Synthesis and in Vitro Cytotoxicity Profile of the *R*-Enantiomer of 3,4-Dihydroxymethamphetamine (*R*-(–)-HHMA) : Comparison with Related Catecholamines.

Anne Felim,[†] Guadalupe Herrera,[‡] Anne Neudörffer,[†] Manuel Blanco,[‡] Jose-Enrique O'Connor^{*,‡} and Martine Largeron^{*,†}

[†]UMR 8638 CNRS - Université Paris Descartes, Synthèse et Structure de Molécules d'Intérêt Pharmacologique, Faculté des Sciences Pharmaceutiques et Biologiques, 4 Avenue de l'Observatoire, 75270 Paris cedex 06, France and [‡]Centro de Investigacion Principe Felipe, Avenida Autopista del Saler 16, 46012 Valencia, Spain

E-mail: martine.largeron@parisdescartes.fr (M.L.); eoconnor@ochoa.fib.es (J.E.O'C.)

Table of Contents

I. Experimental procedures for the determination of enantiomeric excess II. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of HHMA, MMMA, R-(–)-HHMA and its synthetic intermediates, together with aminoalcohol derivatives **7** and **9** III. Analytical HPLC chromatograms of HHMA, MMMA, R-(–)-HHMA and aminoalcohol **9**

I.Experimental procedures for the determination of enantiomeric excess

1-Enantiomeric purity of (*R*)-**3,4-dimethoxymethamphetamine** (*R*)-**MMMA 6**. The enantiomeric excess of (*R*)-MMMA **6** was determined by HPLC after derivatization with (*R*)- α -methoxy- α -trifluoromethylphenyl acetic chloride [(*R*)-MTPA-Cl] (*1*). In order to set up reaction conditions, the racemic compound (±)-MMMA was used as a reference. After derivatization with (*R*)-MTPA-Cl, two diastereoisomers were isolated after purification by semipreparative HPLC, and characterized separately. Their mixture was analyzed by HPLC to establish optimal conditions of separation. Then, the same process of synthesis and analysis was applied to (*R*)-MMMA.

(\pm)-MMMA Derivatization. Synthesis of (*R*,*R*) and (*R*,*S*)-*N*-methyl-ethyl-[2-(3,4dimethoxy-phenyl)]-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-*N*-methyl-2-phenyl-propionamide 10 and 11. A solution of (\pm)-MMMA (519 mg, 1.98 mmol) and triethylamine (0.27 mL, 1.98 mmol, leq.) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), was added dropwise, under inert atmosphere, to a solution of (*R*)-MTPA-Cl (1.98 mmol, 1 eq.) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). After stirring 3h at room temperature, 20 mL HCl 0.5 N solution were added. The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ solution, dried over MgSO₄, filtered off and the solvent was evaporated to dryness. The resulting colorless oil was purified by reversed-phase HPLC, using a mixture of [H₂O + 1 ‰TFA (further noted solvent A)]/MeCN 60/40 as the eluent (flow rate: 16 mL.min⁻¹). Fractions containing diastereoisomer **10** and those containing diastereoisomer **11** were separately collected and freeze-dried. Compound **10** was recrystallized in diethylether (266 mg, 0.63 mmol, 29%), while compound **11** was recrystallized with an hexane/diethylether mixture (285 mg, 0.67 mmol, 34%). Their degrees of purity (100%) were determined by analytical HPLC (eluent, solvent A/MeCN 53/47; flow rate: 0.9 mL.min⁻¹). Spectroscopic data show the existence of two rotamers for each compound.



Compound **10**. *Rotamer A*: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.18 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.70 (m, 2H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 5.27 (m, 1H), 6.75 (m, 3H), 7.25 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 17.4, 28.1, 39.0, 50.0, 53.5, 55.9 × 2, 85.0, 111.1, 112.1, 121.0, 126.4 × 2, 127.2, 128.1 × 2, 128.9, 130.3, 133.7, 147.6, 148.7, 165.1. *Rotamer B*: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ

0.25 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.30 (m, 2H), 2,94 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 6.75 (m, 3H), 7.25 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 14.9, 27.8, 41.1, 50.0, 54.9, 55.8 × 2, 85.0, 111.1, 112.1, 121.0, 126.4 × 2, 127.2, 128.1 × 2, 128.3, 128.9, 130.6, 147.6, 148.7, 165.1.



Compound **11**. *Rotamer A*: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.19 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.67 (dd, J = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 2.85 (dd, J = 14.6 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 5.30 (m, 1H), 6.80 (m, 3H), 7.50 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.6, 27.8, 39.2, 49.5, 54.5, 55.9, 56.0, 85.0, 111.2, 111.8, 120.8, 123.7, 127.1× 2, 128.5 × 2, 129.1, 130.6, 134.0, 147.7, 149.0, 165.3. *Rotamer B*: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.99 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.02 (dd, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (dd, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 4.24 (m, 1H), 6.80 (m, 3H), 7.50 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.6, 27.9, 39.4, 49.5, 52.9, 55.8, 56.3, 85.0, 111.0, 112.2, 121.3, 123.7, 126.5 × 2, 128.8 × 2, 129.6, 129.9, 134.6, 147.6, 148.7, 165.3.

Conditions of separation of an equimolar mixture of compounds **10** and **11** were determined by analytical HPLC (eluent: solvent A/MeCN 53/47; flow rate: 0.9 mL.min⁻¹).

(*R*)-MMMA Derivatization. Previous method of derivatization, replacing (\pm)-MMMA by (*R*)-MMMA **6** afforded a colorless oil, whose spectroscopic data were identical with those of previous (*R*,*R*)-compound **10**. Its degree of purity (99.5%) was directly determined by analytical HPLC (eluent, solvent A/MeCN 53/47; flow rate: 0.9 mL.min⁻¹).

