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## Experimental Procedures

General Considerations. All manipulations were performed using standard Schlenk and high vacuum techniques or in a nitrogen filled glovebox. Solvents were distilled from $\mathrm{Na} /$ benzophenone or $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. DMF was distilled over BaO under reduced pressure. All reagents were used as received from commercial vendors, unless otherwise noted. Elemental analyses were performed by Columbia Analytical Services. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature (unless otherwise noted) on a Varian Oxford 300 MHz spectrometer and referenced to residual proton solvent signals. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectra were recorded on the Varian Oxford spectrometers operating 75 MHz or 126 MHz and referenced to solvent signals unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectra were recorded on the Varian Oxford spectrometer operating at 282 MHz unless otherwise noted and were referenced to $\alpha, \alpha, \alpha$-trifluorotoluene as an internal standard $(\delta=-63.7)$. Magnetic susceptibilities were calculated according to the Evan's NMR Method. ${ }^{1}$ EI-the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{8}$ was measured with a Finnigan MAT95-DF (EI pos using 20 eV ). Simulations were performed using ISOPRO 3.0. Elemental analyses of $\mathbf{8}$ were carried out using a Hekatech CHNS EuroEA 3000 Analyzer.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Abbreviations: } & \text { tpy }=2,2^{\prime}: 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime} \text {-terpyridine } \\
& \text { tpy }=4,4^{\prime}, 4^{\prime \prime} \text {-tri-tert-butyl-2,2': } 6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime} \text {-terpyridine }
\end{array}
$$

Preparation of $\left[\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C N}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}\right]$ (1): $\mathrm{TMSCF}_{3}(380 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgF}(260 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added into 20 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Then $\mathrm{NiBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DME}$ ( 310 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was kept stirring for 2 days, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated on a vacuum line to give a yellow solid ( $253 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.02$ (s). ${ }^{19}$ F NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 282 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta-26.1 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 125.1\left(\mathrm{q}, J=368 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), 117.8, 0.78. Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 25.85 (25.65); H, 2.17 (2.25).

In a similar way, $\left[\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{C N}\right)_{2} \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)_{2}\right.$ ] (2) can be prepared: AgF ( 383 mg , 2 equiv.) and $\mathrm{TMSC}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ ( $731 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5$ equiv.) were added into $15 \mathrm{mLCH} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h then $\mathrm{NiBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DME}$ $(445 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was kept stirring for two days. Then the solution was filtered through the Celite. The filtrate was evaporated on vacuum line. The yellow solid was obtained ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.04(\mathrm{~s}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (acetonitrile-d $\left.{ }_{3}, 470 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-81.5\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right),-102.5\left(\mathrm{CF}_{2}\right)$. Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 25.36 (25.30); $\mathrm{H}, 1.60$ (1.62).

Preparation of [(TMEDA)Ni(CF $\mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}}$ (3): $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCF}_{3}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgF}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added into 10 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Then $\mathrm{NiBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DME}(158$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. One hour later, $N, N, N, N$-tetramethylethane-1,2-diamine ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was kept stirring for 2 days, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated on a vacuum line. The residue was extracted by dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The dichloromethane solution was left standing at room temperature for 0.5 h , then filtered and dried on a vacuum line to give a yellow solid ( $142 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 282 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-27.9(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ): $\delta 127.6$ (q, $J=368 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 61.4, 49.2. Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}: \mathrm{C}, 30.71$ (31.01); H, 5.15 (5.00).

Preparation of [(TEEDA)Ni( $\left.\left.\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (4): $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCF}_{3}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgF}(126 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added into 10 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Then $\mathrm{NiBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{DME}(158 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.5 mmol ) was added. After two days, the reaction mixture was filtered. $N, N, N, N$-tetraethylethane-1,2-diamine $(172 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added into the filtrate. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for another 4 hours, then evaporated on a vacuum line. The residue was washed with pentane ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( 8 mL ). The dichloromethane solution was left standing at room temperature for 0.5 h , filtered and dried on a vacuum line to give a yellow solid ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 282 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-28.8(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ ): $\delta 125.6\left(\mathrm{q}, J=369 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), $51.5,49.8,10.8$. Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 39.06 (38.70); H, 6.56 (6.38).

New procedure for the preparation of $\left[\left(4,4{ }^{\prime}-\right.\right.$ dtbpy $\left.) \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](5):\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2, ''-bipyridine ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 5 mL of dry THF. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h and filtered. Then 15 mL of dry pentane was added slowly into the filtrate. The mixture was stored in a freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in a glovebox overnight. Finally, yellow crystals of $\left[\left(4,4^{\prime}-\right.\right.$ dtbpy) $\left.\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. (THF) were formed, which was further washed by pentane ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.086 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \%$ ). Analytical data matched literature values (Yamaguchi, Y.; Ichioka, H.; Klein, A.; Brennessel, W. W.; Vicic, D. A. Organometallics 2012, 31, 1477).

Preparation of $\left[(6,6\right.$ ' $\left.\left.-\mathbf{d m b p y}) \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{(} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C N}\right)\right](6): \quad\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 6,6'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 4 mL of dry THF. The mixture was stirred at
room temperature for 20 minutes and filtered. Then 15 mL of dry pentane was added slowly into the filtrate. The mixture was stored in a freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in a glovebox. Finally, orange crystals were formed ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol}$, $79 \%$ ). Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 45.54 (45.60); $\mathrm{H}, 3.58(3.62) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. The acetonitrile ligand was not observed due to rapid exchange with deuterated solvent. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 470 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-26.5(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{~F})$.

Preparation of $\left[(\mathbf{t p y}) \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ (7): $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2: 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$-terpyridine ( 78 mg , $98 \%, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 6 mL of dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 hour. Then 18 mL of dry diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was added slowly. The mixture was stored in a freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in a glovebox. Several days later, brown solid was isolated, washed with pentane and dried to give the desired product ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.242 \mathrm{mmol}, 81 \%$ ). Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}: \mathrm{C}, 47.49$ (47.27); $\mathrm{H}, 2.58$ (2.76).

Preparation of $\left[\left(t \mathbf{t p y}^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{( 8 )}:\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4 ', $4^{\prime \prime}$ '-tri-tert-butyl$2: 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}: 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 6 mL of dry THF. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 hour, then filtered. 15 mL of dry pentane was added slowly into the filtrate. The mixture was left in a freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Finally, brown solid was formed, which was further washed by pentane and dried to give the desired product ( $156 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ ). Anal. Calc. (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$ (598.29): $\mathrm{C}, 58.22$ (58.18); H, 5.90 (5.89); N, 7.02 (7.00). The ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{8}$ is largely dominated by residual solvent peaks from the deuterated solvent. However, the full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum is provided at the end of this section. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR signals were not observed. EI-MS: $597[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 528\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right]^{+}, 401[t \mathrm{Butpy}]^{+}, 386\left[t \mathrm{Butpy}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{+}$. A solution magnetic susceptibility measurement was taken (Evan's Method, THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ solvent, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ was determined to be $2.38 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. Preliminary X-ray data confirms connectivity (hydrogen atoms removed for clarity):


Preparation of $\left[\left(t p y{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]$ (9): $\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](94 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, tpy' $(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TMS- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 4 mL of dry THF. The solution turns purple. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then filtered through a glass frit. The filtrate was dried on a high vacuum line, leaving a dark purple solid (Yield $92 \%$ ). Recrystallized from toluene/pentane and gave dark amber brown/purple crystals. Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$ : C, 53.32 (53.42); H, 5.05 (5.30). Complex $\mathbf{8}$ slowly (minutes) decomposes in solution phase. A solution magnetic susceptibility measurement was taken (Evan's Method, toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ solvent, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ was determined to be $2.59 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 9 is largely dominated by residual solvent peaks from the deuterated solvent. However, the full ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum is provided at the end of this section. ${ }^{19}$ F NMR signals were not observed.

