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Absorption Spectra of DECM-caged S1P and C1P Analogues. Figure 1 shows the UV-visible spectra of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and 1a. DECM-caged S1P 1 shows a $\lambda_{\max }$ at 376 nm $\left(\epsilon=15,792 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in EtOH. DECM-caged C1P 1a shows a $\lambda_{\max }$ at $376 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon=15,466$ $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Figure 1. Absorption spectra of DECM-caged S1P (1) in EtOH and C1P (1a) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.


Qualitative Measurement of Uncaging Efficiency. A $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of S1P $\mathbf{1}$ in 50\% aqueous EtOH and $50 \% 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris, pH 7.4 , was placed in a quartz cuvette and placed at a distance of 1 cm from a conventional UV lamp (Entela UVGL-25 UV lamp). The compound was irradiated using long wavelength ( 365 nm ) and, after a certain time, as indicated in the TLC (Figure 2), an aliquot was applied to a TLC plate, which was developed with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 3:1. Photodecomposition of $100 \%$ DECM-caged S1P 1 took place around 60 min .

Figure 2. Qualitative measurement of uncaging efficiency of DECM-caged S1P (1) in 50\% aqueous EtOH, pH 7.4.


Mobile phase: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)$

## Absorption Spectra of BHNB-caged S1P and C1P Analogues. Figure 3 (page S4)

 shows the UV-visible spectra of compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and 2a. BHNB-caged S1P 2 absorbs at 406 $\mathrm{nm}\left(\epsilon=12,000 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and $278 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon=6,294 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{EtOH}, 50 \% 10$ mM Tris, pH 7.4. BHNB-caged C1P 2a absorbs at $397 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon=1,747 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and 320 $\mathrm{nm}\left(\epsilon=4,111 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in EtOH.Figure 3. Absorption spectra of BHNB-caged S1P (2) in $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$, and BHNB-caged C1P (2a) in EtOH.


Stability of BHNPB-caged S1P (2) in Buffer in the Dark. The stability of BHNBcaged S1P (2) in $50 \%$ aqueous EtOH, $50 \% 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris $(170 \mu \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{pH} 7.4$, was tested by withdrawing aliquots from the solution over a period of seven days. The analysis showed no change in the $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ value $\left(0.35, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 3: 1\right)$ of the product, and no new spot was observed.

Qualitative Measurement of Uncaging Efficiency. A $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of BHNB-caged S1P (2) in $50 \%$ aqueous EtOH and $50 \% 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris, pH 7.4, was placed in a quartz cuvette and irradiated at 365 nm at a distance of 1 cm with a conventional UV lamp (Entela UVGL-25 UV lamp). Aliquots were withdrawn at various times and analyzed by TLC (developed using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 2: 1$ ). The mechanism of photolysis of 2-nitrobenzyl caged compounds has been thoroughly studied and is known to generate 2 nitrosobenzaldehyde as the photo by-product of the reaction. ${ }^{\text {S1 }}$ Similarly, our BHNB uncaging experiment is expected to generate a 2-nitrosobenzophenone derivative as the byproduct (Figure 4). After being photoirradiated for 65 min , the sample in the cuvette was concentrated and analysis by ESI-HRMS showed a strong peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327.9596$
$(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, which is the expected mass of the photo by-product. Figure 5 shows that $100 \%$ photodecomposition of BHNB-S1P (2) took place after 65 min .

Figure 4. Uncaged photo-byproduct, 4-bromo-5-hydroxy-2-nitroso-benzophenone.
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ESI-HRMS [M+Na] ${ }^{+} \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd for $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 327.9585$, found 327.9596

Figure 5. Qualitative measurement of uncaging efficiency of BHNB-caged S1P (2) in 50\% aqueous EtOH, pH 7.4.


Mobile phase: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(2: 1)$

Cell Growth and Proliferation Assays. RAW 264.7 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). BMDMs were isolated from femurs of 6- to 8-week old female CD-1 mice from Charles River Laboratory as described previously. ${ }^{\text {S2 }}$ The cells were cultured as described previously. Cell growth was estimated
by measuring the rate of reduction of the tetrazolium dye MTS, (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxy-phenol)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium).