2-Enantiomeric purity of (*R*)**-3,4-dihydroxymethamphetamine** *R***-(–)-HHMA 8**. Similarly, the enantiomeric excess of (*R*)-HHMA **8** was determined by HPLC after derivatization with (*R*)-MTPA-Cl. In order to set up reaction conditions, the racemic compound (\pm)-HHMA was used as a reference. After derivatization with (*R*)-MTPA-Cl, two diastereoisomeric Mosher amides, along with the corresponding diastereoisomeric Mosher esters resulting from the reaction with the phenol groups, were isolated after purification by flash column chromatography. The mixture of diastereoisomeric Mosher amides was analyzed by HPLC to establish optimal conditions of separation. Then, the same process of synthesis, and analysis, was applied to (*R*)-HHMA **8**.

(±)-HHMA Derivatization. Synthesis of (*R*,*R*) and (*R*,*S*)-*N*-methyl-ethyl-[2-(3,4-dihydroxy-phenyl)]-3,3,3-trifluoro-2-methoxy-*N*-methyl-2-phenyl-propionamide

12 and 13. A solution of (\pm)-HHMA,HBr (131 mg, 0.5 mmol) and triethylamine (0.35 mL, 5 eq.) in a mixture of dry CH₂Cl₂ (6 mL) and DMSO (1 mL), was added dropwise, under nitrogen, to a solution of (*R*)-MTPA-Cl (139 mg, 0.55 mmoles, 1.1 éq.) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (5.5 mL). After stirring 2h30 at room temperature, 50 mL of dichloromethane and 10 mL HCl 0.5 N solution were added. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered off and evaporated to dryness. Flash column chromatography (toluene/acetone 90:10 v/v) afforded a mixture of the Mosher amides **12** and **13** as a pale yellow oil (31mg, 0.08 mmol, 15.5%), along with a fraction containing the four diastereoisomeric Mosher esters (65 mg, 0.16 mmol, 33%).



Compound **12**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.46 (s, 3H), 2.55 (dd, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (dd, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 6.75 (m, 3H), 7.25 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 17.6, 28.0, 38.7, 50.0, 55.0, 85.0, 115.3, 115.9, 121.4, 126.3 × 2, 128.2 × 2, 128.6, 129.1, 129.7, 133.3, 143.2, 143.7, 165.8.



Compound **13**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.00 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 5.26 (m, 1H), 6.75 (m, 3H), 7.25 (m, 5H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 16.8, 27.8, 38.9, 50.2, 54.6, 85.0, 115.1, 115.6, 121.1, 126.4 × 2, 128.3 × 2, 128.6, 129.2, 130.0,

133.8, 143.1, 144.0, 166.1. Conditions of separation of compounds **12** and **13** were determined by analytical HPLC (eluent: solvent A/MeCN 70/30; flow rate: 0.58 mL.min⁻¹). **Derivatization of (***R***)-3,4-dihydroxymethamphetamine** *R***-(–)-HHMA 8.** The previous method, replacing (\pm)-HHMA,HBr by *R*-(–)-HHMA,HBr 8 afforded the corresponding Mosher amide as a pale yellow oil (31mg, 0.08 mmol, 15.5%), whose degree of purity (99.5%) was determined by analytical HPLC (eluent: solvent A/MeCN 70/30; flow rate: 0.58 mL.min⁻¹) (Figure 1).



Figure 1. HPLC chromatograms for the analytical determination of the enantiomeric excess of R-(–)-HHMA 8 (solvent A/MeCN 53/47; flow rate: 0.9 mL.min⁻¹): a) mixture of the Mosher amides obtained from (±)-HHMA racemate (compounds 12 and 13); b) Mosher amide prepared from enantiomerically pure R-(–)-HHMA 8.





195 190 185 180 175 170 165 160 155 150 145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 ppm

H N



143.93	128.14	116.28		56.43	37.94	29.97	HC	∕ , HBr
	I							
	ungeligh bir gubag trad	hayyear haranganta yaqeimininananakar			11196-19-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-			
) 140 1	L30		90 80 70 6	50 50	40	30 20	 10 pr	



169.96	144.19 143.55	125.95 121.68 116.77 116.46	53.98 53.41	34.74	
			\backslash		HO

 	 	 	 	 	 	 60	 	 	 1 0	



















H ∠N∖

÷.











	143.92	128.09 121.72 116.91 116.24	56.43	37.94		HO HO
		1				
wergengengengengengengengengengengengengeng		har construction of the second data and the second data and the second data and the second data and the second				
 170 160 1			 	40 30	20 10 ppm	

, HBr

, HBr

Conditions of HPLC analysis : Column Kromasil C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, - 5 μ m; Mobile phase: (H₂O + 1‰ TFA)/ MeCN 88/12; Flow rate: 0.6 mL min⁻¹; UV detector wavelength: 278 nm.

Conditions of HPLC analysis : Column Kromasil C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, - 5 μ m; Mobile phase: (H₂O + 1‰ TFA)/ MeCN 94/06. Flow rate: 0.7 mL min⁻¹; UV detector wavelength: 278 nm.

Conditions of HPLC analysis : Column Kromasil C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, - 5 μ m; Mobile phase: (H₂O + 1‰ TFA)/ MeCN 94/6; Flow rate: 0.6 mL min⁻¹; UV detector wavelength: 278 nm.

Conditions of HPLC analysis : Column Kromasil C18, 250 x 4.6 mm, - 5 μ m; Mobile phase: (H₂O + 1‰ TFA)/ MeCN 94/6; Flow rate: 0.6 mL min⁻¹; UV detector wavelength: 278 nm.