH; Ar); 73.29 (CH; C-3); 55.91 $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone $\quad(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{C}-2) ; 38.52\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\right) ; 28.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-1{ }^{\prime}\right) ; 22.00\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}\right) ; 11.76\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-\right.$ 4).

ESI-MS (+) $365.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na} ; 50) ; 343.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H} ; 100)$.
ESI-MS (-)
341.0 ( M - H; 100).

HR-MS
$\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{NaS}=[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$
calculated: 365.0783 found: 365.0787 [ +1.0 ppm ].

82.4 mg of the nitro-SNAC ester ( 0.241 mmol ) were dissolved in 10 mL ethanol. This solution was added to 170 mg Pd/C (10\%), followed by addition of 1 mL 1 N HCl . The air in the reaction flask was replaced by hydrogen and the slurry was vigorously stirred for $21 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$ and then filtered
through a plug of celite. The celite was washed with additional ethanol. The ethanol solution was concentrated, toluene was added to the concentrated solution and evaporation was continued to dryness yielding the hydrochloride as a white-brownish solid in quantitative yield.

MW $348.85 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR} \quad 6.87$ (br, $1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}$ ); 6.86 (br, $1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}$ ); 6.75 (br, $1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}$ ); 4.86 (d, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3$ ); $500 \mathrm{MHz} \quad 3.26-3.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}\right) ; 2.92-3.02$ (m, 3H; H-1' + H-2); 2.08 (s, 3H; H-4'); 1.24 (d, J $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \quad=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR $\quad 202.22\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 174.52\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-3\right.$ ) ; $159.93\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \operatorname{Ar}\right) ; 148.10\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \operatorname{Ar}\right) ; 132.46\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right.$;
$125 \mathrm{MHz} \quad \mathrm{Ar}) ; 115.11$ (CH; Ar); 112.76 (CH; Ar); 110.06 (CH; Ar); 75.01 (CH; C-3); 57.26
$\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \quad(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{C}-2) ; 40.66\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-2{ }^{\prime}\right) ; 28.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-1{ }^{\prime}\right) ; 21.94\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right)^{\prime}\right) ; 13.27\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-\right.$ 4).

## Anti-Series



59 mg of the Evans imide ( $0.254 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) were dissolved in 0.8 mL of dry ether ( 0.3 M ) and treated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $508 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}$ solution ( 1.0 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; 0.508 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) and after 5 min with $51 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DIPEA ( $0.292 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.27$ equiv.). After 45 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of 65 mg aldeyhde 6 ( $0.231 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in 0.5 mL $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added dropwise. After 2 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the mixture was quenched with 1 M NaHSO 4 solution, extracted with hexane/EtOAc 1:1 (4x), dried with brine and evaporated to dryness. The residue was taken up in $2.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 0.6 mL pH 7 buffer and treated with $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (30\%) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . Watery work-up, EtOAc extraction, drying with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 10:1, 5:1 [Evans imide], $2: 1$ [P]) gave 93 mg of the aldol product $\mathbf{A}(78 \%)$ as a 3.6 : 1.0 diastereomeric mixture (anti: non-Evans-syn).

$$
\text { MW } 514.65 \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R} \quad 7.86(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.12-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{Ph})$;
$500 \mathrm{MHz} \quad 4.84$ (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H; H-3); 4.64-4.70 (m, 1H; H-2'); 4.13-4.27 (m, 3H; H-2 + H-3');
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ 3.18 (dd, $J=13.6,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4 ’ \mathrm{~b}) ; 2.66$ (dd. $J=13.6,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$; h-4’b); 1.15 (d,
$J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4) ; 0.97$ (s, 9H; TBS); 0.23 (s, 6H; TBS).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR $\quad 176.03\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 156.67\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 153.46\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ '); $149.16\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 145.30\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right)$; $125 \mathrm{MHz} \quad 134.95\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ph}\right) ; 129.45(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ph}) ; 129.05(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ph}) ; 127.50(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ph}) ; 124.57(\mathrm{CH} ;$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \quad \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 114.58(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 114.48(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 76.29(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{C}-3) ; 66.19\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-3\right.$ ) $) ; 55.47$ (CH; C-2'); $44.30(\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{C}-2) ; 37.71\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right.$ '); $25.60\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ; 18.25\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$; $14.94\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right) ;-4.40\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.
ESI-MS
1053.8 (10); 1052.8 (20); 1051.8 (2M + Na; 25); 539.4 (15); 538.4 (50); 537.4 (M + Na; 100); 497.4 (M - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} ; 90$ ).
HR-MS
$\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{NaSi}=[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$
calculated: 537.2033 found: 537.2024 [-1.7 ppm].


