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## I. Complete citation for Reference 25.

Adams, P. D.; Afonine, P. V.; Bunkóczi, G.; Chen, V. B.; Davis, I. W.; Echols, N.; Headd, J. J.; Hung, L. W.; Kapral, G. J.; Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W.; McCoy, A. J.; Moriarity, N. W.; Oeffner, R.; Read, R. J.; Richardson, D. C.; Richardson, J. S.; Terwilliger, T. C.; Zwart, P. H. Acta Crystalogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 2010, 66, 213.

## II. Characterization data of key intermediates for compound 2

(S)-N-[6-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)hex-1-en-3-yl]-2,2,2-trichloroacetamide (enan-11). The title compound was prepared analogously to $\mathbf{1 1}$ but employing $[(S)-\mathrm{COP}-\mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$ and obtained in $88 \%$ yield: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=+4.5\left(c \quad 0.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI-): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ 372.0726, found 372.0710 (error 4.3 ppm ).
(S)-6-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)hex-1-en-3-amine (enan-12). The title compound was prepared analogously to $\mathbf{1 2}$ from enan-11 and obtained in $71 \%$ yield: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=+15\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (ESI+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NOSi}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.1935$, found 230.1936 (error 0.4 ppm ).
tert-Butyl ((R)-1-\{[(S)-6-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)hex-1-en-3-yl]amino\}-1-oxobut-3-en-2$\mathbf{y l}$ )carbamate (enan-14). The title compound was prepared analogously to $\mathbf{1 4}$ from enan- $\mathbf{1 2}$ and (R)- $N$-Boc-vinylglycine ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}}$ and obtained in $75 \%$ yield: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=+7.8\left(c 3.7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (APCI+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 413.2830$, found 413.2838 (error 1.9 ppm ).
tert-Butyl $\{(3 R, \quad 6 S)-6-[3-(t e r t-b u t y l d i m e t h y l s i l y l o x y) p r o p y l]-2-o x o-3,6-d i h y d r o p y r i d i n-3-~$ nonyl\}carbamate (enan-15). The title compound was prepared analogously to $\mathbf{1 5}$ from enan-14 and obtained in $88 \%$ yield: mp $107-108^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=-24\left(c 0.28, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (APCI + ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 385.2517$, found 385.2520 (error 0.8 ppm ).
tert-Butyl [(3R, 6S)-6-(3-hydroxypropyl)-2-oxo-3,6-dihydropyridin-3-nonyl]carbamate (enan-S3). The title compound was prepared analogously to $\mathbf{S 3}$ from enan- $\mathbf{1 5}$ and obtained in $70 \%$ yield: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=-7.8\left(c \quad 0.9, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$; HRMS (APCI+): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 271.1652 , found 271.1655 (error 1.1 ppm ).
(3R, 6S)-3-Amino-6-(3-hydroxypropyl)-3,6-dihydropyridin-2(3H)-one hydrochloride salt (2). The title compound was prepared analogously to $\mathbf{1}$ from enan- $\mathbf{S 3}$ and obtained in $80 \%$ yield: mp $184-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}=+34(c 0.5, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;$ HRMS $(\mathrm{ESI}+)$ : calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 171.1128, found 171.1128 (error 0 ppm ).

## III. Transaminase Assays with Alanine Aminotransferase and Aspartate Aminotransferase.

In order to assess potential off target activity of $\mathbf{1}$ alanine aminotransferase (glutamicpyruvic transaminase, SIGMA-ALDRICH catalogue\# G8255) and aspartate aminotransferase (glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase, SIGMA-ALDRICH catalogue\# G2751) were evaluated. Enzyme activity could be followed by measuring the consumption of NADH at A340 using the coupled enzyme system described by the manufacturer (Sigma). In the case of glutamic-pyruvic transaminase, enzyme at $38 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was incubated with $0.0625-1 \mathrm{mM} 1$ for $0,5,10,20,30$ and 60 minutes. Enzyme inhibitor solutions were diluted 50 -fold into reaction buffer ( 60 mM bicine pH 8.0, 0.2 mM alanine, $10 \mathrm{mM} \alpha$-ketoglutarate, 0.1 mM NADH and 1.2 U lactic dehydrogenase) and the residual activity was measured by the consumption of NADH at A340. The data was analyzed by linear regression analysis $\left(\mathrm{r}^{2}>0.99\right)$ and the slope provided the $k_{\text {inact }} / K_{\mathrm{I}}$. Glutamicoxalacetic transaminase was assayed by combining 10 nM enzyme, 100 mM bicine $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,1$ mM 1 and 0.13 mM PLP and allowing the mixture to incubate at ambient temperature for 30 minutes. The enzyme inhibitor mix was diluted 1.3 times in 5 mM aspartate, $5 \mathrm{mM} \alpha-$ ketoglutarate, 0.1 mM NADH and 0.9 U malic dehydrogenase. No inhibition was observed.

