Size Effect of Ruthenium Nanoparticles in Catalytic Carbon Monoxide Oxidation

Sang Hoon Joo,^{†,‡} Jeong Y. Park,^{*,†,§} J. Russell Renzas,[†] Derek R. Butcher,[†] Wenyu Huang,[†] and Gabor A. Somorjai^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, and Chemical Sciences and Materials Sciences Divisions, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: somorjai@berkeley.edu, jeongypark@kaist.ac.kr.

[†] University of California, and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

[‡] Present address: School of Nano-Biotechnology and Chemical Engineering, UNIST, Banyeon-ri 100, Ulsan 689-798, Republic of Korea.

[§] Present address: Graduate School of EEWS (WCU), KAIST, Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea.

Experimental Section

1. Synthesis of Ru Nanoparticles

The Ru nanoparticles (NPs) were prepared by a polyol reduction method based on the previous report on Rh NP synthess¹ using ruthenium(III) acetylacetonate (Ru(acac)₃, 97 %, Sigma-Aldrich) and poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP, M_w : 55,000, Sigma-Aldrich) as the Ru precursor and the stabilizer, respectively. The syntheses of size-controlled Ru NPs were performed by varying the experimental factors including concentration of Ru(acac)₃, type of polyol solvent, and use of the seeded-growth method. In a typical synthesis of 2.1 nm Ru NPs, a stoichiometric amount of $Ru(acac)_3$ and PVP (in terms of repeating unit) at a fixed Ru/PVP ratio of 1:10 were added to 20 mL of ethylene glycol (EG) in a 50 mL three-necked flask at room temperature. The stock solution was heated to 80 °C in a Glas-Col electromantle (60 W; 50 mL) with a Cole-Parmer temperature controller (Digi-sense) and was evacuated at this temperature for 20 min to remove water and oxygen under magnetic stirring. The solution was then heated to 180 °C at a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ and maintained at this temperature for 2 h under Ar. When the reaction was complete, an excess of acetone was poured into the solution at room temperature to form a cloudy black suspension. This suspension was separated by centrifugation at 4200 rpm for 6 min, and the black product was collected by discarding the colorless supernatant. The precipitated Ru NPs were washed with acetone once, and were redispersed in ethanol. The syntheses of 2.8 and 3.1 nm Ru NPs were performed following the same method for the 2.1 nm Ru NP, except the type of solvent (1,4butanediol), the evacuation temperature (140 °C), and final heating temperature (215 °C). The syntheses of Ru NPs larger than 3.1 nm Ru were achieved by applying a seeded-growth method using 3.1 nm Ru NP (1 mg in 1 mL 1,4-butanediol) as the seed particles. The details on the experimental conditions for Ru NPs with a controlled particle size are summarized in Table S1.

2. Preparation of Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) Films of Ru NPs

For the preparation of two-dimensional (2D) model catalysts, the Ru NPs were extensively washed with several precipitation-redispersion cycles. The Ru NPs dispersed in ethanol were washed twice by

precipitation and redispersion with hexane and ethanol, respectively, followed by washing twice with chloroform and ethanol to remove the impurities and excess PVP. A monolayer of Ru NPs was formed by placing drops of a chloroform solution of Ru NPs onto the water subphase of an LB trough (Nima Technology, M611) at room temperature. The surface pressure was monitored with a Wilhelmy plate and was adjusted to zero before spreading the NPs. The resulting surface layer was compressed by a mobile barrier at a rate of $20 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ min}^{-1}$. The Ru nanoparticles were deposited onto Si wafers (1 cm x 1 cm) by lifting up the substrates at a rate of 1 mm min⁻¹ at a surface pressure of ~12 mN m⁻¹.

3. Preparation of Ru/SBA-15 catalysts

To prepare 3D model catalysts, SBA-15 mesoporous silica² was added to the ethanol solution containing Ru NPs and the slurry was sonicated (VWR ultrasonic cleaner, 75T, 120 W, 45 kHz) for 3 h at room temperature. The Ru NP supported SBA-15 was separated from solution by centrifuging at 4200 rpm for 6 min. Following centrifugation, the solution was clear. The supernatant was separated by decanting and the precipitates were dried at 100 °C overnight.

