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## General Procedures

THF was dried by distillation from sodium and benzophenone under nitrogen. Dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ was dried by distillation over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under nitrogen. Reactions were carried out under nitrogen using oven dried glassware unless otherwise noted. Column chromatography was performed using $32-63 \mathrm{D}$ silica gel ( $60 \AA$ particle size) and analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analyses were performed on glass plates pre-coated with silica gel 60 ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ layer thickness). NMR experiments were performed on either 300 MHz or 500 MHz instruments. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) on the $\delta$ scale, and were referenced to residual protonated solvent peaks: spectra obtained in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ were referenced to $\left(\mathrm{CHD}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right) \mathrm{SO}$ at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.50$ and $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 39.5$; spectra obtained in acetic acid- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ were referenced to $\left(\mathrm{CHD}_{2}\right) \mathrm{COOD}$ at $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.07$ and $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right) \mathrm{COOD}$ at $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 20.0$. If possible, rotational isomers were resolved by obtaining spectra at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DMSO- $d_{6}$. IR spectra were obtained using an FTIR spectrophotometer. Optical rotations were measured at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left( \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in chloroform, unless otherwise noted, using a cell with a path length of 10 cm . Mass spectrometry was performed either on a high resolution mass spectrometer with an electron impact ion source (HRMS-EI), or on a high resolution mass spectrometer using an electrospray ion source (HRESIQTOFMS). HPLC analysis was performed on an analytical HPLC instrument with a diode array detector, using a $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Preparative HPLC was performed on a preparative scale HPLC system with a $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing, 21.5 mm x 50 mm ). HPLC-MS analysis was performed on an HPLC instrument with diode array detector and LC-MSD detector (ES ion source) using an $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column ( $3.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ packing, $4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \times 100$ mm ).

Solid phase chemistry was executed by hand, under argon, using a home-made solid phase peptide synthesis apparatus. Anhydrous DMF used in coupling reactions was used as received. Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) was distilled under nitrogen sequentially from ninhydrin and potassium hydroxide and stored over molecular sieves. After the completion of each solid phase coupling reaction, coupling yields were determined quantitatively by measuring the concentration of the piperidine-dibenzofulvene adduct ( $\left.\lambda_{\max }=301 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=7800 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$.

Fluorescence excitation spectra were obtained on a fluorescence spectrophotometer. The excitation and emission slits were both set to 5 nm . Excitation was monitored at 520 nm and samples were irradiated between 270 and 450 nm at a scan rate of $120 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$. Samples were measured in a 1 cm quartz cell. Fluorescence samples were prepared such that their concentrations were approximately $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$; this was determined based upon theoretical yields from the solid phase resin. Each sample was scanned ten times sequentially, and the ten scans were averaged. The excitation spectra were normalized such that the emission maximum of the dansyl group ( 337 nm ) was 100 arbitrary units for all samples.

## Monomer Synthesis



## Conditions:

(a) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, reflux; (b) 2 M HCl (aq.), reflux; (c) (i) $40: 2: 1 \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TEA}$; (ii) recryst. from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}$; (d)
(i) TMS-Cl, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux; (ii) $\mathrm{Cbz}-\mathrm{Cl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt.; (e) Jones reagent, acetone, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) Isobutylene, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (g) $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{KCN}, 1: 1 \mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sealed tube; (h) (Boc) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, THF, rt.; (i) $\mathrm{KOH}, 1: 1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}$, rt.; (j) (i) TMS-Cl, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux; (ii) $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Cl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt.; (k) $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (l) TFA/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (m) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{wt} . \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF.

Supplemental Scheme 1: Synthesis of monomers 2a and 2b


Supplemental Scheme 2: Synthesis of the $\operatorname{pro4}(2 S, 4 S$ ) (Boc) (1b) monomer


## (2R,4R)-4-Hydroxypyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylic acid 1-benzyl ester (sc1)

Commercially available trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline (3) was converted in modest yield to cis-4-hydroxy-D-proline (4) using a method described elsewhere. ${ }^{1}$ cis-4-Hydroxy-D-proline (4, 9.50 g , $72.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and a magnetic stir bar were added to a 250 mL three neck round bottom flask fitted with a reflux condenser, rubber septum and nitrogen inlet adapter. The flask was flushed with nitrogen, and then the amino acid was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(155 \mathrm{~mL})$. Diisopropylethylamine ( $36.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 212 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the suspension followed by chlorotrimethylsilane (TMS-Cl, $27.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 217 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was added slowly via syringe through the rubber septum. The reaction mixture was heated to reflux and stirred vigorously for 1.5 hours. The resulting redorange solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath. Benzyl chloroformate (Cbz-Cl, $9.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 69$ mmol) was added to the solution in one portion while nitrogen was flushed through the flask. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight with stirring, and was then concentrated by rotary evaporation. The resulting paste was dissolved in $2.5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 700 mL ) and diethyl ether ( 600 mL ) and transferred to a 2000 mL separatory funnel. The aqueous layer was separated and washed with ether $(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ether layers were