Aqueous dispersions of commercial N-palmitoyl-C1P were prepared by sonication and added to the cultured macrophages as described previously. ${ }^{53,54}$ Figure 6 shows that the optimum concentration of exogenous N-palmitoyl-C1P for stimulation of RAW 264.7 macrophage cell growth was $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$; higher concentrations than $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ are growth inhibitory. Photolysis of $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compound 1a (delivered to RAW 264.7 cells in EtOH ) was equipotent as exogenous $30 \mu \mathrm{MC} 1 \mathrm{P}$.

Figure 6. Stimulation of growth of RAW 264.7 cells on addition of FBS, exogenous N-palmitoyl-C1P, and compound 1a. The cells were exposed to $400-500 \mathrm{~nm}$ light in a transilluminator equipped with a 9 W lamp for 60 min at a distance of 1.5 cm at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


General Experimental Methods. The solvents were dried as follows. THF and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were heated at reflux over sodium benzophenone ketyl. Acetonitrile, diisopropylethylamine, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were distilled over calcium hydride, benzene was distilled over sodium metal, and methanol was heated at reflux over magnesium. Silica gel 60 F254 aluminum TLC plates of $0.2-\mathrm{mm}$ thickness were used to monitor the reactions, with short wavelength ultraviolet light to visualize the spots and by charring the TLC plate after spraying with $15 \%$ sulfuric acid. Phosphorus-containing compounds were detected with a molybdic acid spray. Flash chromatography was carried out with silica gel 60 (230-400 ASTM mesh). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 500 MHz , and chemical shifts are given in parts per million. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz and 162 MHz , respectively.

## Syntheses

(S)-tert-Butyl-4-formyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (3). A solution of N -Boc-( $S$ )-serine methyl ester ( $12.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 100 mL ) was cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. To the cooled solution was slowly added DIBAL-H ( 83 mL , a 1.5 M solution in toluene). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction was quenched by slowly adding 100 mL of cold MeOH . The resulting white emulsion was slowly poured into 200 mL of ice-cold 1 M HCl with swirling over 20 min , and the aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 120 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated to give the crude product as a colorless oil, which was vacuum distilled to provide aldehyde $\mathbf{3}(7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%)$ as a colorless liquid, bp $115-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(9.0 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$.
a $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution of $(S)$-Garner aldehyde $(\mathbf{3}, 7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was slowly added vinylmagnesium bromide $(92 \mathrm{~mL}, 92 \mathrm{mmol}$, a 1 M solution in THF) via cannula. After the cloudy yellow solution was stirred for 2 h at $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{x} 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The mixture of erythro and threo diastereomers was separated by column chromatography by gravity (hexane/EtOAc 5:1) to afford $4(4.8 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$ and its C3-epimer $\mathbf{4 a}(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \%)$. Compound 4: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-37.7^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; R_{f} 0.26$ (EtOAc/hexane 1:3); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 24.2$, $26.3,28.3,61.8,64.4,73.3,81.4,94.4,116.1,117.8,137.6,155.0 ;$ ESI-HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd for $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 280.1525$, found 280.1519.

## tert-Butyl-(2S,3R)-1-hydroxy-3-(methoxymethoxy)pent-4-en-2-yl-carbamate

To a solution of allylic alcohol $4(900 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added DIPEA $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and MOMCl $(0.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 min , the cooling bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at rt. After TLC indicated the complete protection of the alcohol, the reaction mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 40 mL ) and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (2 x 30 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to afford the MOM-protected alcohol 5 ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). Without further
purification, compound $5(960 \mathrm{mg}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 25 mL ), and 1 M $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt until the starting material disappeared (overnight). The acid was neutralized by washing with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford alcohol 6 ( 705 mg , $85 \%): R_{f} 0.20(\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexane} 1: 3) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 28.9,54.6,55.4,62.0,76.0,78.3,79.4,94.0,119.0,134.7,156.3 ;$ ESI-HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd for $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 284.1474$, found 284.1468.

