Supporting Materials

Figure legends

Supplemental Fig 1. Representative MS/MS spectrum of the iTRAQ-labeled peptide KVESLQEEIAFLK derived from Vimentin. Observed b-, y-ions and the iTRAQ reporter ions are annotated.

Supplemental Fig 2. Summary of Hep3B cancer /stem cell proteomic results obtained from (A) Mascot (B) ProteinPilot. The iTRAQ labeled samples were fractionated by SCX, solution-IEF and basic-RP prior LC-MS/MS analysis.

Supplemental Fig 3. Peptide fractionation summary results (A) SCX, (B) basic RP, (C) solution-IEF. (a) The protein identification results in every fraction search by Mascot. The dark bars represent the number of proteins identified only in the fractionation approach. (b) Percentage of peptide distribution for each fraction. Basic RP strategy (B-b) shows the highest percentage of the peptides identified in single fraction, which indicates this strategy has better focusing power.

Supplemental Fig 4. Orthogonality of 2D LC separation. Retention time plots for selected 2D-LC systems. (A) SCX, (B) basic RP, (C) solution-IEF. The x-axis represents fraction number for each fraction strategy and y-axis represents associated retention time of nanoLC-MS.

Supplemental Fig 5. Functional importance of vimentin in CSC-like Hep3B cells. (A) Two clones of Hep3B cells were treated with withaferin A (1 μ M) for one hour. The expression levels of vimentin in these two clones of Hep3B in control group (C) or treated with withaferin A (WA) were shown. (B) Two clones of Hep3B cells were treated with withaferin A (1-2 μ M) for one hour. The abilities of spheroid formation in these cells were observed daily after cells were plated. Images of spheroid formation on day 2 and day 5 were shown. (C) CSC-like Hep3B cells were pre-treated with withaferin A (2 μ M) for one hour before being used in scratch assay (N=3).

Supplemental Table 1. Expression of CSC markers in Hep3B cells.

Expression of CSC markers in control and CSC-like Hep3B cells were detected by flow cytometer and analyzed by comparing mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of each marker in these cells (N=3).

Supplemental Table 2. Cell viability of CSC-like Hep3B cells in response to co-treatment of withaferin A and chemotherapeutic reagents.

CSC-like Hep3B cells were treated chemotherapeutic reagents alone or co-treated with withaferin A and chemotherapeutic reagents. Dose-dependent cell viability of Hep3B cells in each group was examined by MTT assay.





Figure S2.



*These experiment were duplicate on MS analyses.

Figure S3.







6

Figure S4.



7

Figure S5.

Α.



B. Sphere formation









Table S1.

MFI

	CSC-like Hep3B	Control Hep3B	P value
CD13	517.70±192.94	1578.29±712.13	p=0.067
CD90	20.73±10.89	-5.47±29.93	p=0.230
CD133	640.57±70.01	73.07±79.68	P<0.001*
cKIT	-2.84±2.52	-13.74±40.43	p=0.670
EpCAM	2842.07±445.86	1498.81±824.47	p=0.068

MFI

	CSC-like Hep3B	Control Hep3B	P value
Vimentin	74.95±36.88	6.73±3.32	p=0.033*

Table S2.

Cell Drug (uM)		CSC-like Hep3B	CSC-like Hep3B + WA	P Value
Cisplatin	50	41.08±6.31%	38.15±15.81%	0.780
	25	50.93±8.63%	34.64±10.12%	0.100
	10	74.94±4.26%	41.11±9.80%	0.005*
	5	86.66±6.58%	53.73±1.76%	0.001*
Doxorubicin	5	52.33±7.02%	32.64±17.23%	0.140
	2.5	49.46±9.44%	34.79±18.01%	0.280
	1	82.23±5.53%	54.71±14.00%	0.110
	0.5	81.29±1.81%	62.63±11.75%	0.053
Idarubicin	5	45.15±6.19%	21.02±17.93%	0.092
	2.5	45.43±6.01%	22.25±18.93%	0.110
	1	35.26±10.74%	12.73±14.75%	0.099
	0.5	49.97±9.59%	42.03±16.56%	0.510