[^0] and acidified to pH 2 with 1 M aqueous HCl . The aqueous solution was transferred to another separatory funnel, and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ethyl acetate layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and then under reduced pressure overnight yielding the desired product sc1 $(17.8 \mathrm{~g}, 67.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 97.6 \%)$ as a straw colored foamy solid which was used without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-$ $4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38$ (ddd, $J=$ 13.7, 9.1, $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.4 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): mixture of rotamers $\delta$ 173.4 and $173.1,154.1$ and $153.9,137.0,128.4$ and $128.3(2 C), 127.8$ and $127.6,127.5$ and 127.1 (2C), 68.6 and $67.7,65.9,57.7$ and $57.3,54.6$ and $54.1,37.7$; IR (neat film) 3419, 2953, $1685,1498,1428,1358,1210,1123,1084,1003,969 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}} 28.1^{\circ}\left(c 9.71, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$; EI-MS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 265 (20\%), 220 ( $83 \%$ ), 176 (34\%), 130 (35\%), 108 (5.5\%), 91 ( $100 \%$ ); HRMS-EI calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\bullet+}\right) 265.0950$, found 265.0954.


## (R)-4-Oxo-pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylic acid 1-benzyl ester (sc2)

An 8 molar solution of Jones reagent was prepared as described elsewhere. ${ }^{2}$ Compound sc1 $(17.8 \mathrm{~g}, 67.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in acetone $(1350 \mathrm{~mL})$ and transferred to a 2 L Erlenmeyer flask. The solution was mixed with an overhead mechanical stirrer while adding the Jones reagent ( $72.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 577 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) slowly over approximately 10 minutes. As the reaction mixture was stirred, the color of the solution changed from bright red to dark brown. This solution was

[^1] $\mathrm{MeOH}(\sim 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was filtered through a Celite packed chromatography column in order to remove precipitated chromium salts, concentrated by rotary evaporation, and diluted with EtOAc ( 1000 mL ). The resulting solution was transferred to a 2 L separatory funnel, washed with brine ( $6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. Residual solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, yielding the product sc2 $(15.7 \mathrm{~g}, 59.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 89.3 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil, which was used without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.42(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ (dd, $J=10.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $18.6,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.4 MHz, $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 207.6, 172.3, 153.7, 136.1, $127.9(\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 126.9(\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 66.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.7(\mathrm{CH})$, $52.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR (neat film) 3035, 1766, 1713, 1587, 1499, 1433, 1360, 1264, 1163, 1028, $959,874,699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EI-MS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 263 ( $6.5 \%$ ), 218 (9.5\%), 174 (12\%), 128 (58\%), 108 (31\%), 91 ( $100 \%$ ); HRMS-EI calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\bullet+}\right)$ 263.0794, found 263.0803.

sc3

## (R)-4-Oxo-pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylic acid 1-benzyl ester 2-tert-butyl ester (sc3)

A 500 mL round bottom flask containing a stir bar was charged with a solution of sc2 (17.0 g, $64.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(130 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath, and concentrated sulfuric acid ( $645 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added with stirring. Isobutylene was bubbled into the solution until the volume of the mixture had increased by approximately $50 \%$. The flask was sealed with a rubber septum, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight while warming to evaporate. The remaining solution was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the resulting residue was distributed between $\mathrm{EtOAc}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $2.5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(125 \mathrm{~mL})$. The EtOAc was washed with additional $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(2 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the aqueous layers were combined and backwashed with EtOAc ( 250 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. Residual solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure overnight, yielding the desired product sc3 (17.2 g, 53.9 mmol, $83.4 \%$ ) as a yellow oil that was used without further purification. An analytical sample was prepared by silica column chromatography (1:2 EtOAc/hexanes, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.33$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.33-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.5,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.4 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): mixture of rotamers $\delta$ 208.6, 208.0, 170.8, $170.6,154.3,153.7,136.5,136.3,128.4,128.3,127.9,127.5,81.7,81.6,66.5,56.8,56.6,52.6$, 52.3, 40.8, 27.4, 27.3; IR (neat film) 3066, 3034, 2979, 2934, 1767, 1713, 1499, 1414, 1368, 1297, 1258, 1211, 1152, 1114, 1027, 967, 912, 836, 768, $699 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; EI-MS m/z (relative intensity) 263 ( $11 \%$ ), 218 ( $27 \%$ ), 174 (39\%), 128 (53\%), 91 ( $100 \%$ ); HRMS-EI calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{\bullet}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$263.0794, found 263.0789.