Preparation of $\left[\left(\mathbf{t p y}{ }^{\prime}\right)_{2} \mathbf{N i}\right]\left[\mathbf{N i}(\mu-F)\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{1 0}):\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tpy' $(82 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ equiv) were dissolved in THF ( 2 mL ) and transferred to a sealed tube. The mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h and then filtered through a glass frit. Then, 20 mL pentane was added to the filtrate which precipitated a brown powder ( 62 $\mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ). X-ray quality crystals were grown from THF/pentane at room temperature. Anal. Calcd (found) for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~F}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{Ni}_{3}$ : C, 53.86 (53.99); H, 5.46 (5.50). ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 470 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-27.2$ (s). The bridging fluorides could not be observed.

Preparation of $\left[\left(\right.\right.$ tpy $\left.\left.^{\prime}\right) \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathbf{C F}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathbf{P F}_{6}\right](\mathbf{1 4}):\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{2} \mathbf{N i}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4,4 ', 4 '' 'tri-tert-butyl$2: 2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}: 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 4 mL of dry THF. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 0.5 hour. Then ferrocenium hexafluorophosphate ( $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right], 34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was kept stirring for 23 hours, and filtered. Then, 16 mL of pentane was added slowly into the filtrate. The mixture was left in a freezer $\left(-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to grow crystals. Finally, yellow crystals were formed, which was further washed with pentane and dried to give the desired product ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.093 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (282MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right):-34.5(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{~F}),-72.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=713 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{~F}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): 170.1, 168.1, $156.2,154.5(\mathrm{q}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.1,125.6,120.6,119.6,37.2,36.3,30.4,30.1$. Anal. Calcd (found) for $\left[(t \mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right] \cdot \mathrm{THF}\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiP}\right)$ : C, 51.50 (51.66); H, 5.81 (5.51). X-ray structure consistent with co-crystallized THF.

## Magnetic moment determination:

## Sample calculation of the diamagnetic susceptibility of $\left[(t p y ') \mathrm{Ni}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](9)$ in toluene-d $\mathbf{d}_{8}$ :

| $15 \times \mathrm{C}($ aromatic ring $)$ | $=$ | $15(-6.24 \mathrm{e}-6)$ | $=$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $35 \times \mathrm{H}$ | $=$ | $35(-2.93 \mathrm{e}-6)$ | $=$ |
| $3 \times \mathrm{N}$ (aromatic ring $)$ | $=$ | $-102.55 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{emu}$ |  |
| $16 \times \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{emu}$ |  |  |  |
| $10 \times \mathrm{F}$ (nonaromatic) | $=$ | $16(-6.0 \mathrm{e}-6)=-13.8 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{emu}$ |  |
| $1 \times \mathrm{Ni}$ | $=10(-6.3 \mathrm{e}-6)=-96.0 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{emu}$ |  |  |
|  | $=1(-12.8 \mathrm{e}-6)=-63 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{emu}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $-12.8 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{emu}$ |
|  |  |  | $-381.75 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{emu}$ |

Solution magnetic susceptibilities were then calculated using the Evans NMR method with a superconducting NMR spectrometer according to eq 1 :
Diamagnetic correction for THF solvent: $-0.735 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$.
Diamagnetic correction for toluene solvent: -0.7176 e- $6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$.
Sample calculation of $\mu_{\text {eff }}$ for $\left[\left(\operatorname{tpy}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](9)$ in toluene- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ :

```
\chig}=3\Deltav/4\pivc + \chi
where:
\(\chi_{\mathrm{g}}=\) gram susceptibility
\(\mathrm{c}=\) concentration in \(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}\)
\(\Delta v=\) observed shift difference in Hz
\(v=\) spectrometer frequency in Hz
\(\chi_{0}=\) mass susceptibility of solvent
\(\chi_{\mathrm{g}}=\left[3(130 \mathrm{~Hz}) / 4 \pi\left(500 \mathrm{e}^{6} \mathrm{~Hz}\right)(0.0147 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})\right]-0.7176 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\)
\(\chi_{\mathrm{g}}=3.507 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\)
\(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}=\left(\chi_{\mathrm{g}}\right)(\) formula weight \()=\left(3.507 \mathrm{e}-6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)(698.3071 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})=2.449 \mathrm{e}-3 \mathrm{emu}\)
\(\chi_{\text {corrected }}=\left(\chi_{\mathrm{m}}\right)-\) diamagnetic correction \(=2.449 \mathrm{e}-3+381.75 \mathrm{e}-6=2.831 \mathrm{e}-3 \mathrm{emu}\)
\(\mu_{\text {eff }}=2.828\left[\left(\chi_{\text {corrected }}\right)(\mathrm{T})\right]^{1 / 2}\)
\(\mu_{\text {eff }}=2.828[(2.831 \mathrm{e}-3)(295.58 \mathrm{~K})]^{1 / 2}=2.588 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}\)
```

Electronic structure calculations: Quantum calculations were performed with the Gaussian09W software. ${ }^{2}$ Unconstrained geometry optimizations were performed using the spin unrestricted B3LYP exchange-correlation functional. ${ }^{3,4}$ The m6-31G* basis set was used for nickel, ${ }^{5}$ and the $6-31 g^{*}$ was used for all other atoms. All calculations have been checked for the absence of imaginary frequencies, and the wavefunctions were tested for appropriateness of the spin multiplicities using the Stable keyword in Gaussian. Optimizations for 6 involved fixing the $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{MeCN}}$ bond length to that found in the crystal structure and optimizing the positions of all other atoms.

Table S1. Optimized Cartesian Coordinates for triplet $\left[(\right.$ terpyridine $\left.) \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right] \mathbf{7}$.

| Row | atom | X | Y |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ni | 3.4705133 | 3.4060904 | -1.9565180 |
| 2 | F | 1.1709412 | 2.1667599 | -0.8813583 |
| 3 | F | 0.7991948 | 3.0739049 | -2.8255338 |
| 4 | F | 3.6227654 | 3.0267495 | -4.8044874 |
| 5 | F | 4.9654688 | 4.5625469 | -4.0299175 |
| 6 | F | 2.8493971 | 4.9969929 | -4.3213703 |
| 7 | F | 1.8595045 | 1.1759962 | -2.6971722 |
| 8 | N | 3.9494574 | 3.1608441 | 0.0052643 |
| 9 | N | 2.5398788 | 5.0944997 | -1.0157388 |
| 10 | N | 4.7868529 | 1.7012192 | -1.9770477 |
| 11 | C | 4.6836377 | 2.0946687 | 0.3766433 |
| 12 | C | 1.9475067 | 6.0608434 | 1.0999846 |
| 13 | C | 3.5755964 | 3.7522016 | 2.2855396 |
| 14 | C | 1.2080820 | 7.0465238 | 0.4508880 |
| 15 | C | 2.6018195 | 5.0889490 | 0.3362594 |
| 16 | C | 5.1927624 | 1.2847845 | -0.7555445 |
| 17 | C | 4.3402359 | 2.6578370 | 2.6864823 |


