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## General Information

Solvents were obtained from Aldrich, Acros and Fisher and used directly without further purification. All organotin reagents are commercially available. Organic solutions were concentrated by rotary evaporation (house vacuum, $\sim 25$ Torr) at $23-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Flash column chromatography was performed by employing silica gel ( $60-\AA$ pore size, $230-400$ mesh, standard grade). Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed using aluminum plates pre-coated with silica gel $(0.25 \mathrm{~mm}, 60-\AA$ pore size, $230-400$ mesh, Merck KGA) impregnated with a fluorescent indicator ( 254 mm ). TLC plates were visualized by exposure to ultraviolet light (UV) and/or exposure to phosphmolybdic acid (PMA)
followed by brief heating on a hot plate. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) were recorded with Varian Mercury $400(400 \mathrm{MHz} / 100 \mathrm{MHz})$ NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in parts per million scale ( $\delta$ scale) and internally referenced to tetramethylsilane signal. Chemical shifts for carbon are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ scale) and referenced to the carbon resonances of the solvent $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 77.36\right.$, the middle peak $)$. Data are represented as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity $(\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ double doublet, $\mathrm{dt}=$ double triplet, $\mathrm{td}=$ triple doublet), coupling constant in Hz , and integration. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer FT-IR Spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra were obtained at the Mass Spectrometry Facilities of University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. The Microwave reaction was performed using a Discover ${ }^{\circledR}$ LabMate ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ in a 20 mL septumcapped vial with stirring, at 100 W . The temperature reported is the maximum temperature the sample reached, and the time reported includes the time required to reach the target temperature. Samples were cooled using 40 psi pressurized air.

## List of Abbreviation

| BQ | benzoquinone |
| :--- | :--- |
| Calcd | calculated |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | copper acetate |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | Acetonitrile |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | dichloromethane |
| $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | dichloroethane |


| $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCl}_{2}$ | tetrachloroethane |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ | carbon tetrachloride |
| DMF | $N, N$-dimethylformamide |
| DMSO | dimethyl sulfoxide |
| EI | electron ionization |
| equiv | equivalent |
| EtOAc | ethyl acetate |
| g | gram |
| HRMS | high resolution mass spectrometry |
| Hz | hertz |
| J | coupling constant |
| mg | milligram |
| mL | milliliter |
| $\mu \mathrm{L}$ | microliter |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$ | tetramethyltin |
| mmol | millimole |
| NMP | $N$-methylpyrrolidone |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| THF | tetrahhydrofuran |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | palladium acetate |
| ppm | parts per million |

## Preparation of Substrates

## General procedure for the preparation of oxazoline substrates

To the carboxylic acid ( 20 mmol ) solution in 25 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, oxalyl chloride ( 22 mmol, 2 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 10 minutes. After stirring for 2 hours, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in 20 mL of dry THF. The newly-prepared acid chloride was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 10 minutes to the mixture of 2-amino-2-methyl-1-propanol ( $1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of dry THF. The reaction was continued for 3 hours at room temperature before the solvent was removed under vacuum. To the residue of the reaction mixture, triphenylphosphine ( $20.96 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 48 mL of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, triethylamine $(32.30 \mathrm{~g}, 320 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 100 mL of acetonitrile were added separately. The reaction was kept stirring for 16 hours at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. To the reaction mixture, 100 mL of ethyl acetate was added and then washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution $(100 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$. The separated organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc / hexane (1:9) to give the corresponding oxazoline.

Other substrates 1, $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ were purchased from Aldrich and used as received.



4,4-dimethyl-2-(naphthalen-5-yl)oxazoline (2) 3.60 g of substrate 2 was obtained as a brown solid after column chromatography ( $80 \%$ yield over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{td}, J=8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.14,133.98,131.91,131.46$, $129.03,128.66,127.53,126.65,126.32,125.32,124.91,78.57,68.68,28.87$; IR (neat) $v$ 2968, 1642, 1512, 1295, 1118, $1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 225.1154, found 225.1157 .


