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## Assignments

$\alpha_{\eta}$ - temperature coefficient for dynamic viscosity $\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$
$\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}$ - temperature coefficient for diffusion coefficient $\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$
$\alpha_{\mu}$ - temperature coefficient for electrophoretic mobility ( $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ )
$\beta$-defined parameter that describes non-stationary dispersion due to adsorption
$\gamma$ - matrix parameter associated with diffusion in the $x$ and $y$ directions
$\Gamma$ - defined paramter that describe the maximum fractional change to $D$ due to temperature effects
$\delta c(x, y, z, t) \equiv c(x, y, z, t)-\bar{c}(x, y, t)(\mathrm{M})$
$\delta v_{\text {buf, } x}(z) \equiv v_{\text {buf, } x}(z)-\bar{v}_{\text {buf, }, x}$ describes variation of electrolyte velocity from the mean value in the $x$ direction
$\delta v_{\text {buf }, y}(z) \equiv v_{\text {buff }, x}(z)-\bar{v}_{\text {buf }, x}$ describes variation of electrolyte velocity from the mean value in the $y$ direction
$\delta v_{\mathrm{x}}, \delta v_{\mathrm{y}}$ - variation of product stream velocities from the mean values in the $x$ or $y$ directions, respectively
$\delta D \equiv D(z)-\bar{D}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$
$\Delta T(z)$ - difference in temperature between wall and the electrolyte at depth, $z(\mathrm{~K})$
$\varepsilon$-electrical permitivity of the medium $\left(\mathrm{Fm}^{-1}\right)$
$\zeta$-zeta potential of upper and lower wall (V)
$\eta$-dynamic viscosity of the electrolyte (Pa s)
$\theta$ - dimensionless position in the $z$ direction $(=2 z / h)$
$\Theta$ - defined parameter that describes dispersion
$\kappa$ - electrical conductivity of the electrolyte $\left(\mathrm{Sm}^{-1}\right)$
$\lambda$ - thermal conductivity of the electrolyte $\left(\mathrm{Wm}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}\right)$
$\Lambda$-defined parameter that describes the maximum fractional change in viscosity due to temperature effects
$\mu_{\mathrm{cp}}$ - electrophoretic mobility $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}^{-1}\right)$
$\mu_{\text {EOF }}$ - electroosmotic mobility $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}^{-1}\right)$
$\rho$-density of the electrolyte $\left(\mathrm{kgm}^{-3}\right)$
$\sigma$-standard deviation of a stream $\left(=\frac{1}{4} w\right)$
$\sigma_{x}^{2}$ - variance in the direction perpendicular to the hydrodynamic flow $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$
$\Sigma$-sum
$\tau$-defined paramter that describes hydrodynamic dispersion
$r$-defined paramter that describes hydrodynamic velocity at the centre of the channel without heating effects
$\varphi$-angle between electric field vector and hydrodynamic flow $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
$\Phi$-defined parameter used to calculate higher moments of concentration distributions
$\chi_{0}, \chi_{1}$-defined parameters used for the simplification of some expressions
$\psi$-defined paramter that describes the variation of the diffusion coefficient in the $z$ direction
$\omega$-defined paramter that describes the injection window with symmetry of velocity about $z=0$
$\Omega$-defined parameter that describes assymetry of the distributions
$a_{+}(x, y, t)-$ concentration of adsorbed solute at upper wall, as a function of coordinates $x, y$ and time $t(\mathrm{M})$
$a_{-}(x, y, t)-$ concentration of adsorbed solute at unner wall, as a function of coordinates $x, y$ and time $t(\mathrm{M})$
$c(x, y, z, t)$ - concentration of solute, as a function of coordinates $x, y, z$ and time $t(\mathrm{M})$
$\bar{c}(x, y, t)-$ concentration of solute averaged in the $z$ direction, as a function of coordinates $x, y$ and time $t(\mathrm{M})$
$D$ - diffusion coefficient $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$
$\bar{D}$-diffusion coefficient averaged in the $z$ direction $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$
$E_{\mathrm{x}}, E_{\mathrm{y}}$ - components of the electric field in the $x$ and $y$ directions $\left(\mathrm{Vm}^{-1}\right)$
$f, g$ - paramters which may be $x$ or $y$
$h$ - depth of the channel measured in the $z$ direction (m)
$J_{\mathrm{x}}, J_{\mathrm{y}}-$ average values of $v_{\mathrm{x}} \delta c$ and $v_{\mathrm{y}} \delta c$, respectively, calculated for values of $z$ from $z=-h / 2$ to $z=+h / 2$
$k_{\text {ad }}-$ coefficient (rate constant) of walls adsorption ( $\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ )
$k_{\mathrm{de}}$ - coefficient (rate constant) of walls desorption ( $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ )
$L$ - length of separation channel (m)
$\hat{\mathrm{L}}$ - defined operator
M - moments (these are functions of time)
$p$ - pressure ( Pa )
$P$ - defined parameter that describes the maximum fractional change to the electrophoretic mobility due to temperature effects
$q$ - charge on analyte ion (C)
$r_{\mathrm{h}}$ - hydrodynamic radius of solvated ion
$s$ - coordinate system that describes position in the direction of the product streams
SLC - standard laboratory conditions, $T=298 \mathrm{~K}, p=101.3 \mathrm{kPa}$
$T_{0}$ - temperature of the walls at $z= \pm h / 2 \quad$ (K)
$v_{\text {buf }, x}(z), v_{\text {bufy } y}(z)$ - total electrolyte velocities in the $x$ and $y$ directions as a function of $z$
(= sum of hydrodynamic and electroosmotic velocities)
$v_{\text {ep }, x}, v_{\text {ep }, y}-x$ and $y$ components of the electrophoretic velocity $\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$
$\bar{v}_{\text {hd }}$ - average velocity of hydrodynamic flow (in the $y$ direction), averaged in the z-direction ( $\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ )
$\tilde{v}_{\text {hd }, g}$-constant of integration
$v_{\text {hd max }}$ - maximum velocity of hydrodynamic flow in the $y$ direction $\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$
$v_{x}, v_{y}-x$ and $y$ components of the product resultant velocity $\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$
$\bar{v}_{x}, \bar{v}_{y}-x$ and $y$ components of the product resultant velocity, averaged in the $z$ direction $\left(\mathrm{ms}^{-1}\right)$
$w_{0}$ - width of introduced stream at point of injection (m)
$w_{1}, w_{2}-$ width of separated streams at points of collection
$z^{\prime}, z^{\prime \prime}-$ parameters for integration in the $z$ direction.

