

# Thin ionic liquid membranes based on inorganic supports with different pore sizes

*Jonathan Albo, Toshinori Tsuru\**

*Department of Chemical Engineering, Hiroshima University, 1-4-1 Kagayami-yama,  
Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan*

\*Corresponding author: Tel.: +81 824 24 7714, Fax: +81 824 22 7191, e-mail:

[tsuru@hiroshima-u.ac.jp](mailto:tsuru@hiroshima-u.ac.jp)

**Table S1.** Experimental viscosity,  $\mu$ , and solubility,  $S$ , as a function of temperature in [emim][Ac]

Temperature (°C)	CO <sub>2</sub> solubility <sup>16</sup> , $S$ (molCO <sub>2</sub> /LIL, mole fraction)	Viscosity <sup>49</sup> , $\mu$ (cP)
25	2.19, 0.267	143.61
30	2.09, 0.257	105.30
40	1.81, 0.231	61.33
50	1.62, 0.212	38.95
60	1.41, 0.189	26.47

**Table S2.** Performance of SILM-2.5, prepared by spray-coating procedure in comparison to current materials for CO<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> separation

Support (pore size) – IL	Thickness, $\delta$ [ $\mu$ m]	Op. cond. T [°C] – $\Delta p$ [bar]	CO <sub>2</sub> perm., $PCO_2$ , [10 <sup>-9</sup> mol/(m <sup>2</sup> ·s·Pa)]	Selectivity, $\alpha$ (CO <sub>2</sub> /N <sub>2</sub> ), [-]	Ref.
<b>Polymers (Robeson’s upper bound)</b>					
PIM-1 (< 2nm)	46	30 – 0.3	16.7	25	(51)
PIM-7 (< 2nm)	28	30 – 0.3	13.2	26.2	(51)
<b>Polymeric-based IL membranes</b>					
Polyethersulfone (200 nm) – [emim][CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ]	150	RT – 0.19	2.06	35	(12)
Polyethersulfone (200 nm) – [emim][TF <sub>2</sub> N]	150	RT – 0.19	2.36	20	(12)
PVDF (220 nm) – [emim][Ac]	125	25 – 0.45	2.35	33.7	(16)
PVDF (220 nm) – [bmim][Ac]	125	25 – 0.45	2.28	34.6	(16)
PVDF (220 nm) – [VBTMA][Ac]	125	25 – 0.45	2.95	39	(16)
<b>Ceramic-based IL membranes</b>					
SILM-2.5 (2.5 nm) – [emim][Ac]	0.36*	25 – 1	43.1	31.2	This work
Tubular Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /TiO <sub>2</sub> (20 nm) – [emim][Ac]	0.56*	25 – 1	27.8	30.7	(17)
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -Anodisc® (20 nm) – [bmim][PF <sub>6</sub> ]	60	27 – 0.1	3.91	-	(21)
Tubular Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (20 nm) – [APmim][TF <sub>2</sub> N]	1.5	-	~8.20	-	(22)
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -Anodisc® (20 nm) – [bmim][TF <sub>2</sub> N]	60	23 – 1.05	1.47	20	(23)
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -Anodisc® (100 nm) – [bmim][TF <sub>2</sub> N]	60	23 – 1.05	26.6	20	(23)

\*Theoretically estimated according to Morgan’s correlation for CO<sub>2</sub> diffusivity in imidazolium-based ILs<sup>44</sup>.