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-phenylcyclopropyl)oxazoline (3) 2.54 g of substrate $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography ( $59 \%$ yield over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.91,140.49,129.61,128.24,127.02,79.39$, 66.86, 28.24, 24.17, 15.03; IR (neat) v 2965, 1655, 1354, $1137 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$215.1310, found 215.1306.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-phenylcyclopentyl)oxazoline (4) 2.92 g of substrate 4 was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography ( $60 \%$ yield over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.57,144.48,128.50,126.76,79.49,67.12,52.98$, 37.02, 28.39, 23.83; IR (neat) $v 2965,1654,1449,1189 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$243.1623, found 243.1617.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-p-tolylcyclopentyl)oxazoline (5) 3.19 g of substrate 5 was obtained as a yellow solid after column chromatography ( $62 \%$ yield over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.61,141.33,136.07,129.08,126.50,79.32,66.93,52.48,36.90$, 28.25, 23.68, 21.12; IR (neat) v 2961, 1656, 1445, 1364, $1142 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 257.1780$, found 257.1778.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-phenylcyclohexyl)oxazoline (6) 3.45 g of substrate $\mathbf{6}$ was obtained as a white solid after column chromatography ( $67 \%$ yield over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 168.57,145.54,128.60,126.75,126.04,78.90,67.40,44.80,35.61$, 28.48, 26.07, 23.67; IR (neat) $v$ 2936, 1656, 1450, 1247, $1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 257.1780$, found 257.1783.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(2-phenylpropan-2-yl)oxazoline (6) 2.26 g of substrate 7 was obtained as a pale yellow oil after column chromatography ( $52 \%$ yield over 3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.89,145.71,128.62,126.77,125.63$, $79.43,67.06,40.74,28.45,27.62$; IR (neat) v 2973, 1656, 1496, 1365, $1121 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$217.1467, found 217.1457.

## Catalytic Alkylation

## General procedure for alkylation

In a 20 mL tube, substrate $(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(4.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and benzoquinone ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ under atmospheric air. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. Then the first portion of tetraalkyltin ( 0.015 mmol , in $50 \mu \mathrm{LCH} \mathrm{CN}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0.075$ equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. The addition of the same amount of organotin reagent was repeated 9 more times every 4 hours. After the introduction of the last portion of the organotin reagent, the reaction was continued for another hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with 20 mL of EtOAc and then treated with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ aqueous solution. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel with a gradient eluent of hexane and ether (from 15:1 to 4:1) to give the alkylated product.


4,4-dimethyl-2-o-tolyloxazoline

and
4,4-dimethyl-2-(2,6- imethylphenyl)oxazoline (1d) Substrate 1 was methylated following the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography, 1c was obtained as a colorless oil ( $7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ), and $1 \mathbf{d}$ was obtained as a colorless oil ( $26.0 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ).

1c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.18,138.76,131.36,130.68,130.08,125.84,78.95,68.20,28.83,21.69 ;$ IR (neat) $v 2966,2360,1646,1458,1309,1041 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 189.1154, found 189.1150 .

1d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10$ (s, 2H), $2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 162.54, 137.16, $129.56,127.60,79.15,68.31,28.84,19.81$; IR (neat) v 2966, 1661, 1464, 1292, 1045 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$203.1310, found 203.1301.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(2-ethylphenyl)oxazoline
(1e) and


4,4-dimethyl-2-(2,6-
diethylphenyl)oxazoline (1f) Substrate $\mathbf{1}$ was ethylated following the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography, $\mathbf{1 e}$ was obtained as a colorless oil ( 6.1 mg , $15 \%$ ), and $\mathbf{1 f}$ was obtained as a white solid ( $34.2 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ).

1e: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.26-7.18 (m, 2H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 2.96 (q, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21$ (t, $J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.09,144.71,130.80,130.24,129.73$, $127.65,125.82,79.02,68.04,28.67,27.50,15.94$; IR (neat) $v 2968,1646,1457,1349$, 1305, $1048 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$203.1310, found 203.1307.

1f: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
(100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 162.44,143.32,129.96,128.36,126.10,79.79,68.31,28.70,26.92$, 15.99; IR (neat) v 2967, 1663, 1463, 1297, 1208, $1031 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$231.1623, found 231.1630.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(2-methylnaphthalen-1-yl)oxazoline

(2a) and 4,4-dimethyl-2-(1- methylnaphthalen-8-yl)oxazoline (2b) Substrate 2 was methylated following the general procedure to give two products. After purification by column chromatography, 2a was obtained as a white solid ( $33.5 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) , and $\mathbf{2 b}$ was obtained as a white solid ( 8.1 $\mathrm{mg}, 17 \%)$.