(5R,8R)-2,4-Dioxo-1,3,7-triaza-spiro[4.4]nonane-7,8-dicarboxylic acid 7-benzyl ester 8-tertbutyl ester (sc4a) and (5S,8R)-2,4-Dioxo-1,3,7-triaza-spiro[4.4]nonane-7,8-dicarboxylic acid 7-benzyl ester 8-tert-butyl ester (sc4b)

A 350 mL pressure vessel was charged with ammonium carbonate ( $10.3 \mathrm{~g}, 107.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium cyanide $(2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 32.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ deionized water $(54 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a magnetic stir bar. Compound sc3 ( $6.9 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DMF ( 54 mL ) and added to the pressure vessel. After sealing the vessel, the flask was warmed to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath and the solution stirred for 4 hours. The pressure vessel was then cooled to room temperature, opened cautiously, and the solution and stir bar were transferred to a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The solution was adjusted to pH 6.5 by slow addition of 1 M aqueous HCl , and diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( $\sim 600 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous layer was removed and extracted with additional EtOAc ( $2 \times$ $200 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated by rotary evaporation yielding a crude mixture of the products sc4a and sc4b in a ratio of 5:1 (determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR by integration of the hydantoin amide proton). The crude mixture of products was purified by flash chromatography on silica (gradient elution from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to $95: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). Fractions containing the less polar diastereomer (determined by TLC, $95: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.21$ ) were concentrated by rotary evaporation and then under reduced pressure overnight yielding sc4a ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}, 52 \%$ recovered yield). The fractions containing the more polar diastereomer (determined by TLC, $95: 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.10\right)$ were similarly treated yielding sc4b ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ recovered yield). The stereochemical assignment of sc4a and sc4b was based upon the 2D-NMR analysis of their respective enantiomers. ${ }^{3}$

## Less polar sc4a:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.35 (apparent t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=13.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75.4 \mathrm{MHz}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 176.1,170.3,155.5,153.2,136.2,127.9(2 \mathrm{C}), 127.4,127.0(2 \mathrm{C}), 81.0,66.1,64.1$, 58.4, 52.3, 37.6, 27.2 (3C); IR (neat film) 3242, 3068, 2979, 1783, 1724, 1499, 1417, 1356, 1293, 1233, 1156, 1113, 1014, 833, 767, $698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+11.9^{\circ}\left(c 3.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ EI-MS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 333 (13\%), 288 (11\%), 244 (16\%), 198 (7.0\%), 154 (9.5\%), 91 (100\%); HRMS-EI calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{\bullet}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$333.0955, found 333.0967.

More polar sc4b:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.40 (apparent t, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44$ (ddd, $J=13.2,8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,8.9,1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.4 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): mixture of rotamers $\delta 173.9$ and $173.8,170.4$ and $170.0,156.0$ and 155.9, 153.4 and 153.3, 136.5 and $136.3,128.3$ and $128.2(\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 127.4$ and $127.3(\mathrm{CH}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 81.2$ and $81.0,66.6$ and $65.8,66.4$ and $66.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 58.9$ and $58.3(\mathrm{CH}), 55.9$ and $55.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.3$ and $39.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.5$ and $27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3 \mathrm{C}\right)$; IR (neat film) $3246,2980,1781,1733,1498,1418,1367$, $1312,1217,1192,1159,1138,1060,1014,977,836,755,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+23.9^{\circ}\left(c 2.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$

[^2]Supporting Information
C.G. Levins, C.E. Schafmeister

EI-MS $m / z$ (relative intensity) 333 ( $0.5 \%$ ), 288 (3.0\%), 244 (5.2\%), 198 (1.5\%), 154 (5.2\%), 121
(6.2), 91 (100\%); HRMS-EI calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{\bullet}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$333.0955, found 333.0963 .

sc6

## (2R,4R)-4-Amino-pyrrolidine-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid 1-benzyl ester 2-tert-butyl ester (sc6)

