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## Brief theoretical background

Due to the comparative nature of this study and to facilitate interpretation of interatomic interaction investigated in this work, it is of importance (and also convenience to a reader) to briefly outline basic theoretical principles of each method employed here.

QTAIM. Bader's Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules ${ }^{1}$ (QTAIM) is among most cited real space methods (generally known as topological methods) which study the spatial distribution of scalar fields derived from reduced electron density matrices. Based on the atomic virial theorem, QTAIM provides a well-defined partitioning of an equilibrium molecule and its energy into realspace atomic (one-body) contributions; one partitioning scheme applies to all molecules. These atoms are quantum mechanical in origin, highly transferable and analogous to a molecular system with boundaries at infinity. According to QTAIM, there is always a characteristic point in 3D space of electron density topology of a molecule, called a bond critical point (BCP), which is found only between two atoms which are connected by an atomic interaction line (AIL). AIL is a line of local maximum density (termed in QTAIM as a bond path) and BCP is (i) a local minimum on the AIL where the AIL crosses the zero-flux inter-atomic surface (IAS), a boundary between two atoms defined by an atomic basin (a 3D attraction basin of the gradient field of the electron density, which is bounded by a zero flux surface of this field) and (ii) a local maximum on the IAS. It has been shown that the physics underlying bonded interactions in combination with the properties of the electron and energy densities at a bond critical point is useful in the study of the entire spectrum of bonding. ${ }^{2,3}$ Hence, we analyse here the topology of the electron density of molecules being at equilibrium with a particular focus on atoms involved in intramolecular interactions. One must note that the requirement of the virial theorem to be exactly satisfied does not apply here as we do not analyse atomic energies. For the current work, we will call an AIL a bond path (defined by Bader as a necessary and sufficient condition for atoms to be bonded to one another ${ }^{1}$ ) only in the case when it
applies to generally accepted (one might say, based on an orthodox interpretation) bonding interactions, such as covalent or coordination bond. We do this to avoid unnecessary (when the aim of this work is concerned) controversy in the interpretation of a physical meaning of a bond path and a bond critical point.

IQA. The Interacting Quantum Atoms (IQA) approach of Blanco et $a l^{4-6}$ is an energy decomposition scheme which (i) allows physical terms present in the Hamiltonian to be partitioned into atomic and interatomic contributions, (ii) considers all one- and two-electron energetic contributions, (iii) is fully consistent with the quantum theory of atoms in molecules; it is making use of an exhaustive partition of the 3D physical space into a set of QTAIM-defined atomic basins, $\Omega_{\mathrm{A}}$, and (iv) is free from the equilibrium geometry constraint of QTAIM (virial theorem does not apply in IQA). The IQA-defined energy decomposition scheme allows recovering the quantities of the most widely used energy decomposition schemes provided that one has many electron wave function with the desired accuracy, $\Psi_{e}$, needed to construct the (i) first-order (non-diagonal) density matrix and (ii) second-order (diagonal) matrix where the latter one is necessary to compute the most expensive potential energy term, $V_{\text {ee }}$. The main equation in partitioning of energy in the IQA method
$\ldots E=\sum_{\mathrm{A}} E_{\text {self }}^{\mathrm{A}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathrm{A}} \sum_{\mathrm{B} \neq \mathrm{A}} E_{\text {int }}^{\mathrm{AB}}$
states that the total energy of a molecule can be partitioned in two major contributions, namely (i) one-body self-energy term of an atom $\mathrm{A}, E_{\text {self }}^{\mathrm{A}}$, which carries all of the intra-atomic contributions (kinetic, $T^{\mathrm{A}}$, nuclear attraction, $V_{\mathrm{en}}^{\mathrm{AA}}$, and two-electron repulsion, $V_{\mathrm{ee}}^{\mathrm{AA}}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{self}}^{\mathrm{A}}=T^{\mathrm{A}}+V_{\mathrm{en}}^{\mathrm{AA}}+V_{\mathrm{ee}}^{\mathrm{AA}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (ii) two-body pairwise additive interaction energy contribution between atom A and $\mathrm{B}, E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$, which includes all inter-particle potentials (nucleus-nucleus, $V_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$, nucleus-electron, $V_{\mathrm{ne}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$, electron-
nucleus, $V_{\mathrm{en}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$, and electron-electron, $V_{\mathrm{ee}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ ) coming from interatomic interaction energies of particles ascribed to atom A with particles ascribed to atom B

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{AB}}=V_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{en}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{ne}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{ec}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interaction energy described by Eq. 3 can be seen as based on the physical interaction between the two systems (or atoms), which also takes into account the quantum nature of the electrons through the use of the second-order density matrix in the electron-electron repulsion. What is also important to stress is the fact that $E_{\text {int }}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ equally applies to (i) atoms connected by a 'chemical bond', (ii) non-bonded atoms (e.g. when there is no QTAIM-defined bond path between them), or even (iii) intermolecular interactions, as it treats all of them on the same footing.

The second-order density matrix admits a natural partitioning into a Coulomb, Fock-Dirac exchange and correlation terms resulting in further partitioning of $V_{\mathrm{ee}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{ee}}^{\mathrm{AB}}=V_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{AlB}}+V_{\mathrm{corr}}^{\mathrm{AlB}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and after substitution of Eq 4 into Eq 3 one obtains the total interaction energy between two atoms decomposed into six terms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{AB}}=V_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{en}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{ne}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{corr}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

One should note that the first four terms (i) usually might be orders of magnitude larger than the last two terms and (ii) can be seen as of classical origin; hence it is useful and informative to combine them as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}}=V_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{en}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{ne}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ classical energy term is the one where the cancellations between nucleus-nucleus, nucleus-electron, electron-nucleus, and Coulombic term of electron-electron interactions occur. For instance, when two neutral atoms are well separated then the classical term should be negligible, and
on atoms being closer it is expected to be positive and larger. ${ }^{4,5}$ However, if the interactions between charged atoms (or molecules with significantly uneven intramolecular charge distribution) are considered, then the charge-charge classical interaction will be the decisive (most significant) contribution to $V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ which might become of an overall destabilizing (positive) or stabilizing (negative in value) nature to the interaction. ${ }^{6}$

Also, because (i) usually $V_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \gg V_{\text {corr }}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ and (ii) separation of the exchange and correlation terms is arbitrary and can produce misleading results, ${ }^{4}$ it is convenient to combine the last two terms in Eq 5 as the exchange-correlation contribution containing all of the quantum terms in the interaction between two atoms

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{AB}}=V_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\text {corr }}^{\mathrm{AB}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, one can express the interatomic (or inter-molecular) interaction as a competition between two distinctively different, electrostatic and quantum, components,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{AB}}=V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}}+V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where these two components are (i) of comparable absolute values and (ii) can be used to classify the interaction as 'classically' of predominant ionic $\left(\left|V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}}\right| \gg\left|V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{AB}}\right|\right)$, or covalent $\left(\left|V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}}\right| \ll\left|V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{AB}}\right|\right)$ nature. One must note that the energy contribution coming from $V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ is always stabilizing (often it can be seen as an electron sharing or spin pairing ${ }^{4}$ ). This means that the overall stabilizing or destabilizing nature of the interatomic interaction might greatly depend on the overall classical contribution coming from all electrostatic interactions, $V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$.