2a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49$ $(\mathrm{td}, J=6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{td}, J=6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.99,135.81,132.02,131.99,129.97,128.63$, 128.27, 127.12, 125.57, 125.46, 124.93, 79.34, 68.68, 29.05, 20.37; IR (neat) $\vee 2964$, 2360, 1663, 1508, 1201, $1122 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$239.1310, found 239.1303.

2b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 166.00,134.90,134.60,132.05,130.70,130.09,130.04,127.60$,
$126.86,126.18,124.56,79.80,68.13,28.51,22.13$; IR (neat) v 2968, 2362, 1662, 1462, $1298 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$239.1310, found 239.1308 .



4,4-dimethyl-2-(2-ethylnaphthalen-1-yl)oxazoline (2c) and 4,4-dimethyl 2-(1-ethylnaphthalen-8-yl)oxazoline (2d) Substrate 2 was ethylated following the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography, 2c was obtained as a white solid ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ), and $\mathbf{2 d}$ was obtained as a white solid ( $8.6 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ).

2c: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{td}, J=6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{td}, J=6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 161.93,141.90,140.32,132.03,130.26,128.24$, 127.21, 127.08, 125.64, 125.03, 79.34, 68.67, 28.99, 27.58, 16.25; IR (neat) v 2967, 1666, 1462, 1200, 1121, $1005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$253.1467, found 253.1461.

2d: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 166.14, $140.68,135.08,132.31,130.40,129.95,127.81,127.61,126.39,126.33,124.46,79.78$, 68.17, 28.53, 27.08, 16.00; IR (neat) v 2967, 1660, 1461, 1297, $1189 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$253.1467, found 253.1458.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-o-tolylcyclopropyl)oxazoline (3a) Substrate 3 was methylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave 3a as a white solid (28.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 62 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 167.97,139.65,139.08,130.45,130.41,127.76$, 126.04, 79.81, $67.30,28.59,23.40,19.79,16.25$; IR (neat) v 2964, 1653, 1458, 1364, $1174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$229.1467, found 229.1460.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-ethylphenyl)cyclopropyl)oxazoline (3b) Substrate 3 was ethylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a pale yellow liquid (29.2 mg, $60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \quad \delta 168.47,145.16,138.40,130.68,128.30,127.96,125.92,79.82$, $67.20,28.58,24.97,23.19,16.43,14.84$; IR (neat) $v 2967,1652,1364,1144,1122 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}\right)$ 243.1623, found 243.1620.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-o-tolylcyclopentyl)oxazoline (4a) Substrate 4 was methylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave $\mathbf{4 a}$ as a white solid (45.3 mg, 88\%).

4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-o-tolylcyclopentyl)oxazoline (4a) Substrate 4 was reacted with tetramethyltin (added in 20 batches, the interval between each addition is 3 hours) in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The general procedure was followed to give the product 4a ( $36.5 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-$ $2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ $(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.90,142.51,137.65,132.10,127.02,126.71$, $125.95,79.84,67.32,52.82,37.38,28.55,24.43,21.45$; IR (neat) $v 2962,1651,1452$, 1138, $1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$257.1780, found 257.1773.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-ethylphenyl)cyclopentyl)oxazoline (4b) Substrate $\mathbf{4}$ was ethylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave $\mathbf{4 b}$ as a white solid (45.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ).

