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[^0]General Experimental Conditions. All reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere, and the workup was carried out in air. The reaction solvents were distilled prior to used (Tetrahydrofuran was distilled from sodium-benzophenone ketyl. Methanol and Ethanol were dried with magnesium. Dichloromethane was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ ). The commercially available reagents were used without further purification. The substrate of asymmetric allylic substitutions $\mathbf{9}$ was prepared by literature procedure. ${ }^{1}$ Melting points were determined on a XT- 5 microscopic melting point apparatus without uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) spectra, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz ) spectra and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 162 MHz ) were recorded on a Varian MERCURY plus-400 spectrometer. The ee values were determined by HPLC using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H, OJ-H and AD-H column.




## Ruthenocene $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ (14)

To a mixture of ruthenium trichloride $(10.52 \mathrm{~g}, 0.040 \mathrm{~mol})$ and absolute ethanol $(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added cyclopentadiene ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) following by zinc dust $(26 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.40 \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction mixture turned rapidly dark blue, and then, more slowly, dark grey. After stirring for 2 hours at room temperature, the mixture was filtered in air. The filtrate was concentrated and followed by another filtration to afford light green
crystalline solid ( 1.6 g ). The metallic grey solid was washed with toluene $(8 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the filtrate was evaporated to obtain another 7.0 g . Total yield: $93.0 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.59$ (s).

## 1,1'-Dicarboxylic ruthenocene (15)

Ruthenocene ( $4.62 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in a 500 mL flask followed by adding $n$-hexane ( 150 mL ). Another 250 mL flask were charged with $n$-hexane ( 100 mL ) followed by adding $n$ - butyllithium ( $32 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TMEDA ( 8.3 mL , $52 \mathrm{mmoL})$. The solution was then transferred into the cloudy ruthenocene solution. This mixture was stirred at room temperature for 19 h to give lithiated compound, which was then poured into a mixture of dry-ice and $n$-hexane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was placed for 3 h before concentrated hydrochloric acid was added until $\mathrm{pH}=2$. After filtered and dried in vacuum, 1,1'-dicarboxylic ruthenocene $\mathbf{1 3}$ was obtained as a light brown solid ( 6.13 g ; 96.0\%) .
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 4.77$ (t, $\left.J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.03(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 12.3 (br, 2H).

## 1, l'-Bis[(S)-4-isopropyloxazolin-2-yl]-ruthenocene (18)

1,1'-Dicarboxylic ruthenocene $(4.25 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in dichloromethane ( 70 mL ) followed by adding oxalyl chloride ( $11.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 106 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. This mixture was refluxed for 2 h and then evaporated to dryness. The residue was washed with ethyl ether and the organic phase was evaporated to offer $1,1^{\prime}$-dichlorocarbonylruthenocene as a yellow-green solid. The product was directly used in the next step without any purification.

To a solution of $(\mathrm{S})-(+)$-valinol $(3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 26.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylamine $(11.2 \mathrm{ml}$, 58.5 mmol ) in 30 ml of dichloromethane was added dropwise the above 1,1'-dichlorocarbonylruthenocence in 40 ml of dichloromethane under nitrogen atmosphere in ice-water bath. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . To this solution was added dropwise methanesulfonyl chloride $(2.80 \mathrm{~mL}$, 34.6 mmol ) for a period of 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the solution was stirred at room
temperature for 2 h . The resulting solution was washed with chilled water $\left(5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and then brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and then the solvent was evaporated in vacuum. The residue was purified on silica gel column chromatography with petrol ether-ethyl acetate (2:1) to afford pure product $\mathbf{1 8}(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$ as a light yellow solid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.84-1.79 (m, 2H), 3.95-3.91 (m, 2H), $3.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 5.09 (brs, 2H), 5.14 (brs, 2H).

## 2,2'-Bis[(S)-4-isopropyloxazolin-2-yl]-(S)-(S)-1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-ruthen ocene (5)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1 8}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 40 ml of THF was added dropwise a solution of sec-butyllithium in cyclohexane ( $7.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.98 \mathrm{M}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction solution was stirred at the temperature for 3 h and then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Chlorodiphenylphosphine ( $1.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dropped at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the solution containing dilithiated species generated from $\mathbf{1 6}$, and then the solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . After the solvent was evaporated in vacuum, the residue was isolated directly by silica gel column chromatography eluted with degassed petrol ether-ethyl acetate (8:1) to give $5(0.93 \mathrm{~g}$, $51.4 \%$ ); mp 178-180 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{27}=-263.6\left(\mathrm{c} 0.61, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{~Hz}\right): \delta$ $0.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 3.82 (brs, 2 H ), $3.89-3.91$ (m, 2H), 4.23-4.27 (dd, $J=8,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.67 (brs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41$ (brs, 2 H$), 7.17-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{~Hz}\right): \delta 17.4,19.1$, $32.1,69.6,72.2,75.8,77.4,78.0,78.1,81.9,82.1,84.5,84.8,128.21,128.28,128.30$, $128.33,128.4,128.9,132.8,133.0,134.4,134.6,137.9,138.0,139.2,139.3,163.37$, 163.39. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162 \mathrm{~Hz}, 85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right): \delta-16.85$; MS (MALDI): $m / z 823$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right]$(100); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}$ 823.2151, found 823.2154.