267 mg of the Evans-aldol product $\mathbf{A}(0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) were dissolved in 2.6 mL dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{M})$, cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with $91 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 2,6-lutidine ( $0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) and subsequently by dropwise addition with $156 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ TBSOTf ( $0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3$ equiv.). The reaction continued for 16 h at rt , was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, extracted with EtOAc (4x), dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. Flash column chromatography (hexane; hexane/EtOAc 10:1) yielded 301 mg B as a white solid (92\%; d.r. 3.0:1.0).

MW $628.91 \mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R} \quad 7.80(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.57-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.20-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{Ph}) ; 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0$

500 MHz
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR
125 MHz
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

Hz, 1H; H-3); 4.65-4.71 (m, 1H; H-2'); 4.03-4.21 (m, 3H; H-2 + H-3'); 3.44 (dd, $J=$ 13.3, 3.3 Hz, 1H; H-4’a); 2.69 (dd, $J=13.3,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4$ 'b); 0.98 (s, $3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{TBS}$ ); 0.97 (d, 3H; H-4); 0.80 (s, 9H; TBS); 0.24 (s, 6H; TBS); 0.04 (s, 3H; TBS); -0.24 (s, 3 H; TBS).
174.91 (Cq; C-1); 156.48 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 153.19$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1$ '); 148.83 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar);} 145.76$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}$ ); 135.54 (Cq; Ph); 129.44 (CH; Ph); 129.11 (CH; Ph); 127.44 (CH; Ph); 125.28 (CH; Ar); 115.34 (CH; Ar); 114.70 (CH; Ar); 76.36 (CH; C-3); 66.04 ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-3$ ); 55.62 (CH; C-2'); 46.33 (CH; C-2); $38.43\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right)$; $25.80\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ; 25.62\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}:\right.$ TBS); 18.28 (Cq; TBS); 18.04 ( $\mathrm{C}_{q} ;$ TBS); $14.33\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right)$; $4.32\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-4.38$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-4.49\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-4.89\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.

ESI-MS 1280 (2M + Na; 10); 653.5 (15); 652.5 (50); 651.5 (M + Na; 100).

HR-MS
$\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}=[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$
calculated: 651.2898 found: 651.2894 [-0.6 ppm]


280 mg of the imide $\mathbf{B}$ ( 0.445 mmol , 1.0 equiv.; 3.0:1.0 diastereomeric mixture) were dissolved in 4.5 mL THF $(0.1 \mathrm{M})$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated subsequently with $0.71 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%$; 6.23 mmol, 14.0 equiv.) and $131 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $3.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0$ equiv.). The instantly formed yellowish-orange solution was warmed gradually to rt and quenched after 16 h reaction time with 1 N HCl , extracted with EtOAc (4x), the combined organic extract was washed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ solution, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to dryness. Flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 10:1, 5:1 [P], 2:1 [P] provided 120.1 mg pure product $\mathbf{C}$ ( $76 \%$, d.r. 7.6:1.0; 89\% relative to major diastereomer). Additional fractions containing mainly the second diastereomer were not isolated.

MW $355.46 \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR $\quad$ 7.76-7.77 (m, 1H; Ar); $7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.32-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 4.96(\mathrm{~d}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz} \quad J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3) ; 2.74(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2) ; 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-$ $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone $\left.\quad 4\right) ; 0.85$ (s, 9H; TBS); 0.07 (s, 3H; TBS); -0.16 (s, 3H; TBS).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR
125 MHz
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone
ESI-MS
174.65 (Cq; C-1); 158.03 (Cq; Ar); 149.12 (Cq; Ar); 146.09 (Cq; Ar); 120.44 (CH; Ar);
112.96 (CH; Ar); 109.20 (CH; Ar); 76.24 (CH; C-3); 48.54 (CH; C-2); $25.19\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}:\right.$

TBS); 17.75 (Cq; TBS); $12.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right) ;-5.37\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-5.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right)$.
380.3 (10); 379.4 (35); 378.4 (M + Na; 100); 356.4 (M + H; 8).