4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-ethylphenyl)cyclopentyl)oxazoline (4b) Substrate $\mathbf{4}$ was reacted with tetraethyltin (added in 20 batches, the interval between each addition is 3 hours) in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The general procedure was followed to give the product 4b (42.9 mg, 79\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.44,143.84,141.71,130.11,127.18,126.60,125.76$, $79.77,67.24,52.68,37.97,28.53,25.50,24.33,16.30$; IR (neat) $\vee 2963,1652,1456$, 1138, $1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$271.1936, found 271.1942.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-propylphenyl)cyclopentyl)oxazoline (4c) Substrate 4 was reacted with tetrapropyltin (added in 20 batches, the interval between each addition is 3 hours) in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The general procedure was followed to give the product 4c as a white solid ( $46.8 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.38-7.36(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 2.08-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (s, 6H), $1.01(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 170.51, 142.39, 141.98, $130.36,127.01,126.64,125.75,79.75,67.24,52.65,38.03,34.99,28.46,25.13,24.43$, 14.90; IR (neat) v 2960, 2871, 2089, 1651, 1452, 1193, $1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$285.2093, found 285.2089.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-butylphenyl)cyclopentyl)oxazoline (4d) Substrate 4 was reacted with tetrabutyltin (added in 20 batches, the interval between each addition is 3 hours) in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The general procedure was followed to give the product 4 d as a white solid $(44.9 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06-2.01 (m, 2H), 1.84-1.77 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.68 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.40 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.57$, $142.55,142.00,130.40,127.00,126.61,125.70,79.77,67.26,52.65,38.06,34.29,32.68$, $28.45,24.48,23.58,14.44$; IR (neat) v 2956, 2869, 2094, 1650, 1449, 1192, 1138, 1003 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$299.2249, found 299.2246.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-octylphenyl)cyclopentyl)oxazoline (4e) Substrate 4 was reacted with tetraoctyltin (added in 20 batches, the interval between each addition is 3 hours) in the presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The general procedure was followed to give the product $\mathbf{4 e}$ as a slurry liquid ( $59.0 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 2.06-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-$ $1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 170.57,
$142.58,141.96,130.36,127.01,126.59,125.69,79.75,67.24,52.63,38.04,32.96,32.18$, $30.52,29.94,29.64,28.44,24.45,22.99,14.44$, IR (neat) v 2926, 2856, 1650, 1462, 1363, 1193, 1138, $1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 355.2875$, found 355.2871 .


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2,4-dimethylphenyl)cyclopentyl)oxazoline (5a) Substrate 5 was methylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave 5a as a white solid (41.2 mg, 76\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.05-1.98 (m, 2H), 1.83-1.78 (m 2H), 1.74-1.66 (m, 2H), $1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.04,139.56,137.41,136.47,133.01,126.67,126.62,79.85,67.27$, $52.46,37.46,28.56,24.43,21.31,21.10$; IR (neat) $v 2961,2360,1653,1457,1138 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$271.1936, found 271.1941.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-ethyl-4-methylphenyl)cyclopentyl)oxazoline (5b) Substrate 5 was ethylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave $\mathbf{5 b}$ as a white solid (51.4 mg, 90\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 170.58,143.62,138.79,136.58,130.97$, $126.58,126.55,79.77,67.20,52.34,38.04,28.53,25.40,24.33,21.25,16.33$; IR (neat) $v$ 2962, 1652, 1456, 1138, $1004 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$285.2093, found 285.2085.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-o-tolylcyclohexyl)oxazoline (6a) Substrate 6 was methylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave $\mathbf{6 a}$ as a white solid (42.4 $\mathrm{mg}, 78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{td}, J=7.2,1.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.23,142.47,137.45,132.92,127.12,126.87,126.17,78.98,67.59,45.08,35.30$, 28.73, 26.42, 23.01, 21.65; IR (neat) $v 2928,2361,1654,1456,1109 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$271.1936, found 271.1943.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(1-(2-ethylphenyl)cyclohexyl)oxazoline (6b) Substrate 6 was ethylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave $\mathbf{6 b}$ as a white solid (48.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24-7.15 (m,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-$ $1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6,3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 169.53,143.65,141.17,130.71,126.75,126.68,125.54,78.55,67.12,44.48$, 35.67, 28.32, 26.05, 25.04, 22.76, 16.67; IR (neat) v 2929, 1651, 1454, $1109 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$285.2093, found 285.2084.


4,4-dimethyl-2-(2-o-tolylpropan-2-yl)oxazoline (7a) Substrate 7 was methylated by a general procedure. Column chromatography gave 7 a as a pale yellow liquid $(39.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $86 \%) .{ }^{1}{ }^{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 172.13, 142.90, $136.81,132.20,127.15,126.36,125.67,79.93,67.22,41.10,28.54,28.14,20.90$; IR (neat) v 2972, 1657, 1464, 1301, $1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 231.1623, found 231.1620 .


