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## 1. Structures
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$R-6 \cdot \mathrm{~d}_{1}$


R-16

$R-7 \cdot d_{1}$


R-17



## 2. Experimental Section

All experiments involving organolithium reagents were carried out under an inert atmosphere of argon or nitrogen and using freshly distilled solvents. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and THF were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. TMEDA and the conjugate acid of $(S, S)-\mathbf{2}$ was purified by Kugelrohr distillation from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Solutions of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ or THF$)$ were obtained from commercial sources. Solid $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCN}, \mathrm{LiCl}$ were flame-dried under vacuum prior to use. The concentration of commercial $s$-BuLi (solution in cyclohexane) and $n$-BuLi were determined prior to use by No-D NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{1}$ All electrophiles that were not newly purchased were distilled immediately before use. Newly purchased electrophiles with less than $98.5 \%$ purity were also distilled immediately before use. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel (230-400 mesh). Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on silica plates. Visualization of the TLC plates was aided by UV irradiation at 254 nm or by $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ staining. For enantiomer ratio (er) analyses, authentic racemic compounds were used to establish the method of separation of the enantiomers. The temperature was controlled by a thermostatted cooling coil and all reported temperatures were internal to a reaction vessel. The enantiomer ratios were determined by CSP-SFC. The following chiral columns were utilized; Regis Technologies Pirkle-Whelk-O-1 and Daicel Chiralcel OD-H. In some cases the enantiomer ratios were determined by CSP-GC on a $\beta$-cyclodextrin-permethylated 120 fused silica capillary column [ $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ i.d., $20 \%$ permethylated $\beta$-cyclodextrin in SPB-35 poly(35\% diphenyl/65\% dimethyl)siloxane. Unless otherwise indicated, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, DEPT-135, COSY 45, and HMQC NMR spectra were acquired using $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ as solvent at ambient temperature. Chemical shifts are quoted in parts per million (ppm).
$N$-Boc-piperidine, the alcohol precursors to ligands $(S, S)$-2 and $(S, R)$-2 were synthesized according to previously reported methods. ${ }^{2,3}$

### 2.1. General Procedure A: Catalytic Dynamic Resolution (CDR) of 2-lithio-N-Bocpiperidine followed by Transmetalation and Palladium-catalyzed Arylation

In an oven-dried, septum-capped 25 mL round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, freshly distilled $N$-Boc-piperidine ( $1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) and freshly distilled TMEDA ( $4 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv) were dissolved in freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under argon. The solution was cooled to $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $s$ BuLi ( $1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) was added slowly by means of a syringe, down the side of the flask, over a ten minute period. The mixture was stirred for 3 h to effect deprotonation, affording rac1•TMEDA. The freshly distilled diamino alcohol, precursor of ( $S, S$ )-2 $(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was treated with $s-\mathrm{BuLi}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. After complete deprotonation of $N$-Boc-piperidine as noted by MS, the preformed alkoxide $(S, S)-\mathbf{2}$ was added and the flask was quickly transferred to a second thermostatted bath at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and allowed to stir for 5 h . The mixture was cooled to -80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 0.6 equiv), was added slowly over a ten minute period and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes followed by warming to room temperature. After 30 minutes, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(0.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 8$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) and the aryl bromide ( $1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) were added sequentially. After stirring for 18 h at room temperature, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%$ aqueous solution) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The resulting slurry was filtered through Celite and rinsed with $5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The filtrate was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then with water $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product. The er was determined before and after purification by column chromatography.

Note: The purity of reagents (especially the chiral ligand) is critical to achieving a resolution under either catalytic or stoichiometric conditions! We occasionally face this challenge as well.

### 2.2. General Procedure B: Lithiation of ( $R$ )- $N$-Boc-2-arylpiperidine or pyrrolidine followed by direct trapping with the electrophile

To an oven-dried, septum-capped round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, was added freshly distilled TMEDA (4.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under argon. The solution was cooled to $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $s$-BuLi in cyclohexane ( 1.0 equiv) was added (note 1 ). A precooled solution of the $N$ -Boc-2-arylpiperidine ( 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the flask containing the TMEDA/s- BuLi mixture. After 30 min at this temperature, the mixture was quenched with the electrophile ( $\sim 1.1$
to 1.5 equiv). After $2-16 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (note 2 ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 5 min . After warming to room temperature, 2 M HCl was added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to obtain the crude product. The er was determined before and after purification by column chromatography.
Note 1: Cooling the $s$-BuLi before substrate addition obviates the need for slow addition. Using GC-MS analysis, we detect very small amounts (if any) of the byproducts formed by attack of $s$ BuLi on the Boc-group.
Note 2: In some cases, MeOH was added after warming to room temperature.

### 2.3. General Procedure C: Lithiation of (R)-N-Boc-2-arylpiperidine followed by CopperMediated Allylation or Benzylation

To an oven-dried, septum-capped round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, was added freshly distilled TMEDA (4.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under argon. The solution was cooled to $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $s$-BuLi in cyclohexane ( 1.0 equiv) was added. A precooled solution of the $N$-Boc-2arylpiperidine ( 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the flask containing the $\mathrm{TMEDA} / s-\mathrm{BuLi}$ mixture. After 30 min , a solution of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( 1.3 equiv, 1.0 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added slowly. After 30 min, a solution of $\mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}$ [prepared from CuCN ( 1.2 equiv) and LiCl ( 2.5 equiv)] in THF was added. After 30 min , allyl bromide or benzyl bromide ( 1.1 equiv) was added. The mixture was allowed to stir for 10 h at this temperature prior to addition of MeOH and warming to room temperature. A solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the crude product. The er was determined before and after purification by column chromatography.
2.4. General Procedure D: Lithiation of $N$-Boc-protected arylpiperidine with MeOD (or other electrophile): Screening reactions where only GC conversions are reported.

To an oven-dried, septum-capped 5 mL vial equipped with a stir bar, was added freshly distilled TMEDA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.24 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4.0$ equiv), $N$-Boc-2-arylpiperidine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.06 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.0$ equiv) under argon. It was cooled to $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $s$ - BuLi in cyclohexane ( 1.0 equiv) was added slowly. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ (or the desired screening electrophile) was added. The mixture was diluted with freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (ca 1 $\mathrm{mL})$. The ethereal layer was filtered through Celite. The sample was placed in a GC vial and analyzed by GC-MS for deuterium incorporation using chemical ionization (in some cases
electron impact ionization was utilized due to technical difficulties with the CI source). When the deprotonation is complete, there is a noticeable shift of the protonated molecular ion peak from $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$to $\mathrm{MH}^{+}+1$. In most cases, the base peak was utilized for analytical purposes. The sample was also analyzed by CSP-SFC for er evaluation.