## tert-Butyl-(2S,3R,4E)-1-hydroxy-3-(methoxymethoxy)octadec-4-en-2-yl-carbamate

 (7). To a solution of alcohol $\mathbf{6}(230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 1pentadecene ( $741 \mathrm{mg}, 3.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was degassed twice using $\mathrm{N}_{2}(2 \times 10$ $\mathrm{min})$. To the reaction mixture was added benzylidene[1,3-bis(2,4,6-trimethyl-phenyl)-2-imidazolidinylidene]dichloro-(tricyclohexylphosphine)ruthenium catalyst (22.4 mg, 0.026 mmol ) at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h under reflux, the solvent was removed and the product was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 4:1) to afford 7 (280 mg, 72\%): $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.45$ (EtOAc/hexane 1:3); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.22$ $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.1,22.7,28.4,29.0,29.1,29.3,29.4,29.6,29.7,31.9,32.3,54.9,55.6,62.3$, 76.1, $78.3,79.4,93.8,125.9,136.9,155.9$; ESI-HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ calcd for $m / z 466.3508$, found 466.3514 .

## tert-Butyl-(2S,3R,4E)-1-[7-diethylaminocoumarin-4-yl-

 methoxy(methoxy)phosphor-yloxy]-3-(methoxymethoxy)octadec-4-en-2-yl-carbamate (10). To a solution of compound $7(55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 8 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $86.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Methyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite ( $48 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, 0.24 mmol ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the product was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford phosphoramidite 8: $\mathrm{R}_{f} 0.68$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 140.8. 7-(Diethylamino)-4-hydroxymethylcoumarin (9) was prepared from 4-methyl-7-(diethylamino)coumarin by a known procedure. ${ }^{55, \mathrm{~S} 6}$ A mixture of coumarin 9 ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phosphoramidite $8(58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dried by lyophilization from benzene, and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was transferred by cannula to a solution of $1 H$-tetrazole ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . After 3 h , the consumption of the phosphoramidite was complete as observed by TLC (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). To the intermediate phosphite, $t$ $\mathrm{BuOOH}\left(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$, a 1 M solution in toluene, 0.14 mmol ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was warmed to rt . After 4 h , TLC indicated the complete conversion of the phosphite to the corresponding phosphate. The reaction mixture was diluted with
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and the residue was purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to afford phosphate $10(52 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%):[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}-26.5^{\circ}$ (c 0.6, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{R}_{f} 0.22\left(\mathrm{EtOAc} /\right.$ hexane $\left./ \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} 48: 50: 2\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.95(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.4,15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.75(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.9,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.5,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.4,14.1,22.7,28.3,29.0,29.2,29.3$, 29.4, 29.6, 29.7, 31.9, 32.3, 44.7, 54.7, 54.8, 55.7, 64.6, 67.0, 76.2, 79.6, 93.5, 97.8, $105.5,106.3,108.7,124.3,125.6,138.1,149.1,150.7,155.4,156.2,161.7 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.43$; ESI-HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{67} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{PNa}$ calcd for $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 789.4431$, found 789.4417.
(2S,3R,4E)-2-Amino-3-hydroxyoctadec-4-enyl (7-(Diethylamino)coumarin-4-yl)methyl Phosphate (1). To a solution of phosphate $\mathbf{1 0}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF (1 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$, and purified by chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 6: 1\right)$. After removal of suspended silica gel by filtration of a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ through a $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ Cameo 30 F syringe filter, compound $\mathbf{1}$ was obtained (15.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 78 \%):[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+2.22^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1\right) ; R_{f} 0.70\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$

65:35:8); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98$ $(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.20-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.40$ (dd, 1H, $J=5.4,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.81(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6,13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.3,14.0,22.6,28.2$, $29.0,29.3,29.4,29.6,31.8,32.3,44.6,55.8,62.4,63.1,69.6,97.3,104.7,108.8,124.4$, 126.2, 135.5, 150.6, 155.8, 163.0; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.38$; ESI-HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}$ calcd for $m / z 609.3669$, found 609.3670 ; $\mathrm{UV}: \lambda_{\max } 376 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon=15792 \mathrm{M}^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) in EtOH .