Non-covalent interaction (NCI) method. ${ }^{7-10}$ It provides an index of inter- and intramolecular stabilizing (e.g. hydrogen bonds) and destabilizing (steric repulsion) interactions; all non-covalent interactions (this also includes the so-called 'nonbonded' interactions) emerge in the NCI method as continuous 3-D surfaces rather than close contacts between atom pairs. NCI can use either the self-
consistent and fully quantum-mechanical or promolecular electron density, $\rho$, for simultaneous analysis and visualization of a wide range of noncovalent interactions in real space as opposed to assigning them in terms of pairwise distances between atoms based on their van der Waals radii. ${ }^{11}$

The NCI method is making use of the reduced density gradient (RDG) which, in density functional theory (DFT), describes the deviation from a homogeneous electron distribution ${ }^{12-14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(\rho)=\frac{1}{C_{F}} \frac{|\nabla \rho|}{\rho^{4 / 3}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\mathrm{F}}=2\left(3 \pi^{2}\right)^{1 / 3}$ is a constant and the $4 / 3$ exponent of the density ensures that $s(\rho)$ is a dimensionless quantity. The RDG has interesting properties, namely (i) in density tails (far from the molecule where the density is exponentially approaching zero more rapidly than the gradient in numerator) $s(\rho)$ will have very large positive values, (ii) it will assume values approaching zero when an inter-atomic interaction is present, (iii) when there is an overlap between atomic orbitals, troughs appear in the $2 \mathrm{D} s(\rho)$ vs. $\rho$ diagram in the low-density, low-gradient region, (iv) the low $s(\rho)$ regions, when traced back to molecular space, give rise to isosurfaces which can be used to visualize the weak interactions in the system, and (v) the density values of the low-gradient trough can be used as an indicator of the interaction strength.

However, both attractive and repulsive interactions (i.e., hydrogen-bonding and steric repulsion) appear in the same region of density/reduced gradient space and to distinguish between them an analysis of the Laplacian is performed. To this end, on the basis of the divergence theorem, ${ }^{15}$ the sign of the Laplacian $\left(\nabla^{2} \rho\right)$ of the density is used as it indicates whether the net gradient flux of density is concentrated $\left(\nabla^{2} \rho<0\right)$ or depleted $\left(\nabla^{2} \rho>0\right)$ relative to the surroundings in an infinitesimal volume around a point in the 3D space. For non-covalent, hence weaker interactions, the Laplacian in the interatomic region is dominated by the positive contribution, ${ }^{2}$ independently of whether they are bonding or nonbonding. Hence, to distinguish between them, contributions to $\nabla \rho^{2}$
along the axes of its maximal variation must be analysed. ${ }^{2}$ This is achieved by decomposing the Laplacian into a sum of three eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of the electron-density Hessian (second derivative) matrix contributions $\left(\nabla \rho^{2}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right.$, where $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{3}$ along the three principal axes of maximal variation; $\lambda_{3}$ varies along the inter-nuclear direction, while $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ report the variation of density in the plane normal to the $\lambda_{3}$ eigenvector).

This means that the sign of the second Hessian eigenvalue $\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ can be used to distinguish bonded ( $\lambda_{2}<0$, indicative of charge accumulation) from nonbonded ( $\lambda_{2}>0$, e.g. in case of charge depletion between crowded, or in close proximity, atoms which do not form a bond; in classical chemistry it is interpreted as steric repulsion) interactions, whereas the density itself provides information about their strength. Since non-covalent interactions are characterized by low density and reduced gradient values, they can be located by generating gradient isosurfaces enclosing the corresponding regions of real space and their type can be recovered by the values of $\operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \times \rho$ in these regions; (i) negative values of $\operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \times \rho$ are indicative of attractive interactions (e.g. hydrogen bonding), (ii); positive value of $\operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \times \rho$ points at nonbonding interaction (steric repulsion), and (iii) values near zero indicate very weak, van der Waals interactions.

Clearly, non-covalent interactions (i) have unique signatures and their presence can be revealed solely from the electron density when 2 D plots of the reduced density gradient vs. $\operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \times \rho$ are generated and (ii) are highly nonlocal and appear in real space as low-gradient isosurfaces with low densities.

The ETS-NOCV method. The Natural Orbitals for Chemical Valence (NOCV) have been derived ${ }^{16}$ from the Nalewajski-Mrozek valence theory and it has been shown ${ }^{16,17}$ that the natural orbitals for chemical valence pairs $\left(\psi_{-k}, \psi_{k}\right)$ decompose the deformation density $\Delta \rho$ into NOCVcontributions, $\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}$,:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rho(r)=\sum_{k=1}^{M / 2} v_{k}\left[-\psi_{-k}^{2}(r)+\psi_{k}^{2}(r)\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{M / 2} \Delta \rho_{k}(r) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $M$ stand for the NOCV eigenvalues and the number of basis functions, respectively. Visual inspection of deformation density plots $\left(\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ helps to attribute symmetry and the direction of the charge flow. In addition, information gained from the analysis of the deformation density plots can be enriched by providing the energetic estimations, $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}(\mathrm{k})$, for each $\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ within ETS-NOCV scheme. ${ }^{17}$ The basic concept of ETS scheme involves partitioning of the total bonding energy $\Delta E_{\text {total }}$ between interacting fragments (or atoms) into four components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{\text {total }}=\Delta E_{\text {dist }}+\Delta E_{\text {elstat }}+\Delta E_{\text {Pauli }}+\Delta E_{\text {orb }}=\Delta E_{\text {dist }}+\Delta E_{\text {int }} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first component, $\Delta E_{\text {dist, }}$ referred to as the distortion term, represents the amount of energy required to promote the separated fragments from their equilibrium geometry to the structure they will take up in the combined molecule; it can also be seen as strain energy. The second term, $\Delta E_{\text {elstat }}$, corresponds to the classical electrostatic interaction between the promoted fragments as they are brought to their positions in the final complex. The third term, $\Delta E_{\text {Pauli, }}$, accounts for the repulsive Pauli interaction between occupied orbitals on the two fragments in the combined molecule. The second and third term ( $\Delta E_{\text {elstat }}$ and $\left.\Delta E_{\text {Pauli }}\right)$ are often combined into the steric interaction $\left(\Delta E_{\text {steric }}\right) .{ }^{17-}$ ${ }^{20}$ Finally, the last and stabilizing term, $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}$, represents the interactions between the occupied molecular orbitals of one fragment with the unoccupied molecular orbitals of the other fragment as well as mixing of occupied and virtual orbitals within the same fragment (inner-fragment polarization). This energy term, $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}$, may be linked to the electronic bonding effect coming from the formation of a chemical bond. The three last terms ( $\left.\Delta E_{\text {elstat }}, \Delta E_{\text {Pauli, }}, \Delta E_{\text {orb }}\right)$ very often are combined into the instantaneous interaction energy, $\Delta E_{\text {int }}$, as it describes the interaction between the fragments in the geometry of the complex.