Compound sc4a (16.8 g, 43.1 mmol ) was dissolved in THF ( 647 mL ) and transferred to a 1 L round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and DMAP ( $263 \mathrm{mg}, 2.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the flask followed by di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $28.2 \mathrm{~g}, 129$ mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred under nitrogen while warming to room temperature. After three hours, the starting material sc4a had been completely consumed (by TLC). The solution was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and then filtered through a plug of silica with 1:2 EtOAc/hexanes to remove DMAP from product sc5. The filtrate was concentrated and the resulting yellow oily residue was dissolved in THF ( 172 mL ) and transferred to a 500 mL round bottom flask containing a magnetic stir bar. To this solution was added a 2.0 M aqueous solution of potassium hydroxide ( 172 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 30 minutes. The solution was then transferred to a 1 L separatory funnel with an additional volume of ether ( 172 mL ) and agitated. After the aqueous and organic layers had completely separated, the aqueous layer was transferred to a 250 mL beaker and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using an ice bath. With mechanical stirring, this solution was acidified to pH 6.5 by slow addition of 2.0 M aqueous HCl , causing the precipitation of a fine, white solid. The solution was filtered and the precipitate was washed with cold water ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The precipitate was crystallized from $\sim 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ of a hot $2: 1$ water/ethanol solution, yielding white needle-like crystals. These were dried in a vacuum oven at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielding $\operatorname{sc} 6\left(\mathrm{mp} 187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}\right)\left(9.32 \mathrm{~g}, 25.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 59.4 \%\right.$ yield from sc4a): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$331.0906, found 331.0894.

(2R,4R)-4-(9H-Fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonylamino)-pyrrolidine-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid 1benzyl ester 2-tert-butyl ester (sc7)

Finely divided sc6 ( $3.90 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was transferred to an oven dried 500 mL three neck flask with a magnetic stir bar. This flask was placed in a vacuum oven for 4 hours under reduced pressure ( $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \sim 0.5 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ ) to remove any residual moisture. After backfilling with nitrogen, the flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, nitrogen inlet adapter, glass stopper, and rubber septum. After suspending the solid in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(215 \mathrm{~mL})$, diisopropylethylamine ( $4.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.8$ mmol) was added to the suspension via syringe through the rubber septum. This was followed by similar addition of TMS-Cl ( $2.73 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was flushed with nitrogen, and the solution was refluxed for 1.5 hours. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath and 9fluorenylmethyl chloroformate ( $\mathrm{Fmoc}-\mathrm{Cl}, 2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in one portion. The mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation to an oil which was dissolved in EtOAc (500 mL ) and transferred to a 1 L separatory funnel. This solution was washed with 1 M aqueous HCl $(2 \times 250 \mathrm{~mL})$, then brine $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. Residual solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the desired product $\mathbf{s c} 7(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%)$ as a white foamy solid which was used without further purification. An analytical sample was prepared by chromatography on silica (gradient elution from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to $95: 5 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right.$ ): $\delta$ 12.46 (br s, 1H), $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74$ (br s, Fmoc-NH-, 1H), $7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42-7.29 (m, 9H), $5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.4 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): mixture of rotamers $\delta 172.9,170.2$ and $169.9,155.6,153.5$ and $153.3,143.5,140.7$, 136.6 and $136.4,128.2,128.1,127.8,127.6,127.5,127.2,127.1,126.9,125.1,119.9,80.8$ and 80.7, $66.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.7$ and $61.9,58.6$ and $58.3(\mathrm{CH}), 54.9$ and $54.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 37.5$ and $37.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.4$ and $27.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 3 \mathrm{C}\right)$; IR (neat film) 3319, 2978, $1713,1530,1450,1423,1357,1257,1188,1157,1119,1087,1045,957,911,877,841,760$, $739,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-3.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right.$ ); ESI-MS $m / z$ (relative intensity): 767.2 (7.5\%), 699.2 (12\%), 631.2 (33\%), 609.2 (100\%), 553.2 (70\%), 487.2 (17\%); HRESIQTOFMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$609.2213, found 609.2225.

(2R,4R)-4-(9H-Fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonylamino)-pyrrolidine-1,2,4-tricarboxylic acid 1benzyl ester 2-tert-butyl ester 4-methyl ester (sc8)

