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## 1. Names and abbreviations of standards and internal standards

Table S 1: Abbreviations and names of analytes including the suppliers and purity for crystalline standards. 8:2 FTUCA was dissolved in methanol.

| Abbreviation | Name | Supplier | Purity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PFBA | Perfluorobutanoic acid | Aldrich | $99 \%$ |
| PFHxA | Perfluorohexanoic acid | ABCR | $98 \%$ |
| PFHpA | Perfluoroheptanoic acid | Aldrich | $99 \%$ |
| PFOA | Perfluorooctanoic acid | ABCR | $98 \%$ |
| PFNA | Perfluorononanoic acid | Aldrich | $97 \%$ |
| PFDA | Perfluorodecanoic acid | Fluka | $\geq 97 \%$ |
| PFUnDA | Perfluoroundecanoic acid | Aldrich | $95 \%$ |
| PFDoDA | Perfluorododecanoic acid | Aldrich | $95 \%$ |
| PFBS | Perfluorobutane sulfonic acid | Dyneon (potassium salt) | unknown |
| PFHxS | Perfluorohexane sulfonic <br> acid | Interchim (potassium salt) | $98 \%$ |
| PFOS | Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid | Fluka (potassium salt) | $\geq 98 \%$ |
| $8: 2$ FTUCA | 2H-Perfluorodecanoic acid | Wellington Laboratories | unknown |

Table S 2: Names, abbreviations and suppliers of mass-labeled internal standards (IS).

| Abbreviation | Name | Supplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPFHxS ${ }^{1}$ | Perfluoro-1-hexane- $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ sulfonic acid | Wellington Laboratories (MPFAC-MXA) |
| MPFOS | Perfluoro-1-[1,2,3,4- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{4}\right]$ octane sulfonic acid |  |
| MPFBA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{4}\right]$ butanoic acid |  |
| MPFHxA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2,- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ hexanoic acid |  |
| MPFOA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{4}\right]$ octanoic acid |  |
| MPFNA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4,5- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{5}\right]$ nonanoic acid |  |
| MPFDA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ decanoic acid |  |
| MPFUnDA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ undecanoic acid |  |
| MPFDoDA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ dodecanoic acid |  |
| MPFHpA | Perfluoro-n-[1,2,3,4- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{4}\right]$ heptanoic acid | Wellington Laboratories |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M8:2 } \\ & \text { FTUCA } \end{aligned}$ | 2H-Perfluorooctyl-[1,2- $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-decanoic acid | Wellington Laboratories |

MPFHxS has also been used as IS for PFBS.

## 2. Quality assurance

Table S 3: Absolute recoveries of IS in different samples compared to the calibration standards (averages $\pm$ standard deviation, in $\%, \mathrm{n}=35$ ).

| Name | Water | Top | Bottom |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PFBA | $184 \pm 42$ | $122 \pm 26$ | $125 \pm 26$ |
| PFHxA | $128 \pm 27$ | $124 \pm 45$ | $127 \pm 36$ |
| PFHpA | $132 \pm 25$ | $128 \pm 30$ | $129 \pm 30$ |
| PFOA | $123 \pm 27$ | $126 \pm 34$ | $124 \pm 35$ |
| PFNA | $137 \pm 25$ | $135 \pm 30$ | $133 \pm 29$ |
| PFDA | $116 \pm 21$ | $116 \pm 24$ | $113 \pm 25$ |
| PFUnDA | $133 \pm 32$ | $124 \pm 35$ | $111 \pm 36$ |
| PFDoDA | $182 \pm 53$ | $158 \pm 46$ | $143 \pm 50$ |
| PFHxS | $117 \pm 13$ | $115 \pm 13$ | $101 \pm 17$ |
| PFOS | $117 \pm 19$ | $111 \pm 20$ | $95 \pm 22$ |
| 8:2 FTUCA | $51 \pm 90$ | not analyzed | not analyzed |

Table S 4: Nominal and measured pH over an experimental period of four days.

| Nominal | Measured <br> $( \pm$ standard dev.; $\mathrm{n}=6)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $0.32 \pm 0.01$ |
| 0.5 | $0.73 \pm 0.01$ |
| 1.5 | $1.59 \pm 0.01$ |
| 2.5 | $2.57 \pm 0.01$ |
| 3 | $3.07 \pm 0.01$ |
| 3.5 | $3.64 \pm 0.01$ |
| 4.5 | $4.99 \pm 0.04$ |
| neutral | $6.90 \pm 0.05$ |

## 3. 14-days time series for sorption to top part of vessel at $\mathbf{p H} 0$



Figure S 1: Fraction (in \% of amount in the standard used for spiking) sorbed to the top part of the vessel at certain time points at pH 0 (semi-quantitative, analytical method not fully optimized).

## 1 5. Quantified amounts of target compounds

2 Table S 5: Amounts (in ng) in 1 mL water for duplicates of each setup at t 0 (to be multiplied with a factor 20 to calculate the whole amount in the 3 water of the system).

| Nominal pH | Blank | neutral |  | 4.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PFBA | n.d. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.98 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.97 | 0.88 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 0.97 | 2.1 | 1.0 |
| PFHxA | n.d. | 1.9 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 7.0 | 1.7 |
| PFHpA | n.d. | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.82 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.83 | 0.9 | 0.91 | 0.85 |
| PFOA | n.d. | 1.1 | 0.99 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.99 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| PFNA | n.d. | 1.0 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.91 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.86 | 1.0 |
| PFDA | n.d. | 1.0 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 1.1 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.83 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.94 | 1.2 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.98 |
| PFUnDA | n.d. | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.19 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.3 |
| PFDoDA | n.d. | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| PFTeDA | n.d. | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.68 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.19 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| PFBS | n.d. | 0.96 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| PFHxS | n.d. | 0.98 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.94 | 1.1 | 0.86 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| PFOS | n.d. | 0.84 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.98 | 0.76 | 0.94 | 0.77 | 1.1 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 0.56 | 0.74 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.9 | 0.93 |
| 8:2 FTUCA | n.d. | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 2.1 |