## I. Solution of the mass-transport equation (Eq. (2) in manuscript) for micro free flow electrophoresis ( $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ FFE)

Our solution to the mass-transport equation is in three parts. Firstly, we convert the most general threedimensional equation into a two-dimensional form by averaging in the $z$ direction. Secondly, we derive an expression for variance in the $x$ direction which is dependent on the velocity profile of the electrolyte and separated streams. Thirdly, we derive equations for the velocity profiles in the $x$ and $y$ directions.

## 1. Two -dimensional equations describing mass transfer for arbitrary velocity profiles



Figure S-1: Schematic diagram of $\mu$ FFE's defining geometry used in the general theory development. To be more relevant to practical $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$, our consideration also includes two side walls in the $z-y$ planes which are not shown in the figure. These walls physically limit the size of the flow chamber in the $x$ direction.

Taylor was the first to discuss dispersion in cylindrical pipes. ${ }^{1}$ Later Aris extended this work to include rectangular channels. ${ }^{2}$ To obtain the equation for the variation of concentration over time, we follow an approach similar to that of Aris to generalise Taylor's approach to dispersion in flat channels. Our approach is different to that of Aris, in that it also considers diffusional dispersion associated with migration in the electrical field. If hydrodynamic flow is along the $y$ (as shown above in Fig. S-1), then the velocity of the flow is dependent on the distance from the central plane, ${ }^{3} z=0$, and the other coordinates $x$ and $y$. It is assumed that there is no flow in the $z$ direction and that the $x$ and $y$ components of the product and molecules velocities are of the form:
$v_{x}(x, y, z), \quad v_{y}(x, y, z), \quad v_{z}=0$
In $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$, the precise equation to describe mass transport considers convection and diffusion; it has the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial v_{x} c}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial v_{y} c}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} D \frac{\partial c}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} D \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} D \frac{\partial c}{\partial z}  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left.D \partial_{z} c\right|_{z=+h / 2}=-\frac{\partial a_{+}(x, y)}{\partial t}=k_{\mathrm{de}} a_{+}-\left.k_{\mathrm{ad}} c\right|_{z=+h / 2} \\
& \left.D \partial_{z} c\right|_{z=-h / 2}=-\frac{\partial a_{-}(x, y)}{\partial t}=k_{\mathrm{de}} a_{-}-\left.k_{\mathrm{ad}} c\right|_{z=-h / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary conditions describe the absorption and desorption of the products from the walls of the channel, placed at $z=h / 2$ and $z=-h / 2$.
An equation for the variation of the product concentration averaged in the $z$ direction, $\bar{c}$, over time follows directly from Equation (1.1), and has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{x} \bar{c}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \bar{v}_{y} \bar{c}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \bar{D} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bar{D} \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y}-\frac{2}{h} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\bar{c}$ and $\bar{D}$ are the average values of the concentration and diffusion coefficient in the $z$ direction. $J_{x}$ and $J_{y}$ are defined:

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{x} & \equiv \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(v_{x} \delta c-D \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial x}\right) d z  \tag{1.3}\\
J_{y} & \equiv \frac{1}{h} \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(v_{y} \delta c-D \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial y}\right) d z \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We define $\delta \mathrm{c}$ as the deviation from the average concentration, $\bar{c}$. It also follows from Equation (1.1) that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial t}=-\frac{\partial \delta v_{x} \bar{c}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \delta v_{y} \bar{c}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial}{\partial z} D \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{2}{h} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta D \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \delta D \frac{\partial \bar{c}}{\partial y}  \tag{1.5}\\
&-\frac{\partial v_{x} \delta c}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial v_{y} \delta c}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x} D \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y} D \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial y} \\
&\left.D \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial z}\right|_{z= \pm h / 2}=\mp \frac{\partial a_{ \pm}}{\partial t}, \quad \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \delta c d z=0, \quad \frac{\partial a_{ \pm}}{\partial t}=-k_{d e} a_{ \pm}+\left.k_{a d} c\right|_{z= \pm h / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta D$ is the deviation from the average diffusion coefficient, $\bar{D}$. As discussed by Taylor ${ }^{1}$ and Aris ${ }^{2}$, we will assume that variation of concentration with depth, $\delta c$, is small but the partial derivative of concentration with depth, $\frac{\partial c}{\partial z}$ is significant. It follows that $\frac{\partial c}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial t} \simeq 0, \frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x} \simeq 0$, and $\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y} \simeq 0$ and also the last four terms of Equation (1.5) are negligible. Nevertheless, the values of $\frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y}$ are not negligible in Equation (1.2). According to Gill and Sankarasubramanian, ${ }^{4}$ these terms in Equation (1.2), as shown in expression (1.11), are proportional to $h$, but if we take them into account in Equation (1.5), then the changes of $\frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y}$ in Equation (1.2) will be proportional to $h^{2}$. For finding the average concentration (in the $z$ direction), we used concentration deconvolutions by powers of $h$, limited to the first order only.
Based on these assumptions, the equation for the third term of Equation (1.5), which deals with the variation of diffusion with $z$, can be simplified to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial z} D \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial z} \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\delta v_{x}-\delta D \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \bar{c}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\delta v_{y}-\delta D \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \bar{c}-\frac{2}{h} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}  \tag{1.6}\\
& \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \delta c d z=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial z}\right|_{z= \pm h / 2}=\mp \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}, \quad \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \approx k_{\mathrm{ad}} \bar{c}-k_{\mathrm{de}} a
\end{align*}
$$