### 2.5. General Procedure E: Preparation of $N$-Boc-(arylmethyl)-(3-chloro) propylamines. ${ }^{4}$

To a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(800 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil), washed with three portions of hexane, in THF ( 40 mL ) was added $N$-Boc-3-chloropropylamine ( $2.06 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol} 1.0$ equiv) in THF ( 10 mL ) and the arylmethyl bromide ( 15 mmol ). The suspension was heated at reflux for 8 h. Water ( 20 mL ) was added, and the solution was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x} 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give the crude product, which was purified by chromatography.

### 2.6. General Procedure F: Lithiation-cyclization of $N$-Boc-(arylmethyl)-(3-chloro)

 propylamines in the presence of (-)-sparteine: Synthesis of ( $S$ )- $N$-Boc-2-arylpyrrolidines. ${ }^{4}$ To an oven-dried, septum-capped round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, was added freshly distilled ( - )-sparteine ( 1.5 equiv) and freshly distilled toluene under argon. The solution was cooled to $-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $s-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in cyclohexane ( 1.5 equiv) was added. A precooled solution of the $N$-Boc-(arylmethyl)-(3-chloro) propylamine (1.0 equiv) in toluene was added to the flask containing the sparteine $/ s$ - BuLi mixture. After 7 h at this temperature, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added sequentially. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were washed with $5 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product. The er was determined before and after purification by column chromatography.Note: A similar procedure was used to synthesize racemic $N$-Boc-2-arylpyrrolidines for facilitation of er analysis by CSP-SFC. In such cases TMEDA was used in place of (-)-sparteine and the reaction time was shortened to 3 h .
2.7. General Procedure G: Lithiation-Substitution of $N$-Boc-protected arylpyrrolidine with MeOD: Screening reactions where only GC conversions are reported.

To an oven-dried, septum-capped 5 mL vial equipped with a stir bar, was added freshly distilled TMEDA ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.06 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.0$ equiv), the desired aryl pyrrolidine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.06$ M solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 1.0 equiv) under argon. It was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a pre-titrated (by No-D NMR) solution of $n$ - BuLi in hexanes ( $2.00 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv) was added down the side of the vial by
means of a microlitre syringe. After $3 \mathrm{~h}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, stored over molecular sieves, was added. The mixture was diluted with freshly distilled $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (calmL). The ethereal layer was filtered through Celite, placed in a GC vial and analyzed by GC-MS for deuterium incorporation using chemical ionization (in some cases electron impact ionization was utilized due to technical difficulties with the CI source). The crude mixture was also analyzed by CSP-SFC for er evaluation. When the deprotonation is complete, there is a noticeable shift of the protonated molecular ion peak from $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$to $\mathrm{MH}^{+}+1$. In most cases, the base peak was utilized for analytical purposes.

### 2.8. General Procedure H: Lithiation of ( R )- N -Boc-2-arylpyrrolidine followed by direct trapping with the electrophile

To an oven-dried, septum-capped round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, was added freshly distilled TMEDA (1.0 equiv) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under argon. The mixture was cooled to $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $n$ - BuLi in hexanes ( 1.0 equiv) was added. A precooled solution of the $N$-Boc-2arylpyrrolidine ( 1.0 equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the flask containing the $\mathrm{TMEDA} / n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ mixture. After 3 h at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was quenched with the electrophile ( $\sim 1.1$ to 1.5 equiv). After $2-16 \mathrm{~h}$, depending on the electrophile, MeOH was added and the mixture was stirred for 5 min. After warming to room temperature, 2 M HCl was added. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated to obtain the crude product. The er was determined before and after column chromatography.

## 3. Synthesis of ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )- N -Boc-2-arylpiperidines

In the wake of recent publications from O'Brien et al ${ }^{5}$ and from Knochel and coworkers ${ }^{6}$, we have slightly modified the previously reported procedure for the enantioselective arylation of N -Boc-piperidine. The minor change is the decrease in the amounts of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ and the aryl bromide.

## 3.1. ( $R$ )- $N$-Boc-2-phenylpiperidine



R-3
Using General Procedure A, $N$-Boc-piperidine ( $3700 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 80.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}(24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), the alcohol
precursor of $(S, S)-\mathbf{2}\left(214 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$, in $4.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ pretreated with freshly titrated $s-\mathrm{BuLi}), \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), phenyl bromide ( $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(460 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel column chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (94:6) afforded 3.7 g of the pure product as an oil in $71 \%$ yield and $96: 4 \mathrm{er}$; spectroscopic data as previously reported. ${ }^{3}$

## 3.2. (R)-N-Boc-2-(3,4-dimethoxy)phenylpiperidine



Using General Procedure A, $N$-Boc-piperidine ( $740 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\mathrm{BuLi}(3.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{M}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), the alcohol precursor of $(S, S)-2\left(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$, in $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ pretreated with freshly titrated $s$ $\mathrm{BuLi}), \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), 4-bromoveratrole ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 8$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel column chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (85:15) afforded 990 mg of the pure product as an oil in $73 \%$ yield and 97:3 er; spectroscopic data as previously reported. ${ }^{3}$

## 3.3. ( $R$ )- $N$-Boc-2-(4-cyano)phenylpiperidine



Using General Procedure A, $N$-Boc-piperidine ( $740 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{M}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), the alcohol precursor of $(S, S)-\mathbf{2}\left(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$, in $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ pretreated with freshly titrated $s$ $\mathrm{BuLi}), \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), 4-bromobenzonitrile ( $797 \mathrm{mg}, 4.4$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$, $8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel column chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (90:10) afforded 790 mg of the pure product as an oil in $69 \%$ yield and 91:9 er; spectroscopic data as previously reported. ${ }^{3}$

## 3.4. (R)-N-Boc-2-(1-naphthyl)piperidine



Using General Procedure A, $N$-Boc-piperidine ( $740 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}(4.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv), the alcohol precursor of $(S, S)-2\left(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$, in $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ pretreated with freshly titrated $s-$ $\mathrm{BuLi}), \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), 1-bromonaphthalene ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 8$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel column chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (60:40) afforded 871 mg of the pure product as an amorphous solid in 70\% yield and 97:3 er; spectroscopic data as previously reported. ${ }^{3}$

## 4. Lithiation-substitution of $(\boldsymbol{R})$ - N -Boc-2-phenylpiperidine with several electrophiles

### 4.1. With MeOD


$R-3 \cdot d_{1}$
Using General Procedure D, $R$ - $\mathbf{3}$ of $96: 4$ er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration. There is a noticeable shift of the protonated base peak from $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 206$ for $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 207$ for $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$.