| 18 | C | 3.7019986 | 4.0203472 | -3.8419522 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | C | 1.8237003 | 6.0399593 | -1.6371691 |
| 20 | C | 4.9023493 | 1.8144631 | 1.7284997 |
| 21 | C | 5.1647246 | 1.0167428 | -3.0636653 |
| 22 | C | 1.1411472 | 7.0368288 | -0.9418897 |
| 23 | C | 6.0147200 | 0.1636066 | -0.6024401 |
| 24 | C | 5.9770057 | -0.1136847 | -2.9885553 |
| 25 | C | 6.4112557 | -0.5432241 | -1.7352378 |
| 26 | C | 1.7602060 | 2.4105918 | -2.1112041 |
| 27 | C | 3.3935619 | 3.9801230 | 0.9179073 |
| 28 | H | 2.0083113 | 6.0493416 | 2.1826098 |
| 29 | H | 3.1306066 | 4.4069883 | 3.0258017 |
| 30 | H | 0.6911676 | 7.8085829 | 1.0268332 |
| 31 | H | 4.4933421 | 2.4590704 | 3.7428559 |
| 32 | H | 1.8168143 | 5.9788654 | -2.7187484 |
| 33 | H | 5.4899226 | 0.9564188 | 2.0339808 |
| 34 | H | 4.7962704 | 1.4028642 | -4.0069140 |
| 35 | H | 0.5720611 | 7.7831004 | -1.4862149 |
| 36 | H | 6.3410916 | -0.1551575 | 0.3813565 |
| 37 | H | 6.2576438 | -0.6391941 | -3.8952890 |
| 38 | H | 7.0486148 | -1.4172243 | -1.6375251 |



| Row | atom | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ni | 3.3957751 | 3.3399113 | -1.9038114 |
| 2 | F | 0.8967977 | 2.8212616 | -1.0318246 |
| 3 | F | 1.1214458 | 2.0123589 | -3.0341298 |
| 4 | F | 3.2737281 | 2.7237520 | -4.6204201 |
| 5 | F | 4.2165277 | 4.5727729 | -3.9275802 |
| 6 | F | 2.0389778 | 4.4212196 | -4.0835144 |
| 7 | F | 2.1042785 | 1.0356582 | -1.3668280 |
| 8 | $N$ | 3.7859809 | 3.0516990 | -0.0126006 |
| 9 | $N$ | 2.6827958 | 5.1440728 | -1.0707970 |
| 10 | $N$ | 4.8815610 | 1.8475844 | -2.0313323 |
| 11 | C | 4.5400534 | 1.9952115 | 0.3353843 |
| 12 | C | 1.9615509 | 6.0682640 | 1.0280260 |
| 13 | C | 3.4171322 | 3.6445407 | 2.2551304 |
| 14 | C | 1.4262053 | 7.1745897 | 0.3668356 |
| 15 | C | 2.5931111 | 5.0727538 | 0.2853652 |
| 16 | C | 5.1416368 | 1.2877550 | -0.8180124 |
| 17 | C | 4.1503561 | 2.5228205 | 2.6436514 |
| 18 | C | 3.1448777 | 3.7359350 | -3.7458450 |
| 19 | C | 2.1735288 | 6.2129153 | -1.6987161 |
| 20 | C | 4.7370867 | 1.6951190 | 1.6856567 |
| 21 | C | 5.4385339 | 1.3103804 | -3.1258336 |
| 22 | C | 1.5437582 | 7.2547278 | -1.0171919 |


| 23 | C | 5.9515625 | 0.1607417 | -0.6937456 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | C | 6.2732677 | 0.1937542 | -3.0728942 |
| 25 | C | 6.5247404 | -0.3957433 | -1.8380358 |
| 26 | C | 1.7699983 | 2.2408968 | -1.8883843 |
| 27 | C | 3.2565651 | 3.8951043 | 0.8899364 |
| 28 | H | 1.8849893 | 5.9876843 | 2.1062388 |
| 29 | H | 2.9960631 | 4.3075842 | 3.0012861 |
| 30 | H | 0.9301475 | 7.9592746 | 0.9292696 |
| 31 | H | 4.2854634 | 2.3061313 | 3.6985905 |
| 32 | H | 2.2679572 | 6.2283947 | -2.7763194 |
| 33 | H | 5.3392365 | 0.8478128 | 1.9907978 |
| 34 | H | 5.2016156 | 1.7859853 | -4.0682422 |
| 35 | H | 1.1531390 | 8.1004662 | -1.5722009 |
| 36 | H | 6.1367540 | -0.2812617 | 0.2784597 |
| 37 | H | 6.7043103 | -0.1979819 | -3.9875821 |
| 38 | H | 7.1586315 | -1.2733157 | -1.7593763 |

Table S3. Optimized Cartesian Coordinates for triplet [(6,6'-dmbpy)Ni(CF $\left.)_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})\right]$ (6).

| Row | Symbol | X | Y | Z |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Ni | -0.0020425 | 1.7436133 | 6.2520961 |
| 2 | F | 2.7355589 | 2.5981076 | 5.7144063 |
| 3 | F | 2.2722552 | 2.6479635 | 7.8332583 |
| 4 | F | 1.4768541 | 4.1937826 | 6.5138898 |
| 5 | F | -0.9968509 | 3.3230831 | 4.1813745 |
| 6 | F | 0.9638790 | 2.6128836 | 3.5452886 |


| 7 | F | -0.7661629 | 1.3054679 | 3.3779631 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | N | -1.8240274 | 1.1120458 | 6.0397404 |
| 9 | N | -0.3137973 | 1.6300364 | 8.3787126 |
| 10 | N | 0.8993806 | -0.0971883 | 6.7187191 |
| 11 | C | 1.6957493 | 2.8238285 | 6.5827365 |
| 12 | C | -0.1389338 | 2.2271545 | 4.2430546 |
| 13 | C | -2.7466343 | 0.9770824 | 5.3479365 |
| 14 | C | -3.7820586 | 0.8771586 | 4.3302534 |
| 15 | C | -1.2987642 | 3.8479694 | 8.4034501 |
| 16 | C | -0.8383829 | 2.6125375 | 9.1288164 |
| 17 | C | -0.9296356 | 2.4800019 | 10.5183989 |
| 18 | C | -0.4527354 | 1.3187060 | 11.1207224 |
| 19 | C | 0.1064412 | 0.3188986 | 10.3314340 |
| 20 | C | 0.1609654 | 0.5063974 | 8.9442635 |
| 21 | C | 0.7814566 | -0.4759409 | 8.0158256 |
| 22 | C | 1.2665479 | -1.7095798 | 8.4615808 |
| 23 | C | 1.9101583 | -2.5497000 | 7.5598009 |
| 24 | H | -0.4618294 | 4.2906498 | 7.8522351 |
| 24 | C | 2.0717995 | -2.1281386 | 6.2458188 |
| 25 | C | 1.5596274 | -0.8871296 | 5.8450911 |
| 26 | C | 1.7520561 | -0.3916253 | 4.4414872 |
| 27 | H | 0.5035889 | -0.5758954 | 10.7947735 |
| 28 | H | -0.5055347 | 1.1960952 | 12.1988002 |
| 29 | H | -1.3617419 | 3.2806213 | 11.1099347 |
| 10 | H | -2.0781948 | 3.5992123 | 7.6737216 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |


| 32 | H | -1.6991394 | 4.5919584 | 9.0975633 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | H | 1.1481746 | -2.0114818 | 9.4947436 |
| 34 | H | 2.2922384 | -3.5135064 | 7.8840023 |
| 35 | H | 2.5922215 | -2.7451496 | 5.5209648 |
| 36 | H | 2.1886637 | 0.6101197 | 4.4561218 |
| 37 | H | 0.7984611 | -0.3117196 | 3.9120394 |
| 38 | H | 2.4090605 | -1.0658401 | 3.8847018 |
| 39 | H | -3.3294099 | 1.1821083 | 3.3794332 |
| 40 | H | -4.6221989 | 1.5372890 | 4.5656700 |
| 41 | H | -4.1474311 | -0.1509644 | 4.2480695 |