A solution of $\mathbf{s c} 7(14.2 \mathrm{~g}, 24.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous diethyl ether $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was transferred into a 500 mL three neck flask containing a magnetic stir bar and equipped with a pressure equalizing dropping funnel. Anhydrous methanol ( $98 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the solution by syringe. A 2 M ethereal solution of trimethylsilyldiazomethane $\left(\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}, \sim 20 \mathrm{~mL}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ) was loaded into the dropping funnel under a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$ solution was added to the reaction mixture dropwise until the solution developed a persistent yellow color, at which time the starting material had been completely consumed (determined by TLC, 1:2 EtOAc/hexanes, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.2$ ). The flask was immersed in an ice bath and a $9: 1 \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcOH}$ solution ( 48 mL ) was slowly added to quench residual $\mathrm{TMSCHN}_{2}$. The reaction mixture was concentrated by rotary evaporation to a yellow oil which was purified by chromatography on silica (gradient elution from hexanes to $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes). Fractions containing the desired product were concentrated by rotary evaporation. Residual solvent was removed under reduced pressure overnight giving the product sc8 (13.4 g, $22.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 7.86$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, overlap with Fmoc-NH-), 7.66 (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.41-$ $7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.4 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): mixture of rotamers $\delta$ 171.8 and $171.7,170.2$ and $169.8,155.7,153.5$ and $153.3,143.5,140.6,136.6$ and $136.4,128.3$, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 126.9, 125.1, 125.0, 120.0, 80.9 and $80.8,66.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,

## Determining the enantiopurity of compound $2 b$

2b was derivatized with both enantiomers of $\alpha$-methylbenzyl amine. Both $(S)$ and $(R)$ methylbenzylamine derivatives were prepared on analytical scale (supplemental scheme 3); sc10 and sc11 were separable by HPLC.


Supplemental Scheme 3: Synthesis of $\alpha$-methylbenzylamine derivatives of 2b

## Synthesis of sc10 and sc11

Two 4 mL conical vials with magnetic spin vanes were dried in an oven. To each vial was added the monomer 2b ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 19.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, HATU ( $7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 19.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DMF ( $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). ( $(S)-(-)-$ $\alpha$-methylbenzylamine ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 39 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the solution in the first vial, and $(R)-(+)-\alpha-$ methylbenzylamine ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 39 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to the second. The addition of the amine to the
solution caused an immediate color change from pale to bright yellow. Both vials were sealed with a rubber septum, and the solutions were stirred for an additional 30 minutes at room temperature. 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to each vial and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ extracts were washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Both solutions were concentrated by centrifugal evaporation (Savant SpeedVac), and the residues were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered through a $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ nylon filter into vials, and analyzed by HPLC. The sample of sc10 contained less than $1.7 \%$ of a compound with the retention time of $\mathbf{s c} 11 ; \mathbf{s c} 11$ contained less than $0.4 \%$ of a compound with the retention time of $\mathbf{s c} 10$.


HPLC: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, MeCN ( $0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) / water ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ), $5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $1.00 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for $\mathbf{s c} 10,27.9 \mathrm{~min}$; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for $\mathbf{s c} 11,27.4 \mathrm{~min}$.

Supplemental Figure 1: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of $\alpha$ methylbenzylamine derivatives of $\mathbf{2 b}$


HPLC: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA}) /$ water ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ), $5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for 7, 10.70 min ; ESI-MS $m / z(i o n): 799.2\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 2: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of purified scaffold 7


HPLC: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, MeCN ( $0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) / water ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ), $5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for 8, 11.67 min ; ESI-MS $m / z$ (ion): $799.3\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 3: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of purified scaffold 8

## Preparation of NMR samples of compounds 7 and 8

The NMR samples of $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ were prepared by dissolving each in approximately $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of degassed 9:1 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.025 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ND}_{4} \mathrm{COOD}: \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COOD}$ buffer ( $\mathrm{pH} 4-5$ ). The samples were filtered through $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Nylon frit centrifugal filters and transferred to a $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ matched Shigemi NMR tube. Experiments were acquired on a 500 MHz spectrometer at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. COSY, ROESY (mixing time of 300 ms ), HMQC and HMBC experiments were performed. Processed data sets were analyzed using Sparky. ${ }^{4}$ The chemical shift assignments are based upon the COSY, HMBC, and ROESY cross-peaks.

## Explanation of abbreviations in the 2D-NMR data tables:

Group: the number corresponding to the place of the monomer in the sequence. 1 corresponds to the naphthylalanine; $2,3,4$ and 5 correspond with the monomers in the order they were attached to the resin.
Heavy atom number: the numerical designation of the atoms in structures $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ as shown in the text of the paper.
H Stereochemistry: the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ designation of geminal protons on a given carbon atom, as designated in the paper.
Atom: the first letter ( C or H ) designates the nucleus. The following letters are coded as follows;

- $\mathrm{A}=$ alpha
- $\mathrm{B}=$ beta
- $\mathrm{C}=$ gamma

[^3]- $\mathrm{D}=$ delta
- $\mathrm{AC}=$ carbonyl carbon adjacent to alpha carbon
- GC = carbonyl carbon adjacent to gamma carbon
- $\mathrm{N}=$ amide nitrogen