Note 1: Although, we observed complete formation of organolithium $\mathbf{8}$ in the absence of a ligand after 60 min at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, we add excess TMEDA to enhance the configurational stability of the benzylic organolithium (see Figure below) and to speed up the lithiation.
Note 2: It is absolutely necessary to minimize the amount of excess $s$ - BuLi in order to avoid undesirable lithiation at C-6. The absence of a byproduct with $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 208$ clearly means that no simultaneous deuteration at C-2 and C-6 occurred under the reaction conditions.
Note 3: Lithiation at higher temperatures resulted in a complex mixture due to the possibility of attack on the Boc-group by $s$-BuLi and due to enhanced possibility of lithiation at C-6.
Note 4: The lithiation can be carried out using $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but longer reaction times ( $>2 \mathrm{~h}$ ) or higher temperatures are required.


### 4.2. With $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$



R-9
Using General Procedure B, $R$-3 of $96: 4$ er ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 18 h prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (93:7) afforded 217 mg of $R-9$ as an oil in 79\% yield and 95:5 er. All other spectroscopic data as reported for rac-9. ${ }^{7}$ The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=3.0 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 10.4 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 12.4 \mathrm{~min}$.





## Boc-deprotection

To a solution of $R-9$ ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 6 h and then concentrated under high vacuum to give the desired product as the hydrochloride salt. It was then basified to pH 12
with $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give 83 g of the free amine in $94 \%$ yield, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}-21\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, lit ${ }^{8}$. for enantiopure deprotected $R-9[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}-18\left(c 0.33, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$, all other data as reported. ${ }^{8}$


## Spectral data for the free base:





### 4.3. With TMSCl



Using General Procedure B, $R$ - $\mathbf{3}$ of $96: 4 \mathrm{er}(261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}(144 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2$ mmol, 1.2 equiv) for 4 h prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH and warming to rt, gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (90:10) afforded 293 mg of $S \mathbf{- 1 0}$ as an oil in $88 \%$ yield and $96: 4$ er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63-$ $7.05(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NCH}), 2.77-2.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95-1.22(13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.21(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{x}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=156.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.1(\mathrm{C}), 128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 124.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 79.3(\mathrm{C}), 57.0(\mathrm{C}), 41.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.5\left(3 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and 0.9 ( $3 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=1.5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 7.3 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 8.2 \mathrm{~min}$.

ESHMS




TMSCI quench







### 4.4. With EtOCOCl



Using General Procedure B, $R$ - $\mathbf{3}$ of $96: 4$ er ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{EtOCOCl}(0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) for 2 h prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH and warming to rt , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (85:15) afforded 283 mg of $R-\mathbf{1 1}$ as an oil in $85 \%$ yield and $96: 4$ er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-$ $7.21(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 4.27-4.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, quartet, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NCH}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NCH}), 1.72-$ $0.96(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=172.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of ester), $156.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.1(\mathrm{C})$, $127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 80.5(\mathrm{C}), 67.5(\mathrm{C}), 61.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.1\left(3 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=3.0 \%$ EtOH. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 10.7 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 11.9 \mathrm{~min}$.


EtOCOCI quench after

30 mln of lithlation






### 4.5. With acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$



Using General Procedure B, $R$ - 3 of $96: 4$ er ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 2 h prior to warming to rt and addition of 2 mL MeOH gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (60:40) afforded 229 mg of the oxazolidinone $R \mathbf{- 1 2}$ as an amorphous solid in $90 \%$ yield and $95: 5$ er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.55-7.15(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}), 3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}), 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd})$, 2.22-1.31 (5H and 6D, m). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=158.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 136.6(\mathrm{C}), 128.7$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 127.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.0(\mathrm{CH}), 77.2(\mathrm{C}), 69.4(\mathrm{C}), 40.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.3\left(2 \times \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right), 24.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, under the following
column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=$ $10 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 3.9 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 4.2 \mathrm{~min}$.






### 4.6. With allyl bromide



S-13
Using General Procedure C, $R$ - 3 of $96: 4 \mathrm{er}(261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), $\mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}$ [prepared from CuCN ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv)], allyl bromide ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 10 h prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH and warming to rt , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (95:5) afforded $198 \mathbf{m g}$ of $S$ - $\mathbf{1 3}$ as an oil in $66 \%$ yield and 92:8 er. All other spectroscopic data as reported ${ }^{7}$ for rac-13. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=3 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 10.1 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 13.1 \mathrm{~min}$.




### 4.7. With benzyl bromide



S-14
Using General Procedure C, $R-3$ of $96: 4$ er ( $261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 10 mL ), $s$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), $\mathrm{CuCN} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}$ [prepared from $\mathrm{CuCN}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv) and $\mathrm{LiCl}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv)], benzyl bromide ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 10 h prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH and warming to rt , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (95:5) afforded 259 mg of $S$-14 as an oil in $71 \%$ yield and 94:6 er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.50-7.18(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.21-3.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}), 2.45-1.40(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.35(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=155.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 138.6(\mathrm{C}), 137.8(\mathrm{C}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.3(\mathrm{CH}), 79.7(\mathrm{C}), 63.3(\mathrm{C}), 43.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 40.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.3(3 \mathrm{x}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at

210 nm , by comparison a racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=5.0 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 6 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 7 \mathrm{~min}$.






| 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 10 | ppm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



5. Lithiation-substitution of other $(\boldsymbol{R})$ - $N$-Boc-2-arylpiperidines with several electrophiles
5.1. ( $R$ )- $N$-Boc-2-(3,4-dimethoxy)phenylpiperidine:

### 5.1.1. With MeOD



Using General Procedure D, $R-\mathbf{4}$ of $97: 3$ er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration after 30 min . There is a noticeable shift of the protonated base peak from $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 222$ for $\mathbf{4}$ to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 223 for $\mathbf{4} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

### 5.1.3. With acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$



Using General Procedure B, $R-\mathbf{4}$ of $97: 3$ er ( $321 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 2 h prior to warming to rt and addition of 2 mL MeOH gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (30:70) afforded $289 \mathbf{m g}$ of the oxazolidinone $R \mathbf{- 1 5}$ as an amorphous solid in $93 \%$ yield and 97:3 er. 1H NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=6.95-6.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.10-3.68(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.31-2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.22-1.31(5 \mathrm{H}$ and $6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{m}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=158.2$ and $158.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $156.0(\mathrm{C}), 149.3,149.1$, and $148.4(\mathrm{C}), 118.0(\mathrm{CH}), 111.5$ and $111.4(\mathrm{CH}), 109.0(\mathrm{CH}), 78.9(\mathrm{C})$, $69.1(\mathrm{C}), 56.9,56.1,56.0,55.9(\mathrm{OMe}), 40.6$ and $40.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.2,30.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.4\left(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)$, $24.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=$ $10 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 14$. min and the major elutes after $\sim 15.4 \mathrm{~min}$.