HR-MS
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{NaSi}=\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}$
calculated: 378.1349
found: 378.1357 [ +2.2 ppm ]


120 mg of the carboxylic acid $\mathbf{C}$ ( $0.338 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and 115 mg CDI ( $0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1$ equiv.) were dissolved in 6.8 mL dry DMF ( 0.05 M ) and were stirred at rt for 3h followed by administration of $144 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ HSNAC ( $1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.). After 1 h 46 mg DMAP ( 0.37 mmol , 1.0 equiv.) were added and stirring was continued for 16 h upon which additional $72 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ HSNAC ( $0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) were added and stirring was continued for 24 h (TLC still showed some starting material). The reaction mixture was quenched with 0.5 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc $(4 x)$. The combined organic extracts were thoroughly washed with brine ( 2 x ) and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$-impregnated silica on top of a regular silica gel column; hexane/EtOAc 5:1 [SM], 1:1, EtOAc pure [P], $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 10: 1$ ) yielded 24.4 mg recovered starting material (20\%) and 102 mg of the SNAC ester $\mathbf{D}$ (66\%, d.r. 6.6:1.0; 79\% based on recovered starting material) as a yellowish solid.

## MW $456.63 \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SiS}$

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ | 9.80 (br, 1H; OH); 7.76 (t, $J=1.5-1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.59$ (t, $J=3.2-3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar})$; |
| :---: | :---: |
| 500 MHz | 7.49 (br, 1H; NHAc); 7.31 (dd, $J=1.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 4.99$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3$ ); |
| $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone | 3.32-3.45 (m, 2H; H-2'); 2.94-3.12 (m, 3H; H-2 + H-1'); 1.91 (s, 3H; H-4'); 0.92 (d, J |
|  | $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4) ; 0.85$ (s, 9H; TBS); 0.04 (s, 3H; TBS); -0.20 (s, 3H; TBS). |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR | $200.82\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 170.22$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-3$ ) $) ; 158.48$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 149.40$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{Ar}\right) ; 146.00$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}$; |
| 125 MHz | Ar); 120.53 (CH; Ar); 112.96 (CH; Ar); 109.61 (CH; Ar); 76.32 (CH; C-3); 57.04 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone | ( $\mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{C}-2) ; 39.06\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}-2\right.$ ); $28.58\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}: \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ '); $25.42\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}: \mathrm{TBS}\right) ; 22.25\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-\right.$ |
|  | $\left.4^{\prime}\right) ; 17.99$ (Cq; TBS); 13.94 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}-4\right)$; -5.13 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}\right) ;-5.80$ ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathrm{TBS}$ ). |
| ESI-MS | 935.7 (2M + Na; 20); 481.5 (20); 480.4 (35); 479.4 (M +Na; 100); 457.4 (M + H; 10). |

HR-MS

$$
\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{SiS}=[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}
$$

calculated: 479.1648 found: 479.1634 [-2.9 ppm].


105 mg of the TBS-protected SNAC ester $\mathbf{D}$ ( $0.230 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) were dissolved in 4.6 mL acetonitril ( 0.05 M ) and treated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 1.9 mL HF (30\%). The mixture was gradually warmed to rt and stirring was continued until TLC analysis showed complete turnover (44h). The mixture was quenched with pH 7.0 phosphate buffer and brine, extracted with EtOAc (4x) and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Flash column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 1:1, EtOAc pure, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 10: 1[\mathrm{P}]\right)$ yielded 75.9 mg of the free alcohol $\mathbf{E}$ (96\%) as a white solid.
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR $\quad 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6-1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.56(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2-2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 7.50(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHAc}) ;$
$500 \mathrm{MHz} \quad 7.28$ (dd, $J=2.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) ; 4.97$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-3$ ); 3.38 (q, $J=6.1-6.6$
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone $\quad \mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}$ ); 2.95-3.05 (m, 3H, H-1’ + H-4); 1.91 (s. 3H; H-4'); 0.96 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR
125 MHz
$\mathrm{d}_{6}$-acetone

ESI-MS
707.2 (2M + Na; 25); 366.3 (20); 365.3 (M + Na; 100).

HR-MS
$\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{NaS}=[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$
calculated: 365.0783 found: 365.0768 [- 4.2 ppm$]$.