Shift: chemical shift (on the $\delta$ scale)
\#: number of cross-peaks in the COSY/ROESY/HMBC/HMQC used to determine the resonance StDev: the standard deviation of the calculated chemical shift of each resonance

| Group | Heavy <br> Atom | H <br> Stero. | Atom | Nuc | Shift | SDev | $\#$ | Group | Heavy <br> Atom | Atom | Nuc | Shift | SDev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | HB1 | 1 H | 2.86 | 0.004 | 7 | 1 | 2 | CA | 13C | 55.4 | 0.010 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  | HB2 | 1 H | 2.92 | 0.004 | 6 | 1 | 1 | CAC | 13C | 175.5 | 0.021 |$|$

Table 1: Resonance Assignments for Scaffold 7

| Resonance 1 | Shift | Resonance 2 | Shift | Integrated Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 HB 1 | 2.85 | HN | 8.52 | $3.39 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 01HB2 | 2.91 | HN | 8.52 | $1.89 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HA | 3.92 | 01 HN | 8.52 | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 02HB1 | 2.11 | 01 HN | 8.52 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HB1 | 2.11 | 03 HA | 4.18 | $6.72 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HB2 | 1.48 | 01 HN | 8.52 | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HB2 | 1.48 | HD2 | 2.99 | $2.17 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 02HB2 | 1.48 | HN | 8.33 | $2.94 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HD1 | 3.53 | HA | 3.91 | $4.18 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HD2 | 3.00 | HN | 8.33 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 03HA | 4.18 | 02 HN | 8.33 | $8.61 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| 03HB2 | 1.88 | HD2 | 3.36 | $6.10 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 03HB2 | 1.88 | HN | 8.43 | $1.53 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 03HD1 | 3.55 | HA | 4.17 | $4.42 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 03HD1 | 3.56 | 04 HA | 4.23 | $1.25 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 03HD2 | 3.37 | HN | 8.43 | $4.13 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 03HD2 | 3.37 | 04 HA | 4.23 | $4.49 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HA | 4.23 | 03 HN | 8.43 | $1.30 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HB1 | 2.48 | 05 HA | 4.56 | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 04HB2 | 2.02 | HD2 | 3.35 | $8.88 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HB2 | 2.03 | HN | 8.64 | $3.88 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HD1 | 3.70 | HA | 4.23 | $6.47 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HD2 | 3.34 | HN | 8.64 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 05HA | 4.54 | 04 HN | 8.64 | $4.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ |
| 05HB2 | 2.14 | HD2 | 3.69 | $4.01 \mathrm{E}+06$ |