In the combined ETS-NOCV scheme ${ }^{16}$ the orbital interaction $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}$ is expressed in terms of NOCV's eigenvalues, $v_{\mathrm{k}}$, as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta E_{\text {orb }}=\sum_{k} \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(k)=\sum_{k=1}^{M / 2} v_{k}\left[-F_{-k,-k}^{T S}+F_{k, k}^{T S}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{i, i}^{T S}$ are diagonal Kohn-Sham matrix elements defined over NOCV with respect to the transition state density (at the midpoint between density of the molecule and the sum of fragment densities). The above components $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}(\mathrm{k})$ provide the energetic estimation of $\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ that may be related to the importance of a particular electron flow channel for the bonding between the considered molecular fragments.
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Table S1. Selected structural data for equilibrium geometries of the $\mathrm{ZnL}_{\mathrm{n}}$ complexes

| Complex | Bond length <br> (A) |  | Bite angle <br> (deg) |  | Torsion <br> (deg) |  | Close contacts <br> ( $\AA$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 2.162 | N5-Zn-N6 | 79.13 | N5-C15-C16-N6 | -1.44 | CH14•••H18C | 2.048 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 2.194 |  |  | H14-C13-C15-C16 | 0.05 | CH8•••O1 | 2.544 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 2.162 |  |  | H18-C17-C16-C15 | 0.05 | CH24•••O3 | 2.544 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 2.194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | average: | 2.178 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | std dev: | 0.018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Zn -N5 | 2.107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Zn-N6 | 2.107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 2.153 | N1-Zn-N2 | 77.40 | N1-C13-C14-N2 | 0.31 | CH16••* ${ }^{\text {H18C }}$ | 2.031 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 2.143 | N3-Zn-N4 | 77.48 | H16-C15-C13-C14 | -0.45 | CH32•••H36C | 2.036 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 2.149 |  |  | H18-C17-C14-C13 | 0.52 | CH24•••O6 | 2.451 |
|  | Zn -N4 | 2.143 |  |  | N3-C33-C34-N4 | 1.89 | CH42••O5 | 2.455 |
|  | average: | 2.147 |  |  | H32-C31-C33-C34 | 0.18 | CH26•••N2 | 2.810 |
|  | std dev: | 0.005 |  |  | H36-C35-C34-C33 | 0.79 | CH8•••N4 | 2.819 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 5$ | 2.273 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Zn -06 | 2.276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 55$ | 2.217 | N55-Zn-N56 | 74.76 | N55-C9-C10-N56 | 0.76 | CH8*•-H12C | 2.013 |
|  | Zn-N56 | 2.216 | N57-Zn-N58 | 74.77 | H8-C7-C9-C10 | 0.07 | CH26 $\cdot \cdot{ }^{\text {H }} 30 \mathrm{C}$ | 2.007 |
|  | Zn-N57 | 2.215 | N59-Zn-N60 | 74.78 | H12-C11-C10-C9 | -0.35 | CH44 $\cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{H} 48 \mathrm{C}$ | 2.011 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 58$ | 2.220 |  |  | N57-C27-C28-N58 | 0.38 | CH20•••N55 | 2.668 |
|  | Zn-N59 | 2.218 |  |  | H26-C25-C27-C28 | 0.10 | CH54•••N56 | 2.671 |
|  | Zn-N60 | 2.217 |  |  | H30-C29-C28-C27 | 0.00 | CH38 •••N57 | 2.684 |
|  | average: | 2.217 |  |  | N59-C45-C46-N60 | 1.61 | CH18•••N58 | 2.685 |
|  | std dev: | 0.002 |  |  | H44-C43-C45-C46 | 0.15 | CH2•••N59 | 2.685 |
|  |  |  |  |  | H48-C47-C46-C45 | -0.34 | CH36 - • ${ }^{\text {N60 }}$ | 2.672 |

Table S2. Cartesian coordinates for the $\left[\mathbf{Z n L}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right]^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ complex ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathbf{2 , \mathbf { 2 } ^ { \prime } \text { -bipyridyl) }}$

| Standard orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic <br> Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 8 | 0 | -2.7284 | -1.5638 | 0.0093 |
| 2 | 8 | 0 | -1.6885 | -0.0051 | 2.1465 |
| 3 | 8 | 0 | -2.7285 | 1.5636 | -0.0093 |
| 4 | 8 | 0 | -1.6885 | 0.0049 | -2.1464 |
| 5 | 7 | 0 | 0.3884 | -1.3413 | 0.039 |
| 6 | 7 | 0 | 0.3883 | 1.3414 | -0.039 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 0.3029 | -2.6759 | 0.0598 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -0.6945 | -3.0912 | 0.0732 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | 1.4249 | -3.4886 | 0.06 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | 1.3138 | -4.5629 | 0.0785 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | 2.6754 | -2.8858 | 0.0345 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | 3.5753 | -3.485 | 0.0317 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | 2.7642 | -1.5004 | 0.0089 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | 3.7317 | -1.0238 | -0.0136 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 1.5961 | -0.7435 | 0.0132 |
| 16 | 6 | 0 | 1.596 | 0.7436 | -0.0133 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | 2.7641 | 1.5005 | -0.009 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 3.7316 | 1.0241 | 0.0135 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | 2.6752 | 2.886 | -0.0345 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | 3.5751 | 3.4852 | -0.0318 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | 1.4247 | 3.4887 | -0.0601 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | 1.3135 | 4.563 | -0.0785 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | 0.3027 | 2.6759 | -0.0598 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -0.6947 | 3.0912 | -0.0732 |
| 25 | 1 | 0 | -3.417 | -1.5173 | -0.6684 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -3.2011 | -1.6273 | 0.8513 |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | -1.1748 | -0.6202 | 2.6883 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | -1.6211 | 0.8442 | 2.6055 |
| 29 | 1 | 0 | -3.2013 | 1.627 | -0.8512 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | -3.4171 | 1.5171 | 0.6685 |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | -1.6211 | -0.8443 | -2.6055 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | -1.1749 | 0.6201 | -2.6883 |
| 33 | 30 | 0 | -1.2358 | 0 | 0 |

Molecular Electronic Energy: -2580.08621989 au

Table S3. Cartesian Coordinates for the $\left[\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ Complex ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathbf{2 , 2} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-Bipyridyl)

| Standard orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic <br> Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | Y | Z |
| 1 | 7 | 0 | -0.9093 | -1.4706 | 0.5552 |
| 2 | 7 | 0 | -2.0434 | 0.6451 | -0.6517 |
| 3 | 7 | 0 | 0.9088 | 1.4651 | 0.5628 |
| 4 | 7 | 0 | 2.0436 | -0.6407 | -0.6593 |
| 5 | 8 | 0 | -0.3424 | -1.3276 | -2.5354 |
| 6 | 8 | 0 | 0.3439 | 1.355 | -2.5237 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -0.285 | -2.5157 | 1.1073 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0.7599 | -2.6377 | 0.8613 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -0.9261 | -3.4072 | 1.9527 |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | -0.3817 | -4.2396 | 2.3744 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -2.269 | -3.1959 | 2.2345 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -2.8072 | -3.8671 | 2.8893 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | -2.2143 | -1.2608 | 0.8155 |
| 14 | 6 | 0 | -2.8409 | -0.0877 | 0.1502 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -2.9211 | -2.1132 | 1.6604 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -3.9654 | -1.9433 | 1.8701 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | -4.1818 | 0.2486 | 0.3246 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -4.8198 | -0.3378 | 0.9669 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -4.6986 | 1.3527 | -0.3379 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -5.7369 | 1.6247 | -0.2088 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -3.8693 | 2.0965 | -1.167 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -4.2312 | 2.961 | -1.7042 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -2.5465 | 1.7041 | -1.2967 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -1.864 | 2.2428 | -1.9378 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | 0.2839 | 2.5082 | 1.1173 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | -0.7651 | 2.6212 | 0.8841 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 0.9272 | 3.4059 | 1.9545 |
| 28 | 1 | 0 | 0.3802 | 4.233 | 2.3832 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | 2.276 | 3.2081 | 2.2169 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 2.8168 | 3.8855 | 2.8631 |
| 31 | 6 | 0 | 2.9293 | 2.1277 | 1.6393 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | 3.9786 | 1.9701 | 1.833 |
| 33 | 6 | 0 | 2.2175 | 1.2633 | 0.8103 |
| 34 | 6 | 0 | 2.8401 | 0.0847 | 0.1507 |
| 35 | 6 | 0 | 4.175 | -0.2659 | 0.3413 |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | 4.8095 | 0.3105 | 0.9961 |
| 37 | 6 | 0 | 4.6891 | -1.3722 | -0.3205 |
| 38 | 1 | 0 | 5.723 | -1.6552 | -0.1798 |
| 39 | 6 | 0 | 3.8625 | -2.1046 | -1.1623 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 4.2226 | -2.9693 | -1.7002 |
| 41 | 6 | 0 | 2.5438 | -1.702 | -1.3025 |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | 1.8624 | -2.2349 | -1.9494 |


