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## General methods

All experiments were carried out under inert gas atmosphere (argon) in flame dried Schlenk tubes or glass reaction vials. The anhydrous solvents (tetrahydrofuran, toluene, dichloromethane and $n$-hexane) were dried in a solvent purification system MD-5 from Inert (former Innovative Technology). All NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV 300, AV 400 or Fourier 300 NMR spectrometer. HPLC-analysis was performed on a Hewlett Packard HP 1100 with DAD, chiralyzer and RI-detector and chiral columns. HRMS (ESI-TOF) was performed at a Agilent 6210 Time-of-Flight LC/MS. Elemental analysis was performed at a Perkin Elmer AAS-Analyst 300 (Co), Leco Microanalysator-TruSpec CHNS (C, H), Radiometer Analytical SAS (Titrator) Titralab 870-TIM 870 (Br) and a Perkin Elmer UV/VIS-spectrometer Lambda 2 (P).
$\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}(0.05 \mathrm{M})$ and $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$ were used as solutions in dry THF.

## Commercially available chiral $P, P$ - and $P, N$-ligands


(aR)-BINAP (4)

(aS)-QUINAP (5)

(aR)-QUINAP (5)

(R)-PHOX (11)

(R,aR)-N-PINAP (6)

( $R, a S$ )-N-PINAP

(S,aR)-N-PINAP

( $R, a R$ )-O-PINAP

( $R, a S$ )-O-PINAP

( $a R, S$ )-Ph-Bn-SIPHOX (12)

(S, S)-Et-DUPHOS (10)

Scheme S1: Available chiral $P, P$ - and $P, N$-ligands

## Ligand screening in glass reaction vial


adding substrate


2a
reaction:
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Table S1. Screening of chiral ligands in reaction glass vial under "semi-oxygen free" conditions

| Entry | Chiral ligand | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{t}_{1} \\ {[\mathrm{~h}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{t}_{2} \\ {[\mathrm{~h}\rceil} \end{gathered}$ | yield <br> [\%] | $\begin{gathered} \text { d/l: } \\ \text { meso } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sel. }{ }^{[b]} \\ & {[\% \text { ee] }]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | no ligand | 0.5 | 40 | $73{ }^{\text {c] }}$ | 1.4:1 | -- |
| 2 | (aR)-BINAP (4) | 5 min | 17 | 58 | 1.3:1 | -- |
| 3 | (aR)-QUINAP (5) | 0.5 | 22 | 70 | 1.4:1 | (+)6 |
| 4 | (aS)-QUINAP (5) | 2 | 21 | >95 | 1.4:1 | (-)57 |
| 5 | (R)-PHOX (11) | 2 | 26 | 77 | 1:1.2 | (-)32 |
| 6 | ( $R, a R$ )-N-PINAP (6) | 0.5 | 16 | 66 | 1:1.2 | (+)17 |
| 7 | (R,aS)-N-PINAP | 0.5 | 16 | >95 | 1:1.3 | (-)25 |
| 8 | (S, aR)-N-PINAP | 0.5 | 16 | 58 | 1:1 | (+)11 |
| 9 | ( $R, a R$ )-O-PINAP | 0.5 | 16 | 51 | 1:1.4 | -- |
| 10 | ( $R, a S$ )-O-PINAP | 0.5 | 16 | 63 | 1:1.5 | -- |

[a] Determined by integration from the proton NMR spectra. [b] Determined by chiral HPLC. [c] Conditions: $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{CoBr}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{ZnI}_{2}, 30 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Zn}$.

## Chiral ligand screening for catalytic reactions with $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ in a reaction glass vial

$\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne), the respective chiral ligand ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) and Zn ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) were dissolved in THF ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}$ ( 10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) was added and the solution stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min}-2 \mathrm{~h}$. After cooling to room temperature the triyne $\mathbf{2 a}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture again heated to $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $16-40 \mathrm{~h}$. At the end of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography ( $c$-hexane/ethyl acetate $4: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield the benzene derivative. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral HPLCanalysis. (Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/isopropanol 95:5, v/v, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ).

## Optimization of the catalytic reactions with $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ in a Schlenk tube

$\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ ( $1-5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne), the respective chiral ligand (1-5 mol \% in regard to the triyne), Zn (2-10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) were dissolved in THF ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}(2-10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) was added and the solution stirred at $0-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$. After the triyne 2a ( 0.25 mmol ) was added the mixture was again stirred at $0-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-27 \mathrm{~h}$. At the end of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography ( $c$-hexane/ethyl acetate $4: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield the benzene derivative. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral HPLC-analysis. (Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/isopropanol 95:5, v/v, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ).

