# **Supplementary Information**

Molecular determinants of ligand binding modes in the histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor: Linking ligand-based 3D-QSAR models to *in silico* guided receptor mutagenesis studies

Enade P. Istyastono<sup>‡\*</sup>, Saskia Nijmeijer<sup>‡\*</sup>, Herman D. Lim<sup>¶</sup>, Andrea C. van de Stolpe<sup>‡</sup>, Luc Roumen<sup>‡</sup>, Albert J. Kooistra<sup>‡</sup>, Henry F. Vischer<sup>‡</sup>, Iwan J.P. de Esch<sup>‡</sup>, Rob Leurs<sup>‡</sup>, Chris de Graaf<sup>‡\*\*</sup>

<sup>‡</sup>Leiden/Amsterdam Center for Drug Research (LACDR), Division of Medicinal Chemistry, Department of Pharmacochemistry, Faculty of Exact Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1083, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Griffin Discoveries BV, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Room P-246, De Boelelaan 1083, 1081 HV, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

#### Contents

| 1. | Title page   | <b>S</b> 1 |
|----|--|------------|
| 2. | Purity data for compounds 2, 5, and 6a-l as determined by LCMS             | S2         |
| 3. | HRMS data for compounds 2, 5, and 6a-l as determined by LCMS               | S3         |
| 4. | Value of the most influential descriptors for equations 1-5.               | S4         |
| 5. | Alignment of compounds 2, 5, and 6a-l used in 3D-QSAR                      | S5         |
| 6. | The frequency of the ligand forming hydrogen bond to $D^{3.32}$ in 1 ns MD |            |
|    | simulations  | S6         |
| 7. | Comparison of ligand binding modes in ADRB2 and H1R crystal structure      | es and     |
|    | H <sub>4</sub> R models  | S7         |
| 8. | References Supplementary Information                                       | <b>S</b> 8 |

#### Purity data for compounds 2, 5, 6a-l as determined by LCMS.

| Compound | Retention time (min) | Purity <sup>b</sup> |
|----------|----------------------|---------------------|
|          |                      |                     |
| 2        | 2.40                 | 100.0               |
| 5        | 2.79                 | 96.5                |
| 6a       | 2.48                 | 98.6                |
| 6b       | 2.64                 | 99.0                |
| 6c       | 2.45                 | 95.4                |
| 6d       | 3.00                 | 98.6                |
| 6e       | 12.54 <sup>c</sup>   | 96.8                |
| 6f       | 2.73                 | 97.0                |
| 6g       | 2.95                 | 100.0               |
| 6h       | 2.57                 | 99.7                |
| 6i       | 2.39                 | 98.3                |
| 6j       | 2.85                 | 97.9                |
| 6k       | 2.57                 | 98.4                |
| 61       | 2.69                 | 98.9                |

**Supplementary Table 1:** Purity and retention times of the synthesised compounds determined by analytical HPLC-MS.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The conditions can be found in the experimental section of the main article; <sup>b</sup> The purities were calculated as the percentage peak area of the analyzed compound by UV detection.

<sup>c</sup> different method:

LCMS analysis of compound  $6^{e}$  was performed with a Shimadzu LC-8A preparative liquid chromatograph pump system with a Shimadzu SPD-10AV UV–vis detector with the MS detection performed with a Shimadzu LCMS-2010 liquid chromatograph–mass spectrometer. using an XBrigde column (C18, 5 µm, 4,6 x 100 mm). An aqueous buffer (pH 8) of 0.04% NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> (solvent A) and a mixture of 90% MeCN and 10% of a 0.4% NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub> buffer (pH 8, solvent B) were used. The runs started with 5% B with a linear gradient to 90% B in 10 minutes, then continuing for 10 minutes with 90% B and finally a linear gradient to 5% B in 10 minutes. Total run time 30 minutes.

## HRMS data for compounds 1, 8, 9a-l.

| Compound | MF                     | MW         | MW          |  |
|----------|------------------------|------------|-------------|--|
| _        |                        | Calc.[M+1] | Found [M+1] |  |
| 2        | $C_{14}H_{17}ClN_4S$   | 309.0940   | 309.0928    |  |
| 5        | $C_{20}H_{27}ClN_4S$   | 391.1723   | 391.1698    |  |
| 6a       | $C_{19}H_{26}N_4S$     | 343.1956   | 343.1937    |  |
| 6b       | $C_{20}H_{28}N_4S$     | 357.2113   | 357.2093    |  |
| 6c       | $C_{16}H_{28}N_4S$     | 309.2113   | 309.2090    |  |
| 6d       | $C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2N_4S$ | 425.1335   | 425.1321    |  |
| 6e       | $C_{18}H_{25}ClN_4S$   | 365.1566   | 365.1547    |  |
| 6f       | $C_{21}H_{23}ClN_4S$   | 399.1410   | 399.1385    |  |
| 6g       | $C_{21}H_{22}Cl_2N_4S$ | 433.1020   | 433.0981    |  |
| 6h       | $C_{18}H_{30}N_4S$     | 335.2269   | 335.2245    |  |
| 6i       | $C_{16}H_{28}N_4S$     | 309.2113   | 309.2087    |  |
| 6j       | $C_{18}H_{24}Cl_2N_4S$ | 399.1177   | 399.1152    |  |
| 6k       | $C_{21}H_{27}N_4S$     | 382.2065   | 382.2048    |  |
| 61       | $C_{20}H_{27}N_5O_2S$  | 402.1963   | 402.1944    |  |

Supplementary Table 2: HRMS data for compounds 1, 8, 9a-l.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The conditions can be found in the experimental section of the main article.