5.2. (R)-N-Boc-2-(4-tert-butyl)phenylpiperidine:

### 5.2.1. With MeOD



Using General Procedure D, $R-5$ of $90: 10$ er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration after 30 min .


## 5.3. ( $R$ )- $N$-Boc-2-(4-cyano)phenylpiperidine:

### 5.3.1. With MeOD



Using General Procedure D, $R-6$ of $91: 9$ er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration after 30 min and $\mathbf{6} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was obtained with no loss of er. There is a noticeable shift of the protonated base peak from $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 287$ for $\mathbf{6}$ to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 288$ for $\mathbf{6} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

### 5.3.2. With $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$



Using General Procedure B, $R-6$ of $90: 10$ er ( $286 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\operatorname{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$
mmol, 1.5 equiv) for 18 h prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (90:10) afforded 213 mg of $R-16$ as an oil in $71 \%$ yield and 90:10 er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $156.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 155.6(\mathrm{C}), 131.9(\mathrm{CH}), 125.2(\mathrm{CH}), 119.2(\mathrm{C}), 109.2$ (C of nitrile), $80.0(\mathrm{C}), 59.8$ (C), $41.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.1\left(3 \mathrm{xCH}_{3}\right), 23.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=3.0 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 4.5 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 5.4 \mathrm{~min}$.


From rac-6





## 5.4. (R)- $N$-Boc-2-(1-naphthyl)piperidine:

### 5.4.1. With MeOD



Using General Procedure D, $R$-7 of $97: 3$ er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration after 30 min and $R-\mathbf{7} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was obtained with no loss of er. There is a noticeable shift of the protonated base peak from $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 128$ for $\mathbf{7}$ to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 129$ for $\mathbf{7 \cdot} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$.


### 5.4.2. With $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$



Using General Procedure B, $R-7$ of $97: 3 \mathrm{er}(311 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, 4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ mmol, 1.5 equiv) for 18 h prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH , gave the crude product as an oil.

Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (95:5) afforded 240 mg of $R-\mathbf{1 7}$ as an oil in $74 \%$ yield and $93: 7$ er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.34-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.35(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 17 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $=155.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 139.1(\mathrm{C}), 134.0(\mathrm{C}) 131.5(\mathrm{C}), 128.9(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 124.9(\mathrm{CH}), 123.5(\mathrm{CH}), 123.2(\mathrm{CH}) 79.5,60.4(\mathrm{C}), 41.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.3(3 \mathrm{x}$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 25.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 20.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=$ $3.0 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 15.6 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 17.3 \mathrm{~min}$.




From R-7 of 97:3 er





## 6. Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{N}$-Boc-2-arylpyrrolidine

$R$-18 (96:4 er) was synthesized using the Campos procedure ${ }^{9}$. Subsequent syntheses of $(R)-N$ -Boc-2-arylpyrrolidines were accomplished using the two-ligand catalytic asymmetric deprotonation-transmetalation-Negishi coupling method reported by O'Brien and Campos. ${ }^{5}$

(S)-N-Boc-2-phenylpyrrolidine of 96:4 er was synthesized using Beak's lithiation-cyclization procedure ${ }^{4}$ with $(-)$-sparteine. When $(-)$-sparteine was replaced by TMEDA, the racemic $2-$ arylpyrrolidines, (for er evaluation purposes on CSP-SFC) were prepared in 10 mg scale.


Note: The racemic lithiation of $N$-Boc-pyrrolidine in the presence of TMEDA proceeds in very low yield under the Campos conditions. ${ }^{5}$ In some cases the racemic arylation was accomplished using the diamine-free route reported by O'Brien and coworkers. ${ }^{10}$

## 7. Lithiation-substitution of ( $R$ )- $N$-Boc-2-phenylpyrrolidine

### 7.1. Lithiation-substitution with MeOD



Using General Procedure G, $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ of $96: 4$ er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration after 3 h and $R \mathbf{- 1 8} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was obtained with no loss of er. There is a noticeable shift of the protonated base peak from m/z 192 for $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 193$ for $R-\mathbf{1 8} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$.
$S$-18 of 96:4 er also gave the same results.


GC-MS traces from chemical ionization



### 7.2. Lithiation-substitution with $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$



R-25
Using General Procedure H, $R$ - 18 of $96: 4$ er ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), n-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 0.15 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 8 h at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (95:5) afforded 224 mg of $R \mathbf{- 2 5}$ as an oil in $86 \%$ yield and $94: 6$ er. All other spectroscopic data as reported for $\mathrm{rac-25} .{ }^{7}$ The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=2.0 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 5.6 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 7.6 \mathrm{~min}$.

## CSP-SFC trace

Pirkle-Whelk-0-1, Flow $=\mathbf{2 . 0}$, $\operatorname{Mod} \%=\mathbf{2 \%} \mathrm{MeOH}$
$\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ quench after 3 h of lithiation at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ether





### 7.3. Lithiation-substitution with dimethyl formamide



R-26
Using General Procedure B, $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ of $96: 4$ er ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv), dimethyl formamide ( $0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 8 h at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH , gave the crude product as an oil in 96:4 er. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (80:20) afforded 228 mg of $R-\mathbf{2 6}$ as an oil in $83 \%$ yield (note 3 ) and $>99: 1 \mathrm{er} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), mixture of rotomers, $\delta 9.8-9.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}$ of CHO$), 7.55-7.10(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{Ph}), 3.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{NCH}), 2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.92-1.15(11 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=198.2$ and $197.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of aldehyde), $153.7(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 138.8(\mathrm{C}), 128.3$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH})$ and $126.3(\mathrm{CH}), 81.2$ and $80.6(\mathrm{C}), 74.3(\mathrm{C}), 47.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.3$ and $38.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.4$ and $28.0\left(3 \mathrm{xCH}_{3}\right), 23.4$ and $22.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the
following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=5.0 \%$ EtOH. The major enantiomer elutes after $\sim 7.8 \mathrm{~min}$ and the minor elutes after ~9.6 min.

Note 3: The total yield includes some amount of the C-5 aldehyde obtained due to competitive lithiation at C-5 under the reaction conditions. Spectral data are based on a carefully rechromatographed sample.
The experiment was repeated using General Procedure H but only the GC yield ( $88 \%$ ) was obtained and the product wasn't purified further.