72.9 mg of the nitro-SNAC ester $\mathbf{E}(0.213 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 6.6 mL ethanol. This solution was added to $145 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%)$, followed by addition of 0.66 mL 1 N HCl . The air in the reaction flask was replaced by hydrogen and the slurry was vigorously stirred for $21 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$ and then filtered through a plug of celite. The celite was washed with additional ethanol. The ethanol solution was concentrated, toluene was added to the concentrated solution and evaporation was continued to dryness yielding the hydrochloride 13 as a white-brownish solid in quantitative yield.

MW $348.85 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}$
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR $\quad 6.89$ (br. s, 1H; Ar); 6.86 (br. s, 1 H ; Ar); 6.78 (br. s, 1 H ; Ar); 4.76 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$; $500 \mathrm{MHz} \quad \mathrm{H}-3$ ); 3.36-3.42 (m, 2H; H-2'); 3.02-3.10 (m, 2H; H-1’); 2.90-2.98 (m, 1H; H-2); 1.99 $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \quad\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right) ; 0.93$ (d, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4\right)$.
${ }^{13}$ C-NMR $\quad 202.92\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-1\right) ; 174.03\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \mathrm{C}-3\right.$ ) $) ; 160.14\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \operatorname{Ar}\right) ; 147.56\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} ; \operatorname{Ar}\right) ; 132.70\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\right.$;
125 MHz
$\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$

ESI-MS

HR-MS

$$
\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{NaS}=[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}
$$
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MW $348.85 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}$
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR $\quad 6.87-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$; Ar); 6.83-6.84 (m, $1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Ar}) ; 6.74(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1-2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$;
$500 \mathrm{MHz} \quad$ Ar); 4.76 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-3$ ); 3.38 (dt, $J=6.8,1.6-2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2$ ');
$\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} \quad 3.05(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=6.7,1.1-1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-1$ '); $2.93(\mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-2)$;
1.94 (s, 3H; H-4'); 0.94 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}-4$ ).

ESI-MS (+) 336.4 (20); 335.3 (M - HCl + Na; 95); 295.4 (100).
ESI-MS (-) 349.3 (40); 347.4 (M - H; 100).
HR-MS $\quad \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SCl}=[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$
calculated: 347.0832 found: 347.0825 [- 2.1 ppm$]$.

## Typical Fermentation Procedure

Amycolatopsis mediterranei HGF003 was grown on 40 mL YMG agar plates at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three days prior to substrate addition. The hydrochlorides 10-13 (d.r. for syn diastereomers > 15:1; d.r. for 12 4.8:1.0; d.r. for 13 6.0:1.0 based on nmr integration; synthesized from 99\% ee commercial grade Evans oxazolidinone) were dissolved in $20 \%$ DMSO/water ( $15-20 \mathrm{mg}$ substrate per plate, 1.5 mL volume per plate). After pH adjustment to $7.2-7.3$, substrate solutions were administered to plates by sterile filtration. After seven days of additional growth, homogenization was followed by extraction with EtOAc (containing $1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$ ). The combined extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and evaporated to dryness. For HPLC and LCMS analysis the crude extraction residue was redissolved in $\mathrm{AcCN} / \mathrm{iPrOH} 1: 1$ and filtered.

## Representative LCMS Traces

Reference: Rifamycin B (commercial standard)



## Positive Control: Supplementation with AHBA (10 mg per plate)



040804_14419_02 \#860-925 RT: 14.94-16.07 AV: 66 NL: 2.71E6
F: + p ESI Full ms [100.00-1000.00]


040804_14419_02 \#864-921 RT: 15.01-16.00 AV: 58 NL: 3.06E6


## Negative Control: Mock Supplementation



040804_14419_01 \#865-921 RT: 15.01-15.99 AV: 57 NL: 5.53E5
F: +p ESI Full ms [100.00-1000.00]


040804_14419_01 \#865-921 RT: 15.01-15.99 AV: 57 NL: 2.65E5
O40804_14419_01 \#865-921 RT: 15.01-1
F: +p ESI Full ms [100.00-1000.00]


Ladder of $\Delta=44$ mass units results from PEG residue (filtration steps).