Table S4. Optimized Cartesian Coordinates for doublet [(terpyridine) $\left.\mathrm{Ni}^{( }\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}$.

| Row | Symbol X |  | Y | Z |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Ni | 3.3283446 | 3.2781623 | -1.8445719 |
| 2 | F | 0.7754118 | 2.6696415 | -0.8449805 |
| 3 | F | 0.9568797 | 1.9561542 | -2.8857226 |
| 4 | F | 3.1343457 | 2.5984683 | -4.5566759 |
| 5 | F | 4.1155529 | 4.4893795 | -4.1084186 |
| 6 | F | 1.9450220 | 4.3385255 | -4.0369582 |
| 7 | F | 1.9577693 | 0.8938705 | -1.2820886 |
| 8 | N | 3.7265032 | 3.0093090 | -0.0031563 |
| 9 | N | 2.8455863 | 5.1336731 | -1.0926667 |
| 10 | N | 4.9412212 | 2.0088821 | -2.0042959 |
| 11 | C | 4.5187793 | 1.9665247 | 0.3378629 |
| 12 | C | 1.9421158 | 6.0581507 | 0.9710785 |


| 13 | C | 3.3912139 | 3.6250917 | 2.2932072 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | C | 1.4765713 | 7.1655633 | 0.2910238 |
| 15 | C | 2.6397492 | 5.0423715 | 0.2779876 |
| 16 | C | 5.1322936 | 1.3411967 | -0.8005365 |
| 17 | C | 4.1210647 | 2.4966088 | 2.6655934 |
| 18 | C | 3.0828384 | 3.6564779 | -3.6839521 |
| 19 | C | 2.3662326 | 6.2152150 | -1.7317446 |
| 20 | C | 4.7131904 | 1.6603214 | 1.7208061 |
| 21 | C | 5.5271078 | 1.5055755 | -3.1047949 |
| 22 | C | 1.7000610 | 7.2560232 | -1.1001607 |
| 23 | C | 5.9182334 | 0.1666279 | -0.7458818 |
| 24 | C | 6.3309921 | 0.3741880 | -3.1061893 |
| 25 | C | 6.5218350 | -0.3162974 | -1.8892473 |
| 26 | C | 1.6927830 | 2.1670072 | -1.7472908 |
| 27 | C | 3.2264521 | 3.8857662 | 0.8977996 |
| 28 | H | 1.7675975 | 5.9487218 | 2.0375138 |
| 29 | H | 2.9819183 | 4.2999118 | 3.0366357 |
| 30 | H | 0.9397497 | 7.9507590 | 0.8188439 |
| 31 | H | 4.2579407 | 2.2800862 | 3.7243830 |
| 32 | H | 2.5422026 | 6.2341100 | -2.8009593 |
| 33 | H | 5.3238754 | 0.8165604 | 2.0220590 |
| 34 | H | 5.3376385 | 2.0547626 | -4.0197579 |
| 35 | H | 1.3663751 | 8.1145609 | -1.6751547 |
| 36 | H | 6.0293438 | -0.3535268 | 0.2011368 |
| 37 | H | 6.7970785 | 0.0410722 | -4.0285732 |

Table S5. Calculated energies of nickel complexes at different spin states. The lowest energy state is in boldface.

| Complex | Total Energy (Hartrees) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (tpy)Ni(CF $3_{3}$ | singlet: |  |
|  | -2925.87160474 | triplet: <br> -2925.87188471 |
| $\left(6,6^{\prime}-\right.$ dmbpy $) \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})$ | singlet: <br> -2890.17735698 | triplet: <br> -2890.15191484 |
| $\left[(\text { tpy }) \mathrm{Ni}^{( }\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$ | doublet: <br> -2925.67871718 | quartet: <br> -2925.57684330 |
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## Electrochemistry and EPR data:



Figure S1, Cyclic voltammograms and square wave voltammograms (SQW; inserts on top) of $\mathbf{8}$ in $\mathrm{THF} / n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ at 298 K .


Figure S2 EPR spectrum of the partially oxidized complex 8 (powder, 298 K ) with simulation in red (parameters: $g_{\perp}=2.154$ and $g_{\|}=\mathbf{2 . 0 1 0}$, line widths: $\mathbf{5 0 , 5 0}$ and 55 G ).

## Spin trap experiment:



Scheme S1. Reaction of the spin trap PBN with a radical. Nuclei in bold represent possible coupling partners with the unpaired electron. Note that nuclei of the R group might possibly also couple.

The spectrum of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{PBN}$ spin trap in the paper (Fig. 4) was recorded only in one of several experiments. In all other experiments we observed the major signal for the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{PBN}$ spin trap alongside an additional minor threeline signal. Since other possibilities have been ruled out by blank experiments, the second signal might be attributed to a nickel- containing by-product and is thus worth mentioning.


Figure S3. X-band EPR spectrum obtained during anodic electrolysis of $\mathbf{8}$ in $\mathrm{THF} / n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ at 298 K in the presence of PBN. The black line represents the experimental spectrum (exp) the red line (bottom, sim I) represents the expected $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ - PBN spin trap, simulated with the parameters $a \mathrm{~N}=14.1 \mathrm{G}, a \mathrm{H}=1.17 \mathrm{G}$ and $a \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)=1.77 \mathrm{G}$. The spectrum in blue $(\operatorname{sim} \mathrm{II})$ is simulated using $a \mathrm{~N}=11.3 \mathrm{G}, a \mathrm{H}=1.51 \mathrm{G}$ and $a \mathrm{X}=1.6 \mathrm{G}(1 \mathrm{X}=$ nucleus with $I=1 / 2)$.

In the above spectrum we can unequivocally assign one set of signals to the trapped ${ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ radical $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}-\mathrm{PBN}\right)$ (compare Fig. 4 in the paper). The other species remains unclear although a reasonable simulation could be achieved. Following the corresponding simulation parameters, the species is also a PBN radical ( $\mathrm{aN}+\mathrm{aH} \beta$
couplings). The aX coupling is rather small, thus the possibility that $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{X}$ can be discarded. The F-PBN is reported with an $a \mathrm{~F}$ of 34 G (Gille 1994), the here found $\mathrm{X}=1.6 \mathrm{G}$ might either represent a $\mathrm{CFR}_{2}$ group with a F atom in $\gamma$ position or an unknown nickel derivative.

Gille, L.; Stoesser, R. J. Fluor. Chem. 1994, 69, 191-194.