## ESI-MS








### 7.4. With EtOCOCl



R-27
Using General Procedure H, $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ of $96: 4$ er ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{EtOCOCl}(0.13$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 2 h (note 4 ) prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH and warming to rt , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexaneEtOAc (75:25) afforded 283 mg of $R-27$ as an oil in $70 \%$ yield (note 5) and 94:6 er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), mixture of rotomers, $\delta 7.48-7.15(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.40-4.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.97-1.15(14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=$ $172.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of ester), $154.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 140.1(\mathrm{C}), 127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.1(\mathrm{CH}), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 79.5(\mathrm{C})$, $72.5(\mathrm{C}), 61.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 44.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.1\left(3 \mathrm{xCH}_{3}\right)$, $23.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, and $14.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an
authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=2.0 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 16.2 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 19.7 \mathrm{~min}$.

Note 4: The electrophilic quench was carried out for 2 h after lithiating for 3 h .
Note 5: The total yield includes some amount of the C-5 ester obtained due to competitive lithiation at $\mathrm{C}-5$ under the reaction conditions. Spectral data are based on a carefully rechromatographed sample.

The experiment was repeated using General Procedure H but only the GC yield (79\%) was obtained and the product wasn't purified further.



Pirkle-Whelk-0-1, Flow = 1.0, Mod $\%=2 \%$ MeOH





### 7.5. Lithiation-substitution with acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$



R-28
Using General Procedure B, $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ of $96: 4$ er ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), s-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}(96$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 2 h (note 6) prior to warming to rt and addition of 2 mL MeOH gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexaneEtOAc (60:40) afforded 201 mg of the oxazolidinone $R-28$ as an amorphous solid in $85 \%$ yield (note 7) and 94:6 er. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=7.55-7.15(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.10-1.15(3 \mathrm{H}$ and $6 \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{m}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta=161.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $138.1(\mathrm{C}), 128.6(\mathrm{CH}), 128.4(\mathrm{CH}), 127.9(\mathrm{CH}), 82.2(\mathrm{C}), 78.2(\mathrm{C}), 45.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.5$ $\left(2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{CD}_{3}\right), 23.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=$ $2 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. The minor enantiomer elutes after $\sim 12.8 \mathrm{~min}$ and the major elutes after $\sim 18.8 \mathrm{~min}$.

Note 6: The electrophilic quench was carried out for 2 h after lithiating for 3 h .
Note 7: The total yield includes some amount of the C-5 oxazolidinone obtained due to competitive lithiation at C-5 under the reaction conditions. Spectral data are based on a carefully recolumned sample.

The experiment was repeated using General Procedure H but only the GC yield (92\%) was obtained and the product wasn't purified further.







### 7.6. With 2-bromotoluene



To an oven-dried, septum-capped round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, was added $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ of 96:4 er ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon. The mixture was cooled to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $s$-BuLi in hexanes ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv) was added slowly. After 3 h at this temperature, a solution of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), was added slowly over a two minute period and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes followed by warming to room temperature. After 30 minutes, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(10 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), t$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and 2 -bromotoluene ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) were added sequentially. After stirring for 48 h at room temperature, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%$ aqueous solution) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The resulting slurry was filtered through Celite and rinsed with $5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The filtrate was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then with water ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (90:10) afforded $R-29$ as an oil in less than $10 \%$ yield and $92: 8$ er.

Note 8: When the lithiation of $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ was carried out using the conditions in General Procedure $\mathbf{H}$, followed by arylation as described above, we obtained 34 mg of $R-\mathbf{2 9}$ in $8 \%$ yield and $92: 8$ er. Note 9: When rac-18 was lithiated in the absence of TMEDA at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then arylated as described above, we obtained 51 mg of $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{2 9}$ in $12 \%$ yield.



8. Lithiation-substitution of other $N$-Boc-2-arylpyrrolidines
8.1. Lithiation-substitution of ( $R$ )- N -Boc-2-(o-toluyl)pyrrolidine with MeOD


Using General Procedure G, $R$ - $\mathbf{1 9}$ of 90:10 er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration after 3 h and $R \mathbf{- 1 9 \cdot} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was obtained with no loss of er. There is a noticeable shift of the protonated base peak from m/z 206 for $\mathbf{1 9}$ to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 207$ for $R-\mathbf{1 9 \cdot} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

Pirkle-Whelk-0-1; Flow = 1.0, Modifier $\%=5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$


### 8.2. Lithiation-substitution of (R)-N-Boc-2-(2-pyridyl)pyrrolidine with MeOD




### 8.3. Lithiation-substitution of (R)-N-Boc-2-(1-naphthyl)pyrrolidine, 21

## a) With MeOD

Using General Procedure G, $R$ - $\mathbf{2 1}$ of $95: 5$ er and 0.1 mL MeOD showed complete deuteration after 3 h and $R \mathbf{- 2 1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was obtained with no loss of er. There is a noticeable shift of the protonated base peak from $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 297$ for $\mathbf{2 1}$ to $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 298$ for $R-\mathbf{2 1} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

b) With $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$


R-31

Using General Procedure H, $R$ - 21 of $95: 5$ er ( $311 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TMEDA ( $0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0$ mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), n-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.0\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 0.15 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv) for 8 h at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to addition of 2 mL MeOH , gave the crude product as an oil. Purification by silica gel chromatography eluting with hexane-EtOAc (80:20) afforded 291 mg of $R \mathbf{- 3 1}$ as an oil in $90 \%$ yield and $95: 5 \mathrm{er}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (mixture of rotomers) (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.23-7.37(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.29-1.82(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.58-1.35$ and $0.78(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=155.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 142.1(\mathrm{C})$, 134.0 (C) $131.5(\mathrm{C}), 128.9(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4(\mathrm{CH}), 124.9(\mathrm{CH}), 123.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $123.2(\mathrm{CH}) 79.5,67.4(\mathrm{C}), 47.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 28.3\left(3 \mathrm{XCH}_{3}\right), 22.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The enantiomer ratio was evaluated by CSP-SFC, monitoring at 210 nm , by comparison with an authentic racemic sample, under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=10.0 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$.


CSP-SFC trace; Column: Pirke Whell-0-1, flow rate $=0.5$, modfier $=10 \%$ MeOH
From 95:5 er (R:S)






## c) With bromobenzene:



To an oven-dried, septum-capped round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar, was added $R$ - $\mathbf{2 1}$ of 95:5 er ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon. The mixture was cooled to $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}, 1.0$ equiv) was added slowly. After 3 h at this temperature, a solution of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}\left(0.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}\right.$ solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.6$ equiv), was added slowly over a two minute period and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes followed by warming to room temperature. After 30 minutes, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{~mol} \%), t$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and phenyl bromide ( $0.033 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv) were added sequentially. After stirring for 48 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%$ aqueous solution) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. The resulting slurry was filtered through Celite and rinsed with $5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The filtrate was washed with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}_{(\mathrm{aq})}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, then with water ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude
product. Analysis of the crude product by CG-MS showed complete conversion of $\mathbf{2 1}$ but less than $5 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{3 2}$ was present.
Enamine byproduct formed during Pd-catalyzed arylation of Boc-Pyrr-2-Np with phenyl bromide:


33
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (mixture of rotomers) $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=8.23-7.37(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{br}), 4.26$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{br}), 2.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{br}), 1.01-0.61(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta=155.4$ (C=O), 142.1 (C), 141.8 (C), 134.0 (C) 131.5 (C), $128.9(\mathrm{CH}), 127.3(\mathrm{CH}), 125.8(\mathrm{CH}), 125.4$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 124.9(\mathrm{CH}), 123.5(\mathrm{CH}), 123.2(\mathrm{CH}) 112.2(\mathrm{CH}), 79.8,48.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.3(3 \mathrm{x}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ).