6 Table S 6: Amounts (in ng) in 1 mL water for duplicates of each setup at t 2 (to be multiplied with a factor 20 to calculate the whole amount in the 7 water of the system).

| Nominal pH | Blank |  | neutral |  | 4.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 3 |  | 2.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PFBA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.97 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.93 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.4 |
| PFHxA | n.d. | n.d. | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| PFHpA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.9 | 0.82 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 0.68 | 0.61 | 0.33 | 0.31 |
| PFOA | n.d. | n.d. | 1.1 | 0.97 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.9 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.32 | 0.34 |
| PFNA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 1.0 | 0.93 | 0.6 | 0.71 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| PFDA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.44 | 0.91 | 0.76 | 0.85 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.5 | 0.36 | n.d. | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.24 |
| PFUnDA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.26 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.3 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.14 | <MQL | 0.12 |
| PFDoDA | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL |
| PFTeDA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.22 | 0.27 | 1.2 | 0.24 | 0.2 | 0.39 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.56 | 0.30 | <MQL | 0.58 | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| PFBS | n.d. | n.d. | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.93 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| PFHxS | n.d. | n.d. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 0.95 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.92 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| PFOS | n.d. | n.d. | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 0.96 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.91 | 1.0 | 0.93 | 0.72 | 1.2 | 0.99 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.98 |
| 8:2 FTUCA | n.d. | n.d. | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 0.52 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
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9 Table S 7: Amounts (in ng) extracted from the top part of the vessels for duplicates of each setup at t2.

| Nominal pH | Blank |  | neutral |  | 4.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 3 |  | 2.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PFBA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | 0.7 | 1.2 |
| PFHxA | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | 0.17 | <MQL | 0.28 | 0.42 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 6.8 | 7.0 |
| PFHpA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | 0.38 | 0.42 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 5.9 |
| PFOA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | <MQL | 0.20 | 0.19 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 7.7 |
| PFNA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | 0.1 | <MQL | 0.33 | 0.33 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 6.2 |
| PFDA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.29 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 3.3 |
| PFUnDA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.77 | 0.73 |
| PFDoDA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL |
| PFTeDA | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| PFBS | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | n.d. | n.d. |
| PFHxS | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | <MQL |
| PFOS | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |

11 Table S 8: Amounts (in ng) extracted from the bottom part of the vessels for duplicates of each setup at t 2.

| Nominal pH | Blank |  | neutral |  | 4.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 3 |  | 2.5 |  | 1.5 |  | 0.5 |  | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PFBA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL |
| PFHxA | <MQL | <MQL | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.4 | n.d. | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.31 | <MQL | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 1.0 |
| PFHpA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | n.d. | 0.17 | n.d. | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.17 | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.64 | 0.84 | 0.68 | 0.75 |
| PFOA | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.23 | <MQL | <MQL | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.91 |
| PFNA | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.3 | 0.86 | 0.32 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| PFDA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.38 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 1.4 | 0.61 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 1.4 |
| PFUnDA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.16 | <MQL | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.18 | 0.59 | 0.32 | 0.84 | 1.2 | 0.88 | 1.4 |
| PFDoDA | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | <MQL | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.21 |
| PFTeDA | n.d. | n.d. | 0.11 | <MQL | 0.27 | n.d. | <MQL | n.d. | 0.1 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.12 | <MQL | 0.18 | n.d. | n.d. | 0.23 | 0.19 |
| PFBS | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | n.d. | 0.2 | n.d. | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | n.d. | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.23 | 0.13 | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ |
| PFHxS | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | <MQL | <MQL | <MQL | 0.21 | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | <MQL | <MQL | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.46 | 0.29 | 0.2 | 0.15 |
| PFOS | n.d. | n.d. | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.23 | <MQL | 0.20 | 0.35 | $<\mathrm{MQL}$ | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.3 |
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## 5. 8:2 FTUC(A) as a reference chemical

Figure 4 shows the fraction of the total amount found in the vessels at to that was found in water at t2 for 8:2 FTUC(A) compared to PFC(A)s. The loss of 8:2 FTUC(A) from water compared to the loss of PFC(A)s from water shows that 8:2 FTUC(A) is already lost from water at higher $\mathrm{pHs}(3.5-5)$ and that the loss is leveling off at lower $\mathrm{pHs}(<3)$. It can be concluded that the system is showing different results for chemicals with different $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ 's indicating that the results are influenced by the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$.


Figure S 2: Percentage of 8:2 FTUC(A) (semi-quantitative) and $\operatorname{PFC}(A) s$ remaining at t2 (relative to the total amount in the system at t 0 ) plotted as a function of the water pH . Lines represent a polynomical fit for the data point of each analyte.

## 6. Mass balances



Figure S 3: Percentages of the total amount remaining in the systems at t 2 (found in the water and sorbed to the top and bottom parts of the vessel) relative to the amount at t 0 for selected water pHs .

## 7. Model fit for PFOA



Figure S 4: Least square fit of modeled results to measured data for PFOA. Calculated loss from water after two days (dashed line, in \%) and measured fraction sorbed to the top part after two days (dots, in $\%$ of amount found at t 0 ) plotted against the pH of the water.