## (2S,3R,4E)-2-Palmitamido-3-hydroxyoctadec-4-enyl (7-Diethylaminocoumarin-4-

 yl)methyl Phosphate (1a). A solution of compound 1 ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pnitrophenyl palmitate $(9.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol})$, and anhydrous potassium carbonate ( 3.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in a solution of anhydrous DMF ( 2.5 mL ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the reaction mixture had stirred for two days, the mixture was concentrated under high vacuum. The product was purified by chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9: 1\right)$, followed by removal of suspended silica gel by filtration of a solution of $\mathbf{1 a}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ through a $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ Cameo 30F syringe filter, affording DECM-caged ceramide 1phosphate 1a $(7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a yellow solid: $R_{f} 0.60\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 2: 1\right) ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+4.73^{\circ}$ (c 0.27, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} 1: 1\right) ; R_{f} 0.62\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 2: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.16-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 46 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.70(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.0,22.6,22.9$,23.6, 25.8, 28.8, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 30.2, 31.9, 32.4, 36.4, 38.6, 44.6, 68.2, $97.4,105.8,108.8,128.7,130.9,132.2,134.7,150.6,155.8,163.3,167.9,174.2 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-0.83$; ESI-HRMS $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} \mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}$ calcd for $m / z 847.5965$, found 847.5973; UV: $\lambda_{\text {max }} 376 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon=15466 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in EtOH.

1-Bromo-2-methoxy-4-methyl-5-nitrobenzene (12). To a solution of nitrobenzene 11 ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a pressure tube was added $N$-bromosuccinimide $(8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 45.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The tube was sealed and the contents were heated to $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight on an oil bath. The reaction mixture turned brownish-red overnight. After the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, the product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford brominated product $\mathbf{1 2}$ along with other byproducts that were not separable by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). The product mixture ( 2.8 g , $87 \%$ ) was used directly in the next step: $R_{f} 0.29$ (hexane/EtOAc $3: 1$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.7,56.8$, $108.9,114.3,125.2,130.4,136.3,141.9,159.3$; ESI-HRMS $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Br}^{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{H}\right]^{-} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ calcd for $m / z$ 152.0348, found 152.0349 .

4-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-nitrobenzaldehyde (13). To a stirred solution of compound 12 ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 15 mL ) was added $N, N$-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal (DMF-DMA) ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 24.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After the reaction mixture was heated at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h , the dark red solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and added rapidly to a stirred solution of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{DMF}(4: 1,25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 8 h of stirring, the
brown solution was filtered, and the reaction flask was rinsed with toluene/EtOAc (1:1, 30 mL ) and again filtered. The filtrate was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl solution $(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 45 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford aldehyde $\mathbf{1 3}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%)$ : $R_{f} 0.22$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 57.4,110.5$, 116.8, 130.3, 132.6, 142.3, 160.5, 187.7; ESI-HRMS [M-H] $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$ calcd for $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 257.9402, found 257.9407.
(4-Bromo-5-methoxy-2-nitrophenyl)(phenyl)methanol (14). To a solution of aldehyde $13(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added slowly a solution of $\mathrm{PhMgBr}(2.7 \mathrm{~mL}$, a 1.0 M solution in THF, 2.7 mmol ). After the reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min , the reaction was quenched with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford 14 ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ): $R_{f} 0.32$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.96$ (br s, 1H), $3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 57.0,71.7,110.5,110.9,127.1,128.3,128.7,130.6,140.6,141.2,160.0:$ ESIHRMS $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{HCO}_{2}\right]^{-} \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrNO}_{6}$ calcd for $m / z$ 381.9926, found 381.9931.