## Screening of catalyst loading



2a
$\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ ( $\mathbf{x ~ m o l \% )}$
Zn (y mol\%)
$\mathrm{Znl}_{2}$ ( $\mathbf{z} \mathrm{mol} \%$ ) Ligand* ( $\mathbf{x x}$ mol\%) THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{t}_{1}$ (red) $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ (cycl)
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Table S2. Screening of the catalysts loading

| \# | $\mathbf{x}$ | y | z | ligand* | $\mathrm{t}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ | yield | d/l: | Sel. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [mol\%] |  |  |  | [mol\%] | [h] | [h] | [\%] | meso ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ | $[\% e e]^{[1]}$ |
| 1 | 2.5 | 5 | 5 | $\begin{gathered} (a R) \text {-QUINAP (5) } \\ {[2.5]} \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 4 | 73 | 1.3:1 | (+)76 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} (a R) \text {-QUINAP (5) } \\ {[1]} \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 21 | 70 | 1.3:1 | (+)81 |

[a] determined out of the Integrals in the proton NMR spectra. [b] determined by chiral HPLC.

## Synthesis of cobalt(II)-precursor complex 7

To a solution of ( $R, a R$ )-N-PINAP (6) $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 8 mL THF a solution of $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ ( $3.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) in THF was added and stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue washed twice with $n$-hexane and dried in vacuo. The resulting green solid was recrystallized under argon atmosphere from a dichloromethane/THF mixture, yielding green crystals.


EA: calc.
C 58.64
H 3.88
Br 20.53
Co 7.57
P 3.98
found:
C 58.82
H 3.58
Br 18.81
Co 6.46
P 3.88
Crystal Structure data: CCDC 1418399 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

## Oxidation of (R,aR)-N-PINAP (6) to SI-I

A suspension of ( $R, a R$ )-N-PINAP ( $\mathbf{6}$ ) $(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in hydrogenperoxide ( 3.00 mL , $29.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 30 \%$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The reaction was stopped by adding water to the solution and was extracted thrice with dichloromethane. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate and the solvent was evaporated. The resulting product SI-I was isolated as colorless oil ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) without further purification.


SI-I
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.38\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{br}}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.71(\mathrm{p}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26-6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=8.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.34-7.42 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.58 (m, 3H), 7.59-7.64 (m, 2H), 7.88-7.92 (m, 1 H ), 7.94 (dt, $J=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.11 (dd, $J=8.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.28 (dd, $J=10.9,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=22.1,50.2,117.0,119.9,120.4,126.6,126.8$, $126.9,127.0,127.1,127.2,127.4,127.6,128.0,128.1,128.2,128.3,128.4,128.5,128.6$,
128.7, 129.1, 129.3, 129.7, 129.8, 130.5, 130.7, 130.9, 131.0, 131.1, 131.3, 131.4, 131.5, 131.6, 132.4, 132.6, 132.7, 144.2, $152.2 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 121 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=31.65 \mathrm{ppm}$.

HRMS (ESI-TOF) $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{OP}$ : calc.: $576.2199[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 598.2019[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$found: $576.2204[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}, 598.2025[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$

## Catalytic evaluation of ligand SI-I

$\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ ( $0.0 .6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.05 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne), ligand SI-I ( 1.8 mg , $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne $), \mathrm{Zn}(0.41 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) were dissolved in THF ( 1 mL ), $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) was added and the solution stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After the triyne $\mathbf{2 a}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.25 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.125 mmol ) was added the mixture was again stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 d . At the end of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography ( $c$-hexane/ethyl acetate $4: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to yield the benzene derivative $\mathbf{3 a}(48 \mathrm{mg}$, $93 \%$ ) of a racemic mixture. The $e e$ value was determined by chiral HPLC-analysis. (Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/isopropanol $95: 5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ).

