

Supplementary Materials

Mechanistic Diversity in the RuBisCO Superfamily: The “Enolase” in the Methionine Salvage Pathway in *Geobacillus kaustophilus*

Heidi J. Imker, A. A. Fedorov, E. V. Fedorov, S. C. Almo, and J. A. Gerlt

Secondary structural elements of the polypeptide. The monomer of the “enolase,” like the monomer of RuBisCO, is composed of two domains, an N-terminal $\alpha+\beta$ domain (residues 1-120) and a C-terminal $(\beta/\alpha)_8$ -barrel domain (residues 121-413). Using the secondary structure labeling suggested for Rubisco (*I*), the N-terminal domain contains four antiparallel β -strands, β B (1-11), β C (47-58), β D (70-81), and β E (104-111), and three α -helices: α B (16-28), α C (88-101), and α D (113-119); this domain also contains two additional short α -helical segments, 39-43 and 61-66. The C-terminal domain contains eight β -strands, β 1 (140-147), β 2 (170-175), β 3 (205-214), β 4 (234-239), β 5 (260-265), β 6 (295-300), β 7 (329-336), β 8 (353-359), and eight α -helices, α 1 (154-169), α 2 (186-203), α 3 (220-231), α 4 (245-253), α 5 (281-291), α 6 (310-321), α 7 (340-350) and α 8 (369-384). An additional α -helix α E (127-135) is located at the N-terminal end of the barrel domain, and a C-terminal extension contains two additional α -helices, α G (388-395) and α H (397-406).

The monomer of the “enolase” activated with bicarbonate and Mg^{2+} and complexed with the alternate substrate DK-H 1-P (structure 4) can be superimposed on the monomer of subunit L of spinach RuBisCO activated with bicarbonate and Mg^{2+} and complexed with 2CABP (8RUC)

(2) with an rmsd of 1.72 Å for 369 C α -pairs. However, the details of the structures of the monomers differ. The N-terminal segment 1-33 is missing in the “enolase.” The BC loop, between α B and β C in the N-terminal domain, has different conformations and orientations in the two structures. The CD loop, between β C4 and β D in the N-terminal domain, is shorter in RuBisCO (90-96) than in the “enolase” (56-72); in the “enolase” this loop includes a short helix (60-66) which is missing in RuBisCO. The loop between α C and β E is longer in RuBisCO. In RuBisCO the loop between α 6 and β 7 in the (β/α)₈-barrel is a long β -hairpin and includes residues 352-370; in the “enolase” this loop includes only residues 323-326. The loop between α 8 and α G, located at N-terminal end of the (β/α)₈-barrel domain, is longer in RuBisCO (430-443) than in the “enolase” (residues 386-387). The C-terminal segments (463-475 in RuBisCO) and (407-413 in the “enolase”) have different orientations.

The monomers are packed as tight dimers, as found in the structure of RuBisCO; the structures of the dimers of the “enolase” and RuBisCO are compared in Figure 10 (panel A, “enolase”; panel B, spinach RuBisCO). The dimer interface is formed by three regions of interpolypeptide contacts: between the N-terminal domains of both monomers, between the N-terminal domain of one monomer and the C-terminal domain of the second monomer, and between the C-terminal domains of both monomers. The first region involves interactions of the CD loops from the N-terminal domains of both monomers across the local two-fold axis. The second region involves interactions between the L1, L2 and L3 loops at the ends of the first, second, and third β -strands of the (β/α)₈-barrel domain of one monomer and helices α B, α C and the BC loop of the N-terminal domain of the second monomer; this interface forms the active site. The third region involves interactions between the L5 loops at the ends of the fifth β -strands of the (β/α)₈-barrel domains in both monomers.

Dimer interface. The dimer of the “enolase” activated with bicarbonate and Mg^{2+} and complexed with DK-H 1-P (structure 4) can be superimposed on the dimer of spinach RuBisCO activated with bicarbonate and Mg^{2+} and complexed with 2CABP (8RUC) with an rmsd of 2.18 Å for 720 C α -pairs. The interface between the N-terminal domains of both subunits is absent in RuBisCO because the CD loops differ in conformation. The interfaces between the N-terminal domain of one monomer and C-terminal domain of adjacent monomer differ in the two structures. The BC loop from N-terminal domain in one subunit is much closer to the active site in C-terminal domain of adjacent subunit in RuBisCO; also, these loops have different conformations. In RuBisCO the “extra” N-terminal extension (1-33) additionally closes the active site and is positioned behind the BC loop. As a result of these differences, the interface between the N-terminal domain of one subunit and C-terminal domain of the second subunit is tighter in RuBisCO than in the “enolase.”

- (1) Knight, S., Andersson, I., and Branden, C. I. (1990) Crystallographic analysis of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase from spinach at 2.4 Å resolution. Subunit interactions and active site. *J Mol Biol* 215, 113-60.
- (2) Andersson, I. (1996) Large structures at high resolution: the 1.6 Å crystal structure of spinach ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase complexed with 2-carboxyarabinitol bisphosphate. *J Mol Biol* 259, 160-74.