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## Supporting Information Text

## Derivation of $\mathbf{1} /\left\langle\Delta T_{\text {post }}\right\rangle$ at high [dGTP]

As shown in Figure 3B, the transition rates between the two post-translocation states are $k_{\text {on }}[\mathrm{dGTP}]$ and $k_{\text {off. }}$. The time scale of relaxing to the equilibrium between two states is given by the reciporocal of the sum of the transition rates. At high dGTP conentration, $k_{\text {on }}[\mathrm{dGTP}]+k_{\text {off }}$ is large and as a result, the two post-translocation states are effectively in equilibrium. Thus, we treat the two post-translocation states as one composite state with the probability of each substate given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{DNA}}=k_{\mathrm{off}} /\left(k_{\mathrm{on}}[\mathrm{dGTP}]+k_{\mathrm{off}}\right) \\
& p_{\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{DNA}-\mathrm{dNTP}}=k_{\mathrm{on}}[\mathrm{dGTP}] /\left(k_{\mathrm{on}}[\mathrm{dGTP}]+k_{\mathrm{off}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The overall transition rate from the composite post-translocation state to the pre-translocation state is

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{\text {post-pre }} & =r_{4} p_{\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{DNA}-\mathrm{dNTP}}+r_{2} p_{\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{DNA}} \\
& =\left(r_{4} k_{\mathrm{on}}[\mathrm{dGTP}]+r_{2} k_{\mathrm{off}}\right) /\left(k_{\mathrm{on}}[\mathrm{dGTP}]+k_{\mathrm{off}}\right) \\
& =r_{4}+\left(r_{2}-r_{4}\right) k_{\mathrm{off}} /\left(k_{\mathrm{on}}[\mathrm{dGTP}]+k_{\mathrm{off}}\right) \\
& =r_{4}+\left(r_{2}-r_{4}\right) K_{\mathrm{d}} /[\mathrm{dGTP}]-\left(r_{2}-r_{4}\right) K_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} /[\mathrm{dGTP}]^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(1 /[\mathrm{dGTP}]^{3}\right) \\
& \approx r_{4}+\alpha /[\mathrm{dGTP}]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{\mathrm{d}}=k_{\text {off }} / k_{\text {on }}$ and $\alpha=\left(r_{2}-r_{4}\right) K_{\mathrm{d}}$.
In the measured time traces of ionic current amplitude, the composite post-translocation state is detected as the lower amplitude state since the two post-translocation states yield the same amplitude level.

The mean dwell time of the composite post-translocation state satisfies

$$
1 /\left\langle\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\text {post }}\right\rangle=r_{\text {post-pre }} \approx r_{4}+\alpha /[\mathrm{dGTP}]
$$

## The three-state model and the method for estimating transition rates from measured time traces of amplitude

As shown in Figure 3, the 3 states are
State 1: pre-translocation state (upper amplitude)
State 2: post-translocation state (lower amplitude)
State 2B: post-translocation state with dGTP bound (lower amplitude)
Based on the analysis shown in Figure 4, we eliminated the direct transitions between the pretranslocation state and the dGTP bound post-translocation state ( $r_{3}$ [dGTP] and $r_{4}$ in Figure 3B). Thus, the 3-state model becomes the one shown in Figure 3C where the dGTP can only bind when the complex is in the post-translocation state. The 3 states are connected by 4 transition rates
$r_{1}: \quad$ transition rate from state 1 to state 2
$r_{2}: \quad$ transition rate from state 2 to state 1
$k_{\text {on }}$ : first order rate constant of dGTP binding
$k_{\text {off }}$ : rate of dGTP dissociating
At equilibrium, the probabilities of 3 states satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{2 B}=1 \\
& \frac{p_{2 B}}{p_{2}}=\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}, \quad K_{d}=\frac{k_{\text {off }}}{k_{\text {on }}} \\
& \frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}=\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving these equations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}=\frac{\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}}{1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}} \\
& p_{2}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
p_{2 B}=\frac{\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}}{1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}}
$$

Below we derive a method for estimating the 4 transition rates from data at individual values of voltage and [dGTP].

The two post-translocation states (states 2 and 2B) yield the same current amplitude. The measured time traces of amplitude show only two amplitude levels:
$I_{1}$ : the true amplitude of the pre-translocation state (without noise)
$I_{2}:$ the true amplitude of the two post-translocation states (without noise)
Note that $I_{1}$ is the upper amplitude and $I_{2}$ is the lower amplitude: $I_{2}<I_{1}$.
Let
$S(t): \quad$ state $(1,2$, or 2 B$)$ of the complex atop of the pore at time $t$
$I(t)$ : the true amplitude (without noise) at time $t$, corresponding to state $S(t)$.
$X(t): \quad$ measured time trace of amplitude $=I(t)+$ noise
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(t)= \begin{cases}I_{1}, & S(t)=1 \\
I_{2}, & S(t)=2 \text { or } 2 \mathrm{~B}\end{cases} \\
& X(t)=I(t)+N(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $S(t), I(t)$ and $X(t)$ are all random processes.
We assume that the noise $N(t)$ has zero mean and that $N\left(t_{1}\right)$ is independent of $N\left(t_{2}\right)$.
We map $\left[I_{2}, I_{1}\right]$ to $[-1,1]$ and consider the scaled amplitude

$$
Y(t)=\frac{2}{\left(I_{1}-I_{2}\right)}\left(X(t)-\frac{I_{1}+I_{2}}{2}\right)
$$