## Substrate screening for catalytic reactions

Co-precursor 7 (2.5-10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) or $\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}$ (2.5-10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) and $(a R)-/(a S)$-QUINAP (5) (2.5-10 mol\% in regard to the triyne) and $\mathrm{Zn}(5-20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) were dissolved in THF/toluene ( 1 mL ) and $\mathrm{ZnI}_{2}$ ( $5-20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in regard to the triyne) was added and the solution stirred at $25-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a specific time. After cooling to room temperature the triyne $\mathbf{2 a}(0.1-0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture again was stirred at the described temperature for a specific time. At the end of the reaction, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by column chromatography to yield the benzene derivative. The $e e$ values were determined by chiral HPLC-analysis.

For every compound the specific reaction conditions are written in parentheses: (amount of substrate, catalyst loading, solvent, reaction temperature, time, eluent for column chromatography, yield, $d / l:$ :meso ratio, aggregation state)

## Synthesis of cyclisation substrates

## Compounds 2a, 2b:

Synthesis according to the published procedure by Shibata et al. The analytical data were in accordance with the reported data. ${ }^{[1]}$

All other triynes have been synthesized by literature-known procedures we have published in preceding work and the analytical data were in accordance with the reported data. ${ }^{[2]}$

## Characterization of cyclization products

## Compound 3a:



The compound was identified by NMR and MS and comparison with reported data. ${ }^{[1]}$
Optical rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}=224.83$ (c $1.0052, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 85 \% e e$ ) obtained by the reaction described in Table 2, Entry 2.

## Compound 3b:

9-phenanthrenyl:
( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 23 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $43 \mathrm{mg}(75 \%),(+) 30 \% e e$,
1.8:1 (d/l:meso, HPLC area), colorless solid)

NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[1]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Reprosil, $n$-heptane/EtOH 90:10 (v/v), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3c:

4-Me-1-naphthyl
( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 7$, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex/EE ( $10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), $51 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%),(+) 19 \% ~ e e$,
1.4:1 (d/l:meso, HPLC area), colorless solid)

NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/Isopropanol 98:2 (v/v), $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3d:


( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, THF, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $18 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), 77 mg ( $90 \%$ ), (+) $15 \%$ ee, 1.4:1 (d/l:meso, HPLC area), colorless solid)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose $1, n$-heptane/EtOH 99:1 (v/v), $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3e:


( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $23 \mathrm{mg}(42 \%),(+) 24 \% e e$, 2.7:1 (d/l:meso), colorless solid)
( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(a S)-\mathbf{5}+\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $94 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$, rac, 1:1.3 (d/l:meso), colorless solid)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Reprosil, $n$-heptane/EtOH 95:5 (v/v), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3f:

4-quinolinyl
( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, THF, $25-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~d}, n$-hex/THF (1:2, v/v $+0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ), 42 mg
(81\%), (+)46\% ee, 1.2:1 (d/l:meso), yellow solid)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Eurocel, $n$-heptane/EtOH 90:10 (v/v), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3g:

4-isoquinolinyl
( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% 7$, THF, $25-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~d}, n$-hex/THF ( $1: 2, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}+0.5 \% \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ ), 45 mg (86\%), (-)66\% ee, 1.2:1 (d/l:meso), yellow solid)

NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 1, $n$-heptane/EtOH 90:10 (v/v), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3h:


( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 7, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $74 \mathrm{mg}(>95 \%),(+) 78 \% e e$, colorless solid)
( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(a R)-5+\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $75 \mathrm{mg}(>95 \%)$, $(+) 7 \% e e$, colorless solid)

NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/isopropanol 95:5 (v/v); $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3i


( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), 67 mg ( $74 \%$ ), (-)55\% ee, colorless solid)
( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol}-\%(a R)-5+\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $86 \mathrm{mg}(94 \%)$, $(-) 18 \% ~ e e, ~ c o l o r l e s s ~ s o l i d) ~$
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Eurocel, $n$-heptane/isopropanol $95: 5$ (v/v), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 3k:


( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $19 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $69 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%),(+) 12 \% e e$, colorless solid)

NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/isopropanol $95: 5$ (v/v), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 31:


( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ 7, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE (6:1, v/v), F1: 15 mg (27\%); F2: $19 \mathrm{mg}(34 \%), \mathrm{F} 1:(+) 39 \% e e ;$ F2: (+)32\% ee, colorless solid)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/EtOH 95:5 (v/v), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 9a:


( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 7$, THF, $25-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $43 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $47 \mathrm{mg}(53 \%),(-) 78 \% e e$, 2.2:1 (d/l:meso, HPLC area), colorless solid)
( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% 7$, toluene, $25-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 41 \mathrm{~h}$, pentane/EE ( $6: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), 84 mg ( $>95 \%$ ), (-)67\% ee, 1.9:1 (d/l:meso, HPLC area), colorless solid)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Eurocel, $n$-heptane/EtOH 99:1 (v/v), $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 9b:


