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## Materials and Methods:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated solvents on a Bruker DMX 300 NMR Spectrometer and a Bruker ADVANCE 600 NMR Spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) reference using the residual protonated solvent as an internal standard. Mass spectra (MALDI-TOF-MS) were determined on a Bruker BIFLEX Mass Spectrometer.
N,N’-di(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1,6,7,12-tetrabromoperylene-3,4:9,10-tetracarboxylicbisimides were prepared according to a known procedure. ${ }^{1}$ All chemicals were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless otherwise specified. DMSO was freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Two isomers of tri(perylene bisimides) were separated by HPLC using Cosmosil Buckyprep as the column and toluene as the eluent.
Absorption spectra were measured with Hitachi (model U-3010) UV-Vis spectrophotometer in a 1-cm quartz cell. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were recorded on a CHI66 electrochemical workstation using glassy carbon discs as the working electrode, Pt wire as the counter electrode, $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode as the reference electrode, and ferrocene/ferrocenium as an internal potential marker. 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate ( $\mathrm{TBAPF}_{6}$ ) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was employed as the supporting electrolyte. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was freshly distilled prior to use. The reduction potentials have been recalculated to the reference of $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$. The energy level of $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}+$ is assumed to be -4.8 eV below the vacuum level. ${ }^{2}$ The oxidation potential of $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$was measured as 0.40 V against $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$.

## Synthesis and Characterization of triPBIs:

## triPBIs 5 and 6.

A mixture of tetrabromoperylene bisimide ( $634 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), CuI ( $706 \mathrm{mg}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), L-proline ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 4.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $856 \mathrm{mg}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 ml DMSO was heated at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar for 12 h . The cooled mixture was poured into 1 M HCl , and stirred for 1 hr . After filtration, the obtained solids were washed with brine, dried under vacuum, dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). Yield 65 mg (15\%) diPBI as black
solids and 70 mg (16\%) triPBIs as dark-green solids. The obtained triPBIs were separated by HPLC into two fractions using Cosmosil Buckyprep as the column and toluene as the eluent. After separation, triPBI $5(18 \mathrm{mg})$ and $6(52 \mathrm{mg})$ were obtained, in total yield of $4 \%$ and $12 \%$, respectively. MS (MALDI-TOF): calcd for triPBI $\mathrm{C}_{144} \mathrm{H}_{114} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12}, 2118.8$ [M]; found, triPBI 5 $m / z=2118.1$; triPBI $6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z}=2118.2$.
triPBI 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta=10.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.45$ (d, 2H), $9.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-3.06(\mathrm{~b}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 48 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 5 can not be well resolved.
triPBI 6. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (1,2-dichlorobenzene-d4, $300 \mathrm{MHz}, 383 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta=10.57$ (s, 2H), 10.44 (s, 2H), $9.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~b}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $100 \mathrm{MHz}, 298 \mathrm{~K}): \delta=164.0,163.8,163.6,163.1,163.0,134.9,134.7,133.8,132.6,131.7,130.9$, $130.7,130.6,129.8,129.7,129.5,129.4,129.2,128.9,128.3,127.7,127.6,126.0,125.0,124.8$, $124.6,124.3,124.2,124.1,123.4,122.9,122.6,121.9,120.5,118.9,30.5,30.2,29.7,29.2,29.1$, 28.8, 25.3, 25.1, 24.7, 24.3, 24.2, 24.1, 23.8, 22.7, 19.2.
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Figure S1: MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of triPBI 5.
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Figure S2: MALDI-TOF mass spectrum of triPBI 6.
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DPV of triPBIs 5 and 6:

(a)

(b)

Figure S4: Differential pulse voltammetry (in V vs $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ ) of triPBIs 5 (a) and 6 (b).

## Computed structures, spectra and MO energies:



Figure S5: the B3LYP/3-21G computed structures of PBI 1.


Figure S6: the B3LYP/3-21G computed structures of diPBI 4.


Figure S7. Top: the TDDFT calculated absorption spectrum of 4; bottom: absorption spectrum of 4 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$

Table S1: MO energies and HOMO-LUMO gaps of PBI, diPBI, and triPBIs. From B3LYP/3-21G calculations at optimized geometries

|  | HOMO (ev) | LUMO (ev) | LUMO+1 (ev) | LUMO+2 (ev) | E(H-L) (ev) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PBI 1 | -6.14 | -3.56 | --- | --- | 2.58 |
| diPBI 4 | -6.04 | -4.06 | -3.28 | --- | 1.98 |
| triPBI 5 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-6.04(-6.03)$ | $-4.25(-4.26)$ | $-3.68(-3.68)$ | $-3.20(-3.20)$ | $1.79(1.77)$ |
| triPBI 6 $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-6.00(-5.99)$ | $-4.29(-4.30)$ | $-3.69(-3.68)$ | $-3.20(-3.19)$ | $1.71(1.69)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ in parenthesis the values for non-helical isomer of triPBIs
Table S2: B3LYP/3-21G absolute energies, relative energies, optical gaps (lowest allowed
electronic transitions from TDDFT B3LYP/3-21G calculations), and HOMO-LUMO gaps

|  | Absolute Energy <br> (a.u.) | Relative Energy <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)(\mathrm{eV}$, <br> $[\mathrm{nm}])$ and $f$ | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L})$ <br> $(\mathrm{eV})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5-helical | -5812.07873469 | 0.00 | $1.63[761](0.28)$ | 1.79 |
| 5-non-helical | -5812.07865775 | +0.05 | $1.61[769](0.30)$ | 1.77 |
| 6-helical | -5812.07601472 | 0.00 | $1.53[811](0.25)$ | 1.71 |
| 6-non-helical | -5812.07156895 | +2.8 | $1.51[822](0.26)$ | 1.69 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | -3875.90926192 | 0.00 | $1.82[680](0.39)$ | 1.98 |
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