## Value of the most influential descriptors for equations 1-5.

| Supplementary | Table 3: | Value | of the | most | influential | descriptors | for | equations |
|---------------|----------|-------|--------|------|-------------|-------------|-----|-----------|
| 1 and 2       |          |       |        |      |             |             |     |           |

| Cmpd | diameter | BCUT_SMR_0 | vdw_area | E_strain |
|------|----------|------------|----------|----------|
| 2    | 14.000   | -2.256     | 288.752  | 32.970   |
| 5    | 14.000   | -2.513     | 362.434  | 12.681   |
| 6a   | 13.000   | -2.262     | 328.333  | 45.480   |
| 6b   | 13.000   | -2.513     | 344.141  | 37.308   |
| 6c   | 12.000   | -2.347     | 308.107  | 23.685   |
| 6d   | 14.000   | -2.528     | 380.728  | 28.092   |
| 6e   | 14.000   | -2.346     | 358.017  | 28.542   |
| 6f   | 14.000   | -2.256     | 378.243  | 34.826   |
| 6g   | 14.000   | -2.256     | 396.536  | 34.201   |
| 6h   | 12.000   | -2.529     | 312.524  | 43.572   |
| 6i   | 11.000   | -2.390     | 315.008  | 43.662   |
| 6j   | 14.000   | -2.346     | 376.311  | 27.665   |
| 6k   | 15.000   | -2.528     | 368.583  | 28.796   |
| 61   | 15.000   | -2.528     | 372.991  | 26.652   |

**Supplementary Table 4:** Value of the most influential descriptors for equations 3-5

| Cmpd | DRY.8331 | <b>O.6874</b> | DRY.8195 | DRY.3376 | DRY.9361 |
|------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
| 2    | 0.180    | 3.618         | -2.738   | -11.144  | -7.416   |
| 5    | 0.180    | 3.154         | 0.180    | -10.979  | 0.180    |
| 6a   | -4.234   | 2.744         | -1.630   | -6.631   | 0.180    |
| 6b   | 0.180    | 1.909         | -4.011   | -6.664   | -7.573   |
| 6c   | -4.168   | 3.628         | -1.589   | -2.093   | 0.180    |
| 6d   | 0.180    | 3.780         | -4.085   | -11.243  | -7.598   |
| 6e   | -0.316   | 1.304         | -4.251   | -11.037  | -2.614   |
| 6f   | -2.440   | 0.872         | -6.127   | -11.136  | -5.193   |
| 6g   | -2.416   | 2.066         | -6.259   | -11.301  | -5.185   |
| 6h   | 0.180    | -0.371        | -2.449   | -1.928   | -7.433   |
| 6i   | -4.151   | 1.268         | -1.482   | -1.217   | 0.180    |
| 6j   | -0.308   | 3.583         | -4.275   | -11.177  | -2.639   |
| 6k   | 0.180    | 2.145         | -4.019   | -16.566  | -7.573   |
| 61   | 0.180    | 3.545         | -4.085   | -13.549  | -7.606   |

| Compound         | Binding Mode       |                    |  |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| _                | Ι                  | Π                  |  |
| Clobenpropit (2) | 98.5% <sup>a</sup> | 97.0% <sup>b</sup> |  |
| VUF5228 (6)      | 0% <sup>c</sup>    | 98.8% <sup>d</sup> |  |

**Supplementary Table 4.** The frequency of the ligand forming hydrogen bond to  $D^{3.32}$  in 1 ns MD simulations

Molecular dynamics simulations starting from binding modes depicted in Fig.  $5A^a$ ,  $5C^b$ , Fig.  $5B^c$ , and Fig.  $5D^d$ 



Supplementary Figure 1: Alignment of compounds 2, 5, and 6a-l used in 3D-QSAR



**Supplementary Figure 2**: Comparison of: A) carazalol (yellow carbon atoms) bound adrenergic beta-2 receptor (ADRB2) crystal structure<sup>1</sup>; B) doxepin (green) bound histamine H1 receptor (H<sub>1</sub>R) crystal structure<sup>2</sup>; C-D) VUF5228 (cpd 5, magenta) bound H<sub>4</sub>R homology models; E-F) clobenpropit (cpd 2, orange) bound H<sub>4</sub>R homology models.

### **References Supplementary Information**

1. Cherezov, V.; Rosenbaum, D. M.; Hanson, M. A.; Rasmussen, S. G.; Thian, F. S.; Kobilka, T. S.; Choi, H. J.; Kuhn, P.; Weis, W. I.; Kobilka, B. K.; Stevens, R. C. High-resolution crystal structure of an engineered human beta2-adrenergic G protein-coupled receptor. *Science* **2007**, 318, 1258-65.

2. Shimamura, T.; Shiroishi, M.; Weyand, S.; Tsujimoto, H.; Winter, G.; Katritch, V.; Abagyan, R.; Cherezov, V.; Liu, W.; Han, G. W.; Kobayashi, T.; Stevens, R. C.; Iwata, S. Structure of the human histamine H1 receptor complex with doxepin. *Nature* **2011**, 475, 65-70.