Figure S4. EPR spectra of complex $\mathbf{8}$ in $\mathrm{THF} / n \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ under reductive electrolysis at 298 K and 110 K , with simulation in red (parameters: $g_{1}=2.242, g_{2}=2.162, g_{3}=2.035, a_{3}(\mathrm{~N})=18.5 \mathrm{G}$; Lorentzian line width $=42,40,17 \mathrm{G}$ )

Table S6: EPR data of known nickel complexes

| Complex | $g_{i s o ~ o r ~ a v .}$ | $g_{3}$ | $g_{2}$ | $g_{1}$ | $\Delta g$ | ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\left(t \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{s}_{3} \text { terpy }\right) \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{++}$ | 2.107 | 2.154 | 2.154 | 2.013 | 0.141 | this work |
| $\left[(\mathrm{BOXAM}) \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$ | 2.085 | 2.119 | 2.081 | 2.0252 | 0.094 | 1 |
| [(BOXAM)Ni( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]^{+}$ | 2.077 | 2.115 | 2.087 | 2.028 | 0.087 | 1 |
| $[(\mathrm{BOXAM}) \mathrm{NiCl}]^{+}$ | 2.075 | 2.105 | 2.086 | 2.034 | 0.071 | 1 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{TMPP}-\mathrm{O})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 2.20 | 2.28 | 2.28 | 2.04 | 0.24 | 2 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{L}^{1}\right)\right]^{-}$ | 2.173 | 2.255 | 2.255 | 2.008 | 0.247 | 3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)\right]^{-}$ | 2.221 | 2.366 | 2.303 | 1.994 | 0.372 | 4 |
| [ $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{emi}\right.$ ) ${ }^{-}$ | 2.223 | 2.44 | 2.27 | 1.96 | 0.48 | 5 |
| $\left[(\mathrm{NCN}) \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\right]$ | 2.196 | 2.369 | 2.195 | 2.024 | 0.345 | 6 |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{Fmes}) \mathrm{Br}\right]^{+}$ | 2.435 | 2.825 | 2.453 | 1.947 | 0.878 | 7 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}\right)_{4}\right]^{-}$ | 2.533 | 2.84 | 2.84 | 1.92 | 0.92 | 8 |

[^0]
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## Details of X-ray Crystallography



## Data Collection for Complex 1

A yellow prism crystal of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ having approximate dimensions of $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a glass fiber. All measurements were made on a Rigaku Mercury70 CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation.

The crystal-to-detector distance was 51.66 mm .
Cell constants and an orientation matrix for data collection corresponded to a primitive monoclinic cell with dimensions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=8.200(16) \AA & \\
b=17.10(3) \AA & \beta=90.0000^{\circ} \\
c=21.72(4) \AA & \\
v=3046(10) \AA^{3} &
\end{array}
$$

For $Z=12$ and F.W. $=278.82$, the calculated density is $1.824 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The reflection conditions of:
hol: $h+1=2 n$
OkO: $k=2 n$
uniquely determine the space group to be:

$$
\text { P2 } 1^{\prime} \mathrm{n}(\# 14)
$$

The data were collected at a temperature of $-100 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $54.4^{0}$. A total of 540 oscillation images were collected. A sweep of data was done using $\omega$ scans from -120.0 to $60.0^{0}$ in $1.0^{0}$ step, at $\chi=54.00$ and $\phi=0.0^{0}$. The exposure rate was 25.0 [sec./0]. The detector swing angle was -30.070 . A second sweep was performed using $\omega$ scans from -120.0 to 60.00 in 1.00 step, at $\chi=54.0^{\circ}$ and $\phi=120.0^{\circ}$. The exposure rate was 25.0 [sec./0]. The detector swing angle was -30.070 . Another sweep was performed using $\omega$ scans from -120.0 to 60.00 in $1.0^{0}$ step, at $\chi=54.00$ and $\phi=$ $240.0^{\circ}$. The exposure rate was 25.0 [sec. $/ 0$ ]. The detector swing angle was -30.070 . The crystal-todetector distance was 51.66 mm . Readout was performed in the 0.137 mm pixel mode.

## Data Reduction

Of the 24817 reflections that were collected, 6675 were unique ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.1463$ ); equivalent reflections were merged. Data were collected and processed using CrystalClear (Rigaku).

The linear absorption coefficient, $\mu$, for Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation is $19.668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. An empirical absorption correction was applied which resulted in transmission factors ranging from 0.631 to 0.854 . The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

## Structure Solution and Refinement

The structure was solved by direct methods ${ }^{2}$ and expanded using Fourier techniques. The nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were refined using the riding model. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement ${ }^{3}$ on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ was based on 6675 observed reflections and 412 variable parameters and converged (largest parameter shift was 0.09 times its esd) with unweighted and weighted agreement factors of:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R} 1=\Sigma| | \mathrm{Fo}|-|\mathrm{Fc}|| / \Sigma|\mathrm{Fo}|=0.1253 \\
\mathrm{wR} 2=\left[\Sigma\left(\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}-\mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right)^{2}\right) / \Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.4213
\end{gathered}
$$

The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight ${ }^{4}$ was 1.05 . Unit weights were used. The maximum and minimum peaks on the final difference Fourier map corresponded to 1.78 and -1.00 $e^{-/} / \AA^{3}$, respectively.

Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber5. Anomalous dispersion effects were included in Fcalc ${ }^{6}$; the values for $\Delta f^{\prime}$ and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}$ were those of Creagh and McAuley 7 . The values for the mass attenuation coefficients are those of Creagh and Hubbell 8 . All calculations were performed using the CrystalStructure ${ }^{9}$ crystallographic software package except for refinement, which
was performed using SHELXL-9710.
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\Sigma w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \text { where } w=\text { Least Squares weights. }
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(4) Standard deviation of an observation of unit weight:
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\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} /\left(N_{o}-N_{v}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}} \\
& \text { where: } \quad \begin{aligned}
N_{0} & =\text { number of observations } \\
N_{v} & =\text { number of variables }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
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(7) Creagh, D. C. \& McAuley, W.J .; "International Tables for Crystallography", Vol C, (A.J.C. Wilson, ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Table 4.2.6.8, pages 219-222 (1992).
(8) Creagh, D. C. \& Hubbell, J.H..; "International Tables for Crystallography", Vol C, (A.J.C. Wilson, ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Table 4.2.4.3, pages 200-206 (1992).
(9) CrystalStructure 4.0: Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Rigaku and Rigaku Americas (2000-2010). 9009 New Trails Dr. The Woodlands TX 77381 USA.
(10) SHELX97: Sheldrick, G.M. (1997).

## EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS for 1

## Table S7. Crystal Data

| Empirical Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula Weight | 278.82 |
| Crystal Color, Habit | yellow, prism |
| Crystal Dimensions | $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Crystal System | monoclinic |
| Lattice Type | Primitive |
| Lattice Parameters | $\begin{aligned} & a=8.200(16) \AA \\ & b=17.10(3) \AA \\ & c=21.72(4) \AA \\ & \beta=90.00000 \\ & V=3046(10) \AA \end{aligned}$ |
| Space Group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}(\# 14)$ |
| $Z$ value | 12 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}$ | $1.824 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| F000 | 1656.00 |
| $\mu($ MoK $\alpha$ ) | $19.668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |

Table S8. Intensity Measurements for 1

| Diffractometer | Rigaku Mercury70 CCD |
| :---: | :---: |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71075 \AA$ Å) graphite monochromated |
| Voltage, Current | $50 \mathrm{kV}, 40 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
| Temperature | -100.00 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| Detector Aperture | $70 \times 70 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Data Images | 540 exposures |
| $\omega$ oscillation Range ( $\chi=54.0, \phi=0.0$ ) | $-120.0-60.00$ |
| Exposure Rate | 25.0 sec./0 |
| Detector Swing Angle | -30.070 |
| $\omega$ oscillation Range ( $\chi=54.0, \phi=120.0$ ) | $-120.0-60.00$ |
| Exposure Rate | 25.0 sec./0 |
| Detector Swing Angle | -30.070 |
| $\omega$ oscillation Range ( $\chi=54.0, \phi=240.0$ ) | $-120.0-60.00$ |
| Exposure Rate | 25.0 sec./0 |
| Detector Swing Angle | -30.070 |
| Detector Position | 51.66 mm |
| Pixel Size | 0.137 mm |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }}$ | 54.40 |
| No. of Reflections Measured | Total: 24817 <br> Unique: $6675\left(\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.1463\right)$ |

Corrections
Lorentz-polarization
Absorption
(trans. factors: 0.631-0.854)

Table S9. Structure Solution and Refinement for 1

| Structure Solution | Direct Methods |
| :---: | :---: |
| Refinement | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Function Minimized | $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}-\mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Least Squares Weights | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\mathrm{o}^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.2000 \cdot \mathrm{P})^{2}\right. \\ & +0.0000 \cdot \mathrm{P}] \\ & \text { where } \mathrm{P}=\left(\operatorname{Max}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}, 0\right)+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }}$ cutoff | 54.40 |
| Anomalous Dispersion | All non-hydrogen atoms |
| No. Observations (All reflections) | 6675 |
| No. Variables | 412 |
| Reflection/Parameter Ratio | 16.20 |
| Residuals: R1 (l>2.00\%(I)) | 0.1253 |
| Residuals: R (All reflections) | 0.2542 |
| Residuals: wR2 (All reflections) | 0.4213 |
| Goodness of Fit Indicator | 1.048 |
| Max Shift/Error in Final Cycle | 0.092 |
| Maximum peak in Final Diff. Map | $1.78 \mathrm{e}^{-/} \AA^{3}$ |
| Minimum peak in Final Diff. Map | $-1.00 e^{-/} \AA^{3}$ |



## Data Collection for Complex 6

A colorless prism crystal of $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$ having approximate dimensions of $0.01 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ mm was mounted on a glass fiber. All measurements were made on a Rigaku Mercury275R CCD (SCX mini) diffractometer Mo-Ko radiation. Cell constants and an orientation matrix for data collection corresponded to a primitive monoclinic cell with dimensions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=8.015(8) \AA & \\
b=13.092(13) \AA & \beta=99.448(15)^{\circ} \\
c=19.236(19) \AA & \\
V=1991(3) \AA^{3} &
\end{array}
$$

For $Z=4$ and F.W. $=432.02$, the calculated density is $1.441 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The reflection conditions of:
hOl: $I=2 n$
0 kO : $\mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{n}$
uniquely determine the space group to be:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{c}(\# 14)
$$

The data were collected at a temperature of $0 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a maximum $2 \theta$ value of $54.9^{\circ}$. A total of 0 oscillation images were collected. The crystal-to-detector distance was 0.00 mm . Readout was performed in the 0.000 mm pixel mode.

## Data Reduction

Of the 6816 reflections that were collected, 3980 were unique ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.1195$ ); equivalent reflections were merged. Data were collected and processed using CrystalClear (Rigaku). The linear absorption coefficient, $\mu$, for Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation is $10.315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. An empirical absorption correction was applied which resulted in transmission factors ranging from 0.522 to 0.814 . The data were corrected for

Lorentz and polarization effects.

## Structure Solution and Refinement

The structure was solved by direct methods ${ }^{2}$ and expanded using Fourier techniques. The nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were refined using the riding model. The final cycle of full-matrix least-squares refinement ${ }^{3}$ on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ was based on 3980 observed reflections and 238 variable parameters and converged (largest parameter shift was 0.00 times its esd) with unweighted and weighted agreement factors of:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R} 1=\Sigma| | \mathrm{Fo}|-|\mathrm{Fc}|| / \Sigma|\mathrm{Fo}|=0.1175 \\
\mathrm{wR} 2=\left[\Sigma\left(\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}-\mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right)^{2}\right) / \Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}=0.3979
\end{gathered}
$$

The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight 4 was 1.08 . Unit weights were used. The maximum and minimum peaks on the final difference Fourier map corresponded to 2.10 and -0.53 $e^{-} / \AA^{3}$, respectively. Neutral atom scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Waber 5 . Anomalous dispersion effects were included in Fcalc ${ }^{6}$; the values for $\Delta f^{\prime}$ and $\Delta f$ " were those of Creagh and McAuley ${ }^{7}$. The values for the mass attenuation coefficients are those of Creagh and Hubbell 8 . All calculations were performed using the CrystalStructure ${ }^{9}$ crystallographic software package except for refinement, which was performed using SHELXL-9710.
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(3) Least Squares function minimized: (SHELXL97)

$$
\Sigma w\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0}^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad \text { where } \mathrm{w}=\text { Least Squares weights. }
$$

(4) Standard deviation of an observation of unit weight:

$$
\left[\Sigma w\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0} 2-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{\left.2 /\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}\right.
$$

where: $\quad N_{0}=$ number of observations
$N_{V}=$ number of variables
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## Table S10. Crystal Data

Empirical Formula
Formula Weight
Crystal Color, Habit
Crystal Dimensions
Crystal System
Lattice Type
Lattice Parameters

## $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}$

432.02
orange, prism
$0.01 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$
monoclinic
Primitive
$a=8.015(8) \AA$ $\mathrm{b}=13.092(13) \AA$ $\mathrm{c}=19.236(19) \AA$
$\beta=99.448(15){ }^{\circ}$
$V=1991(3) \AA^{3}$
P2 $1 / \mathrm{c}(\# 14)$

## 4

$1.441 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
876.00
$10.315 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$

Table S11. Intensity Measurements for 6

| Diffractometer | Rigaku Mercury275R CCD (SCX mini) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Radiation | MoK $\alpha(\lambda=0.71075 \AA$ Å) |
| Voltage, Current | $50 \mathrm{kV}, 40 \mathrm{~mA}$ |
| Temperature | $-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| Detector Aperture | 75 mm (diameter) |
| Pixel Size | 0.073 mm |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }}$ | 54.90 <br> No. of Reflections Measured |
| Total: 6816 <br> Corrections | Unique: $3980\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.1195\right)$ |
| Lorentz-polarization <br> (trans. factors: $0.522-0.814)$ |  |

## Table S12. Structure Solution and Refinement for 6

| Structure Solution | Direct Methods |
| :---: | :---: |
| Refinement | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Function Minimized | $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}-\mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Least Squares Weights | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\mathrm{o}^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.2000 \cdot \mathrm{P})^{2}\right. \\ & +0.0000 \cdot \mathrm{P}] \\ & \text { where } \mathrm{P}=\left(\operatorname{Max}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}, 0\right)+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }}$ cutoff | 54.90 |
| Anomalous Dispersion | All non-hydrogen atoms |
| No. Observations (All reflections) | 3980 |
| No. Variables | 238 |
| Reflection/Parameter Ratio | 16.72 |
| Residuals: R1 (l>2.00 6 ( l$)$ ) | 0.1175 |
| Residuals: R (All reflections) | 0.2384 |
| Residuals: wR2 (All reflections) | 0.3979 |
| Goodness of Fit Indicator | 1.084 |
| Max Shift/Error in Final Cycle | 0.000 |
| Maximum peak in Final Diff. Map | $2.10 \mathrm{e}^{-/} \AA^{3}$ |
| Minimum peak in Final Diff. Map | -0.53 e-/Å |

## Data collection for 9 -(toluene) ${ }_{2}$.



A brown specimen of $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$, approximate dimensions $0.100 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.170 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.240 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured.