Using the conditions described in Equation (1.5), it is possible to show that:
$\frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y}=\frac{-1}{h} \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\omega_{x}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\omega_{y}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial z} d z$
where the introduced parameters $\omega$ and $\psi$ describe the variation of velocity and diffusion coefficient in the $z$ direction. Their definitions are:
$\omega_{f}(z)=\int_{-h / 2}^{z} \delta v_{f}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}$
The subscript $f$ refers to the $x$ and $y$ directions.
$\psi=\int_{-h / 2}^{z} \delta D\left(z^{\prime}\right) d z^{\prime}$
Adding an arbitrary constant to the expression to be integrated in Equation (1.7), has no effect on the result of integration. This is illustrated in Equation (1.10), using the boundary conditions of Equation (1.6). The solution for the partial derivative with respect to $z$ of the deviation from the average concentration in the $z$ direction is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \delta c}{\partial z} \approx \frac{1}{D(z)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\omega_{x}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\omega_{y}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\right) \bar{c}-\frac{2 z}{h D(z)} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of Equation (1.10) into Equation (1.7) gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y}= & \frac{-1}{h} \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\omega_{x}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\omega_{y}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{d z}{D(z)} \bar{c}  \tag{1.11}\\
& +\frac{2}{h^{2}} \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\omega_{x}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\omega_{y}-\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\right) \frac{z d z}{D(z)} \frac{\partial a}{\partial t}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (1.11) is the most general form of the equation for average concentration and applies before a steady state is reached, when the surface concentrations of products on the walls of the $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$ device have reached constant values. Once this steady state is reached, $\frac{\partial a}{\partial t}=0$ so that we can eliminate the second integral from Equation (1.11). We will also assume, that the parameters in Equation (1.11) do not depend on the coordinates $x$ and $y$. Based on these two assumptions, Equation (1.11) can be simplified to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial J_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial J_{y}}{\partial y}=-\sum_{f, g=x, y} \gamma_{f g} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{c}}{\partial f \partial g}+\Phi\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2} \bar{c}+\sum_{f=x, y} \Omega_{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial f}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \bar{c} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameters $\gamma, \Omega$ and $\Phi$ describe diffusion in the $x$ and $y$ directions, asymmetry and higher moments of the concentration distributions respectively and have definitions:
$\gamma_{f g} \equiv \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \frac{\omega_{g} \omega_{f} d z}{h D}$
$\Omega_{f} \equiv \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \frac{2 \omega_{f} \psi d z}{h D}$
In both of the equations above, the subscripts $f$ or $g$ refer to the $x$ or $y$ directions.
$\Phi \equiv \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \frac{\psi^{2} d z}{h D}$
Substitution of Equation (1.12) in Equation (1.2), for the stationary and space-independent case, gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\bar{v}_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\bar{v}_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) \bar{c}= & \bar{D}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \bar{c}+\sum_{f, g=x, y} \gamma_{f g} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial f \partial g} \bar{c}+\Phi\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right)^{2} \bar{c}  \tag{1.16}\\
& -\sum_{f=x, y} \Omega_{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial f}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \bar{c}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. General expression for peak broadening

The boundary conditions for Equation (1.16) are:

$$
\bar{c}(x, 0, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0,|x|>w_{0} / 2  \tag{2.1}\\
\bar{c}_{0},|x| \leq w_{0} / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $w_{0}$ is the width of the product stream as it enters the separation channel. Additionally, we shall assume that there is no average flow in the $x$ direction as there are side walls (see Figure S-2). We introduce a new coordinate $s$, which describes the relative position of the sample at a point in the $x-y$ plane relative to the median of the total product flow.

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=x-y \bar{v}_{x} / \bar{v}_{y} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure S-2: Illustration of $s$ coordinate systems for two species

We can rewrite Equation (1.16) and the boundary conditions for Equation (2.1) in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{v}_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bar{c}= & \left(\bar{D}+\gamma_{x x}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \bar{c}+2 \gamma_{y x} \hat{\mathrm{~L}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \bar{c}+\left(\bar{D}+\gamma_{y y}\right) \hat{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \bar{c}  \tag{2.3}\\
& +\Phi\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}}+\hat{\mathrm{L}}^{2}\right)^{2} \bar{c}-\left(\Omega_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\Omega_{y} \hat{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}}+\hat{\mathrm{L}}^{2}\right) \bar{c} \\
\bar{c}(s, 0, t)= & \left\{\begin{array}{c}
0,|s|>w_{0} / 2 \\
\bar{c}_{0},|s| \leq w_{0} / 2
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where the operator, $\hat{L}$ has the definition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y}-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

New coordinates $(y, s)$ are a result of defining a non-orthogonal transformation of initial coordinates $(y, x)$. In the $(y, s)$ coordinates, $y$ represents the direction of the hydrodynamic flow while $s$ represents the median direction of a stream of a deflected species. Derivatives with respect to $y$ are much smaller than derivatives with respect to $s$. By assuming, that the partial derivative with respect to $y$ in $\hat{\mathrm{L}}$ is negligible, we can simplify the expression for the operator in Equation (2.4):
$\hat{\mathrm{L}} \approx-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}$
Equation (2.3) will take the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{v}_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bar{c}= & \left(\bar{D}+\gamma_{x x}-2 \gamma_{y x} \frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}+\left(\bar{D}+\gamma_{y y}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}} \bar{c}+\Phi\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial s^{4}} \bar{c}  \tag{2.6}\\
& -\left(\Omega_{x}-\Omega_{y} \frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial s^{3}} \bar{c}
\end{align*}
$$