( $0.125 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, THF, $25-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $41 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $30 \mathrm{mg}(32 \%),(-) 13 \% e e$, no meso-form detected), colorless solid)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/EtOH $95: 5(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 9c:


( $0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(a R)-5+\mathrm{CoBr}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, 25-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 44 \mathrm{~h}, c$-hex $/ \mathrm{EE}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}), 141 \mathrm{mg}$ (91\%), (-) $17 \%$ ee, yellow oil)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/isopropanol $95: 5$ (v/v), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 9d:


( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, toluene, $25-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE (4:1, v/v), $60 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%)$, (+)60\% ee, colorless solid)

NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Reprosil, $n$-heptane/isopropanol 95:5 (v/v), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## Compound 9e:


( $0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{7}$, toluene, $25-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{c}$-hex/EE ( $4: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), $90 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%)$,
(-) $11 \%$ ee, colorless sirup)
NMR data were in accordance with published data. ${ }^{[2]}$
Conditions of the HPLC-analysis: Cellulose 2, $n$-heptane/isopropanol 95:5 (v/v), $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

## NMR spectra of compound SI-I:

## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR:



${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$-NMR:
(

## HPLC analysis:

## Table 1, entry 1:

```
Acq. Operator : Seq. Line : 5
Acq. Instrument : LC 3
Injection Date : 5/6/2014 4:44:56 PM
    Location : Vial 12
        Inj : 1
    Inj Volume : 1.0 \mul
Different Inj Volume from Sequence ! Actual Inj Volume : 0.2 \mul
Acq. Method : D:\HPCHEM\1\METHODS\FISCHER2.M
Last changed : 5/6/2014 3:51:35 PM
(modified after loading)
Analysis Method : C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\FISCHER.M
Last changed : 9/2/2015 2:38:40 PM
(modified after loading)
Additional Info : Peak(s) manually integrated
```



$\qquad$

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs


Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=229.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!


Table 1, entry 2:

```
Acq. Operator : Seq. Line : }
Acq. Instrument : LC 2 Location : Vial 12
Injection Date : 5/15/2014 1:30:40 PM
    Inj : 1
    Inj Volume : 0.2 \mul
Acq. Method : C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\FISCHER.M
Last changed : 5/15/2014 11:46:45 AM
Analysis Method : C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS\FISCHER.M
Last changed : 9/1/2015 12:09:29 PM
(modified after loading)
Additional Info : Peak(s) manually integrated
```

DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100 (D:VARCHIVLC 21140511405001509.D)



## Table 1, entry 3:

Acq. Operator
Acq. Instrument : LC 2
Injection Date : 6/2/2014 11:07:21 AM
Seq. Line : 1
Location : Vial 3
Inj : 1
Inj Volume : $0.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$
Acq. Method : C:\CHEM32 $\backslash 2 \backslash$ METHODS $\backslash F I S C H E R . M$
Last changed : 6/2/2014 11:06:28 AM
Analysis Method : C: \CHEM32 \2 \METHODS $\backslash F I S C H E R . M$
Last changed : 6/3/2014 2:06:26 PM (modified after loading)
Method Info : Cellulose 2, Heptan/EtOH 99:1, Fluß: 1,0 ml/min

Additional Info : Peak(s) manually integrated


```
Area Percent Report
```



```
Sorted By : Signal
Multiplier: : 1.0000
Dilution: : 1.0000
Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs
```

Signal 1: DAD1 C, $\operatorname{Sig}=210,8$ Ref $=360,100$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime <br> [min] | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \text { s] }} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | Area \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12.197 | MM | 0.5215 | 1326.81787 | 42.40629 | 35.3091 | 35.0183 |
| 2 | 14.423 | MM | 0.6341 | 2430.90137 | 63.89017 | 64.6909 | 64.9817 |

Totals : $\quad 3757.71924$ 106.29646
Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=221.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| Peak <br> \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RetTime } \\ & \text { [min] } \end{aligned}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | Area $\%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 12.197 | MM | 0.5217 | 1841.22791 | 58.82172 | 35.3776 | 35.0345 |
| 2 | 14.425 | MM | 0.6314 | 3363.28052 | 88.77180 | 64.6224 | 64.9655 |
| Total | s : |  |  | 5204.50842 | 147.59353 |  |  |