## IV. Cell Cytotoxicity Assay

African green monkey Cercopithecus aethiops kidney cells (Vero, ATCC) were maintained in minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with $5 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), $100 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin. CHO-K1 cells (ATCC) were maintained in BD Select CHO medium (BD Biosciences) supplemented with 4 mM L-glutamine and pluronic F-68. Stock solutions of Inhibitor 1 in DMSO were added to the MEM media to afford the master mixes, yielding $0.5 \%$ DMSO and the final compound concentrations of $0.1,0.5$, 1.0 mM . Aliquots $(200 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ of the master mixes were plated in 96-well plates at $2.0-3.0 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well for Vero cells and $5.0 \times 10^{5}$ for CHO-K1 cells. Control wells contained either $0.5 \%$ DMSO (negative control) or $50 \%$ DMSO (positive control) and all reactions were done in triplicate. The plates were incubated for 72 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / 95 \%$ air humidified atmosphere. Measurement of cell viability was carried out using a modified method of Mosmann based on 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT). ${ }^{1}$ MTT was prepared fresh at $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in serum-free, phenol red-free RPMI 1640 media. MTT solution (200 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) was added to each well and the plate was incubated as described above for 3 h . The MTT solution was removed and the formazan crystals were solubilized with isopropanol ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The plate was read on a M5e spectrophotometer (Molecular Devices) at 570 nm for formazan and 650 nm for background subtraction. Cell viability was estimated as the percentage absorbance of sample relative to the DMSO control.

## V. MS/MS Analysis of PLP-inhibitor adduct



Figure S1. ESI MS/MS spectrum of molecular ion $m / z 400.4$.

## VI. Co-crystal data of BioA-inhibitor

Table S1. Data collection and refinement statistics

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 T F T \text {, pre- } \\ & \text { reaction } \end{aligned}$ | $3 T F U, \text { post- }$ <br> reaction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data collection |  |  |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ | $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| Cell dimensions |  |  |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | 63.1, 66.4, 203.1 | 62.6, 66.3, 201.3 |
| $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 90, 90, 90 | 90, 90, 90 |
| Resolution $(\AA)^{\text {a }}$ | 40.3-1.95 (2.02-1.95) | $39.2-1.94$ (2.00-1.94) |
| $R_{\text {merge }}{ }^{\text {a,b }}$ | 0.067 (0.116) | 0.070 (0.154) |
| $I / \mathrm{s} I^{\text {a }}$ | 19.9 (11.9) | 17.6 (7.8) |
| Completeness (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 99.2 (100) | 98.2 (99.5) |
| Redundancy ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.16 (7.22) | 6.66 (6.49) |
| Refinement |  |  |
| Resolution ( $\AA$ ) | 40.3-1.95 | 39.2-1.94 |
| No. reflections | 62,631 | 62,293 |
| $R_{\text {work }} / R_{\text {free }}{ }^{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ | 15.8/19.7 | 18.2/21.4 |
| No. atoms |  |  |
| Protein | 6,383 | 6,258 |
| Ligands | 34 | 58 |
| Water | 822 | 701 |
| $B$-factors |  |  |
| Protein | 19.7 | 23.0 |
| Ligand/ion | 15.5 | 27.8 |
| Water | 31.5 | 34.5 |
| Wilson B | 17.7 | 20.2 |
| Ramachandran plot ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |
| Favored | 97.5\% | 97.5\% |
| Allowed | 2.0\% | 2.3\% |
| Disallowed | 0.5\% | 0.2\% |
| R.m.s. deviations |  |  |
| Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) | 0.007 | 0.003 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.050 | 0.836 |

[^0]${ }^{\mathrm{c}} R=\sum\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}}-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}\right|\right| / \sum\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}}\right|$ where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}}$ is the observed structure factor and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the calculated structure factor used in the refinement ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} R_{\text {free }}=\sum\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}}-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}\right| / \sum\right| \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}} \mid$ where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{O}}$ is the observed structure factor and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the calculated structure factor from $5 \%$ of reflections not used in the refinement ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ From output of MOLProbity


Figure S2. Still images from adding $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of reservoir solution containing 2 mM of compound $\mathbf{1}$ to a $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ drop containing a yellow, PLP-loaded BioA crystal. The reddish $\mathbf{1}$ quickly concentrates within the crystal and then the crystal slowly turns colorless as $\mathbf{1}$ reacts with the PLP. The pre-reaction structure is from a maximally red-colored crystal (similar to the 28 s image). The post-reaction structure is from a colorless crystal (similar to the 2 m 50 s image).


Figure S3. Final $2 F_{\mathrm{o}}-F_{\mathrm{c}}$ electron density contoured at 1.0 s (blue mesh) and $F_{\mathrm{o}}-F_{\mathrm{c}}$ difference density contoured at +3 s (green mesh) and -3 s (red mesh) for the first molecule in the asymmetric unit (A) showing new positive difference density seen only in red crystals of BioA soaked with 1 for short periods of time ( $3-5$ seconds). This large difference density is not observed in the second molecule in the asymmetric unit (B). Compound 1 could not be unambiguously modeled within this electron density, suggesting $\mathbf{1}$ binds in multiple conformations or had incomplete occupancy at this time point.


Figure S4. Final $2 F_{0}-F_{\mathrm{c}}$ electron density contoured at 1.0 s (blue mesh) for the second molecule in the asymmetric unit for the pre-reaction (A) and post-reaction (B) structures. The first molecule in the asymmetric unit for each structure is shown in Figure 6 of main text. Although density was poorer for the PLP-1 adduct in the second molecule, there was still strong, continuous density leading from 4-position of the PLP ring. (C) An overlay of the first (green carbons) and second (magenta carbons) molecule in the asymmetric unit showing the conformation of the adduct is slightly different due to the position of Trp64.
















[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Values in parenthesis are for outer shell
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} R_{\text {merge }}=\sum\left|\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}<\backslash \mathrm{I}\right\rangle \mid / \sum \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$, where $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the intensity of the $i$ th observation and $\langle\mathrm{I}\rangle$ is the mean intensity