Based on Equation (2.6), we can find expressions for the moments, $\mathrm{M}_{n}$, as functions of $y$, defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{n}(y) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{c}(s, y) s^{n} d s \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero moment $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ reflects the total flow of the products to be separated, the first moment divided by the zero moment $\left(\mathrm{M}_{1} / \mathrm{M}_{0}\right)$ describes the median of the distribution as a function of $s$, the second moment $\left(\mathrm{M}_{2} / \mathrm{M}_{0}\right)$ reflects the variance of the distribution $\sigma_{x}^{2}$, and so on. Equations for the moments have the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{M}_{n}}{\partial y / \bar{v}_{y}}= & n(n-1)\left(\bar{D}+\gamma_{x x}-2 \gamma_{y x} \frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}+\left(\bar{D}+\gamma_{y y}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right) \mathrm{M}_{n-2}  \tag{2.8}\\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \Omega_{y}-\Omega_{x}\right)\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right) n(n-1)(n-2) \mathrm{M}_{n-3}+ \\
& +\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \mathrm{M}_{n-4}
\end{align*}
$$

where it is assumed, that $\mathrm{M}_{n}$ is zero for negative values of $n$.
According to the boundary conditions for Equation (2.3), at $y=0$, the first three moments have the values:
$\mathrm{M}_{0}(0)=w_{0} \bar{c}_{0}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{1}(0) / \mathrm{M}_{0}(0)=0, \quad \mathrm{M}_{2}(0) / \mathrm{M}_{0}(0)=w_{0}{ }^{2} / 12$
Only $M_{2}$ changes for $y>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{2}(t) / \mathrm{M}_{0}(t)=w_{0}{ }^{2} / 12+\frac{2 y}{\bar{v}_{y}}\left(\gamma_{x x}-2 \gamma_{y x} \frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}+\gamma_{y y}\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}+\bar{D}\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Equation (2.10), we need to calculate an expression for the dispersion, $\Theta$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta & =\gamma_{x x}-2 \gamma_{y x} \frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}+\gamma_{y y}\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}  \tag{2.11}\\
& =\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(\omega_{x}-\omega_{y} \frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2} \frac{d z}{h D} \\
& =\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(\int_{-h / 2}^{z}\left(\delta v_{x}\left(z^{\prime}\right)-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \delta v_{y}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) d z^{\prime}\right)^{2} \frac{d z}{h D}
\end{align*}
$$

If the distance to the collector in the $y$ direction is $L$, than in Equation (2.10), $y=L$.

## 3. Determination of the velocity profiles

In their most general forms, equations for velocity profiles should include the influence of temperature on viscosity, diffusion and electrophoretic mobility that results from Joule heating effects. To describe the temperature profile within the separation channel, we use the well-known expression: ${ }^{5}$
$\Delta T(z)=\frac{\kappa E^{2}\left(h^{2}-4 z^{2}\right)}{8 \lambda}$
In Equation (3.1), we assume that the electrical conductivity, $\kappa$, the thermal conductivity $\lambda$ and the power density of the electric field, proportional to $E^{2}$, do not depend on the coordinates. Actually, these dependencies do exist, but we will assume, that they are insignificant, provided that the temperature difference between the walls and the electrolyte at $z=0, \Delta \mathrm{~T}(z=0) \ll T_{0}$, the temperature of the walls. We also assume that the electric field is a constant.
For the relatively small temperature changes in $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$, we assume a linear dependence of dynamic viscosity, $\eta$, with temperature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(T)=\eta\left(T_{0}\right)\left[1+\alpha_{\eta} \Delta T\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{\eta}=-2.28 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ at SLC , is the temperature coefficient of dynamic viscosity for aqueous electrolytes.

For electrophoretic flow combined with hydrodynamic flow initiated by pressure, the total velocity of the product streams is the superposition of two independent parts, one is proportional to the electric field strength, and the other is proportional to the pressure gradient.
$v(z)=v_{\mathrm{hd}}(z)+\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}(z) E+v_{\mathrm{EOF}}=v_{\mathrm{hd}}(z)+\left\{\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}(z)+\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\right\} E$
The electroosmotic mobility is independent of the temperature in the middle of the flow $(z=0)$ but depends on the electrical permittivity, $\varepsilon$, zeta-potential, $\zeta$, and viscosity at the edge of the Stern layer, a few nanometres from the wall.
$\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}=\frac{v_{\mathrm{EOF}}}{E}=-\frac{\varepsilon \varsigma}{\eta}$

As the electroosmotic mobility only varies with the $z$ coordinate in the thin electrical double layer near the walls, and the thickness of the double layer is negligible compared to the depth of the channel, ${ }^{6}$ we do not take into account variations of the electroosmotic velocity with $z$. We assume that the electroosmotic mobility in Equation (3.4) only depends on the physical properties of the electrolyte near the wall. As $E_{\mathrm{z}}=$ 0 , we only write expressions for the electroosmotic velocity in the $x$ and $y$ directions; there is no electroosmotic velocity in the $z$ direction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{EOF}, x}=\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}, \quad v_{\mathrm{EOF}, y}=\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}, \text { and } \quad v_{\mathrm{EOF}, z}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.6) gives a definition for electrophoretic mobility
$\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}=\frac{v_{\mathrm{ep}}}{E}=\frac{q}{6 \pi \eta r_{\mathrm{h}}}$
where $q$ is the charge on the product molecule and $r_{\mathrm{h}}$ is its hydrodynamic radius. For small increases in temperature, electrophoretic mobility increases linearly with temperature due to its reciprocal dependence on viscosity. ${ }^{7}$
$\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}(T)=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}\right)\left[1+\alpha_{\mu} \Delta T\right]$
In Equation (3.7), $\alpha_{\mu}$ is the temperature coefficient for electrophoretic mobility. Electrophoretic mobility is depth dependent, due to the temperature induced variation of viscosity with depth.
$v_{\mathrm{ep}, x}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}, z\right) E_{x}, \quad v_{\mathrm{ep}, y}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}, z\right) E_{y}, \quad v_{\mathrm{ep}, z}=0$
By combining Equations (3.1) and (3.7), we obtain an equation for the electrophoretic mobility as a function of $z$.
$\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}(z)=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}\right)\left[1+\frac{\alpha_{\mu} \kappa E^{2}\left(h^{2}-4 z^{2}\right)}{8 \lambda}\right]$
If we assume that hydrodynamic flow depends only on the $z$ coordinate, we can write a definitive expression for hydrodynamic flow:
$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \eta(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} v_{\mathrm{hd}, g}(z)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial g} p(x, y), \quad g=x, y$
where $p$ is the pressure. Equation (3.10) can only be satisfied if pressure depends linearly on the coordinates $x$ and $y$, and their corresponding derivatives are constants. In this case, the general solution to Equation (3.10) is symmetrical about the plane $z=0$ and has the form:
$v_{\text {hd }, g}(z)=-\int_{0}^{z} \frac{z^{\prime} d z^{\prime}}{\eta\left(z^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} p(x, y)+\tilde{v}_{\text {hd }, g}, \quad g=x, y$
where the values of $v_{\text {hd }, g}$ are independent of the $x, y$-coordinates, and can be defined by the boundary conditions and $\tilde{v}_{\text {hd, } g}$ is a constant of integration.
The are different boundary conditions in the $x$ and $y$ directions. In the $x$ direction, flow is restricted by the presence of the side walls so that there is zero total flow (see Figure S-2). The boundary condition can be written as:
$v_{\mathrm{hd}, x}\left(z= \pm \frac{h}{2}\right)=0, \quad \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(v_{\mathrm{hd}, x}(z)+v_{\mathrm{EOF}, x}\right) d z=0$