4,4-dimethyl-2-(2-(2-ethylphenyl)propan-2-yl)oxazoline (7b) Substrate 7 was ethylated by a general procedure. Column chromatography gave 7b as a pale yellow liquid (38.2 $\mathrm{mg}, 78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 172.53,143.18,142.12,130.11,127.33,126.13,125.60$,
$79.82,67.20,40.99,28.85,28.58,25.03,16.25$; IR (neat) $v 2969,1655,1464,1116 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$245.1780, found 245.1771.


2-o-tolylpyridine (8a) and 2-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)pyridine (8b) Substrate 8 was methylated following the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography, $\mathbf{8 a}$ was obtained as a colorless oil ( $5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ), and $\mathbf{8 b}$ was obtained as a colorless oil ( $24.5 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ).

8a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{tt}, J=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.42-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.33-7.23 (m, 4H), $2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.33,149.49,140.70,136.50,136.07,131.06,129.95,128.62,126.20,124.47$, 121.98, 20.60; IR (neat) v 2893, 2089, 1643, 1300, $1123 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 169.0891$, found 169.0889.

8b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.27,150.01,136.64,136.12,128.21,127.86,124.82,122.77,122.00,20.53 ;$ IR (neat) $v 2308,1610,1429,1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$183.1048, found 183.1045 .


2-(2,6-dimethylbenzyl)pyridine (9a) Substrate 9 was methylated following the general procedure. Column chromatography gave 9a as a purple liquid ( $25.6 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-7.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 160.43,149.67,137.66,136.94,136.03,128.56,126.99,121.67,121.37,38.60$, 20.67; IR (neat) v 2089, 1640, 1307, $1239 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ 197.1204, found 197.1207.

## Mechanistic Investigations and optimizations

## 1. Benzoquinone effect on the C -H activation and coupling steps



## Synthesis of palladacycle 1a

Method 1: A mixture of substrate $1(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and palladium acetate $\left(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv) in 2 mL of acetic acid was stirred for 30 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then the mixture was continually stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with acetic acid then water, and then dried and dissolved in chloroform. The solution was filtered through Celite then evaporated, and the residue crystallized from heptane to give 0.46 g of 1a as a yellow solid (yield in $68 \%)^{2} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.10-7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{AB}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{AB}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$

NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 181.73,172.92,146.79,132.78,131.69,130.38,125.29$, $123.93,81.72,65.39,27.64,24.94$; IR (neat) v 2972, 2360, $1629,1587,1408 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Method 2: The palladacycle was also prepared by the procedure under the condition of the catalytic reaction. A mixture of substrate $\mathbf{1}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and palladium acetate ( $44.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) in 1 mL of dichloromethane was stirred for 4 hours at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite then evaporated. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the residue was identical to the standard spectrum of 1a.

## Stoichiometric reaction of palladacycle with tetramethyltin



In a 20 mL tube, oxazoline palladacycle $1 \mathrm{a}(13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and tetramethyltin ( 0.03 mmol , in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.75$ equiv according to Pd ) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under atmospheric air. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The yield of $\mathbf{1 b}$ determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $20 \%$.

## Stoichiometric reaction of palladacycle with tetramethyltin in the presence of benzoquinone



In a 20 mL tube, oxazoline palladacycle $\mathbf{1 a}(13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), tetramethyltin ( 0.03 mmol , in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.75$ equiv according to Pd ) and benzoquinone ( 2.2 mg , 0.02 mmol , 1 equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under atmospheric air. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. The yield of $\mathbf{1 b}$ determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $95 \%$.

Monitoring the stoichiometric reaction of palladacycle with tetramethyltin in the presence of benzoquinone by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

In a capped NMR tube, oxazoline palladacycle 1a ( $13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and tetramethyltin ( $0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.75$ equiv according to Pd ) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Dry toluene ( $0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1$ equiv) was added as the internal standard. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was heated at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed that the palladacycle 1a was stable under these conditions (Scheme 1).

## Scheme 1



Then the reaction temperature was increased to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Two peaks appeared at 2.48 ppm and 2.55 ppm corresponding to a $\operatorname{LPd}(\operatorname{Aryl})(\mathrm{Me})$ complex $\mathbf{1 b}$ and the methylated product 1c. Only $30 \%$ of $\mathbf{1 c}$ was formed after 2 h (Scheme 2 ).

## Scheme 2



Then benzoquinone ( $2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture at the same temperature. It was shown that $\mathbf{1 b}$ was converted to the methylated product $\mathbf{1 c}$ within 1 h (Scheme 3 and 4).