The mean of $Y(t)$ has the theoretical expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[Y]=p_{1}-\left(p_{2}+p_{2 B}\right)=\frac{\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}-\left(1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}\right)}{1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}} \tag{E01}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the first equation for the unknown parameters.
To derive more equations, we consider the auto-correlation

$$
R(t) \equiv E\left[Y\left(t_{0}\right) Y\left(t_{0}+t\right)\right]
$$

Form the 3-state model shown in Figure 3C, we can show that the autocorrelation function has two properties:

1. $R(t)-(E[Y])^{2}=\left(1-(E[Y])^{2}\right)\left[c_{1} \exp \left(-\lambda_{1} t\right)+\left(1-c_{1}\right) \exp \left(-\lambda_{2} t\right)\right]$
where $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are the eigenvalues of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right) & k_{o n}[d G T P] \\
r_{2} & \left(k_{o n}[d G T P]+k_{\text {off }}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

This property leads to two equations for the unknown parameters

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)+\left(k_{\text {on }}[d G T P]+k_{\text {off }}\right)  \tag{E02}\\
& \begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1} \cdot \lambda_{2} & =\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)\left(k_{\text {on }}[d G T P]+k_{\text {off }}\right)-r_{2} k_{\text {on }}[d G T P] \\
& =r_{1} k_{\text {off }}\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

2. $R^{\prime}(0)=-2\left(r_{1} p_{1}+r_{2} p_{2}\right)$
which gives us another equation for the unknown parameters:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1-(E[Y])^{2}\right)\left[c_{1} \lambda_{1}+\left(1-c_{1}\right) \lambda_{2}\right] & =2 r_{1}\left(p_{1}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}} p_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{4 r_{2}}{1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}} \tag{E04}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we obtain 4 equations for the 4 unknown parameters $\left(r_{1}, r_{2}, k_{\text {on }}, k_{\text {off }}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}-\left(1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}\right)}{1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}}=E[Y] \\
& \frac{4 r_{2}}{1+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}}=\left(1-(E[Y])^{2}\right)\left[c_{1} \lambda_{1}+\left(1-c_{1}\right) \lambda_{2}\right] \\
& r_{1} k_{\text {off }}\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}+\frac{[d G T P]}{K_{d}}+1\right)=\lambda_{1} \cdot \lambda_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)+\left(k_{o n}[d G T P]+k_{o f f}\right)=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}
$$

In the above 4 equations, all quantities on the right hand side are calculated from data.

- $E[Y]$ is calculated directly from a time trace of amplitude $\{Y(t)\}$.
- $R(t)$ is calculated directly from a time trace of amplitude $\{Y(t)\}$.
- $\mathrm{c}_{1}, \lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are calculated by fitting measured values of $\{R(t)\}$ to the theoretical expression

$$
R(t)-(E[Y])^{2}=\left(1-(E[Y])^{2}\right)\left[c_{1} \exp \left(-\lambda_{1} t\right)+\left(1-c_{1}\right) \exp \left(-\lambda_{2} t\right)\right]
$$

The 4 unknown parameters are then solved from the 4 equations above. In this way, we can calculate a set of 4 parameters from each measured time trace of amplitude. At each individual voltage and [dGTP], we have $20 \sim 60$ measured time traces. From multiple estimated sets of parameter values, we use the mean as a more accurate estimate and use the standard error as the error bar.

Table S1. Translocation and dNTP binding rates.

| [dGTP] | Voltage | $r_{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $r_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 140 mV | $672.94 \pm 33.68$ | $1337.9 \pm 24.34$ |
|  | 150 mV | $512.32 \pm 9.81$ | $1428.8 \pm 19.31$ |
|  | 160 mV | $446.8 \pm 5.63$ | $1592.8 \pm 51.44$ |
|  | 170 mV | $343.31 \pm 6.81$ | $1793.5 \pm 15.94$ |
|  | 180 mV | $235.71 \pm 4.4$ | $1932.9 \pm 25.06$ |
|  | 190 mV | $196.16 \pm 14.13$ | $1999.2 \pm 27.28$ |
|  | 200 mV | $145.09 \pm 10.65$ | $2308.2 \pm 101.39$ |
|  | 210 mV | $107.9 \pm 3.73$ | $2368.7 \pm 63.09$ |


