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Figure S1. Oxidative cyclic voltamograms of (A) OPE-1 and (B) OPE-2 recorded in acetonitrile. The second wave in each figure represents the measurement recorded sequentially.


Figure S2. Reductive cyclic voltamograms of (A) OPE-1 and (B) OPE-2 recorded in acetonitrile. The second wave in each figure represents the measurement recorded sequentially.


Figure S3. Fluorescence quenching of OPE-1 by DMA at concentration levels of (a) 0 (b) 15 (c) 30 (d) 45 (e) 60 (f) 75 (g) 90 mM in acetonitrile. $\lambda_{\text {ex }}=375 \mathrm{~nm}$. (Optical Density at 375 nm kept as 0.1 ). Inset shows the Stern-Volmer fit.


Figure S4. Fluorescence quenching of OPE-2 by DMA at concentration levels of (a) 0 (b) 13.3 (c) 26.6 (d) 39.9 (e) 53.2 (f) 66.5 (g) 79.8 mM in acetonitrile. $\lambda_{\text {ex }}$ $=375 \mathrm{~nm}$. (Optical Density at 375 nm kept as 0.1 ). Inset shows the Stern-Volmer fit


Figure S5. Dependence of pseudo-first-order rate constants for the triplet decay of OPE-1 on the concentration of DMA. The triplet decay was monitored at 520 nm .


Figure S6. (A) Time-resolved difference absorption spectra recorded after 1 ns following 387-nm laser pulse excitation of OPE-1 in (a) absence and (b) presence of DMA ( 0.1 M ) in a femtosecond laser flash photolysis apparatus.

## Modified Stern-Volmer Plot <br> Derivation of equation 5

Equation ( $1^{\prime}$ ) represents the fluorescence decay of $\mathrm{M}^{*}$
$\mathrm{M}^{*}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}}$
the rate of decay of $\mathrm{M}^{*}$ is
$\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right] / \mathrm{dt}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]$.
$\Rightarrow\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]=\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{ktt}}$
where $\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0}$ is the initial concentration of $\mathrm{M}^{*}$

The fluorescence intensity, $\mathrm{I} \alpha \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \int\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right] \mathrm{dt}$
Using equation ( $3^{\prime}$ ), $I \alpha \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0} \int \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{kt}} \mathrm{dt}$
$\mathrm{I} \alpha\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0}$
In presence of a quencher molecule $(Q)$, the possible interaction between $Q$ and $M^{*}$ are
(a) $\mathrm{M}^{*}+\mathrm{Q}-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Q}$
(b) $\mathrm{M}^{*}+\mathrm{Q} \stackrel{\mathrm{K}}{=} \mathrm{M}^{*}---\mathrm{Q}$

The equilibrium constant K for the formation of complex is
$\mathrm{K}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}--\mathrm{Q}\right]_{0} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right][\mathrm{Q}]$
If $\mathrm{M}^{*}---\mathrm{Q}$ is non-fluorescent, the rate of decay of $\mathrm{M}^{*}$ is
$\mathrm{d}\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]^{\prime} / \mathrm{dt}=-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]^{\prime}-\mathrm{kq}[\mathrm{Q}]\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]^{\prime}$
$\Rightarrow\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{kf}+\mathrm{kq}[\mathrm{Q}] t}$.
The fluorescence intensity I' $\alpha \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \int\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]^{\prime} \mathrm{dt}$
$\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \alpha \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0}{ }^{\prime} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}[\mathrm{Q}]$
$\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \alpha\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0}{ }^{\prime} /\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}[\mathrm{Q}] / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ ( $10^{\prime}$ )

Dividing (4') by (10')

$$
\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{I}^{\prime}=\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0^{\prime}}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}[\mathrm{Q}] \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \ldots . .\left(11^{\prime}\right)
$$

Assuming total excited stated are same in both the cases

$$
\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0^{\prime}}+\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}---\mathrm{Q}\right]_{0^{\prime} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . .\left(12^{\prime}\right)}
$$

$$
\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0} /\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0^{\prime}}=\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0^{\prime}}+\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}---\mathrm{Q}\right]_{0^{\prime}}\right) /\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]_{0^{\prime}}=1+[\mathrm{M}---\mathrm{Q}] /\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{*}\right]=1+\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{Q}] \ldots \ldots . .\left(13^{\prime}\right)\right.\right.
$$

Substitute this in equation (11')
$\mathrm{I}_{0} / \mathrm{I}=(1+\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{Q}])\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}[\mathrm{Q}] / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$
$\mathrm{I}_{0} / \mathrm{I}=1+(\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{kq} / \mathrm{kf})[\mathrm{Q}]+\mathrm{K} \mathrm{kq} / \mathrm{kf}[\mathrm{Q}]^{2}$
$\mathrm{I}_{0} / \mathrm{I}=1+\left(\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}} \tau\right)[\mathrm{Q}]+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{q}} \tau[\mathrm{Q}]^{2}$.

