SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR

Ethanol, Isobutanol, and Biohydrocarbons as Gasoline Components in Relation to Gaseous Emissions and Particulate Matter

Päivi T. Aakko-Saksa, +* Leena Rantanen-Kolehmainen, ‡ and Eija Skyttä +

⁺VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland, P.O. Box 1000, FI-02044 VTT, Finland. [‡]Neste Oil, Porvoo, Finland.

* Corresponding author: Phone: +358 40 720 7846, Fax: +358 20 722 7048, E-mail: <u>paivi.aakko-saksa@vtt.fi</u>.

Number of pages: 10

Number of figures: 1

Number of tables: 9

FFV car conditioning. Conditioning of The FFV car model used in this project requires special adaptation to fuel. Instructions from the manufacturer included driving in on-road conditions before preconditioning on the chassis dynamometer. The adaptation of the car to new fuel was monitored by the following parameters: charge air pressure, ignition timing, injection time and long- and short-term adjustment for fuel adaptation. Measurements were conducted after changing fuel and preconditioning at three constant loads (idle, 10 kW and 30 kW) using an Autocom OBD tester. In addition, data were recorded continuously during selected test runs using an ELM OBD diagnostic card. With the FFV car, charge air pressure was relatively constant for all fuels measured. The ignition timing was at the same level at idle and at 10 kW load. At the highest load (30 kW), the most significant difference was observed for the E30 fuel. These parameters were not measured for the E85 fuel.

Ames test in the mutagenicity testing. Ames test has been most widely used short-term test for screening of chemicals and various kind of complex environmental samples for mutagenicity. It provides a practical screening tool, but when interpreting the results of the test one need to know its limitations. The following is a summary of the more detailed discussion of this subject presented in a report by Aakko et al. 2006 (Aakko, P., Harju, T., Niemi, M., Rantanen-Kolehmainen, L. PAH content of diesel fuel and automotive emissions. Research report: VTT-R-1155-06, http://www.vtt.fi/inf/julkaisut/muut/2006/VTT-1155-06-AROM.pdf).

Mutagenicity is a term related to genotoxicity and carcinogenesis. A large number of mutagenicity tests are available. The bacterial reverse mutation tests, such as Ames test are in vitro tests that are commonly used as screening tools for genotoxic and mutation inducing activity. There are several drawbacks of using Ames test to study mutagenicity. The bacterial test does not reflect in vivo mutagenic activity, or show good correlation with the rodent cancer bioassay. The bacterial test is indicating narrow initiation phase mutations, not the whole process of carcinogenesis. Proper testing of genetic and carcinogenic risks should include a) the bacterial test b) in vitro tests using mammalian cells c) in vivo tests. However, bacteria tests can be used as effective first-step screening tool for bacterial mutagenicity as they are relatively simple and inexpensive. There have been a lot of studies on reliability of these tests, e.g. comparisons with the rodent cancer bioassays. Relatively low correlation has been showed between mutagenicity in bacteria and carcinogenicity in rodents in some studies, e.g. one study with 301 chemicals reported that the bacterial tests detected 56% of the carcinogens and 70% of those that were carcinogenic in both mice and rats. Generally, one important shortcoming of the bacterial test is lack of detection of nongenotoxic mechanisms. In addition, in vitro bacterial test has a high sensitivity and thus tends to overestimate in vivo activity. The following differences between bacterial tests and in vivo circumstances should be considered:

a) Dose in bacterial tests is "unlimited", whereas in the rodent cancer bioassay there as many limitations. Unrealistically high dose may lead to overestimated response, and even impurities may reach levels that show mutagenic response

b) Tissue-specific: some bacterial mutagens show low in vivo activity

c) Metabolic activation is often needed to convert compounds reactive for DNA.

d) The test material is added directly to the target cells in vitro, whereas in vivo, the reaction with DNA depend on the stability of the metabolite, the travelling distance and the availability of other trapping groups than DNA.

e) The mutation is a competition between DNA replication (mutation) and DNA repair. Most bacteria tests lack DNA repair systems.

TABLE S1. Test fuels.

	Bio- energy	Biocom ponent share	Oxygen	Density	Vapor pressur e	Distillat ion 10 v/v%	Distillat ion 50 v/v%	Distillat ion 95 v/v%	Aro- matics	Ben- zene	RON/M ON
	E%	v/v%	m/m%	g/l	kPa	°C	°C	°C	v/v%	v/v%	
Fossil	0	0	0.1	736	78	43	98	164	33	0.5	95/86
E10	7	10	3.7	754	69	47	80	161	27	0.2	97/86
E10+R	22	26	4.0	749	69	50	84	164	33	0.4	95/86
iBu	14	17	3.8	756	69	48	91	161	29	0.45	97/86
E30	23	31	11.3	754	68	55	74	162	20	0.3	103/90
E85	78	85	29.8	788	34	75	78	80	5	0.1	n.a.