| Standard orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| Number | Number | Type | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| 43 | 1 | 0 | 0.8967 | 0.9448 | -3.2033 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | 0.7707 | 2.2023 | -2.3389 |
| 45 | 1 | 0 | -0.9049 | -0.9088 | -3.2012 |
| 46 | 1 | 0 | -0.7694 | -2.1755 | -2.3531 |
| 47 | 30 | 0 | 0.0004 | 0.0032 | -0.7246 |

Molecular Electronic Energy: -2922.51597175 au

Table S4. Cartesian Coordinates for the $\left[\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}\right]^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ Complex ( $\mathrm{L}=\mathbf{2 , 2}$ '-Bipyridyl)

| Standard orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center <br> Number | Atomic <br> Number | Atomic <br> Type | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
|  |  |  | X | Y | Z |
| 1 | 6 | 0 | -1.40207 | -1.64497 | -2.33947 |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | -0.39724 | -1.62114 | -2.73541 |
| 3 | 6 | 0 | -2.42222 | -2.27121 | -3.03819 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | -2.21734 | -2.73989 | -3.99007 |
| 5 | 6 | 0 | -3.69338 | -2.27697 | -2.48014 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | -4.51849 | -2.75479 | -2.98993 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | -3.89454 | -1.66278 | -1.25246 |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | -4.87781 | -1.66428 | -0.80905 |
| 9 | 6 | 0 | -2.81906 | -1.05154 | -0.60734 |
| 10 | 6 | 0 | -2.95952 | -0.37681 | 0.710249 |
| 11 | 6 | 0 | -4.17183 | -0.32453 | 1.39812 |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | -5.06176 | -0.77453 | 0.986819 |
| 13 | 6 | 0 | -4.23066 | 0.31464 | 2.627853 |
| 14 | 1 | 0 | -5.16431 | 0.360527 | 3.171296 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | -3.07963 | 0.894651 | 3.144157 |
| 16 | 1 | 0 | -3.07781 | 1.404577 | 4.096791 |
| 17 | 6 | 0 | -1.91225 | 0.807 | 2.402173 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | -0.99498 | 1.248219 | 2.763739 |
| 19 | 6 | 0 | -0.86565 | 2.030244 | -2.28001 |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | -1.36787 | 1.149496 | -2.6528 |
| 21 | 6 | 0 | -0.93963 | 3.231065 | -2.96817 |
| 22 | 1 | 0 | -1.5017 | 3.293103 | -3.88887 |
| 23 | 6 | 0 | -0.2784 | 4.331741 | -2.44055 |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | -0.31036 | 5.288729 | -2.94304 |
| 25 | 6 | 0 | 0.430065 | 4.190194 | -1.25656 |
| 26 | 1 | 0 | 0.946718 | 5.039648 | -0.8381 |
| 27 | 6 | 0 | 0.460971 | 2.949593 | -0.62021 |
| 28 | 6 | 0 | 1.194113 | 2.727119 | 0.653853 |
| 29 | 6 | 0 | 1.891358 | 3.746505 | 1.301616 |
| 30 | 1 | 0 | 1.920885 | 4.743891 | 0.89178 |
| 31 | 6 | 0 | 2.551241 | 3.472203 | 2.490483 |
| 32 | 1 | 0 | 3.092982 | 4.25468 | 3.003863 |
| 33 | 6 | 0 | 2.501186 | 2.185478 | 3.009732 |
| 34 | 1 | 0 | 2.993657 | 1.926745 | 3.936106 |
| 35 | 6 | 0 | 1.787485 | 1.22439 | 2.311351 |
| 36 | 1 | 0 | 1.72113 | 0.21159 | 2.680461 |
| 37 | 6 | 0 | 2.05581 | -0.28349 | -2.40092 |
| 38 | 1 | 0 | 1.51013 | 0.578379 | -2.75671 |
| 39 | 6 | 0 | 3.102706 | -0.81283 | -3.13952 |
| 40 | 1 | 0 | 3.380656 | -0.36527 | -4.08315 |
| 41 | 6 | 0 | 3.771961 | -1.9179 | -2.63137 |


| Standard orientation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Center | Atomic | Atomic | Coordinates (Angstroms) |  |  |
| Number | Number | Type | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| 42 | 1 | 0 | 4.59577 | -2.36187 | -3.17296 |
| 43 | 6 | 0 | 3.370347 | -2.45041 | -1.41509 |
| 44 | 1 | 0 | 3.883763 | -3.30914 | -1.01212 |
| 45 | 6 | 0 | 2.306459 | -1.86518 | -0.72861 |
| 46 | 6 | 0 | 1.825637 | -2.37935 | 0.581266 |
| 47 | 6 | 0 | 2.42708 | -3.46 | 1.226953 |
| 48 | 1 | 0 | 3.270635 | -3.96839 | 0.786649 |
| 49 | 6 | 0 | 1.932769 | -3.88466 | 2.451444 |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | 2.394144 | -4.7179 | 2.963319 |
| 51 | 6 | 0 | 0.843748 | -3.226 | 3.005812 |
| 52 | 1 | 0 | 0.422911 | -3.52346 | 3.955391 |
| 53 | 6 | 0 | 0.295274 | -2.16298 | 2.305248 |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | -0.55345 | -1.62316 | 2.698674 |
| 55 | 7 | 0 | -1.59023 | -1.04891 | -1.15745 |
| 56 | 7 | 0 | -1.84802 | 0.186083 | 1.219808 |
| 57 | 7 | 0 | -0.18437 | 1.887761 | -1.13838 |
| 58 | 7 | 0 | 1.149949 | 1.482749 | 1.165096 |
| 59 | 7 | 0 | 1.664059 | -0.79285 | -1.22817 |
| 60 | 7 | 0 | 0.771816 | -1.74474 | 1.127776 |
| 61 | 30 | 0 | -0.0103 | -0.00619 | -0.00379 |

Molecular Electronic Energy: - $\mathbf{3 2 6 4 . 9 4 1 2 4 2 8 8}$


Figure S1. Ball and stick representations of $\mathrm{ZnL}, \mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ complexes.


Figure S2. Molecular graphs of the ZnL and $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ complexes.