## Table 1, entry 4:




Area Percent Report
============================================================================1

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210, 8 Ref $=360,100$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak RetTime Type } \\ & \# \quad[\mathrm{~min}] \end{aligned}$ | width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & \text { [mAU] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { \& } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113.211 BB | 0.5181 | 4754.74268 | 141.47903 | 87.9531 |
| 215.546 MM | 0.6724 | 651.25568 | 16.14193 | 12.0469 |
| ```Totals : Signal 2: DAD1, Signal has been``` | $y=221.0$ <br> dified | $\begin{aligned} & 5405.99835 \\ & 0,8.00 \text { Ref }= \\ & \text { after loadi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157.62096 \\ & \text { off, EXT } \\ & \text { hg from raw } \end{aligned}$ | ata $f i$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak RetTime Type } \\ & \# \quad[\text { min }] \end{aligned}$ | width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & \text { [mAU] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { \& } \end{gathered}$ |
| 113.210 MM | 0.5593 | 6598.39209 | 196.61552 | 87.9393 |
| 215.558 MM | 0.6709 | 904.95636 | 22.48225 | 12.0607 |
| Totals : |  | 7503.34845 | 219.09777 |  |

## Table 1, entry 5:




Area Percent Report


| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Multiplier: |  | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 C, $\operatorname{Sig}=210,8$ Ref $=360,100$


## Table 1, entry 6:

| Acq. Operator | : | Seq. Line : 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acq. Instrument | : LC 2 | Location : Vial 5 |
| Injection Date | : 6/3/2014 1:08:09 PM | Inj : 1 |
|  |  | Inj Volume : $0.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Acq. Method | : C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS $\backslash$ FISCHER.M |  |
| Last changed | $\begin{aligned} : & 6 / 3 / 2014 \text { 9:33:19 AM } \\ & \text { (modified after loading) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Analysis Method | : C: \CHEM32 \2\METHODS $\backslash$ FISCHER.M |  |
| Last changed | : 9/1/2015 12:09:29 PM (modified after loading) |  |
| Additional Info | : Peak(s) manually integrated |  |


$\qquad$

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 $\operatorname{Ref}=360,100$


Table 1, entry 7:

$\qquad$
$========================================================================$

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs


## Table 1, entry 8:



Area Percent Report
$=======================================================================$

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Multiplier: |  | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs


Table 2, entry 1:


Area Percent Report


Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210, 8 Ref=360, 100


Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| Peak \# | RetTime <br> [min] | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \text { ] }} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | Area $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 15.525 |  | 0.6396 | 1.97761 e 4 | 515.30829 | 92.0155 |
| 2 | 17.993 |  | 0.7633 | 1716.04749 | 37.47045 | 7.9845 |
| Totals | S : |  |  | $2.14921 e 4$ | 552.77874 |  |

Table 2, entry 2:

$\qquad$

## Area Percent Report

| Sorted By | : Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Use Multiplier \& | Dilution | Factor |



## Table 3, entry 1:


andional info : Peak(s) manualiy integrated



Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak RetTime Type } \\ & \# \quad[\mathrm{~min}] \end{aligned}$ | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU} \mathrm{U}^{*} \mathrm{~S}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.120 BB | 0.4282 | 2979.22900 | 102.77245 | 64.7460 |
| 213.341 BB | 0.5885 | 1622.18018 | 40.22208 | 35.2540 |
| Totals : 4601.40918 142.99452 <br> Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=221.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak RetTime Type } \\ & \# \text { [min] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Width } \\ \text { [min] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[m A U^{*} \mathrm{~S}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { \% } \end{gathered}$ |
| 18.120 MM | 0.4811 | 2310.97998 | 80.05103 | 65.1642 |
| 213.340 MM | 0.6852 | 1235.41675 | 30.05008 | 34.8358 |
| Totals : |  | 3546.39673110 .10111 |  |  |

## Table 3, entry 2:


$\qquad$

## Area Percent Report

| Sorted By | S | Signal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Dilution: | : | 1.0000 |
| Use Multiplier \& Dilution | Factor with | ISTDs |

Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210, 8 Ref=360,100

| Peak \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ \text { [min] } \end{gathered}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}{ }^{\star} \mathrm{s}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & {[\mathrm{mAU}]} \end{aligned}$ | Area $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.385 |  | 0.6407 | 2841.62915 | 67.56503 | 59.6591 |
| 2 | 16.093 |  | 0.7700 | 1921.48242 | 37.86501 | 40.3409 |