8.4. Lithiation-substitution of ( $S$ )- N -Boc-2-(4-cyanophenyl)pyrrolidine with MeOD


Pirke-Whelk-D-1; Flow $=1.0$, Modifier $\%=3 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$


GC-MS traces from electron impact ionization



## 9. Dynamics of Inversion of 24



## Typical kinetic run:

In oven-dried, septum-capped tubes equipped with a stir bar, $R-\mathbf{1 8}(0.06 \mathrm{M}$ in ether, 0.5 mL$)$ and 0.06 M TMEDA ( 0.00 or 1.00 equiv) were treated with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 1.0 equiv) at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h under nitrogen. The total volume of each tube was maintained at 1.0 mL . The tubes were quickly transferred to a second bath thermostatted at the desired temperature (see tables below). At various time intervals over a four-hour period, a tube was transferred to a bath at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and rapidly quenched with MeOD . Each tube was analyzed by GC-MS to ensure $100 \%$ deuterium incorporation (indicative of complete lithiation). The enantiomer ratio (er) of $\mathbf{1 8} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was determined by CSP-SFC monitoring at 210 nm under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=2.0 \% \mathrm{EtOH} . S$ $\mathbf{1 8} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ elutes after $\sim 4.2 \mathrm{~min}$ and $R \mathbf{- 1 8} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ elutes after $\sim 5.7 \mathrm{~min}$. The rate constants were determined by non-linear fitting of the zero-order plots using reversible first-order kinetics. Using reversible first-order kinetics, the fraction of the $R$-enantiomer starting from $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ (96:4 er) as a function of time $(t)$, is given by $(R)_{t}=0.5+(0.96-0.50)\left(e^{-k_{r a c} t}\right)$ where $k_{\text {rac }}$ is the observed rate constant for the racemization. The enantiomerization rate constant, $k_{\text {ent }}=k_{\text {rac }} / 2$.

## Notes

(a) $\mathrm{In}_{\mathrm{Et}}^{2} \mathrm{O}$, the lithiation of $R$ - $\mathbf{1 8}$ (96:4 er) was carried out for 3 h both in the absence of any ligand and in the presence of TMEDA or 23.
(b) In THF, the lithiation of $S \mathbf{- 1 8}$ (96:4 er) was carried out for 1 h in the absence of any ligand.
(c) In 2-MeTHF, the lithiation of $R-\mathbf{1 8}$ (96:4 er) was carried out for 1 h in the absence of any ligand.

Table S1. Enantiomer ratios for racemization of 24 in the absence of any ligand in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| a) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| 0 | 0.960 |
| 0.5 | 0.915 |
| 2.5 | 0.823 |
| 4.5 | 0.753 |

b) at $-7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.960 |
| 0.25 | 0.858 |
| 0.5 | 0.757 |
| 1 | 0.645 |
| 2 | 0.541 |

c) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.960 |
| 0.1667 | 0.805 |
| 0.5 | 0.633 |
| 0.75 | 0.585 |
| 1 | 0.539 |

d) at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Time $(\mathrm{h})$ | Fraction $R$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.960 |
| 0.083333 | 0.824 |
| 0.25 | 0.627 |
| 0.5 | 0.539 |
| 0.75 | 0.501 |

Figure S1. Evolution of er in the enantiomerization of $R$-24 in the absence of any ligand in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.


KEY: 281 K ; triangles, 273 K ; squares, 266 K ; diamonds, 253 K ; circles

## Enantiomerization in the absence of any ligand at 281 K in $\mathrm{Er}_{2} \mathrm{O}$




Table S2. Enantiomer ratios for enantiomerization of $\mathbf{2 4}$ in the presence of 1 equiv TMEDA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| a) at $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| 0 | 0.960 |
| 0.5 | 0.919 |
| 1 | 0.875 |
| 1.5 | 0.828 |
| 2.5 | 0.784 |
| 3.5 | 0.752 |

b) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.96 |
| 0.1667 | 0.93 |
| 0.5 | 0.861 |
| 0.75 | 0.82 |
| 1 | 0.789 |

Figure S2. Evolution of er in the enantiomerization of $R$-24 in the presence of 1 equiv TMEDA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at various temperatures.


KEY: 291 K ; triangles, 281 K ; squares, 273 K ; circles, 266 K ; diamonds


Table S3. Enantiomer ratios for enantiomerization of 24 in the presence of 1 equiv DIB, 23, in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| a) at $-2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $S$ |
| 0 | 0.96 |
| 0.1667 | 0.945 |
| 0.5 | 0.922 |
| 1 | 0.893 |

b) at $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Time (h) | Fraction $S$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.953 |
| 0.08333 | 0.913 |
| 0.25 | 0.872 |
| 0.5 | 0.84 |
| 0.75 | 0.77 |

c) at $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Time (min) | Fraction $S$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.96 |
| 0.08333 | 0.875 |
| 0.25 | 0.784 |
| 0.5 | 0.724 |
| 0.75 | 0.643 |
| 1.25 | 0.543 |

Evolution of er in the enantiomerization of $R$-24 in the presence of 1 equiv DIB in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at various temperatures.


KEY: 287 K ; squares, 281 K ; circles, 271 K ; diamonds


Enantiomerization in the presence of 1 equiv DIB at 281 K in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

## CSP-SFC conditions: Flow rate $=0.5, \mathrm{Mod} \%=10$



Table 4. Enantiomer ratios for enantiomerization of S-24 in the absence of any ligand in THF (lithiation with $n$-BuLi).

| a) at $-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | c) at $-31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $S$ | Time (h) | Fraction $S$ |
| 0.5 | 0.88 | 0.083333 | 0.754 |
| 1 | 0.8 | 0.25 | 0.652 |
| 2 | 0.701 | 0.5 | 0.603 |
| 4 | 0.628 | 1 | 0.519 |
| 8 | 0.51 |  |  |
| b) at $-43^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |
| Time (h) | Fraction $S$ |  |  |
| 0.08333 | 0.872 |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.658 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.578 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.512 |  |  |

Evolution of er in the enantiomerization of $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 2 4}$ in the absence of any ligand in THF at various temperatures.