## Supplementation with Substrate 12

08/04/2004 07:52:00 PM
|HB07|H190


040804_14419_03 \#869-925 RT: 15.01-15.99 AV: 57 NL: 2.68E5


040804_14419_03 \#869-925 RT: 15.01-15.99 AV: 57 NL: 2.04E5
F: + p ESI Full ms [ $100.00-1000.00$ ]


Supplementation with AHBA; 700-800 mass units


Supplementation with Substrate 12; 700-800 mass units


Mock Supplementation; 700-800 mass units


## Prediction of the Stereoselectivity of the Ketoreductase Domain of RifM1

Reid Signature Sequence:
Reid, R.; Piagentini, M.; Rodriguez, E.; Ashley, G.; Viswanathan, N.; Carney, J.; Santi, D. V.; Hutchinson, C. R.; McDaniel, R.
Biochemistry 2003, 42, 72.
Caffrey Signature Sequence:
Caffrey, P. ChemBioChem 2003, 4, 649.
Catalytic triad coloured in green, predictive residues in grey.

## L-configured



D-configured


| Amino Acid Position | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 93 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 97 | 98 | 99 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 103 | 113 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caffrey L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caffrey D |  |  |  |  |  | L | D | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reid L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K |
| Reid D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K |
| Rif M1 KR | H | T | A | G | v | L | D | D | G | v | v | T | E | L | T | P | K |
| DEBS M1 KR (D) | H | A | A | A | T | L | D | D | G | T | v | D | T | L | T | G | K |
| DEBS M2 KR (L) | H | A | A | G | L | P | Q | Q | v | A | I | N | D | M | D | E | K |


|  | 134 | 135 | 136 | 137 | 138 | 139 | 140 | 141 | 142 | 143 | 144 | 145 | 146 | 147 | 148 | 149 | 150 | 151 | 152 | 153 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caffrey L |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | w |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caffrey D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | P |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reid L |  |  | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y |  |  |  | N |
| Reid D |  |  | s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y |  |  |  | N |
| Rif KR1 | F | s | s | A | A | G | v | L | G | N | P | G | Q | A | G | Y | A | A | A | N |
| DEBS KR1 | F | S | S | F | A | S | A | F | G | A | P | G | L | G | G | Y | A | P | G | N |
| DEBS KR2 | F | s | s | G | A | G | v | w | G | S | A | R | Q | G | A | Y | A | A | G | N |

## Energetically minimized conformations of P8/1-OG lactones



Anti-2 (left) and syn-2 (right) using MacroModel 7.2 (MMFFs force field, solvent model water). The dihedral angle between protons H6 and H7 were deduced to be $173.86^{\circ}$ for anti-2 and $76.76^{\circ}$ for syn-2.

## Construction of RM2 Expression Vector

PCR was performed to clone the RM2 gene fragment from the RifA gene using the primers N terminal primer 5’-GATCGTCGCGATGGCGTGCC-3’ and the C-terminal primer 5’-CCGGCCGTCCTCGCGGACCAACTAGT-3' where a SpeI site (underlined in the primer sequence) was added to the end of the gene fragment. The PCR amplified fragment was then ligated into a linearized pCR-Blunt vector (Invitrogen) producing pFL457. The 2.9 kbp BsaBISpeI fragment was ligated into pST164 to yield pKW106. pST164 is a pUC19 vector that contains the 6-deoxyerythronolide B N-terminal linker region of eryM3 (M3N) flanked with a 5'NdeI site and a 3'-BsaBI site and the 6-deoxyerythronolide B C-terminal linker region of eryM2 (M2C) flanked with a 5'-SpeI site and a 3'-EcoRI site ${ }^{1}$. The two linker regions borrowed from the 6-deoxyerthryonolide system aided in protein expression (data not shown). Next the 3.2 kbp NdeI-EcoRI fragment was ligated into pET28b(+) (Novagen) to yield pKW184. pET28 provided RM2 with both N -terminal and C-terminal poly-His tags.

## RM2 Expression and Purification

Escherchia coli BL21 (DE3) (Novagen) was used as a host to express the RM2 construct. A single transformant was used to start 25 ml of LB media cultures with kanamycin ( $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 rpm . The starter culture was grown overnight and used to inoculate 5L of LB medium containing the same concentration of kanamycin. The culture was grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 rpm until an OD of $0.6-0.8$ at which point the cultures were placed on ice for 15 minutes.

[^0]Next the cultures were induced with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of ispopropyl- $\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside and incubated at $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 200 rpm for 20 hrs .