Totals : $\quad$ Sigh 471157 105.43003
Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=221.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| Peak \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ \text { [min] } \end{gathered}$ | Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & \text { [min] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & \text { [mAU] } \end{aligned}$ | Area <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.384 | MM | 0.7037 | 4082.24390 | 96.68655 | 59.6615 |
| 2 | 16.100 |  | 0.8484 | 2760.09644 | 54.22163 | 40.3385 |
| Total | S : |  |  | 6842.34033 | 150.90818 |  |

## Table 3, entry 4:



## Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100

```
Peak RetTime Type Width Area Height Area
```



```
    1 28.628 VB 0.9427 1.66227e4 247.13280 61.3735 61.76
    2 35.132 MM 1.3742 1.04618e4 126.87939 38.6265 38.24
Totals : 2.70846e4 374.01218
Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=237.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT
    Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Peak } \\
\#
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { RetTime } \\
\text { [min] }
\end{gathered}
\] & Type & \begin{tabular}{l}
Width \\
[min]
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Area } \\
{[m A U * s]}
\end{gathered}
\] & Height [mAU] & Area \% & \\
\hline 1 & 28.620 & & 1.0881 & 5121.49854 & 78.44955 & 61.5616 & 61.40 \\
\hline 2 & 35.143 & MM & 1.3271 & 3197.80444 & 40.16091 & 38.4384 & 38.60 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Totals : 8319.30298 118.61047
```


## Table 3, entry 6:



Additional Info : Peak(s) manually integrated
$\qquad$
Area Percent Report

| Alea Percent Reporl |
| :--- |
| Rorted By |
| Multiplier: |
| Silution: |
| Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs |

Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref=360,100


## Table 3, entry 7:



Area Percent Report
$======================================================================$

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Multiplier: |  | $:$ | 1.0000 |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDs

Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 $\operatorname{Ref}=360,100$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime Type [min] | Width [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU} * \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU ] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.802 BB | 0.6313 | 922.25848 | 20.40525 | 16.7797 |
| 2 | 17.231 BB | 0.7421 | 4574.00781 | 92.30441 | 83.2203 |

Totals : $5496.26630 \quad 112.70966$
Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=221.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT
Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime [min] | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU} * \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.809 |  | 0.7546 | 1038.75818 | 22.94155 | 16.7418 |
| 2 | 17.230 | MM | 0.8327 | 5165.83838 | 103.39096 | 83.2582 |

Totals :

$$
6204.59656 \quad 126.33251
$$

## Table 3, entry 8:




Signal 1: DAD1 C, $\operatorname{Sig}=210,8$ Ref $=360,100$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & \text { [mAU] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.910 |  | 0.4318 | 4113.48535 | 144.63231 | 89.1668 |
| 2 | 16.786 | BB | 0.4208 | 499.76450 | 15.63947 | 10.8332 |


Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file!

| Peak \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU} \mathrm{~S}^{*} \mathrm{~s}\right.} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 14.911 |  | 0.4729 | 5341.25195 | 188.23424 | 89.1646 |
| 2 | 16.785 | MM | 0.5308 | 649.07733 | 20.38070 | 10.8354 |

Totals :
$5990.32928 \quad 208.61494$

## Table 3, entry 9:




## Table 3, entry 10:





Area Percent Report
$===========================================================================1$

| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |
| Dilution: |  | : | 1.0000 |
| Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with | ISTDs |  |  |

Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210, 8 Ref $=360,100$

| Peak RetTime Type <br> \# Width <br> [min] | Area <br> [min] | Height <br> [mAU*s] | Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [mAU] |  |  |  |

## Table 3, entry 11:

| Acq. Operator | : | Seq. Line | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acq. Instrument | : LC 2 | Location | Vial 3 |
| Injection Date | : 1/27/2015 11:26:26 AM | Inj | 1 |
|  |  | Inj Volume | $0.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Acq. Method | : C: \CHEM32 \2\METHODS $\backslash$ FISCHER.M |  |  |
| Last changed | : 1/27/2015 9:20:55 AM |  |  |
| Analysis Method | : C:\CHEM32 \2\METHODS DEF_LC.M $^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |
| Last changed | : 8/26/2015 1:42:29 PM |  |  |
|  | (modified after loading) |  |  |
| Additional Info | : Peak(s) manually integrated |  |  |