4. Characterization of Ru NPs and 2D and 3D Model Ru Catalysts

The size and shape of the Ru NPs were analyzed using a Philips FEI Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope, operated at 100 kV. X-ray diffraction patterns of Ru NPs were taken on a Bruker D8 GADDS diffractometer using Co K_{α} radiation (1.79 Å). The morphology of the 2D LB films was examined with a scanning electron microscope using a Zeiss Gemini Ultra-55 with a beam energy of 5 kV.

References

1. Zhang, Y.; Grass, M. E.; Habas, S. E.; Tao, F.; Zhang, T.; Yang, P.; Somorjai, G. A. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 12243–12253.

2. Zhao, D.; Feng, J.; Huo, Q.; Melosh, N.; Fredrickson, G. H.; Chmelka, B. F.; Stucky, G. D. Science 1998, 279, 548-552.

Sample	Solvent	$Ru (acac)_3$	Final Reaction	Overgrowth	NP size
		Concentration	Temp.		(nm)
		(mM)	(°C)		
1	EG	5	180		2.1
2	Butanediol	5	215		2.8
3	Butanediol	10	215		3.1
4	Butanediol	5	215	Yes	3.8
5	Butanediol	10	215	Yes	5.0
6	Butanediol	10	195	Yes	5.3
7	Butanediol	10	175	Yes	6.0

 Table S1. Experimental conditions for synthesis of size-controlled Ru NPs.

Catalyst	CO / O ₂ Pressure (Torr)	TOF	E_a	Reference
		(s^{-1})	(kcal mol ⁻¹)	
Ru (0001)	16/8		19.5	1
5 wt% Ru/SiO ₂	9.8 / 4.9	1.63 ¹	22.5	2
3 wt% Ru/SiO ₂	32/8	0.006^{2}	22.2	3
	26.7 / 13.3	0.01^{2}	25.3	
	13.3 / 26.7	0.004^{2}	20.8	
3 wt % Ru/MgO	13.7 / 6.8		19.6	4

Table S2. Summary of kinetic data for CO oxidation over Ru catalysts.

¹Measured at 177 °C

²Measured at 120 °C

References for Table S2

- (1) Peden, C. H. F.; Goodman, D. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1986, 90, 1360–1365.
- (2) Cant, N. W.; Hicks, P. C.; Lennon, B. S. J. Catal. 1978, 54, 372–383.
- (3) Kiss, J. T.; Gonzalez, R. D. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 892–897.
- (4) Assmann, J.; Narkhede, V.; Khodeir, L.; Löffler, E.; Hinrichsen, O.; Birkner, A.; Over, H.; Muhler, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2004, 108, 14634–14642.

Metal	Catalyst	Particle size (<i>d</i>) of	CO oxidation activity	Reference
		catalysts (nm)	with increasing d	
Pt	Pt/SiO ₂	1.4 - 18.2	Increase	1
Ir	Ir/SiO ₂	1.0 - 25	Increase	2
Au	Au/Co ₃ O ₄	2 - 6	Decrease	3
	Au on TiO ₂	2 - 6	Decrease with maximum	4
Rh	Rh on Si	2 - 11	Decrease	5

Table S3. Size dependence of the activity of CO oxidation over noble metal catalysts.

References for Table S3

- (1) Hershowitz, M.; Holiday, R.; Cutlip, M. B.; Kenney, C. N. J. Catal. 1982, 74, 408–410.
- (2) Cant, N. W.; Hicks, P. C.; Lennon, B. S. J. Catal. 1978, 54, 372–383.
- (3) Haruta, M.; Tsubota, S.; Kobayashi, T.; Kageyama, H.; Genet, M. J.; Delmon, B. J. Catal.
 1993, 144, 175–192.
- (4) Valden, M.; Lai, X.; Goodman, D. W. Science **1998**, 281, 1647–1650.
- Grass, M. E.; Zhang, Y.; Butcher, D. R.; Park, J. Y.; Li, Y.; Bluhm, H.; Bratlie, K. M.; Zhang, T.; G. A. Somorjai, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 8893–8896.

Figure S1. Representative TEM image of Ru(3.1 nm)/SBA-15 catalyst.