The diastereomeric compound 19 was also obtained as by-product with the yield of $12 \%$. mp $87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{27}=-62.9$ (c $0.44, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{~Hz}\right): \delta$ $0.49-0.54(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$
(t, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-4.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16$ (brs, 2 H ), 4.78-4.80 (q, $J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.26 (brs, 1H), 5.29 (brs, 1 H ), 7.59-7.43 (m, 20H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{~Hz}\right): \delta 17.4,18.0,18.0,18.9,31.9,32.7$, $69.5,69.6,72.1,72.3,76.4,76.7,77.3,77.8,78.6,78.7,78.8,78.9,128.1,128.21$, $128.25,128.27,128.32,128.38,128.42,128.49,128.5,128.8,128.9,132.6,132.84$, 132.87, 133.0, 134.6, 134.8, 134.9, 135.1, 162.92, 162.95; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 162 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ): $\delta$-16.77, -15.72; MS (MALDI): $m / z 823\left[\mathrm{M}+1^{+}\right]$(100); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ru}$ 823.2151, found 823.2159.

## Complexation Behavior of 4a with Dichlorobis(acetonitrile)palladium.

Compound $\mathbf{4 a}(6.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in acetonitrile $-d_{3}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give solution A, and dichlorobis(acetonitrile)palladium ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile- $d_{3}(1.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ to give solution B. Addition of 0.25 mL of solution $\mathrm{B}(0.005 \mathrm{mmol})$ to solution A gave a solution containing $\mathbf{4 a}$ and a $C_{2}$-symmetric $1: 1$ complex, $\mathbf{7 a}\left([\mathbf{4 a}] \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right.$ ), judging from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. When 0.50 mL of solution $\mathrm{B}(0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, compound $4 \mathbf{a}$ disappeared, and only complex 7a was formed as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. The addition of more than 0.5 mL of solution B gave the same result as above and did not produce a new complex.
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Figure S1. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 10 using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane: 2-propanol $=98: 2$, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic alkylation using $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, racemic isomer.


Figure S2. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 10 using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane: 2-propanol $=98: 2$, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic alkylation using $\mathbf{4 a}$ as ligand at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1, entry 8), $95.7 \%$ ee.


Figure S3. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 10 using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane: 2-propanol $=98: 2$, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic alkylation using $\mathbf{4 b}$ as ligand at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1, entry 10 ), $92.9 \%$ ee.


Figure S4. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 10 using a Daicel Chiralcel OD-H column (hexane: 2-propanol $=98: 2$, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic alkylation using $\mathbf{4 a}$ as ligand at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1, entry 9), $94.1 \% e e$.


Figure S5. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 11 using a Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H column (hexane: 2-propanol $=87: 13$, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic amination using $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, racemic isomer.


Figure S6. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 11 using a Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H column (hexane: 2-propanol 9=87: 13, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic amination using 1a at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2, entry 7), $98.7 \%$ ee


Figure S7. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 11 using a Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H column (hexane: 2-propanol $9=87: 13$, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic amination using $\mathbf{1 b}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2, entry 10), $99.1 \%$ ee


Figure S8. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 11 using a Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H column (hexane: 2-propanol 9=87: 13, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic amination using $4 \mathbf{a}$ at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 3, entry 10 ), $99.2 \%$ ee


Figure S9. HPLC chromatograms showing the separation 11 using a Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H column (hexane: 2-propanol 9=87: 13, flow $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Product from asymmetric allylic amination using $\mathbf{4 b}$ at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 3, entry 12 ), $99.0 \%$ ee
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#### Abstract

${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ for $\mathbf{4 b}$ 
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ORTEP view for twist
angle of 7a (twist angle: $23.63^{\circ}$ )


ORTEP view for twist angle of 7b (twist angle: $16.05^{\circ}$ )


ORTEP view for twist
angle of 8a (twist angle:
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ORTEP view for twist
angle of $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{- A}$ (twist angle:
$10.28^{\circ}$ )
angle of $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b}$ (twist angle:
$16.20^{\circ}$ )
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