The rate constants were obtained from a nonlinear fit of the equation $(S)_{t}=0.5+\left(S_{i n i}-0.50\right)\left(e^{-k_{r a c t}}\right)$
Since the initial values at $\mathrm{t}=0, S_{i n i}$, were not determined experimentally, $S_{i n i}$, and $k_{r a c}$ were both treated as variable parameters.

## Enantiomerization in the absence of

 any ligand at -31 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF

Table 5. Enantiomer ratios for enantiomerization of $R-24$ in the absence of any ligand in 2-MeTHF at $-31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| $\mathrm{T}=-31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| 0 | 0.898 |
| 0.25 | 0.850 |
| 0.5 | 0.823 |
| 1 | 0.782 |



Table 6. Eyring plot parameters for enantiomerization of 24
Eyring analysis of the rate constants at their respective temperatures was performed using the equation $\ln \left(\frac{k_{\text {ent }}}{T}\right)=-\frac{\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{R T}+\ln \frac{k_{B}}{h}+\frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}}{R} \quad$ where $\quad k_{\text {ent }}=$ rate constant for the enantiomerization ( $S$ to $R$ or vice versa), $\mathrm{T}=$ absolute temperature, $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}=$ enthalpy of activation, $\mathrm{R}=$ molar gas constant, $k_{B}=$ Boltzmann's constant, $\mathrm{h}=$ Planck's constant, $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ddagger}=$ entropy of activation.

The analysis of the Eyring plots is based on the assumption that $A$ (the Arrhenius pre-exponential factor), $E_{a}$ (the activation energy), and $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}$ are independent of temperature. ${ }^{11}$ This
approximation is generally considered valid over a small temperature range, such as used in these experiments.
(a) No ligand in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Temp $(\mathrm{K})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {rac }}\left(\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {rac }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {ent }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 253 | 0.00395257 | $0.358 \pm 0.06$ | -15.770 | -16.463 |
| 266 | 0.0037594 | $3.184 \pm 0.61$ | -13.636 | -14.329 |
| 273 | 0.003663 | $6.703 \pm 0.93$ | -12.917 | -13.610 |
| 281 | 0.00355872 | $13.53 \pm 1.04$ | -12.244 | -12.937 |

## (b) 1 equiv TMEDA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathbf{O}$

| Temp $(\mathrm{K})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {rac }}\left(\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {rac }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {ent }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 266 | 0.0037594 | $0.528 \pm 0.07$ | -15.433 | -16.126 |
| 273 | 0.003663 | $1.314 \pm 0.03$ | -14.547 | -15.240 |
| 281 | 0.00355872 | $3.705 \pm 0.07$ | -13.539 | -14.232 |
| 291 | 0.00343643 | $7.564 \pm 1.04$ | -12.860 | -13.553 |

(c) 1 equiv DIB in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Temp $(\mathrm{K})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {rac }}\left(\mathrm{x} 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {rac }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {ent }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 271 | 0.00369004 | $0.4598 \pm 0.008$ | -15.589 | -16.282 |
| 281 | 0.00355872 | $1.910 \pm 0.02$ | -14.201 | -14.894 |
| 287 | 0.00348432 | $4.429 \pm 0.08$ | -13.382 | -14.075 |

(d) No ligand in THF

| Temp $(\mathrm{K})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $k_{\text {rac }}\left(\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {rac }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {ent }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 216 | 0.00462963 | $1.06 \pm 0.12$ | -14.531 | -15.224 |
| 230 | 0.00434783 | $5.3 \pm 0.19$ | -12.980 | -13.674 |
| 242 | 0.00413223 | $9.8 \pm 1.4$ | -12.416 | -13.109 |

a. $k_{r a c}=k_{R S}+k_{S R}=2 k_{e n t}$

From an Eyring plot,
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}=-$ slope $\cdot \mathrm{R} ; \frac{\operatorname{Err}(\Delta H)}{\Delta H}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\operatorname{err}(\text { slope })}{\text { slope }}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\operatorname{err}(R)}{R}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\operatorname{err}(\operatorname{slope})}{\text { slope }}\right)^{2}}$ since $\operatorname{err}(\mathrm{R})=0$
Similarly, $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=$ Intercept $\cdot \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{R} \ln \left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{T}\right) ; \frac{\operatorname{Err}(\Delta S)}{\Delta S}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\text { err(intercept }}{\text { intercept }}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{(\mathrm{er}(\mathrm{R})}{R}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\text { errfintercept) }}{(\text { intercept }}\right)^{2}}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ddagger}$ such that $\frac{\operatorname{Err}(T \Delta S)}{T \Delta S}=\sqrt{\left(\frac{\operatorname{err}(T)}{T}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\operatorname{err}(\Delta S)}{\Delta S}\right)^{2}}$
$\operatorname{Err}(\Delta G)=\sqrt{(\operatorname{err}(d H))^{2}+(\operatorname{err}(T d S))^{2}}$


Relationship between free energy of activation and temperature for enantiomerization of $\mathbf{2 4}$.


## 10. Dynamics of Inversion of 8

## Typical kinetic run:

In oven-dried, septum-capped tubes equipped with a stir bar, $R-3(0.06 \mathrm{M}$ in ether, 0.5 mL$)$ and 0.06 M TMEDA ( 0.00 or 1.00 equiv) were treated with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.0\right.$ equiv) at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under nitrogen. The total volume of each tube was maintained at 1.0 mL . The tubes were quickly transferred to a second bath thermostated at the appropriate temperature (see tables below). At various time intervals over a four-hour period, a tube was transferred to the bath at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and rapidly quenched with MeOD. Each tube was analyzed by GC-MS to ensure $100 \%$ deuterium incorporation (indicative of complete lithiation). The enantiomer ratio (er) of $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was determined by CSP-SFC monitoring at 210 nm under the following column conditions: Column: Pirkle Whelk-O-1, Flow Rate $=0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, Polarity Modifier $=10.0 \% \mathrm{IPA} . S-\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ elutes after $\sim 17.2 \mathrm{~min}$ and $R \cdot \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ elutes after $\sim 21 \mathrm{~min}$. In some cases, the enantiomer ratio (er) of $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{1}}$ was determined by CSP-HPLC monitoring at 254 nm . The rate constants were determined by non-linear fitting of the zero-order plots using reversible first-order kinetics. The rate constants were obtained from a nonlinear fit of the equation $(R)_{t}=0.5+\left(R_{i n i}-0.50\right)\left(e^{-k_{\text {ract }}}\right)$