Table 2: Cross-peaks in the ROESY spectrum of Scaffold 7

| Group | Heavy Atom | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H} \\ \text { Stero. } \end{gathered}$ | Atom | Nuc | Shift | SDev | \# | Group | Heavy Atom | Atom | Nuc | Shift | SDev | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | HB1 | 1H | 2.779 | 0.013 | 8 | 1 |  | CB | 13C | 36.8 | 0.019 | 3 |
| 1 |  |  | HB2 | 1H | 2.837 | 0.012 | 5 | 1 | 2 | CA | 13C | 55.0 | 0.043 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 |  | HA | 1H | 4.24 | 0.01 | 10 | 1 | 1 | CAC | 13C | 175.0 | 0.049 | 4 |
| 1 | 3 |  | HN | 1H | 8.601 | 0.002 | 9 | 2 | 6 | CB | 13C | 38.0 | 0.051 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 | $\beta$ | HB2 | 1H | 1.69 | 0.004 | 9 | 2 | 8 | CD | 13C | 52.9 | 0.023 | 4 |
| 2 | 6 | $\alpha$ | HB1 | 1H | 2.648 | 0.005 | 7 | 2 | 5 | CA | 13C | 59.1 | 0.008 | 4 |
| 2 | 8 | $\beta$ | HD2 | 1H | 3.071 | 0.005 | 9 | 2 | 7 | CG | 13C | 63.8 | 0.016 | 6 |
| 2 | 8 | $\alpha$ | HD1 | 1H | 3.356 | 0.003 | 8 | 2 | 17 | CGC | 13C | 165.0 | 0.014 | 5 |
| 2 | 5 |  | HA | 1H | 4.187 | 0.007 | 8 | 2 | 4 | CAC | 13C | 167.9 | 0.029 | 5 |
| 2 | 10 |  | HN | 1H | 8.28 | 0.001 | 8 | 3 | 13 | CB | 13C | 36.9 | 0.026 | 2 |
| 3 | 13 | $\alpha$ | HB2 | 1H | 1.864 | 0.012 | 10 | 3 | 15 | CD | 13C | 55.0 | 0.000 | 1 |
| 3 | 13 | $\beta$ | HB1 | 1H | 2.491 | 0.004 | 5 | 3 | 12 | CA | 13C | 57.9 | 0.050 | 2 |
| 3 | 15 | $\alpha$ | HD2 | 1H | 3.402 | 0.012 | 7 | 3 | 14 | CG | 13C | 61.6 | 0.081 | 3 |
| 3 | 15 | $\beta$ | HD1 | 1H | 3.487 | 0.006 | 3 | 3 | 25 | CGC | 13C | 166.7 | 0.019 | 3 |
| 3 | 12 |  | HA | 1H | 4.401 | 0.003 | 7 | 3 | 11 | CAC | 13C | 169.7 | 0.019 | 3 |
| 3 | 18 |  | HN | 1H | 8.428 | 0.001 | 8 | 4 | 21 | CB | 13C | 37.3 | 0.029 | 2 |
| 4 | 21 | $\beta$ | HB2 | 1 H | 1.91 | 0.009 | 9 | 4 | 23 | CD | 13C | 55.1 | 0.000 | 1 |
| 4 | 21 | $\alpha$ | HB1 | 1H | 2.533 | 0.004 | 5 | 4 | 20 | CA | 13C | 57.7 | 0.099 | 3 |
| 4 | 23 | $\beta$ | HD2 | 1H | 3.456 | 0.008 | 4 | 4 | 22 | CG | 13C | 61.7 | 0.094 | 4 |
| 4 | 23 | $\alpha$ | HD1 | 1H | 3.52 | 0.006 | 3 | 4 | 33 | CGC | 13C | 166.6 | 0.067 | 3 |
| 4 | 20 |  | HA | 1H | 4.479 | 0.007 | 6 | 4 | 19 | CAC | 13C | 169.9 | 0.019 | 3 |
| 4 | 26 |  | HN | 1H | 8.542 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 29 | CB | 13C | 36.4 | 0.000 | 1 |
| 5 | 29 | $\alpha$ | HB2 | 1H | 2.083 | 0.012 | 8 | 5 | 31 | CD | 13C | 52.1 | 0.000 | 1 |
| 5 | 29 | $\beta$ | HB1 | 1H | 2.575 | 0.001 | 6 | 5 | 28 | CA | 13C | 56.9 | 0.012 | 4 |
| 5 | 36 |  | HME | 1 H | 3.447 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 30 | CG | 13C | 59.8 | 0.006 | 3 |
| 5 | 31 | $\beta$ | HD1 | 1H | 3.646 | 0.003 | 4 | 5 | 27 | CAC | 13C | 168.7 | 0.036 | 3 |
| 5 | 28 |  | HA | 1H | 4.453 | 0.006 | 7 | 5 | 34 | CGC | 13C | 169.6 | 0.015 | 4 |
| 5 | 37 |  | HN | 1H | 8.575 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Resonance Assignments for Scaffold 8

| Resonance 1 | Shift | Resonance 2 | Shift | Integrated Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01H1 | 7.31 | HN | 8.60 | $2.15 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 01H3 | 7.00 | HN | 8.60 | $1.76 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 01HA | 4.24 | H1 | 7.31 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 01HA | 4.24 | H3 | 6.99 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 01HA | 4.24 | H4 | 7.40 | $2.39 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 01HB1 | 2.80 | H1 | 7.31 | $3.42 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 01HB1 | 2.80 | H3 | 6.98 | $4.31 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 01HB1 | 2.79 | HN | 8.60 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 02HB1 | 2.64 | 01 HN | 8.60 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HB2 | 1.68 | HD2 | 3.07 | $4.78 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 02HB2 | 1.69 | HN | 8.28 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 02HD1 | 3.35 | HA | 4.18 | $8.53 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HD1 | 3.35 | 03 HA | 4.40 | $2.22 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 02HD2 | 3.07 | HN | 8.28 | $5.99 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 02HD2 | 3.07 | 03 HA | 4.40 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 03HA | 4.41 | 02 HN | 8.28 | $2.03 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 03HB2 | 1.85 | HD2 | 3.39 | $1.10 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 03HB2 | 1.87 | HN | 8.43 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 03HD1 | 3.49 | HA | 4.40 |  |
| 03HD1 | 3.49 | 04 HA | 4.49 |  |
| 03HD2 | 3.40 | HB2 | 1.88 | $6.41 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 03HD2 | 3.40 | HN | 8.43 | $5.45 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 03HD2 | 3.40 | 04 HA | 4.47 |  |
| 04HA | 4.47 | 03 HN | 8.43 | $3.83 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HB2 | 1.90 | HN | 8.54 | $2.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| 04HD1 | 3.52 | HN | 8.54 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HD1 | 3.52 | 05 HA | 4.46 |  |
| 04HD2 | 3.47 | HB2 | 1.91 | $2.11 \mathrm{E}+08$ |
| 04HD2 | 3.45 | HN | 8.54 | $5.85 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 04HD2 | 3.46 | 05 HA | 4.46 |  |
| 05HA | 4.46 | 04 HN | 8.54 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 05HB2 | 2.06 | HN | 8.58 | $2.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ |
| 05HD1 | 3.64 | HA | 4.45 | $2.28 \mathrm{E}+07$ |