| $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 140 mV | $678.9 \pm 18.1$ | $1327 \pm 112$ | $12.99 \pm 1.73$ | $26.22 \pm 2.94$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 150 mV | $538.1 \pm 25.9$ | $1534 \pm 101$ | $14.73 \pm 1.83$ | $27.84 \pm 1.80$ |
|  | 160 mV | $412.0 \pm 21.8$ | $1689 \pm 112$ | $15.31 \pm 0.98$ | $30.29 \pm 2.03$ |
|  | 170 mV | $324.5 \pm 10.1$ | $1765 \pm 81$ | $13.04 \pm 0.96$ | $30.13 \pm 1.90$ |
|  | 180 mV | $243.0 \pm 12.8$ | $2039 \pm 128$ | $15.75 \pm 1.36$ | $34.61 \pm 2.41$ |
|  | 190 mV | $181.5 \pm 14.5$ | $2118 \pm 297$ | $13.32 \pm 1.15$ | $30.01 \pm 3.10$ |
|  | 200 mV | $137.6 \pm 12.3$ | $2205 \pm 181$ | $13.12 \pm 0.95$ | $30.27 \pm 1.99$ |
|  | 210 mV | $109.7 \pm 12.3$ | $2406 \pm 306$ | $10.74 \pm 1.63$ | $27.42 \pm 4.81$ |


| $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 140 mV | $748.5 \pm 77.1$ | $1294 \pm 264$ | $15.87 \pm 4.30$ | $26.94 \pm 4.71$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 150 mV | $575.2 \pm 54.0$ | $1477 \pm 232$ | $18.96 \pm 2.78$ | $28.20 \pm 2.76$ |
|  | 160 mV | $420.7 \pm 27.7$ | $1653 \pm 181$ | $19.19 \pm 1.43$ | $30.23 \pm 1.54$ |
|  | 170 mV | $342.2 \pm 22.9$ | $1801 \pm 166$ | $19.15 \pm 1.46$ | $30.09 \pm 0.77$ |
|  | 180 mV | $257.2 \pm 10.4$ | $1920 \pm 75$ | $20.94 \pm 0.95$ | $30.55 \pm 0.65$ |
|  | 190 mV | $202.0 \pm 8.7$ | $2100 \pm 124$ | $19.61 \pm 1.17$ | $32.19 \pm 1.22$ |
|  | 200 mV | $148.0 \pm 12.5$ | $2271 \pm 318$ | $18.74 \pm 1.12$ | $29.42 \pm 1.22$ |
|  | 210 mV | $100.4 \pm 7.3$ | $2194 \pm 252$ | $21.91 \pm 1.19$ | $35.52 \pm 1.94$ |


| [dGTP] | Voltage | $r_{1}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $r_{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $k_{\mathrm{on}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1} \mu \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $k_{\mathrm{off}}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 140 mV | $870.3 \pm 120$ | $1137 \pm 487$ | $10.20 \pm 5.09$ | $23.88 \pm 4.26$ |
|  | 150 mV | $680.0 \pm 102$ | $1303 \pm 464$ | $12.59 \pm 3.95$ | $24.07 \pm 3.57$ |
|  | 160 mV | $474.1 \pm 61.9$ | $1556 \pm 334$ | $14.57 \pm 2.45$ | $26.40 \pm 1.79$ |
|  | 170 mV | $366.8 \pm 46.4$ | $1703 \pm 359$ | $17.00 \pm 1.63$ | $29.88 \pm 1.88$ |
|  | 180 mV | $236.0 \pm 15$ | $1931 \pm 173$ | $18.81 \pm 1.58$ | $31.69 \pm 0.81$ |
|  | 190 mV | $200.2 \pm 15.9$ | $2098 \pm 195$ | $18.21 \pm 0.62$ | $29.78 \pm 1.18$ |
|  | 200 mV | $144.5 \pm 12.2$ | $2112 \pm 271$ | $17.25 \pm 1.07$ | $30.29 \pm 1.09$ |
|  | 210 mV | $102.7 \pm 8.6$ | $2349 \pm 316$ | $17.49 \pm 1.15$ | $32.15 \pm 1.62$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 180 mV | $227.2 \pm 21.8$ | $1765 \pm 318$ | $18.01 \pm 1.43$ | $31.35 \pm 1.02$ |
|  | 190 mV | $171.5 \pm 16.3$ | $1940 \pm 312$ | $17.42 \pm 1.17$ | $31.67 \pm 1.39$ |
|  | 200 mV | $132.0 \pm 9.0$ | $2180 \pm 310$ | $19.24 \pm 1.09$ | $32.35 \pm 1.55$ |
|  | 210 mV | $87.11 \pm 11.5$ | $1985 \pm 365$ | $19.79 \pm 1.54$ | $35.30 \pm 2.96$ |

${ }^{a}$ The rate of transition from the pre-translocation to the post-translocation state.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The rate of transition from the post-translocation to the pre-translocation state.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The dGTP association rate.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The dGTP dissociation rate.
All values are reported with the standard error.