## Scheme 3



## Scheme 4



## Benzoquinone effect on the C-H activation of substrate 1

C-H activation step without benzoquinone: In a 20 mL tube, substrate $\mathbf{1}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ mmol, 1 equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under atmospheric air. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. Then benzoquinone ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and tetramethyltin ( 0.03 mmol , in 50 $\mu \mathrm{LCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.75$ equiv according to Pd ) were added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was continued for another 30 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then treated with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ aqueous solution. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The yield of $\mathbf{1 b}$ determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $17 \%$.

C-H activation step with benzoquinone: In a 20 mL tube, substrate $\mathbf{1}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$,

1 equiv) and benzoquinone ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under atmospheric air. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. Then tetramethyltin $(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$, in 50 $\mu \mathrm{L} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.75$ equiv according to Pd ) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was continued for another 30 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then treated with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ aqueous solution. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The yield of $\mathbf{1 b}$ determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis was $18 \%$.

## Benzoquinone effect on the C-H activation of substrate 6

C-H activation step without benzoquinone: In a 20 mL tube, substrate $\mathbf{6}(51.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv $), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 36.4$ mg ) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under atmospheric air. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. Then benzoquinone ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and tetramethyltin ( 0.03 mmol , in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.75$ equiv according to Pd ) were added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was continued for another 30 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then treated with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ aqueous solution. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with saturated
brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. No desired compound was detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

C-H activation with benzoquinone: In a 20 mL tube, substrate $\mathbf{6}(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) and benzoquinone ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under atmospheric air. The tube was sealed with a Teflon lined cap, and the reaction mixture was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. Then tetramethyltin $\left(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, in $50 \mu \mathrm{LCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0.75$ equiv according to Pd ) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was continued for another 30 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with 20 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then treated with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ aqueous solution. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The yield of $\mathbf{6 a}$ was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis to be $16 \%$.

## 2. Optimization of reaction conditions

Table 1. Screening of solvents using substrate 6


| Entry | Solvent | Yield ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 78 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 65 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 23 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CHCHCl}_{2}$ | 58 |
| 5 | DMF | 49 |
| 6 | DMSO | 22 |
| 7 | NMP | 35 |
| 8 | Toluene | 17 |

*Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Table 2. Optimization of various reaction parameters using substrate 6


| Entry | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | BQ | Temperature | $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$ addition | Yield \%* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | One pot | 0 |
| 2 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | One pot | 10 |
| 3 | $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Batch-wise | 83 |
| 4 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Batch-wise | 78 |
| 5 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 2 equiv | 1 equiv | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Batch-wise | 62 |
| 6 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 equiv | 0.2 equiv | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Batch-wise | 26 |
| 7 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 equiv | 2 equiv | $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Batch-wise | 77 |
| 8 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Batch-wise | 5 |
| 9 | $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Batch-wise | 38 |

* Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

Table 3. Optimization of reaction time using $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$


| Entry | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | BQ | Time | $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Sn}$ <br> addition | Yield\%* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$| 1 | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | 40 h | 20 batches <br> (batch $/ 2 \mathrm{~h})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2 | 1 equiv | 1 equiv | 60 h | 20 batches 71 <br> (batch $/ 3 \mathrm{~h})$  |

*Yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.

## Methylation of substrate 4 assisted by microwave radiation

In a 20 mL septum-capped Microwave vial (Microwave model: Discover®LabMate ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ), substrate $4\left(48.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $36.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 equiv) and benzoquinone ( $21.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ under atmospheric air. The vial was stirred at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 hours at 100 W . Then the first portion of tetramethyltin $(0.015 \mathrm{mmol}$, in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0.037$ equiv) was added to the reaction mixture. The addition of the same amount of organotin reagent was repeated 19 more times every 0.5 hour. After the introduction of the last portion of the organotin reagent, the reaction was continued for another 0.5 hour. The reaction mixture was diluted with 20 mL of EtOAc and then treated with 10 mL of saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ aqueous solution. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, and the filtrate was washed twice with saturated brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by column
chromatography on silica gel with a gradient eluent of hexane and ether (from 15:1 to $4: 1)$ to give the product $\mathbf{4 a}(41.7 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%)$.
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