In the $y$ direction, there is free hydrodynamic flow determined by the pressure gradient with zero flow at the upper and lower walls:
$v_{\text {hd }, g}\left(z= \pm \frac{h}{2}\right)=0$
It follows that the boundary conditions that apply to hydrodynamic flow in $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{hd}, x}\left(z= \pm \frac{h}{2}\right)=0, \quad \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(v_{\mathrm{hd}, x}(z)+v_{\mathrm{EOF}, x}\right) d z=0, \quad v_{\mathrm{hd}, y}\left(z= \pm \frac{h}{2}\right)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Equations (3.5), (3.11) and (3.14), and taking into account the symmetry about the plane $z=0$, we can obtain expressions for the total velocity of the electrolyte in the $x$ and $y$ directions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{\text {buf }, x}(z)=\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}-\int_{-h / 2}^{z} \frac{z^{\prime} d z^{\prime}}{\eta\left(z^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, \quad \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} v_{\text {buf }, x}(z) d z=0  \tag{3.15}\\
& v_{\text {buf }, y}(z)=\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}-\int_{-h / 2}^{z} \frac{z^{\prime} d z^{\prime}}{\eta\left(z^{\prime}\right)} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{b u f}$ is the total velocity of the electrolyte resulting from electroosmotic and hydrodynamic flow. For the derivative of pressure with respect to $x$ in Equation (3.15), we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}=-h \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x} / \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \frac{z^{2} d z}{\eta(z)} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

And finally, for the velocity profile in the $x$ direction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\mathrm{buf}, x}(z)=\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}\left(1+h \int_{-h / 2}^{z} \frac{z^{\prime} d z^{\prime}}{\eta\left(z^{\prime}\right)} / \int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2} \frac{z^{2} d z}{\eta(z)}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Equations (3.1) and (3.2), and assuming that variation of temperature in the $z$ direction is relatively small, we obtain the approximation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\eta(T)} \approx \frac{1-\alpha_{n} \Delta T}{\eta\left(T_{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{\eta\left(T_{0}\right)}-\frac{\alpha_{\eta} \kappa E^{2}\left(h^{2}-4 z^{2}\right)}{8 \lambda \eta\left(T_{0}\right)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equations (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19), we can derive expressions for the velocity profiles in the $x$ and $y$ directions:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\mathrm{buf}, x}(z) & \left.\approx \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}\left(1+\frac{h \int_{-h / 2}^{z}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{\eta} \kappa E^{2}\left(h^{2}-4 z^{\prime 2}\right)}{8 \lambda}\right) z^{\prime} d z^{\prime}}{\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{\eta} \kappa E^{2}\left(h^{2}-4 z^{\prime 2}\right)}{8 \lambda}\right)}\right) z^{\prime 2} d z^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.20}\\
& =\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}\left(1+\int_{1}^{(2 z / h)}\left(1-\Lambda\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)\right) d \theta^{2} / \int_{-1}^{1}\left(1-\Lambda\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)\right) \theta^{2} d \theta\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where dimensionless $\Lambda$ is the maximum fractional change to viscosity as a result temperature differences:
$\Lambda=\frac{\alpha_{\eta} \kappa E^{2} h^{2}}{8 \lambda}$
and $\theta$ is the dimensionless position in the $z$ direction.
$\theta=\frac{2 z}{h}$
In the $y$ direction, the expression for the velocity profile is:

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{\mathrm{buf}, y}(z) & \approx \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}-\int_{-h / 2}^{z}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{\eta} \kappa E^{2}\left(h^{2}-4 z^{\prime 2}\right)}{8 \lambda}\right) \frac{z^{\prime} d z^{\prime}}{\eta\left(T_{0}\right)} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y}  \tag{3.23}\\
& =\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}-\Upsilon \int_{1}^{(2 z / h)}\left(1-\Lambda\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)\right) d \theta^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r$ is the hydrodynamic velocity at $(z=0)$ if $T=T_{0}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{h^{2}}{8 \eta\left(T_{0}\right)} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By evaluating the integrals in Equations (3.20) and (3.23), we reach the final expressions:
$v_{\mathrm{buf}, x}(z) \approx \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}\left(1+\frac{\left((2 z / h)^{2}-1\right)\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{2}\left((2 z / h)^{2}-1\right)\right)}{2 / 3-4 \Lambda / 15}\right)$
$v_{\text {buf }, y}(z) \approx \mu_{\text {EOF }}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}-\left((2 z / h)^{2}-1\right)\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{2}\left((2 z / h)^{2}-1\right)\right) r$
The average values for the electrolyte velocities in the x and y directions are:
$\bar{v}_{\text {buf }, x}=0$
$\bar{v}_{\text {buf }, y} \approx \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}+\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{4}{15} \Lambda\right) \Upsilon$