Table S5. Topological data at the BCP for all coordination bonds in $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes with 2, $2^{\prime}$ bipyridyl (L) optimized at X3LYP ${ }^{[a]}$

| Complex | Atoms | $\rho(r)$ | $\nabla^{2} \rho(r)$ | $V(r)$ | $G(r)$ | H(r) | $\|V(r)\| / \mathbf{G}(r)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | Zn-N5 | 0.07049 | 0.25214 | -0.09374 | 0.07839 | -0.01535 | 1.19583 |
|  | Zn-N6 | 0.07050 | 0.25216 | -0.09374 | 0.07839 | -0.01535 | 1.19583 |
|  | Average | 0.07049 | 0.25215 | -0.09374 | 0.07839 | -0.01535 | 1.19583 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 0.05179 | 0.21854 | -0.06855 | 0.06159 | -0.00696 | 1.11298 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.04798 | 0.19761 | -0.06256 | 0.05598 | -0.00658 | 1.11750 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 0.05179 | 0.21860 | -0.06857 | 0.06161 | -0.00696 | 1.11295 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 0.04799 | 0.19768 | -0.06258 | 0.05600 | -0.00658 | 1.11747 |
|  | Average | 0.04989 | 0.20811 | -0.06556 | 0.05880 | -0.00677 | 1.11523 |
| ZnL ${ }_{2}$ | Zn-N1 | 0.06353 | 0.21913 | -0.08205 | 0.06841 | -0.01363 | 1.19928 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 0.06512 | 0.22452 | -0.08426 | 0.07019 | -0.01406 | 1.20036 |
|  | Zn-N3 | 0.06408 | 0.22207 | -0.08303 | 0.06928 | -0.01376 | 1.19860 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 0.06513 | 0.22464 | -0.08430 | 0.07023 | -0.01407 | 1.20032 |
|  | Average | 0.06446 | 0.22259 | -0.08341 | 0.06953 | -0.01388 | 1.19964 |
|  | Zn -O5 | 0.04081 | 0.15334 | -0.05033 | 0.04433 | -0.00600 | 1.13533 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O6}$ | 0.04048 | 0.15193 | -0.04985 | 0.04392 | -0.00593 | 1.13508 |
|  | Average | 0.04065 | 0.15264 | -0.05009 | 0.04412 | -0.00597 | 1.13520 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | Zn-N55 | 0.05560 | 0.18015 | -0.06872 | 0.05688 | -0.01184 | 1.20819 |
|  | Zn-N56 | 0.05568 | 0.18055 | -0.06885 | 0.05699 | -0.01186 | 1.20802 |
|  | Zn-N57 | 0.05586 | 0.18139 | -0.06914 | 0.05724 | -0.01190 | 1.20783 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 58$ | 0.05521 | 0.17832 | -0.06807 | 0.05633 | -0.01175 | 1.20855 |
|  | Zn-N59 | 0.05542 | 0.17931 | -0.06841 | 0.05662 | -0.01179 | 1.20828 |
|  | Zn-N60 | 0.05558 | 0.18008 | -0.06869 | 0.05685 | -0.01183 | 1.20816 |
|  | Average | 0.05556 | 0.17996 | -0.06865 | 0.05682 | -0.01183 | 1.20817 |

[a] $\rho(r), \nabla^{2} \rho(r), V(r), G(r)$, and $H(r)-$ all in atomic units.


Figure S3. Additional relationships between bond lengths and topological properties at the BCP for $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds.


Figure S4 Relationships between bond lengths and topological properties at the BCP for $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds.

Table S6. Topological data at the BCP for all intramolecular interactions in $\mathrm{Zn}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes with 2,2'-bipyridyl (L) optimized at X3LYP ${ }^{[a]}$

| Complex | Atoms | $\rho(r)$ | $\nabla^{2} \rho(r)$ | $V(r)$ | $G(r)$ | $H(r)$ | $\mid \boldsymbol{V}(\mathrm{r}) / / \boldsymbol{G}(\mathrm{r})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | CH8-01 | 0.00862 | 0.03082 | -0.00546 | 0.00658 | 0.00112 | 0.82974 |
|  | CH24-03 | 0.00862 | 0.03082 | -0.00546 | 0.00658 | 0.00112 | 0.82973 |
|  | Average | 0.00862 | 0.03082 | -0.00546 | 0.00658 | 0.00112 | 0.82973 |
|  | CH14-H18C | 0.01142 | 0.04390 | -0.00652 | 0.00875 | 0.00222 | 0.745684 |
| ZnL ${ }_{2}$ | CH42-O5 | 0.00981 | 0.03577 | -0.00630 | 0.00762 | 0.00132 | 0.82630 |
|  | CH24-06 | 0.00993 | 0.03606 | -0.00636 | 0.00769 | 0.00133 | 0.82744 |
|  | Average | 0.00987 | 0.03592 | -0.00633 | 0.00765 | 0.00133 | 0.82687 |
|  | CH26-N2 | 0.00599 | 0.02016 | -0.00341 | 0.00422 | 0.00081 | 0.80718 |
|  | CH8-N4 | 0.00590 | 0.01986 | -0.00336 | 0.00416 | 0.00080 | 0.80669 |
|  | Average | 0.00595 | 0.02001 | -0.00338 | 0.00419 | 0.00081 | 0.80693 |
|  | CH16-H18C | 0.01180 | 0.04517 | -0.00674 | 0.00902 | 0.00227 | 0.74776 |
|  | CH36-H32C | 0.01170 | 0.04483 | -0.00668 | 0.00895 | 0.00226 | 0.74727 |
|  | Average | 0.01175 | 0.04500 | -0.00671 | 0.00898 | 0.00227 | 0.74751 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | CH20-N55 | 0.00775 | 0.02510 | -0.00429 | 0.00528 | 0.00100 | 0.81156 |
|  | CH54-N56 | 0.00770 | 0.02498 | -0.00425 | 0.00525 | 0.00100 | 0.80950 |
|  | CH38-N57 | 0.00753 | 0.02444 | -0.00417 | 0.00514 | 0.00097 | 0.81123 |
|  | CH18-N58 | 0.00750 | 0.02433 | -0.00414 | 0.00511 | 0.00097 | 0.81048 |
|  | CH2-N59 | 0.00752 | 0.02436 | -0.00415 | 0.00512 | 0.00097 | 0.81048 |
|  | CH36-N60 | 0.00773 | 0.02505 | $-0.00426$ | 0.00526 | 0.00100 | 0.81000 |
|  | Average | 0.00763 | 0.02471 | -0.00421 | 0.00519 | 0.00099 | 0.81024 |
|  | CH12-H8C | 0.01222 | 0.04661 | -0.00699 | 0.00932 | 0.00233 | 0.75014 |
|  | CH30-H26C | 0.01234 | 0.04704 | -0.00707 | 0.00941 | 0.00235 | 0.75064 |
|  | CH48-H44C | 0.01230 | 0.04688 | -0.00704 | 0.00938 | 0.00234 | 0.75049 |
|  | Average | 0.01230 | 0.04688 | -0.00704 | 0.00938 | 0.00234 | 0.75049 |

[a] $\rho(r), \nabla^{2} \rho(r), V(r), G(r)$, and $H(r)-$ all in atomic units.