## Table S13: Data collection details for $9 \cdot(\text { (toluene })_{2}$.

| Axis | $\mathbf{d x} / \mathbf{m m}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\chi} /{ }^{\circ}$ | Width $^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | Frames | Time/s | Wavelength/A | Voltage/kV | Current/mA | Temp/K |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Omega | 59.931 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 0.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 20.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 99.95 |
| Omega | 59.931 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 90.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 20.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 99.95 |
| Omega | 59.931 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 180.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 20.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 99.95 |
| Omega | 59.931 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 270.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 20.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 99.95 |
| Omega | 59.931 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 0.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 20.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 99.95 |

A total of 1250 frames were collected. The total exposure time was 6.94 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using a monoclinic unit cell yielded a total of 34054 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $25.44^{\circ}(0.83 \AA$ resolution), of which 6747 were independent (average redundancy 5.047 , completeness $=99.2 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=5.87 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=4.52 \%$ ) and $4979(73.80 \%)$ were greater than $2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)$. The final cell constants of $\underline{\mathrm{a}}=10.6079(3) \AA, \underline{\mathrm{b}}=19.1642(5) \AA, \underline{\mathrm{c}}=$ $18.4101(5) \AA, \beta=101.288(2)^{\circ}$, volume $=3670.23(17) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 9061 reflections above $20 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ with $5.920^{\circ}<2 \theta<50.74^{\circ}$. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS). The ratio of minimum to maximum apparent transmission was 0.845 . The calculated minimum and maximum transmission coefficients (based on crystal size) are 0.8673 and 0.9415 .

The structure was solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL Software Package, using the space group P 1 $21 / \mathrm{c} 1$, with $\mathrm{Z}=4$ for the formula unit, $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with 518 variables converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=7.06 \%$, for the observed data and $w R 2=19.07 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 1.069. The largest peak in the final difference electron density synthesis was $1.521 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.611 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ with an RMS deviation of $0.101 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.416 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000), 1608 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

# Table S14. Sample and crystal data for 9.(toluene) ${ }_{2}$. 

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 782.40 |  |
| Temperature | $100(2) \mathrm{K}$ |  |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| Crystal size | $0.100 \times 0.170 \times 0.240 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |  |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 121 / \mathrm{c} 1$ | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=10.6079(3) \AA$ | $\beta=101.288(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=19.1642(5) \AA$ | $\gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=18.4101(5) \AA$ |  |
| Volume | $3670.23(17) \AA^{3}$ |  |
| Z | 4 |  |
| Density (calculated) | $1.416 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |  |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.611 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |  |
| F(000) | 1608 |  |

## Table S15. Data collection and structure refinement for 9•(toluene) $\mathbf{2}^{\text {. }}$.

Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
2.96 to $25.44^{\circ}$
$-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-22<=\mathrm{k}<=23,-22<=1<=22$
34054
6747 [R(int) $=0.0587]$
Coverage of independent reflections $99.2 \%$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Structure solution technique
Structure solution program
Refinement method
Refinement program
Function minimized
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{2}}$
$\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$
Final R indices
multi-scan
0.9415 and 0.8673
direct methods
SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 2008)
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 2008)
$\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$
6747 / 16 / 518
1.069
0.001

4979 data; $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{R} 1=0.0706, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1707$

|  | all data $\quad \mathrm{R} 1=0.0994$, wR2 $=0.1907$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Weighting scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0804 \mathrm{P})^{2}+12.7548 \mathrm{P}\right]$ |
| where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$ |  |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | $1.521 \mathrm{and}-0.611 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |
| R.M.S. deviation from mean | $0.101 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |



## Crystal Structure Report for Complex 10•THF•(MeCN) ${ }_{2}$

A brown specimen of $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{~F}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}$, approximate dimensions $0.130 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.200 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.420 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for the X-ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured.

## Table S16: Data collection details for $10 \cdot$ THF $\cdot(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$.

| Axis | $\mathbf{d x} / \mathbf{m m}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\chi} /{ }^{\circ}$ | Width $^{\circ}$ | Frames | Time/s | Wavelength/Å | Voltage/kV | Current/mA | Temperature/K |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Omega | 60.007 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 0.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 25.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.05 |
| Omega | 60.007 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 90.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 25.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.05 |
| Omega | 60.007 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 180.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 25.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.05 |
| Omega | 60.007 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 270.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 25.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.05 |


| Axis | $\mathbf{d x} / \mathbf{m m}$ | $\mathbf{2 \theta} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\omega} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\boldsymbol{\varphi} /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\chi^{\circ}$ | Width $^{\circ}$ | Frames | Time/s | Wavelength/Å | Voltage/kV | Current/mA | Temperature/K |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Omega | 60.007 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 0.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 25.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 100.05 |  |

A total of 1250 frames were collected. The total exposure time was 8.68 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using a monoclinic unit cell yielded a total of 20790 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $29.24^{\circ}$ ( $0.73 \AA$ resolution), of which 13285 were independent (average redundancy 1.565 , completeness $=68.0 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=8.11 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=15.31 \%$ ) and $6809(51.25 \%)$ were greater than $2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)$. The final cell constants of $\underline{a}=25.819(3) \AA, \underline{b}=11.1285(12) \AA, \underline{c}=$ $25.279(3) \AA, \beta=98.476(3)^{\circ}$, volume $=7184.0(14) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 3735 reflections above $20 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ with $6.382^{\circ}<2 \theta<52.63^{\circ}$. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS). The ratio of minimum to maximum apparent transmission was 0.827 . The calculated minimum and maximum transmission coefficients (based on crystal size) are 0.7136 and 0.8962 .

The structure was solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL Software Package, using the space group P 1 21/c 1, with $\mathrm{Z}=4$ for the formula unit, $\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{~F}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ with 877 variables converged at $\mathrm{R} 1=7.67 \%$, for the observed data and $w R 2=21.35 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 0.977 . The largest peak in the final difference electron density synthesis was $1.187 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ $/ \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.564 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ with an RMS deviation of $0.101 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.376 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{F}(000), 3104 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

## Table S17. Sample and crystal data for $10 \cdot \mathrm{THF} \cdot(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$.

