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Figure S1: Raman spectra showing dried down $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ drops of glucose at $5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ on a MPA treated tip of SHP support and (b) untreated tip of SHP support (with an extra peak at $277 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Spectra are on the same vertical axis, apart from being offset for clarity.

Figure S2: SEM image of a bare copper wire at $300 \times$.

Figure S3: SEM image (a) of $1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ aqueous sucrose solution dried down on a $230 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ SHP support at 700 and $1550 \times$. The sucrose droplets are similar to the glucose droplets, but tend to burn more easily under the electron beam. Image (b) shows a close up of the damage which eventually gives a rectangular mark on the surface.

Figure S4: Raman spectra showing (a) bulk crystalline sucrose and dried down $0.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ drops of different concentrations of sucrose: (b) $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, (c) $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, (d) $1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, (e) $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$, (f) $1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}(\mathrm{~g}) 5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ and $(\mathrm{h})$ water. Spectra are on the same vertical axis, apart from being offset for clarity.

Figure S5: Graph showing the PLS regression plot of predicted vs. actual Glucose concentration using $1^{\text {st }}$ derivatives. The data set had one outlier removed to give the best regression plot with two factors. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ 0.9489 and RMS error $=7.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ and $\% \mathrm{RMS}=12.9$.

Table S1: Raman bands with corresponding peak assignments for glucose: sucrose mixtures.
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| Bands (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) | Sugar | Peak Assignments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 420 | Glucose | Deformation C-C-O and C-C-C |
| 520 | Sucrose | Deformation C-C-O and C-C-C |
| $835-838$ | Sucrose | Associated with fructose, CH out of plane deformation |
| $916-920$ | Glucose | Deformation C-H, C-O-H |
| 1060 | Both Sugars | Stretching C-O |
| 1126 | Glucose | Deformation C-O-H |
| 1365 | Both sugars | Asymmetric deformation in the plane of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| 1456 | Both sugars | Symmetric deformation in the plane of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |

Table S1: Raman bands with corresponding peak assignments for glucose and sucrose. (1)


Figure S5: Graph showing the PLS regression plot of predicted vs. actual Glucose concentration using $1^{\text {st }}$ derivatives. The data set had one outlier removed to give the best regression plot with two factors. $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ 0.9489 and RMS error $=7.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ and $\% \mathrm{RMS}=12.9$.
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