| Complex | Atoms | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{B}) \\ \AA \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{nc}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ a u \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{en}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ a u \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{nn}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ a u \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline V_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ a u \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ \mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ {\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}}^{\text {and }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{\mathrm{Int}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ {\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}}^{\text {and }} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{AB}} / E_{\mathrm{Int}}^{\mathrm{AB}} \\ & {\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{1}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | CH $\cdots$ HC | 2.06 | -0.2472 | -0.2472 | 0.2569 | 0.2375 | -0.02 | -2.48 | -2.5 | 0.993 |
|  | CH $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 2.6 | -1.7008 | -1.7008 | 1.6285 | 1.7542 | -11.86 | -2.64 | -14.5 | 0.182 |
|  | C-C | 1.497 | -11.4042 | -11.4042 | 12.7218 | 10.2391 | 95.69 | -193.43 | -97.74 | 1.979 |
|  | Zn-N5 | 2.145 | -56.0668 | -56.0668 | 51.8045 | 59.7401 | -369.65 | -40.14 | -409.79 | 0.098 |
|  | Zn-N6 | 2.145 | -56.0670 | -56.0670 | 51.8046 | 59.7402 | -369.65 | -40.14 | -409.79 | 0.098 |
|  | Zn -O1 | 2.157 | -61.8194 | -61.8194 | 58.8847 | 64.2786 | -298.43 | -28.04 | -326.47 | 0.086 |
|  | N5-N6 | 2.673 | -11.8316 | -11.8316 | 9.7005 | 14.4307 | 293.69 | -7.03 | 286.67 | -0.025 |
| ZnL 2 | CH $\cdots$ HC | 2.05 | -0.2488 | -0.2488 | 0.2581 | 0.239 | -0.23 | -2.51 | -2.74 | 0.916 |
|  | CH $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ | 2.502 | -1.7656 | -1.7656 | 1.6917 | 1.8195 | -12.47 | -3.26 | -15.73 | 0.207 |
|  | CH $\cdots \mathrm{N}$ | 2.879 | -1.3988 | -1.3988 | 1.2866 | 1.4953 | -9.91 | -1.64 | -11.56 | 0.142 |
|  | C-C | 1.497 | -11.4030 | -11.4030 | 12.7264 | 10.233 | 96.27 | -193.41 | -97.15 | 1.991 |
|  | Zn -N1 | 2.183 | -55.1408 | -55.1408 | 50.9086 | 58.8099 | -353.41 | -36.73 | -390.14 | 0.094 |
|  | Zn-N2 | 2.182 | -55.1524 | -55.1524 | 50.9243 | 58.8145 | -355.12 | -36.76 | -391.88 | 0.094 |
|  | Zn-O6 | 2.236 | -59.7008 | -59.7008 | 56.7947 | 62.1597 | -280.51 | -22.97 | -303.48 | 0.076 |
|  | N1-N2 | 2.676 | -11.8153 | -11.8153 | 9.6902 | 14.4061 | 292.25 | -7.15 | 285.1 | -0.025 |
| $Z n L_{3}$ | CH $\cdots$ HC | 2.073 | -0.2463 | -0.2463 | 0.2553 | 0.2364 | -0.52 | -2.36 | -2.88 | 0.821 |
|  | CH $\cdots \mathrm{N}$ | 2.746 | -1.4669 | -1.4669 | 0.2553 | 0.2364 | -11.71 | -2.26 | -13.97 | 0.162 |
|  | C-C | 1.496 | -11.4046 | -11.4046 | 0.5106 | 0.4729 | 96.79 | -193.41 | -96.62 | 2.002 |
|  | Zn -N2 | 2.229 | -54.0400 | -54.0400 | 49.8607 | 57.6798 | -338.51 | -32.93 | -371.44 | 0.089 |
|  | Zn-N23 | 2.228 | -54.0550 | -54.0550 | 49.8744 | 57.6956 | -338.79 | -32.89 | -371.68 | 0.088 |
|  | N2-N23 | 2.690 | -11.7953 | -11.7953 | 9.6755 | 14.3793 | 291.34 | -7.24 | 284.11 | -0.025 |

Table S8. IQA data for the $\mathbf{C H} \bullet \bullet \bullet H C$ interaction at MP2 level ${ }^{[a]}$

| Form | $V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $V_{\mathrm{XC}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $E_{\text {int }}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{b}]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | 0.89 | -3.25 | -2.36 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ | 0.85 | -3.29 | -2.44 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | 0.81 | -3.46 | -2.65 |

${ }^{[a]}$ Calculated as a MP2/6-311++G(d,p) single point calculation on DFT generated geometries at RX3LYP/ATZP level.
${ }^{[b]}$ All values in $\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

## Some data computed for the free bpy ligand.

It is important and informative to consider now the kind and relative strength of some intramolecular interactions in s-cis and s-trans conformers of isolated bpy because in the former a $\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{HC}$ contact is observed. What follows, is a very brief description of the interactions most relevant to this work; a full account on fundamental properties controlling the preferential conformational state of the free bpy will be published soon. We found that two $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ interactions in the s-trans conformer contribute in stabilizing manner, for each $E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}}=-14.84 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}}=-10.57 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$. In the s-cis conformer $E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=$ $-3.03 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=-0.05 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ and $E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}}=+255.60 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\left(V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}}=\right.$ $+264.11 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{N}--\mathrm{N}$ were obtained at $\mathrm{HF} / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{PCM}$. We also computed $E_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=-$ $3.55 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(V_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=-0.43 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ at the MP2 level. From these data one can conclude that (i) the nature of these interactions can be understood qualitatively already at HF and this correlates well with the same conclusion reported elsewhere, ${ }^{1-3}$ (ii) the physical nature of the $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ interaction in the s-cis conformer of bpy and metal complexes is very much the same (a dominant contribution comes from the exchange-correlation term), (iii) the $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ interaction is the weakest, by far, among others in both conformers of bpy. Hence, just considering the strength of interactions, the preferential conformational state of bpy is controlled by (i) highly repulsive $\mathrm{N}--\mathrm{N}$ contact (this is the interaction which makes the s-cis conformer energetically unstable) and (ii) two $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ interactions which re-enforce the stability of the s-trans conformer.
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Figure S5. NCI Isosurfaces for all the interactions in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$. (a) All interactions. (b) $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$. (c) $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}$. (d) $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{HC}$. (e) $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$. (f) $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{N}$. The surfaces indicate the reduced density gradient at an isovalue of 0.5 au . The colour scheme used, from blue, through green to red, reflects the following range $-0.07 \mathrm{au}<\operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \times \rho<0.03 \mathrm{au}$.
(a)

(b)


Figure S6. NCI isosurfaces for (a) ZnL and (b) $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$. The surfaces indicate the reduced density gradient at an isovalue of 0.5 au . The colour scheme used, from blue, through green to red, reflects the following range $-0.07 \mathrm{au}<\operatorname{sign}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \times \rho<0.03 \mathrm{au}$.






Figure S7. NCI-plots for interactions in ZnL.


Figure S8. NCI-plots for all interactions in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$.


Figure S9. NCI-plots for interactions in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$.