As observed in macro-FFE devices, ${ }^{3}$ there is recirculation of the electroosmotic flow in the $x-z$ plane. At the top and bottom walls $(z= \pm h / 2)$, there is EOF in the absence of pressure, but when the flow reaches the side walls, it moves up or down to $z=0$ before travelling along the $x$ axis as a reversed flow such that:
$v_{\mathrm{EOF}}(z=0) \approx-1 / 2 v_{\mathrm{EOF}}(z= \pm h / 2)$
And for the variations of the velocities (from the average values):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta v_{\mathrm{buf}, x}(z) \approx \frac{15 \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}}{10-4 \Lambda}\left(\frac{\Lambda}{2}\left(\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{4}-2\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{2}+\frac{7}{15}\right)+\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right)  \tag{3.30}\\
& \delta v_{\mathrm{buf}, y}(z) \approx-\Upsilon\left(\frac{\Lambda}{2}\left(\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{4}-2\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{2}+\frac{7}{15}\right)+\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{3}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations for electrophoretic velocities will be of the form:
$v_{\mathrm{ep}, g}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{g}\left(1+P\left(1-(2 z / h)^{2}\right)\right), g=x, y$
where $P$ is dimensionless and equals the maximum fractional change in electrophoretic mobility as a result of temperature differences between the electrolyte at the centre of the channel and near the walls.
$P=\frac{\alpha_{\mu} \kappa E^{2} h^{2}}{8 \lambda}$
For the average electrophoretic velocity and deviation from the average electrophoretic velocity, we have:
$\bar{v}_{\mathrm{ep}, g}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{g}(1+2 P / 3)$
$\delta v_{\mathrm{ep}, g}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{g} P\left(1 / 3-(2 z / h)^{2}\right)$
The average total velocities of the product streams are the sums of their average electrophoretic velocities and the average buffer velocities (see Equation (3.27) and (3.28)):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{v}_{x}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{x}(1+2 P / 3)  \tag{3.36}\\
& \bar{v}_{y} \approx \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}+\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{4}{15} \Lambda\right) \gamma+\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\left(T_{0}\right) E_{y}(1+2 P / 3) \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

In Equation (2.11), we consider the linear combination of their deviations from the mean velocity rather than analysing the deviations in the $x$ and $y$ direction separately. Taking into account Equations (3.30) and (3.34), Equation (2.11) can be simplified to:
$\delta v_{x}-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \delta v_{y}=\chi_{0}+\chi_{1}\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{2}-5\left(\chi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \chi_{1}\right)\left(\frac{2 z}{h}\right)^{4}$
where $\chi_{0}$ and $\chi_{1}$ and are parameters that can be used to simplify the expression (3.38):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{0}=\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{ep}} P}{3}\left(E_{x}-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} E_{y}\right)+\left(\frac{15 \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{10-4 \Lambda}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} r\right)\left(\frac{7 \Lambda}{30}-\frac{1}{3}\right)  \tag{3.39}\\
& \chi_{1}=-3 \chi_{0}-\frac{3 \Lambda}{10}\left(\frac{15 \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{10-4 \Lambda}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} r\right) \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Now that we have equations for the velocity profiles in the $x$ and $y$ directions, we can substitute these into the equation for dispersion to find the total variance.

## II. Derivation of a general equation for resolution, $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{S}}$

Equation (2.11) can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta & =\frac{h^{2}}{8} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(\int_{-1}^{\theta^{\prime}}\left(\chi_{0}+\chi_{1} \theta^{2}-5\left(\chi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \chi_{1}\right) \theta^{4}\right) d \theta\right)^{2} \frac{d \theta^{\prime}}{D\left(h \theta^{\prime} / 2\right)}  \tag{4.1}\\
& =\frac{h^{2}}{4} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\chi_{0} \theta+\frac{\chi_{1}}{3} \theta^{3}-5\left(\chi_{0}+\frac{1}{3} \chi_{1}\right) \theta^{5}\right)^{2} \frac{d \theta}{D(h \theta / 2)}
\end{align*}
$$

For the temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient, we can use an equation similar to Equation (3.19):
$\frac{1}{D(z)} \approx \frac{1-\alpha_{\mathrm{D}} \Delta T}{D\left(T_{0}\right)}=\frac{1}{D\left(T_{0}\right)}\left(1-\Gamma\left(1-(2 z / h)^{2}\right)\right)$
where $\alpha_{\mathrm{D}}$ is the temperature coefficient for the diffusion constant and dimensionless $\Gamma$ is the fractional increase in the diffusion coefficient as a result of temperature differences.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{D}} \kappa E^{2} h^{2}}{8 \lambda} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allows Equation (4.1) to be presented as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta & =\frac{h^{2}}{4 D\left(T_{0}\right)} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\chi_{0}+\left(\chi_{0}+\frac{\chi_{1}}{3}\right) \theta^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\Gamma\left(1-\theta^{2}\right)\right) \theta^{2} d \theta  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\frac{2 h^{2}}{D\left(T_{0}\right)}\binom{\frac{\chi_{0}{ }^{2}}{3 \times 5 \times 7}\left(1-\frac{2 \Gamma}{3}\right)+\frac{2 \chi_{0}\left(\chi_{0}+\chi_{1} / 3\right)}{5 \times 7 \times 9}\left(1-\frac{6 \Gamma}{11}\right)+}{+\frac{\left(\chi_{0}+\chi_{1} / 3\right)^{2}}{7 \times 9 \times 11}\left(1-\frac{8 \Gamma}{13}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

