# Quantum Electronic Transport of Topological Surface States in β-Ag<sub>2</sub>Se Nanowire

Jihwan Kim,<sup>†,¶</sup> Ahreum Hwang,<sup>†,¶</sup> Sang-Hoon Lee,<sup>‡</sup> Seung-Hoon Jhi,<sup>‡</sup> Sunghun Lee,<sup>†,#</sup> Yun Chang Park,<sup>§</sup> Si-in Kim,<sup>†</sup> Hong-Seok Kim,<sup>#</sup> Yong-Joo Doh,<sup>#,\*</sup> Jinhee Kim,<sup>⊥,\*</sup> Bongsoo Kim<sup>†,\*</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Chemistry, KAIST, Daejeon 34141, Korea

<sup>‡</sup>Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 37673,

#### Korea

<sup>§</sup>Department of Measurement and Analysis, National Nanofab Center, Daejeon 34141,

#### Korea

<sup>II</sup> Department of Physics and Photon Science, School of Physics and Chemistry, Gwangju

Institute of Science and Technology(GIST), Gwangju 61005, Korea

<sup>⊥</sup>Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science, Daejeon 34113, Korea

<sup>#</sup>Present Address: School of Chemistry and Centre for Research on Adaptive

Nanostructures and Nanodevices, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

<sup>¶</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

## **Supporting Information**

- 1. Parameters of nanowires and nanoribbon
- 2. Experimental setup
- 3. XRD spectrum
- 4. TEM analyses of β-Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowires and nanoplate
- 5. 2D weak antilocalization fitting result
- 6. Aharonov-Bohm oscillation
- 7. Ambipolar effect in  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowire
- 8. Resistivity vs. temperature curves of β-Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanostructures

\*Address correspondence to bongsoo@kaist.ac.kr, jinhee@kriss.re.kr, yjdoh@gist.ac.kr

Fax: +82-42-350-2810

No.	Resistivity at	Width	Height	Channel length
	2 K (mΩ·cm)	(nm)	(nm)	(µm)
D1	5.2	102	95	0.75
D2	1.3	139	75	0.79
D3	0.15	227	150	3.69
D4	0.18	2770	200	11.8
D5	0.40	140	150	0.55

**Table S1.** Parameters of  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowires and nanoribbon samples.



**Figure S1.** Experimental setup for the synthesis of  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowires, nanoribbons and nanoplates.



**Figure S2.** The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern obtained from as-grown nanowires, nanoribbons, and nanoplates on c-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate. All the diffraction peaks are indexed to an orthorhombic  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se crystal structure (JCPDS card No. 01-071-2410).



Figure S3. TEM images of  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowires and nanoplate.

First column ((a), (e), (i)): Low-resolution TEM images of the  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowires and nanoplate. Second column ((b), (f), (j)): High-resolution TEM images and FFT patterns (inset image) of  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowires and nanoplate. Lattice spacings of 0.200 nm, 0.353 nm, and 0.262 nm agree well to those of (211), (020), and (003) planes of an orthorhombic  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se crystal structure, respectively. Third column ((c), (g), (k)): The SAED patterns along the various zone axes. The patterns show the single crystalline nature of  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanostructures. Fourth column ((d), (h), (l)): TEM-EDS spectra of  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowires and nanoplate. The analyses of these results reveal that the atomic ratios of Ag and Se atoms are 2:0.86, 2:1.01, and 2:0.95, respectively, indicating the existence of selenium vacancies. For the accuracy of quantification, we used only the K-electron shells for both Ag and Se.



Figure S4. 2D differential magnetoconductance (MC) data (symbols) obtained from (a) sample D1 and (b) D6 at T = 2.0 K. Blue curves are a fit to the 2D weak antilocalization (WAL) model.<sup>1</sup> The model expects 2D MC  $\Delta \sigma = -(\alpha e^2)/(2\pi^2\hbar)[\ln(B_0/B) - \psi(1/2 + B_0/B)]$ , where  $\alpha$  is a prefactor,  $B_0 = \hbar/(4eL_{\varphi}^2)$ ,  $L_{\varphi}$  is a phase coherence length, and  $\psi$  is a digamma function. A least square fit results in  $\alpha = -0.25$  and  $L_{\varphi} = 270$  nm for sample D1 and  $\alpha = -1.0$  and  $L_{\varphi} = 300$  nm for sample D6. Geometric dimension of sample D6 is given by w = 303 nm and L = 4.5 µm. In case of sample D6, the prefactor  $\alpha = -1$  presents that the negative MC is due to the destructive interference with the Berry's phase of  $\pi$  in the top and bottom surfaces of the nanoribbon.



**Figure S5.** Differential MC obtained from sample **D5** at T = 2.0 K. A smooth background MC was subtracted out. The dotted lines indicate an average period  $\Delta B_{axial} = 0.21$  T for the  $\delta G$  oscillations. Assuming that the nanowire has a rectangular cross section, the area becomes  $2.10 \times 10^{-14}$  m<sup>2</sup>. Thus, the oscillation period corresponds to a magnetic flux  $\Phi = 1.07\Phi_0$ , where  $\Phi_0 = h/e$  is the magnetic flux quantum. The flux can be overestimated by the approximation of the cross sectional shape.



Figure S6. Resistivity vs. gate voltage curve of  $\beta$ -Ag<sub>2</sub>Se nanowire. Sample D7 shows a signature of an ambipolar gate dependence near  $V_g = -80$  V. The optical microscope image for the sample is displayed in the inset.



**Figure S7.** Resistivity vs. temperature curves. Insulating behavior is observed in the samples of **D1** and **D8**, while metallic behavior is observed at low temperatures below the hump (~10 K for **D1**, ~170 K for **D8**, ~300 K for **D5**). Relatively weak insulating behavior is attributed to the contribution of the bulk conductivity.

### References

1. Hikami, S.; Larkin, A. I.; Nagaoka, Y. Spin-Orbit Interaction and Magnetoresistance in the Two Dimensional Random System. *Progr. Theor. Exp. Phys.* **1980**, *63*, 707-710.