Figure S10. NCI-plot and associated isosurface (isovalue $=0.5$ a.u.) for the head-on approach of a methane dimer. $(-) \rho_{\mathrm{ICP}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=-0.0043 \mathrm{au},(+) \rho_{\mathrm{ICP}}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}=+0.0027$ a.u. (at the B97-D level)

Table S9. NCI-plot data for $\mathrm{ZnL}, \mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$

| Complex | Interaction | $(-) \rho_{\mathrm{ICP}}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ | (+) $\rho_{\text {ICP }}^{\mathrm{AB}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ | -0.07030 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | -0.05162 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | -0.04786 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ | -0.01126 | 0.01084 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | -0.00869 | 0.00736 |
|  | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{N}$ |  | 0.02152 |
|  | Pyridine Rings |  | 0.02395 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}{ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ | -0.06449 |  |
|  | Zn-O | -0.04062 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ | -0.01155 | 0.01104 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | -0.01007 | 0.00768 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ | -0.00596 | 0.00580 |
|  | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{N}$ |  | 0.02082 |
|  | Pyridine Rings |  | 0.02398 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ | -0.05520 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ | -0.01199 | 0.01134 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ | -0.00763 | 0.00663 |
|  | $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ |  | 0.01983 |
|  | Pyridine Rings |  | 0.02406 |
|  | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{N}$ Rings |  | 0.00154 |

(a)

(2)-Bpy
$\Delta \rho_{1}, \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(1)=-33.23 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

(2)-Bpy
$\Delta \rho_{1} ; \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(1)=-28.62 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

(2) $-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$
$\Delta \boldsymbol{\rho}_{1} ; \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(\mathbf{1})=-11.78 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

(2)-Bpy

$$
\Delta \rho_{2}, \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(2)=-11.61 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$


(2)-Bpy

$$
\Delta \rho_{2} ; \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(2)=-8.80 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$


$\Delta \rho_{1} ; \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(1)=-8.62 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

Figure S11. NOCV deformation densities for (a) $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds in (i) $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ and (ii) $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$, and (b) $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in (i) ZnL and (ii) $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$.


Figure S12. NOCV deformation densities for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$.

(2)-Bpy

$$
\Delta \rho_{18} ; \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(18)=-0.28 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$


(2)-Bpy
$\Delta \rho_{15} ; \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(15)=-1.11 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

(7)-pyr, $\alpha+\beta$
$\Delta \rho_{23}: \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(23)=-2.42 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

$\Delta \rho_{27} ; \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(27)=-2.74 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

Figure S13. NOCV deformation densities for $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ interactions in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$.

Table S10. NOCV deformation densities describing all interactions in all ZnBPy complexes

| Complex | Structural Bonds |  |  |  |  | Intramolecular interactions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bond | Fragmentation Scheme | $\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ channel | \|NOCV <br> Eigenvalue\| <br> (a.u.) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{o r b}}{ }^{\mathbf{k}} \\ \left({\left.\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)}^{\text {a }}\right. \text {. } \end{gathered}$ | Interaction | Fragmentation scheme | $\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ channel | NOCV <br> Eigenvalue\| <br> (a.u.) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{o r b}}{ }^{\mathbf{k}} \\ \left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| ZnL | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}$ | (2)-bpy | 1 | 0.43715 | -37.69 | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ | (7)-pyr | 21 | 0.06034 | -2.45 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0.23906 | -14.31 |  |  | 23 | 0.05304 | -1.81 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | (2) $-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.26448 | -11.78 | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}$ | (2)-bpy | 12 | 0.06581 | -1.82 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 2$ | (2) $-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.26261 | -10.88 |  |  | 14 | 0.04664 | -0.77 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 3$ | (2) $-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.26408 | -11.78 |  |  | 16 | 0.04114 | -0.38 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 4$ | (2) $-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.26296 | -10.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C-C | (7)-pyr | 1 | 1.10324 | -239.55 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0.38952 | -23.98 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}{ }_{2}$ | Zn-N1/N2 | (2)-bpy | 1 | 0.44706 | -33.23 | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ | (7)-pyr | 23 | 0.05881 | -2.42 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0.23805 | -11.61 |  |  | 25 | 0.05533 | -1.43 |
|  | Zn-N3/N4 | (2)-bpy | 1 | 0.44758 | -33.37 |  |  | 26 | 0.05307 | -1.71 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0.23803 | -11.64 |  |  | 27 | 0.05101 | -2.74 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O} 5$ | (2) $-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.23801 | -8.62 | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{N} 2)$ | (2)-bpy | 15 | 0.05571 | -1.11 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O6}$ | (2) $-\mathrm{OH}_{2}$ | 1 | 0.237 | -8.53 |  |  | 18 | 0.0419 | -0.28 |
|  | C-C | (7)-pyr | 1 | 1.10164 | -241.14 | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N} 3 / \mathrm{N} 4)$ | (2)-bpy | 15 | 0.05595 | -1.13 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1.0962 | -231.46 |  |  | 18 | 0.04209 | -0.26 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0.38961 | -27.22 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 0.38654 | -23.24 | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{N} 2)$ | (2)-bpy | 17 | 0.04667 | -0.58 |


| Complex | Structural Bonds |  |  |  |  | Intramolecular interactions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bond | Fragmentation Scheme | $\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ channel | \|NOCV <br> Eigenvalue\| (a.u.) | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{0 r b}}{ }^{\mathbf{k}} \\ \left(\mathrm{kcal} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Interaction | Fragmentation scheme | $\Delta \rho_{k}$ channel | NOCV <br> Eigenvalue\| (a.u.) | $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}^{k}$ <br> (kcal $\cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) |
| ZnL3 |  |  |  |  |  | CH $\cdot \bullet \bullet$ N (N3/N4) | (2)-bpy | 17 | 0.04664 | -0.58 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 55 / \mathrm{N} 56$ | (2)-bpy | 1 | 0.42853 | -28.62 | $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ | (7)-pyr | 26 | 0.05804 | -2.04 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 0.21834 | -8.80 |  |  | 27 | 0.05793 | -2.04 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 57 / \mathrm{N} 58$ | (2)-bpy | 1 | -0.42898 | -28.64 |  |  | 28 | 0.05604 | -1.31 |
|  |  |  | 2 | -0.21828 | -8.77 |  |  | 29 | 0.05427 | -2.42 |
|  | $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N} 59 / \mathrm{N} 60$ | (2)-bpy | $1$ | $0.42872$ | $-28.62$ |  |  | 30 | 0.05397 | -2.60 |
|  |  |  | 2 | $0.21819$ | $-8.76$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C-C | (7)-pyr | 1 | 1.10 | -241.54 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $2$ | $1.09$ | -228.60 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3 | $1.09$ | -228.57 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 4 | 0.38 | -28.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 5 | 0.38 | -22.79 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 6 | 0.38 | -21.19 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]

Figure S14. Relationship of the averaged orbital deformation energy per interaction with the interatomic distance for the indicated coordination bonds.


Figure S15. Examples of NOCV deformation densities for $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ interactions in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$.