We suggested earlier, temperature differences between the electrolyte at $z=0$ and at the walls are small. It follows that the parameters $\Lambda, P$ and $\Gamma$ are also small. Accordingly the parameters in Equation (3.38) can be simplified:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\chi_{1}}{3}+\chi_{0} & \approx-\frac{\Lambda}{10}\left(\frac{3 \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{2}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} r\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
\chi_{0} & \approx \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{ep}} P}{3}\left(E_{x}-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} E_{y}\right)-\left(\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{2}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{3 \bar{v}_{y}} r\right)+\frac{\Lambda}{10}\left(\frac{3 \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{2}+\frac{7}{3} \frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} r\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using the same reasoning, the equation for dispersion can also be simplified. Thus Equation (4.4) simplifies to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta \approx \frac{2 h^{2}}{105 D\left(T_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{2}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{3 \bar{v}_{y}} r\right)\binom{\left(\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{2}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{3 \bar{v}_{y}} r\right)-\mu_{\mathrm{ep}} P\left(E_{x}-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} E_{y}\right)}{-\left(\frac{\Lambda}{5}+\frac{\Gamma}{3}\right) \mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}-\left(\frac{\Lambda}{5}+\frac{\Gamma}{9}\right) \frac{2 \bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} r} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the small increases in temperature associated with $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$, the contribution to dispersion from variations in electrophoretic mobility, viscosity, and diffusion coefficients are negligible; so to a good approximation, Equation (4.6) can be further simplified to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta \approx \frac{2 h^{2}}{105 D\left(T_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}}{2}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{3 \bar{v}_{y}} r\right)^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equation (3.28) we can write the parameter, $\mathcal{Y}$ as function of the average hydrodynamic velocity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \approx \frac{3}{2(1-2 \Lambda / 5)} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Equation (4.8), Equation (4.6) can be approximately rewritten in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta \approx & \frac{h^{2}}{105 D\left(T_{0}\right)}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\right) \times  \tag{4.9}\\
& \left(\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}-\left(\frac{\Lambda}{5}+\frac{\Gamma}{3}\right)\right)-\mu_{\mathrm{ep}} P\left(E_{x}-\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} E_{y}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If we assume that heating effects are negligible, combining (4.7) and(4.8), we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta \approx \frac{h^{2}}{210 D\left(T_{0}\right)}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\right)^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that heating effects are negligible, from Equation (2.10) we can show:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}=\sqrt{\frac{w_{0}^{2}}{12}+\frac{2 L}{\bar{v}_{y}}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{210 D}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}+\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\right)^{2}+D\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}}\right)^{2}\right)\right)} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average $x$ coordinate of a stream as it exits the separation channel is:
$\bar{x}=\frac{\bar{v}_{x}}{\bar{v}_{y}} L$
If we have two species, with differing electrophoretic mobilities, $\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 1}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 2}$, then their difference in position at the $x$ axis will be approximately:
$\Delta \bar{x}=\left|\frac{\bar{v}_{x, 1}}{\bar{v}_{y, 1}}-\frac{\bar{v}_{x, 2}}{\bar{v}_{y, 2}}\right| L$
As a criterion of resolution, $R_{\mathrm{S}}$, the quotient of $\Delta \bar{x}$ to the average peak width, $w=4 \sigma_{x}$, can be used: ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{\Delta \bar{x}}{2\left(\sigma_{1, x}+\sigma_{2, x}\right)} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where, according to Equation (4.11) expressions for variance of the products streams are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{1, x}=\sqrt{\frac{w_{0}^{2}}{12}+\frac{2 L}{\bar{v}_{1, y}}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{210 D_{1}}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}+\frac{\bar{v}_{1, x}}{\bar{v}_{1, y}} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\right)^{2}+D_{1}\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{1, x}}{\bar{v}_{1, y}}\right)^{2}\right)\right)}  \tag{4.15}\\
& \sigma_{2, x}=\sqrt{\frac{w_{0}^{2}}{12}+\frac{2 L}{\bar{v}_{2, y}}\left(\frac{h^{2}}{210 D_{2}}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}+\frac{\bar{v}_{2, x}}{\bar{v}_{2, y}} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\right)^{2}+D_{2}\left(1+\left(\frac{\bar{v}_{2, x}}{\bar{v}_{2, y}}\right)^{2}\right)\right)} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

If heating effects are negligible, equations for average velocities in the $x$ and $y$ directions are:
$\bar{v}_{x}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}} E_{x}=\mu_{\mathrm{ep}} E \sin \varphi$
$\bar{v}_{y} \approx\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}+\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\right) E_{y}+\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}=\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}+\mu_{\mathrm{ep}}\right) E \cos \phi+\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}$
By combining equations (4.13), (4.14), (4.15), (4.16), (4.17), and (4.18) we obtain an equation for resolution in the absence of significant Joule heating:


For band broadening for which the temperature change within the channel is small but significant due to Joule heating, we obtain the more general equation that takes into account changes to viscosity, diffusion coefficients and electrophoretic mobility associated with Joule heating induced temperature differences. Based on Equation (4.9), Equation (4.19) has the more general form:

A more explicit form of Equation (4.20) is possible, but its sheer size prevents us from presenting it here. Instead we recommend that the values of $\Lambda, \Gamma$, and $P$ be calculated directly using Equations (3.21), (3.33) and (4.3) respectively:
$\Lambda=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{n}} \kappa E^{2} h^{2}}{8 \lambda}, \quad \Gamma=\frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{D}_{i}} \kappa E^{2} h^{2}}{8 \lambda}, \quad P=\frac{\alpha_{\mu_{i}} \kappa E^{2} h^{2}}{8 \lambda}, \quad i=1,2$
For analytes 1 and 2, the parameters $\Gamma$ and $P$ are different, as reflected by their subscripts in Equation (4.20).

The combined influences of $\Lambda, \Gamma$, and $P$ are not obvious. Viscosity decreases as the temperature of the electrolyte increases and changes in viscosity lead to increased dispersion. Diffusion increases with increasing temperature so that differences in concentration as a function of $z$ are reduced by small increases in temperature. Differences in electrophoretic mobility with $z$ actually lead to a slight reduction in dispersion for small temperature differences provided that the directions of the electroosmotic mobility and electrophoretic mobility are opposite at $z=0$. In such cases, the parabolic profile of electrophoretic velocity due to temperature variations in the $z$ direction are partly balanced by the oppositely shaped profile of the electroosmotic velocity due to recirculation at the side walls. This idea is illustrated as a schematic in Figure 3 below:


Figure S-3 Schematic illustration of the recirculation of the electroosmotic flow in the $x-z$ plane (A) and the fact that the opposing velocity profiles of the electroosmotic velocity and the electrophoretic velocity may result in an overall decrease in hydrodynamic dispersion. (Diagram adapted from Strickler and Sacks ${ }^{3}$ )

It should be noted that for $\Delta T>1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, buoyancy effects due to differences in density of the electrolyte produce convection currents which lead to a substantial increase in dispersion. These effects have not been taken into account in our general equation.