## Table 3, entry 13, fraction 2:




Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210, 8 Ref=360,100

| Peak RetTime Type \# [min] | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | Area $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110.130 VB | 0.3757 | 3817.41235 | 154.79221 | 69.5629 |
| 212.508 BB | 0.4711 | 1670.29871 | 54.26474 | 30.4371 |
| Totals : <br> Signal 2: DAD1, Signal has been | $y=221.0$ <br> dified | 5487.71106 <br> , 8.00 Ref= <br> after loadi | $\begin{aligned} & 209.05695 \\ & \text { ff, EXT } \\ & \text { ig from raw } \end{aligned}$ | ta fil |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak RetTime Type } \\ \# \quad[m i n] \end{gathered}$ | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[\mathrm{mAU*} \mathrm{~s}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Height } \\ & {[m A U]} \end{aligned}$ | Area \% |
| 110.130 MM | 0.4095 | 5483.85547 | 223.20137 | 69.5309 |
| 212.507 MM | 0.5099 | 2403.07959 | 78.54385 | 30.4691 |
| Totals : |  | 7886.93506 | 301.74522 |  |

## Table 4, entry 1:



## Area Percent Report



| Sorted By | $:$ | Signal |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Multiplier: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |
| Dilution: | $:$ | 1.0000 |  |

Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with ISTDS


## Table 4, entry 2:

| Acq. Operator | : | Seq. Line : | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acq. Instrument | : LC 2 | Location : | Vial 2 |
| Injection Date | : 3/18/2015 11:51:02 AM | Inj : | 1 |
|  |  | Inj Volume : | $0.2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Acq. Method | : C:\CHEM32\2\METHODS $\backslash$ FISCHER.M |  |  |
| Last changed | : 3/18/2015 10:27:46 AM |  |  |
| Analysis Method | : C:\CHEM32 \2\METHODS $\backslash$ FISCHER.M |  |  |
| Last changed | : 9/2/2015 11:47:09 AM (modified after loading) |  |  |



| Area Percent Report |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sorted By : Signal  <br> Multiplier:  $:$ 1.0000 <br> Dilution:  : 1.0000 <br> Use Multiplier \& Dilution Factor with <br> ISTDs    |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref= 360,100 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak RetTime Type } \\ & \# \quad[\mathrm{~min}] \end{aligned}$ | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU}^{*} \mathrm{~S}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 18.917 \mathrm{BB} \\ 2 & 21.094 \mathrm{BB} \end{array}$ | 0.4976 | 401.98444 | 9.65834 | 16.5819 |
|  | 0.6839 | 2022.25647 | 41.54563 | 83.4181 |
| Totals : $2424.24091 \quad 51.20397$ |  |  |  |  |
| Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=221.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata fil |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak RetTime Type } \\ & \# \quad[\mathrm{~min}] \end{aligned}$ | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {\left[\mathrm{mAU} \mathrm{~S}^{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | Height <br> [mAU] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $1 \quad 18.930 \mathrm{MM}$ | 0.6909 | 514.86804 | 12.42091 | 16.5840 |
| 221.092 MM | 0.8152 | 2589.74683 | 52.94692 | 83.4160 |
| Totals : |  | 3104.61487 | 65.36782 |  |

## Table 4, entry 5:




Signal 1: DAD1 C, Sig=210,8 Ref $=360,100$

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime <br> [min] | Type | Width <br> [min] | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ {[m A U * s]} \end{gathered}$ | Height [mAU] | Area \% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.144 | VB | 0.6774 | 5278.08447 | 113.31342 | 80.0891 | 80.21 |
| 2 | 18.110 | BB | 0.6871 | 1312.17957 | 22.67734 | 19.9109 | 19.79 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 6590.26404 | 135.99076 |  |  |
| Signal 2: DAD1, Sig=237.00, 8.00 Ref=off, EXT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Signal has been modified after loading from rawdata file! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | RetTime Type [min] |  | Width | Area | Height | Area |  |
|  |  |  | [min] | [mAU*s] | [mAU] | \% |  |
| 1 | 16.148 | MF | 0.7729 | 4818.23145 | 103.90434 | 80.0447 | 80.41 |
| 2 | 18.110 | FM | 0.9599 | 1201.19116 | 20.85699 | 19.9553 | 19.59 |
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