## (4S,5R,1'E)-tert-Butyl-4-(hydroxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyl-5-(pentadec-1'-enyl)-

oxazolidine-3-carboxylate (16). ${ }^{\mathbf{5 7}}$ To a solution of D-erythro-sphingosine ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1,9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added aqueous $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(3.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and
$(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(851 \mathrm{mg}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt until the consumption of the starting material was observed by TLC (hexane/EtOAc 3:1): $R_{f} 0.12$. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 30 mL ), and the product was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford the $N$-Bocsphingosine derivative ( $495 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ): $R_{f} 0.22$. The Boc derivative ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was thoroughly dried in vacuum and then was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After imidazole ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{TBDPSCl}(236 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added, the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at rt , and then was concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1): $R_{f} 0.65$. To a solution of the silylated primary alcohol in benzene ( 30 mL ) were added 2,2-dimethoxypropane ( $220 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalytic $p-\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux in a Dean-Stark trap for 30 min . The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 15 mL ), and the product was extracted with EtOAc ( 2 x 15 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford the isopropylidene derivative: $R_{f} 0.75$. The isopropylidene intermediate was treated with TBAF ( $11.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF, 11.25 mmol ) for 6 h at rt . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the product was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford protected sphingosine derivative 16 (92 $\mathrm{mg}, 47 \%): R_{f} 0.51$ (hexane/EtOAc 3:1); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.5,15.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 5.88(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.5,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.1,22.7,24.7,27.8,28.4$, $28.8,29.2,29.3,29.4,29.5,29.7,31.9,62.0,63.8,81.2,92.9,123.2,137.5,154.4$; ESIHRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}$ calcd for $m / z 462.3559$, found 462.3554 .
(4S,5R,1"E)-tert-Butyl-4-((( $\left(4^{\prime}-b r o m o-5 '-m e t h o x y-2 '-~\right.$ nitrophenyl)(phenyl)methoxy)(methoxy)phosphoryloxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-5-(pentadec-1"-enyl)oxazolidine-3-carboxylate (18). To a solution of compound 14 (44 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 8 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Methyl diisopropyl phosphoramidochloridite ( $51 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added slowly to the reaction mixture at rt under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for $3 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the product was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 8 mL$)$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and the product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford phosphoramidite 15: $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.65 (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). A mixture of phosphoramidite $15(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and protected sphingosine 16 ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dried by lyophilization from benzene, and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was transferred by cannula to a solution of $1 H$-tetrazole ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . After 3 h , complete consumption of the phosphoramidite took place as observed by TLC (hexane/EtOAc 3:1). The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 10 mL ), and the product was extracted with EtOAc ( 2 x 15 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford phosphite 17: $R_{f} 0.55$. To the intermediate phosphite 17 was added $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOOH}(0.3$ mL , a 1 M solution in toluene) at rt . After 1 h , TLC indicated the complete conversion of
the phosphite to the corresponding phosphate. The reaction mixture was concentrated and purified by chromatography (hexane/EtOAc 3:1) to afford phosphate 18 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ): $\mathrm{R}_{f}$ 0.15 (EtOAc/hexane 1:3); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.1,22.7,28.3,29.0,29.4,29.5$, $29.6,29.7,31.9,32.5,54.4,57.1,59.2,80.3,93.1,110.0,111.3,122.8,127.9,128.0$, $128.6,129.0,130.8,137.9,139.8,160.1 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-1.60,-1.46,-1.31,-1.13$; ESI-HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{PNa}$ calcd for $m / z$, 875.3223 found 875.3218 .

## (4'-Bromo-5'-hydroxy-2'-nitrophenyl)(phenyl)methyl-(2S,3R,4E)-3-hydroxy-2-

 palmitamidooctadec-4-enyl Hydrogen Phosphate (2a). A solution of compound 2 (1.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), p-nitrophenyl palmitate ( $1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was suspended in a solution of anhydrous DMF $(1.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After the reaction mixture had stirred for 2 days, the mixture was concentrated under high vacuum. The compound was purified by chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 6: 1\right)$, followed by removal of suspended silica gel by filtration of a solution of compound 2a in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ through a $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m} 30 \mathrm{~F}$ syringe filter, affording BHNB caged C1P 2a (1 mg, 77\%): $R_{f} 0.33\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 6: 1\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} 30: 1\right) \delta 0.83(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 46 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ DEPT-45 NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} 30: 1\right) \delta 14.1,19.8,22.7,29.3,29.4,29.5,29.6,29.7,29.8,30.2,31.9$,$32.4,36.5,53.5,57.0,71.4,74.4,77.4,110.8,127.5,127.7,128.2,128.4,130.3,135.0$;
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} 30: 1\right) \delta-1.23,-1.11$; ESI-HRMS $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{PNa}$ calcd for $m / z 945.4369$, found 945.4363 ; UV: $\lambda_{\max } 397 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon=1,747 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), 320 \mathrm{~nm}$ $\left(\epsilon=4,111 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in EtOH .
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