## III. Comparison with previous expressions for resolution

A most interesting difference between Equation (4.19) and earlier expressions for $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$, is that for some conditions, peak broadening decreases significantly when:
$\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}} E_{x}+\frac{\bar{v}_{1, x}}{\bar{v}_{1, y}} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}} \approx 0$
This effect is well-known in macro-FFE; $;^{3,9}$ the electroosmotic mobility is adjusted by matching the zetapotential of the wall to the zeta-potential of one of the particles to be separated to reduce its bandwidth. To the best of our knowledge, this approach for maximising resolution has not been described for $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$.

It should be noted that our equation for resolution purposefully does not contain any transient adsorption effects, described by Raymond et al., ${ }^{10}$ as this effect only exists in non-stationary conditions; we have assumed that after a period of "equilibration", the processes of adsorption and desorption from the walls fully compensate each other.

It is informative to compare Equation (4.19) with previous expressions for resolution in $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$. In 2006, Fonslow and Bowser published an equation for resolution that considered sample injection, diffusional band broadening and hydrodynamic broadening. ${ }^{11}$ Using our notation, their equation can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R_{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{\left|\left(\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 1}-\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 2}\right) E L\right|}{2 \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{w_{0}^{2}}{12}+\frac{2 D_{1} L}{\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}+\frac{h^{2}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 1}+\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\right)^{2} E^{2} L}{105 D_{1} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}}+\sqrt{\frac{w_{0}^{2}}{12}+\frac{2 D_{2} L}{\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}+\frac{h^{2}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{cp} 2}+\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}\right)^{2} E^{2} L}{105 D_{2} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}}\right.}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, the first major difference between our equation for resolution, Equation (4.19), and their version is the inclusion of a variable angle between $E$ and $v_{\text {hd }}$. Its inclusion opens up new opportunities for increasing resolution. By reducing the hydrodynamic velocity so that the apparent electrophoretic velocities $\left(=v_{\mathrm{ep}}+v_{\mathrm{EOF}}\right)$ of the separated streams are just smaller than $v_{\mathrm{hd}}$, and by adjusting $\varphi$ so that $v_{y} \approx 0$ for the
stream of molecules with the larger electrophoretic mobility, resolution can be increased significantly relative to orthogonal $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$. The mechanism for this improved resolution is that the products spend different amounts of time in the electric field so that the lateral displacement of the species with the greater electrophoretic mobility is significantly enhanced.

Another major difference between our equation and Equation (5.2) can be observed by setting $\varphi$ equal to $90^{\circ}$ in Equation (4.19) allowing us to compare the orthogonal case. The last term in both Equations (4.15) and (4.16) describes the effects of diffusion in the direction of the hydrodynamic flow. This source of band broadening was completely overlooked by previous authors. Our more comprehensive equation for resolution in orthogonal $\mu \mathrm{FFE}$, which includes diffusion in the direction of the hydrodynamic flow ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $7^{\text {th }}$ terms in denominator), is shown below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R_{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{\left|\left(\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 1}-\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 2}\right) L E\right|}{2 \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{w_{0}{ }^{2}}{12}+\frac{2 D_{1} L}{\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}+\frac{2 D_{1} L \mu_{\mathrm{ep}, 1}{ }^{2} E^{2}}{\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}{ }^{3}}+\frac{h^{2}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}+\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 1}\right)^{2} E^{2} L}{105 D_{1} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}}\right.} \sqrt{+\sqrt{\frac{w_{0}{ }^{2}}{12}+\frac{2 D_{2} L}{\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}+\frac{2 D_{2} L \mu_{\mathrm{ep} 2}{ }^{2} E^{2}}{\bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}{ }^{3}}+\frac{h^{2}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{EOF}}+\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 2}\right)^{2} E^{2} L}{105 D_{2} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}}}}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally, at flow rates required to achieve the maximum resolution in orthogonal FFE, the variance associated with diffusion in the $y$ direction is of a similar magnitude to the variance associated with diffusion in the $x$ direction. Inspection of Equation (5.3) shows that at very low flow rates, diffusion in the $y$ direction becomes the dominant source of band broadening.

## IV. Conditions used in computer simulations in Figure 3 in main text

Diffusion coefficients and electrophoretic mobilities were calculated using the Stokes-Einstein equation ${ }^{12}$ for two species each with a charge of $Z=+2$, density of $1.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, and $\mathrm{MW} \sim 1 \mathrm{kDa}$, which differ in mass by 14 Da . The calculated electrophoretic mobilities were: $\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 1}=2.753 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}^{-1}$ and $\mu_{\mathrm{ep} 2}=2.766 \times$ $10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{~V}^{-1}$ respectively. The hydrodynamic flows for the separation of the products were adjusted so that the average widths of the streams after separation would be the same in $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. In $\mathbf{A}, \bar{v}_{\text {hd }}=6.21 \times$ $10^{-5} \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$ and for $\mathbf{B}, \bar{v}_{\mathrm{hd}}=5.60 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~ms}^{-1}$. The magnitude of the electrical field strength was the same in $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}, E=2.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{Vm}^{-1}$ but the angle, $\varphi$, was different. In $\mathbf{A}, \varphi=90^{\circ}$ and in $\mathbf{B}, \varphi=177.1^{\circ}$. The height of the separation channel was assumed to be $20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for both $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. The electrolyte, 25 mM HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid) adjusted to a $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$ using NaOH , had a calculated electrical conductivity of $0.043 \mathrm{Sm}^{-1}$. The electroosmotic flow was assumed to be negligible as was the temperature difference in the channel due to Joule heating. The latter assumption was verified using an equation derived by Ravoo. ${ }^{5}$ According to Ravoo, the maximum temperature difference in the electrolyte is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T_{\max }=\frac{\kappa E^{2} h^{2}}{8 \lambda} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the experimental conditions described and $\lambda=0.605 \mathrm{Wm}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the thermal conductivity of water, the expected rise in temperature is $1.42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~K}$, so that each of the parameters, $\Lambda, \Gamma$ and $P$ are smaller than $0.004 \%$ and can legitimately be ignored.
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