All purification procedures were performed on ice or at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. RM2 was isolated by first spinning the cell culture at $2,500 \mathrm{x}$ g for 20 min and resuspending the cell pellet in disruption buffer ( 200 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7.2 / 200 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium chloride/0.2 mM DTT/1.5 mM benzamine $/ 2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ pepstatin/ $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ leupeptin/30\% glycerol). Resuspended cells were lysed using sonication and then clarified at $40,000 \mathrm{xg}$ for 60 min . The lysate was then equilibrated with 5 ml of Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen) for 1 hr . The resin was first rinsed with 10 mM imidazole in a pH 7.2100 mM sodium phosphate buffer, and then the protein was eluted off the resin with 200 mM imidazole in the same buffer. Additional purification was carried out using anion-exchange chromatography. The protein solution was loaded onto a HiTrap Q 5 ml column (Amersham). A gradient of $0-1 \mathrm{M}$ sodium chloride in 100 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7.2 ), 2.0 mM DTT, and $10 \%$ vol glycerol was run at $3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ for 15 column volumes. Three ml fractions were collected, and those fractions containing RM2 were pooled, concentrated, and buffer exchanged into 100 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7.2 ), 2.0 mM DTT, $20 \%$ vol glycerol using an Amcion Ultra protein concentrator (Waters). Purified protein was analyzed on a $7.5 \%$ polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad) stained with Coomassie brilliant blue stain. This protein purification yielded $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ of purified RM2.
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## RM2 in vitro Diketide Labelling and LC/MS Analysis

To determine if the KS domain of RM2 provides a selectivity barrier to diketide incorporation, purified RM2 was incubated with individual diketides 10-13, digested with trypsin, and the digest was analyzed using LC/MS to see if the KS active site cysteine was covalently bound to any of the diketides.

Trypsin proteoloysis of RM2. The incubation reaction was carried out with 100 mM sodium phosphate ( pH 7.2 ), $12.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Rm2}$, and 10 mM of $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 3}$, or no diketide for 60 minutes at room temperature at a $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ scale. Next, $1.25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ trypsin solution was added to each diketide reaction and incubated at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min . The reactions were quenched with $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of a $10 \%$ formic acid solution.

LC-MS analysis. $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of each quenched reaction were analyzed using a Surveyor HPLC system (ThermoFinnigan) equipped with a Vydac C18 reverse phase polymer column. Mass spectra were collected on an LCQ quadrupole ion trap (ThermoFinnigan) mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ion source operating in positive ion mode. The sheath gas was set to 60 (arbitrary units), spray voltage to 4.5 kV , and capillary temperature to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A linear gradient between buffer A (water, $0.1 \%$ formic acid) and buffer B (acetonitrile, $0.1 \%$ formic acid) from $5 \%$ to $95 \%$ over 60 minutes at a flow rate of $0.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ was used.
$L C-M S$ results. The expected molecular mass of the trypsin digest fragment containing the active site cysteine is $3527.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. The $\mathrm{m} / 2$ mass is $1763.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ (data not shown) and the $\mathrm{m} / 3$ mass is $1175.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ (see figure below). The $\mathrm{m} / 3$ peak was significantly larger then the $\mathrm{m} / 2$ peak. Diketides 10-13 have the same molecular mass and when bound to the KS active site cysteine yield a trypsin digest fragment with a mass of $3721 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. The $\mathrm{m} / 3$ mass of this fragment is $1240.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. In the reaction with no diketide a peptide eluted at 22.3-22.5 min with a mass of $1177.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $1765.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ corresponding to the $\mathrm{m} / 2$ and $\mathrm{m} / 3$ mass of the peptide fragment with the active site cysteine. The $1177.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ peak was observed in all the reactions. The reaction with diketide 12 was the only reaction to produce a fragment at $1241.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ corresponding to the mass of the diketide covalently bound to the active site cysteine fragment. This result demonstrates that the stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 2}$ is the only stereochemistry accepted by the RM2 KS domain at a measurable rate.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ (a) Tsuji, S.Y.; Cane, D.E.; Khosla, C. Biochemistry 2001, 40, 2326. (b) Wu, N.; Tsuji, S.Y.; Cane, D.E.; Khosla, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6465. (c) Wu, N.; Cane, D.E.; Khosla, C. Biochemistry 2002, 41, 5056.