Table 4: Cross-peaks in the ROESY spectrum of scaffold 8

## HPLC Analysis and Excitation Spectra of Compounds in the Fluorescence Study



HPLC-MS: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.05 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}) /$ water $(0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}), 5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for 9 , 10.19 min ; ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ion): $1309.0\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 4: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of 9


HPLC-MS: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.05 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}) /$ water $(0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}), 5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for $\mathbf{1 0}, 12.90 \mathrm{~min}$; ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / z$ (ion): $1181.0\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 5: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of 10


HPLC-MS: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.05 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}) /$ water $(0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}), 5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for 11, 10.05 min ; ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / z$ (ion): $1309.0\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 6: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of $\mathbf{1 1}$


HPLC-MS: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.05 \% \mathrm{HCOOH})$ / water $(0.1 \% \mathrm{HCOOH}), 5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.80 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for 12, 11.48 min ; ESI-MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (ion): $1181.0\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 7: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of 12


HPLC-MS: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, MeCN ( $0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ) / water ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ), $5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for $\mathbf{1 3}, 16.32 \mathrm{~min}$; ESI-MS $m / z$ (ion): $491.0\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 8: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of $\mathbf{1 3}$


HPLC-MS: $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ column; mobile phase, $\mathrm{MeCN}(0.05 \% \mathrm{TFA}) /$ water ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ ), $5 \%$ to $95 \% \mathrm{MeCN}$ over 30 min ; flow rate, $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at 274 nm ; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ for 14, 13.82 min ; ESI-MS $m / z$ (ion): $545.2\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Supplemental Figure 9: 274 nm absorbance chromatogram from HPLC analysis of $\mathbf{1 4}$


Supplemental Figure 10: Excitation spectra for compounds 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

## NMR Spectra of Monomer Intermediates



Supplemental Figure 11: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound sc1, 300 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$



Supplemental Figure 13: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound sc2, 300 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$


Supplemental Figure 14: Proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound sc2, 75.4 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$


Supplemental Figure 15: dept 135 spectrum of compound sc2, 75.4 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$


Supplemental Figure 16: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{s c 3}$, 300 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, 350 K


Supplemental Figure 17: Proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{s c 3}, 75.4 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 18: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound sc4a, 300 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$



Supplemental Figure 20: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound sc4b, 300 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$


Supplemental Figure 21: Proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{s c 4 b}, 75.4 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 22: dept135 spectrum of compound $\mathbf{s c 4 b}$, 75.4 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound sc6, 300 MHz , acetic acid- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$, room temperature



Supplemental Figure 25: dept135 spectrum of compound sc6, 75.4 MHz , acetic acid- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 26: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{s c} 7,300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$


Supplemental Figure 27: Proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound sc7, 75.4 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 28: dept 135 spectrum of compound sc8, 75.4 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 29: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{s c 8}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 30: proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{s c 9}, 75.4 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 31: dept 135 spectrum of compound sc9, 75.4 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 32: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound 2a, 300 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 350 \mathrm{~K}$


Supplemental Figure 33: Proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound 2a, 75.4 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 34: dept 135 spectrum of compound 2a, 75.4 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 35: 1H spectrum of compound 2b, 300 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 36: Proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{2 b}$, 75.4 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 37: dept 135 spectrum of compound $\mathbf{2 b}, 75.4 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 38: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 b}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 39: Proton decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 b}, 75.4 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, room temperature


Supplemental Figure 40: dept135 of compound 1b, 75.4 MHz , DMSO- $_{6}$, room temperature
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