Table S11. Calculating $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{k^{*}}$ for $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ interactions

|  | $\Delta \rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ channel | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{o r b}} \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{k}} \\ \left(\text { kcal mol }^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $m^{\text {[a] }}$ | $\left(\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\mathrm{k}} / \mathrm{m}\right.$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | 21 | -2.45 | 1 | -2.45 |
|  | 23 | -1.81 | 1 | -1.81 |
|  | sum | -4.27 | $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\text {k }}$ | -4.27 |
| ZnL 2 | 23 | -2.42 | 2 | -1.21 |
|  | 25 | -1.43 | 2 | -0.72 |
|  | 26 | -1.71 | 2 | -0.86 |
|  | 27 | -2.74 | 1 | -2.74 |
|  | sum | -8.30 | $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\text {k }}{ }^{\text {* }}$ | -5.52 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | 26A | -1.02 | 2 | -0.51 |
|  | 27A | -1.02 | 2 | -0.51 |
|  | 28A | -0.65 | 3 | -0.22 |
|  | 29A | -1.22 | 1 | -1.22 |
|  | 30A | -1.29 | 2 | -0.65 |
|  | 26B | -1.02 | 1 | -1.02 |
|  | 27B | -1.02 | 2 | -0.51 |
|  | 28B | -0.65 | 3 | -0.22 |
|  | 29B | -1.20 | 1 | -1.20 |
|  | 30B | -1.30 | 1 | -1.30 |
|  | sum | -10.41 | $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\text {k }}$ | -7.36 |

${ }^{[a]} m$ refers to the number of interactions showing density deformations for a specific NOCV channel
$\Delta E_{\text {Orb }}{ }^{\mathrm{k}^{*}}$ was calculated by visually inspecting each NOCV channel in order to approximate the number of described interactions and then normalizing $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ by dividing by the number of interactions. The sum of each such normalized $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ gives $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\mathrm{k}^{*}}$ for each interaction.

Table S12. Calculating $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\mathrm{k}^{*}}$ for $\mathrm{CH} \bullet \bullet \mathrm{O}$ interactions

|  | $\Delta \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}$ channel | $\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\text {orb }}{ }^{\mathbf{k}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{m}^{[\mathrm{a]}]}$ | $\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta \boldsymbol { E } _ { \text { orb } } { } ^ { \mathbf { k } } / \boldsymbol { m } )}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | 12 | -1.82 | 2 | -0.91 |
|  | 14 | -0.77 | 2 | -0.38 |
|  | 16 | -0.38 | 2 | -0.19 |
|  | sum | -2.96 |  | -1.48 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ | 15 | -1.11 | 1 | -1.11 |
| $N 1-N 2$ | 17 | -0.58 | 2 | -0.29 |
|  | 18 | -0.28 | 1 | -0.28 |
|  | sum | -1.97 |  | -1.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ | 15 | -1.13 | 1 | -1.13 |
| $N 3-N 4$ | 17 | -0.58 | 2 | -0.29 |
|  | 18 | -0.26 | 1 | -0.26 |
|  | sum | -1.97 |  | -1.68 |

${ }^{[a]} m$ refers to the number of interactions showing density deformations for a specific NOCV channel


Figure S16. Relationship of the averaged orbital deformation energy per interaction with the interatomic distance for the $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ interaction.


Figure S17. Dominating NOCV deformation densities, $\Delta \rho_{1}, \Delta \rho_{2}$ with the corresponding energies, $\Delta E_{\text {orb }}(1), \Delta E_{\text {orb }}(2)$, describing $\mathrm{CH}-$-HC contacts in dimer of dodecahedrane.

$\Delta E_{o r b}^{\sigma}=-182.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


$$
\Delta E_{o r b}^{\pi 1}=-14.9
$$


$\Delta E_{\text {orb }}^{\pi}{ }_{2}^{2}=-4.5$


$$
\Delta E_{\text {orb }}^{C H \cdots H C}=-1.1
$$

Figure S18. Leading deformation density channels describing the interactions between two phenyl rings (carrying opposite spin polarization) in planar biphenyl.

Table S13. ETS-NOCV description of deformation energies in four-fragment resolution

|  | $\underset{\text { [a] }}{\Delta E_{\text {total }}}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { [a] }]}}{\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\text {elstat }}}$ | $\underset{[\mathrm{a}][\mathrm{br}]}{\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\text {or }}}$ | $\Delta E_{\substack{\text { orb } \\ \text { \|b\| }}}(\pi)$ | $\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\substack{\text { dispersion } \\[\text { a] }}}$ | $\underset{\text { [a] }}{\Delta \boldsymbol{E}_{\text {Pauli }}}$ | $\Delta E_{\text {orbiblest) }}^{\text {b\| }}$ (rest | $\Delta E_{\substack{\text { orb } \\ \text { bb] }}}(\sigma)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | -392.86 | -345.16 | -182.03 | -18.24 | -20.00 | 154.33 | -35.75 | -128.04 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ | -432.01 | -367.87 | -203.74 | -39.60 | -24.57 | 164.17 | -38.14 | -126.20 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | -464.37 | -386.02 | -215.58 | -49.10 | -31.57 | 168.80 | -45.58 | -120.90 |

${ }^{\text {[a] }} \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {total }}=\Delta E_{\text {elstat }}+\Delta E_{\text {pauli }}+\Delta E_{\text {orb }}+\Delta E_{\text {dispersion }}$ (BLYP-D3/TZP),
${ }^{[b]} \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {orb }}=\Delta E_{\text {orb }}(\sigma)+\Delta E_{\text {orb }}(\pi)+\Delta E_{\text {orb }}($ rest $)$
All values in kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

$\Delta E_{\text {orb }}(\pi 1)=-10.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {orb }}(\pi 2)=-4.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \quad \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {orb }}(\pi 3)=-3.54 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$

Figure S19. NOCV $\pi$-contributions for $\mathrm{ZnL} . \Delta E \operatorname{orb}(\pi)=\Delta E \operatorname{orb}(\pi 1)+\Delta E \operatorname{orb}(\pi 2)+$ $\Delta E \operatorname{orb}(\pi 3)$. Isosurface $=0.0005 \mathrm{au}$.


Figure S20. Relationships between ETS-defined total interaction energy and indicated experimental data for the formation of $\mathrm{ZnL}_{\mathrm{n}}$ complexes.

Table S14. Calculated ${ }^{1} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H})$ coupling constants

|  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ $(\mathrm{CH} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{HC})$ $\mathrm{BP86} / \mathrm{TZ2P}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ $(\mathrm{CH} \cdot \bullet \cdot \mathrm{HC})$ $\mathrm{X} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / \mathrm{TZ2P}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ $(\mathrm{CH} \cdot \bullet 0)$ BP86/TZ2P | ${ }^{1} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H})$ $(\mathrm{CH} \cdot \bullet \bullet \mathrm{N})$ $\mathrm{BP} 86 / \mathrm{TZ2P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZnL | 159.97 (Hz) | 177.06 (Hz) | 174.72 | --------- |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{2}$ | 158.44 (Hz) | 175.72 (Hz) | 174.46 | 170.59 |
| $\mathrm{ZnL}_{3}$ | 156.68 (Hz) | 173.87 (Hz) | -------- | 165.75 |



Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ coupling constants for the $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ interaction in dodecahedron.


Figure S22. Relationship between IQA-defined interaction energy, $E_{\text {int }}^{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}$, and $\log \beta_{\mathrm{n}}$ - part (a), whereas $\rho_{\mathrm{BCP}}$ vs. $\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}$ is shown in part (b); both for the $\mathrm{CH} \cdot \cdots \mathrm{HC}$ interactions in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{\mathrm{n}}$.


Figure S23. Relationship between NOCV orbital interaction energy and topological properties a) $\rho_{\mathrm{BCP}}$ and b) $V_{\mathrm{BCP}}$ for the $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \cdot \mathrm{HC}$ interactions in $\mathrm{ZnL}_{\mathrm{n}}$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{[a]} k$ refers to the numbering of NOCV channels, ordered according to the magnitude of each NOCV channel's eigenvalue.