Since the initial values at $\mathrm{t}=0, R_{i n i}$, were not determined experimentally, $R_{i n i}$, and $k_{r a c}$ were both treated as variable parameters in the fitted equation; $k_{r a c}$ is the observed rate constant for the racemization. The enantiomerization rate constant, $k_{\text {ent }}=k_{\text {rac }} / 2$.
Table 1. Enantiomer ratios for enantiomerization of $\mathbf{8}$ in the absence of any ligand in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| a) at 225 K |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
|  |  |
| 1 | 0.91 |
| 2 | 0.86 |
| 3 | 0.80 |
| 4 | 0.78 |

b) at 232 K

| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.9 |
| 2 | 0.725 |
| 4 | 0.63 |


| c) at 239 K |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
|  |  |
| 0.25 | 0.84 |
| 0.5 | 0.74 |
| 1 | 0.64 |
| 2 | 0.53 |

d) at 248 K

| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 0.1667 | 0.701 |
| 0.5 | 0.535 |
| 0.75 | 0.515 |
| 1 | 0.5 |



Table 2. Enantiomer ratios for enantiomerization of $\mathbf{8}$ in the presence of 1 equiv TMEDA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| a) at 225 K |  | c) at 243 K |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ | Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| 1 | 0.93 | 0.25 | 0.82 |
| 3 | 0.88 | 0.5 | 0.73 |
| 6 | 0.8 | 0.75 | 0.65 |
| 9 | 0.755 | 1 | 0.61 |
| b) at 233 K |  | 2 | 0.53 |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ | d) at 253 K |  |
|  |  | Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| 0.25 | 0.93 |  |  |
| 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.1667 | 0.75 |
| 1 | 0.87 | 0.5 | 0.58 |
| 2 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.535 |
| 4 | 0.68 | 1 | 0.50 |

Evolution of er in the enantiomerization of $\mathbf{8}$ in the presence of 1 equiv TMEDA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at various temperatures.


KEY: 225 K ; circles, 233 K ; diamonds, 243 K ; triangles, 248 K ; squares

Table 4. Enantiomer ratios for enantiomerization of $\mathbf{8}$ in the absence of any ligand in THF

| a) at 213 K |  | c) at 233 K |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ | Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| 0.5 | 0.835 | 0.083333 | 0.8 |
| 1 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.652 |
| 2 | 0.64 | 0.5 | 0.55 |
| 4 | 0.55 | d) at 243 K |  |
| b) at 223 K |  | Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |
| Time (h) | Fraction $R$ |  |  |
|  |  | 0.083333 | 0.6 |
| 0.08333 | 0.89 | 0.25 | 0.51 |
| 0.5 | 0.658 | 0.41667 | 0.5 |
| 1 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.5 |
| 2 | 0.5 |  |  |

Evolution of er in the enantiomerization of $\mathbf{8}$ in the absence of any ligand in THF at various temperatures.



Table 6. Eyring plot parameters for enantiomerization of $\mathbf{8}$
a) No ligand in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Temp, K | $1 / \mathrm{T}$ | $k_{\text {rac }}$ | $k_{\text {ent }}$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {ent }} / T\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225 | 0.00444444 | $3.58413 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.79206 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -16.3456584 |
| 232 | 0.00431034 | $9.48636 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.74318 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -15.4029551 |
| 239 | 0.0041841 | 0.000350222 | 0.000175111 | -14.1265529 |
| 248 | 0.00403226 | 0.00141522 | 0.00070761 | -12.7670461 |

b) 1 equiv TMEDA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Temp, K | $1 / \mathrm{T}$ | $k_{\text {rac }}$ | $k_{\text {ent }}$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {ent }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225 | 0.00444444 | $1.87 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.35035 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -16.9961975 |
| 233 | 0.00429185 | $6.48182 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.24091 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -15.7881093 |
| 243 | 0.00411523 | 0.000398194 | 0.000199097 | -14.0147796 |
| 253 | 0.00395257 | 0.000985167 | 0.000492583 | -13.1492365 |
| c) No ligand in THF |  |  |  |  |
| Temp, K | $1 / \mathrm{T}$ | $k_{\text {rac }}$ | $k_{\text {ent }}$ | $\ln \left(k_{\text {ent }} / \mathrm{T}\right)$ |
| 213 | 0.00469484 | 0.000157504 | $7.8752 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -14.8104985 |
| 223 | 0.0044843 | 0.000612632 | 0.000306316 | -13.4980645 |
| 233 | 0.00429185 | 0.001162146 | 0.000581073 | -12.9016728 |
| 243 | 0.00411523 | 0.003868864 | 0.001934432 | -11.741003 |



Relationship between free energy of activation and temperature for enantiomerization of $\mathbf{8}$.

|  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ddagger}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Entry | Description | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\ddagger}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\ddagger}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K})$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | No ligand in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $17.5 \pm 0.8$ | $-2.0 \pm 0.06$ |
| 2 | 1 equiv TMEDA in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $16.0 \pm 1.3$ | $-9.6 \pm 0.5$ |
| 3 | No ligand in THF | $10.1 \pm 0.9$ | $-29.1 \pm 4.2$ |



## 11. References

1. Hoye, T. R.; Eklov, B. M.; Ryba, T. D.; Voloshin, M.; Yao, L. J., Org. Lett. 2004, 6 (6), 953-956.
2. Beng, T. K.; Gawley, R. E., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132 (35), 12216-12217.
3. Beng, T. K.; Gawley, R. E., Org. Lett. 2011, 13 (3), 394-397.
4. Wu, S.; Lee, S.; Beak, P., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 715-721.
5. Barker, G.; McGrath, J. L.; Klapars, A.; Stead, D.; Zhou, G.; Campos, K. R.; O'Brien, P., J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76 (15), 5936-5953.
6. Seel, S.; Thaler, T.; Takatsu, K.; Zhang, C.; Zipse, H.; Straub, B. F.; Mayer, P.; Knochel, P., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (13), 4774-4777.
7. Xiao, D.; Lavey, B. J.; Palani, A.; Wang, C.; Aslanian, R. G.; Kozlowski, J. A.; Shih, N.Y.; McPhail, A. T.; Randolph, G. P.; Lachowicz, J. E.; Duffy, R. A., Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46 (44), 7653-7656.
8. Bagutski, V.; Elford, T. G.; Aggarwal, V. K., Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50 (5), 10801083, S1080/1-S1080/57.
9. Campos, K. R.; Klapars, A.; Waldman, J. H.; Dormer, P. G.; Chen, C., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128 (11), 3538-3539.
10. Barker, G.; O'Brien, P.; Campos, K. R., Org. Lett. 2010, 12 (18), 4176-4179.
11. Espenson, J. H., Chemical Kinetics and Reaction Mechanisms. 2 ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 2002; p 281.