Chemical formula
Formula weight
Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal size
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Absorption coefficient
F(000)
$\mathrm{C}_{68} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{~F}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{Ni}_{3} \mathrm{O}$
1488.60

100(2) K
0.71073 Å
$0.130 \times 0.200 \times 0.420 \mathrm{~mm}$
monoclinic
P 1 21/c 1
$\mathrm{a}=25.819(3) \AA$
$b=11.1285(12) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{c}=25.279(3) \AA$
$7184.0(14) \AA^{3}$
4
$1.376 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$0.862 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
3104

# Table S18. Data collection and structure refinement for $\mathbf{1 0} \cdot \mathbf{T H F} \cdot(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ 

Theta range for data collection
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Coverage of independent reflections $68.0 \%$
Absorption correction
Max. and min. transmission
Structure solution technique
Structure solution program
Refinement method
Refinement program
Function minimized
Data / restraints / parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathbf{F}^{2}$
$\Delta / \sigma_{\text {max }}$
Final R indices

Weighting scheme
Largest diff. peak and hole
R.M.S. deviation from mean

20790
multi-scan
0.977
0.004
$0.101 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
3.01 to $29.24^{\circ}$
$-12<=\mathrm{h}<=35,-10<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-33<=1<=17$
$13285[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0811]$
0.8962 and 0.7136
direct methods
SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 2008)
Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 2008)
$\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$
13285/0/877

6809 data; $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{R} 1=0.0767, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1765$
all data $\quad \mathrm{R} 1=0.1635, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2135$
$\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1042 \mathrm{P})^{2}+0.0000 \mathrm{P}\right]$
where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
1.187 and $-0.564 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$


## Crystal Structure Report for Complex 14-THF

A specimen of $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.50} \mathrm{P}$, approximate dimensions $0.150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.150 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.340 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for the X -ray crystallographic analysis. The X-ray intensity data were measured.

Table S19: Data collection details for Complex 14.

| Axis | dx/mm | 20/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\omega /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\phi /{ }^{\circ}$ | $\chi /{ }^{\circ}$ | Width/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Frames | Time/s | Wavelength/Å | Voltage/kV | Current/mA | Temperature/K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Omega | 59.942 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 0.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 30.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.00 |
| Omega | 59.942 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 90.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 30.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.00 |
| Omega | 59.942 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 180.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 30.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.00 |
| Omega | 59.942 | -28.00 | -28.00 | 270.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 30.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.00 |
| Omega | 59.942 | 28.00 | 28.00 | 0.00 | 54.74 | 0.50 | 250 | 30.00 | 0.71073 | 50 | 30.0 | 100.00 |

A total of 1250 frames were collected. The total exposure time was 10.42 hours. The frames were integrated with the Bruker SAINT software package using a narrow-frame algorithm. The integration of the data using a monoclinic unit cell yielded a total of 16149 reflections to a maximum $\theta$ angle of $27.03^{\circ}$ ( $0.78 \AA$ resolution), of which 11666 were independent (average redundancy 1.384 , completeness $=83.0 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=3.03 \%, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sig }}=7.04 \%$ ) and 6901 ( $59.15 \%$ ) were greater than $2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$. The final cell constants of $\underline{a}=12.6900(12) \AA, \underline{b}=15.1528(12) \AA, \underline{c}=33.412(2)$
$\AA, \beta=93.893(2)^{\circ}$, volume $=6409.9(9) \AA^{3}$, are based upon the refinement of the XYZ-centroids of 9887 reflections above $20 \sigma(I)$ with $5.931^{\circ}<2 \theta<52.79^{\circ}$. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multi-scan method (SADABS). The ratio of minimum to maximum apparent transmission was 0.869 . The calculated minimum and maximum transmission coefficients (based on crystal size) are 0.7883 and 0.8978 .

The structure was solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL Software Package, using the space group P 1 21/c 1, with $\mathrm{Z}=8$ for the formula unit, $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.50} \mathrm{P}$. The final anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement on $F^{2}$ with 798 variables converged at $R 1=11.36 \%$, for the observed data and $w R 2=33.92 \%$ for all data. The goodness-of-fit was 1.074. The largest peak in the final difference electron density synthesis was $1.493 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$ and the largest hole was $-0.998 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ $/ \AA^{3}$ with an RMS deviation of $0.117 \mathrm{e}^{-} / \AA^{3}$. On the basis of the final model, the calculated density was $1.464 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $F(000)$, $2912 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

## Table S20. Sample and crystal data for Complex 14.THF.

Identification code
Chemical formula
Formula weight
Temperature

Complex 14•THF $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NiO}_{0.50} \mathrm{P}$ 706.29

100(2) K

| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crystal size | $0.150 \times 0.150 \times 0.340 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |  |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 121 / \mathrm{c} 1$ | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=12.6900(12) \AA$ | $\beta=93.893(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=15.1528(12) \AA$ | $\gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=33.412(2) \AA$ |  |
| Volume | $6409.9(9) \AA^{3}$ |  |
| Z | 8 |  |
| Density (calculated) | $1.464 \mathrm{~g}^{\circ} \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |  |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.735 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |  |
| F(000) | 2912 |  |

## Table S21. Data collection and structure refinement for Complex 14•THF.

Theta range for data collection $\quad 2.95$ to $27.03^{\circ}$
Index ranges
Reflections collected
$-16<=\mathrm{h}<=6,-19<=\mathrm{k}<=5,-31<=1<=35$

Independent reflections
16149

Coverage of independent reflections $83.0 \%$

| Absorption correction | multi-scan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.8978 and 0.7883 |
| Structure solution technique | direct methods |
| Structure solution program | SHELXS-97 (Sheldrick, 2008) |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Refinement program | SHELXL-97 (Sheldrick, 2008) |
| Function minimized | $\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $11666 / 0 / 798$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathbf{F}^{2}$ | 1.074 |
| $\boldsymbol{\Delta} / \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\text {max }}$ | 1.495 |
| Final R indices | 6901 data; $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{R} 1=0.1136, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.3057$ |
|  | all data |
| Weighting scheme | $\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1771 \mathrm{P})^{2}+16.17963 \mathrm{P}\right]$ |
|  | $\mathrm{where} \mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | $1.493 \mathrm{and}-0.998 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ |
| R.M.S. deviation from mean | $0.117 \mathrm{e}^{-3}{ }^{-3}$ |

## Selected NMR Spectra:

Figure S5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, THF- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)$ of 8 . Solvent residual signals of deuterated solvent dominate spectrum.


| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 50 | 45 | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & \mathrm{f} 1(\mathrm{ppm}) \end{aligned}$ | 35 | 30 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0 |

Figure S6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, THF- $\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) of $\mathbf{8}$ (magnified view of Evans NMR experiment).


Figure S7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, toluene $\left.-\mathrm{d}_{8}\right)$ of 9 .


Figure S8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, toluene $-\mathrm{d}_{8}$ ) of 9 (magnified view of Evans NMR experiment).


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 10 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (expanded view).


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 10 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (magnified view).


Figure S11. ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum of compound 10 in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.


Figure S12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1 4}$ :


Figure $\mathrm{S} 13:{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum $\left(23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ of 14 :



[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} g_{a v}=$ averaged $g$ value $=\left(g_{1}+\mathrm{g}_{2}+g_{3}\right) / 3 ; \Delta g=g$ anisotropy $=g_{1}-g_{3}$. TMPP-O is the monodemethylated form of tris $(2,4,6-$
    trimethoxypheny1)phosphine (TMPP); $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{1}=N$-[2-(oxalylamino)phenyl]oxalamic acid; $\mathrm{NCN}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right)_{2}-0, o^{\prime}: \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{2}=6,6$ -diethyl-3,9,9,12,12,14,14-octamethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazacyclotetradecane-2,5,7,10,13-pentaone; $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{emi}=N, N$-ethylenebis(2mercaptoisobutyramide); Fmes = 2,4,6-trifluoromethyl-phenyl.