E = ethanol, iB = isobutanol, R = renewable hydrocarbons. Number indicates volume of biocomponent.

TABLE S2. Charact	eristics of cars	TABLE S2. Characteristics of cars.													
	MPFI	DISI	FFV												
Model year	2010	2010	2006												
Displacement, litres	1.6	1.4	2.0												
	4-cyl., 16-v,		4-cyl.,												
Engine	DOHC,	4-cyl.	turbo-												
	Valvematic		charged												
Fuel system	Multi-point fuel injection	Gasoline direct injection	Multi-point fuel injection												
Power, kW/min⁻¹	97/6400	90/5000	132/5500												
Torque, Nm/min ⁻¹	160/4400	200/1500- 4000	280/1800												
Odometer, km	5 300	21 400	62 000												
Emission level	EU5	EU5	EU4												



Figure S1. Aldehyde emissions from the MPFI, DISI and FFV cars over the European test cycle at -7 °C. Standard deviations of individual aldehydes are presented as error bars, if replicate tests are available (number of replicate tests in Table 2).

TABLE S3. CO, HC, NMHC, NOx, PM and tailpipe CO ₂ emissions (g/km) ^b .												
							CO ₂					
	No ^a	CO	HC	NMHC	NOx	PM	tailpipe					
MPFI car												
Base	2	1,27	0,39	0,38	0,02	0,003	204					
		(±0,08)	(±0,00)	(±0,00)	(±0,00)	(±0,000)	(±1,49)					
E10	2	1,02	0,39	0,37	0,03	0,004	203					
		(±0,02)	(±0,01)	(±0,00)	(±0,00)	(±0,000)	(±1,19)					
E10+R	3	1,09	0,40	0,38	0,03	0,003	205					
		(±0,01)	(±0,01)	(±0,01)	(±0,00)	(±0,000)	(±0,28)					
iBu	4	0,83	0,38	0,35	0,04	0,006	202					
		(±0,10)	(±0,03)	(±0,02)	(±0,00)	(±0,003)	(±1,33)					
DISI car												
Base	2	3,90	0,59	0,56	0,06	0,016	169					
		(±0,03)	(±0,01)	(±0,01)	(±0,00)	(±0,000)	(±0,33)					
E10	3	3,06	0,52	0,49	0,07	0,013	170					
		(±0,16)	(±0,02)	(±0,03)	(±0,00)	(±0,001)	(±0,69)					
E10+R	3	2,72	0,55	0,52	0,08	0,015	171					
		(±0,13)	(±0,01)	(±0,00)	(±0,01)	(±0,002)	(±0,92)					
iBu	3	2,91	0,55	0,50	0,07	0,014	170					
		(±0,05)	(±0,04)	(±0,04)	(±0,00)	(±0,001)	(±0,92)					
FFV car												
Base	2	2,21	0,28	0,26	0,11	0,003	251					
		(±0,04)	(±0,01)	(±0,02)	(±0,01)	(±0,003)	(±0,95)					
E10	3	2,32	0,30	0,29	0,10	0,003	255					
		(±0,11)	(±0,02)	(±0,00)	(±0,00)	(±0,000)	(±1,02)					
E10+R	3	2,30	0,32	0,29	0,09	0,004	254					
		(±0,20)	(±0,00)	(±0,00)	(±0,01)	(±0,000)	(±0,41)					
iBu	3	2,31	0,36	0,34	0,08	0,004	251					
		(±0,09)	(±0,03)	(±0,03)	(±0,01)	(±0,000)	(±1,53)					
E30	2	3,24	0,42	0,34	0,07	0,004	248					
		(±0,15)	(±0,01)	(±0,00)	(±0,00)	(±0,000)	(±0,82)					
E85	2	5,55	2,40	0,51	0,05	0,007	247					
		(±0,29)	(±0,20)	(±0,00)	(±0,01)	(±0,000)	(±1,28)					

^aNumber of data points. ^bMean and standard deviation.

TABLE	TABLE S4. Aldehyde emissions (mg/km). ^b												
		Form-	Acetal-		Propion-	Croton-	Methac-	Butyr-	Benz-				
	No ^a	aldehyd	dehyde	Acrolein	aldehyde	aldehyde	roleine	aldehyde	aldehy	Sum			
MPFI ca	ır												
Base	2	0,3	0,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	1,0			
		(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)			
E10	2	0,4	4,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,1	4,7			
		(±0,0)	(±0,2)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,3)			
E10+R	3	0,4	4,1	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	4,6			
		(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)			
iBu	4	0,7	0,6	0,1	0,8	0,0	2,1	4,8	0,1	9,3			
		(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,3)	(±0,7)	(±0,1)	(±0,6)			
DISI car													
Base	2	0,3	1,1	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,2	0,0	0,1	1,8			
		(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)			
E10	3	0,4	5,0	0,1	0,2	0,0	0,2	0,1	0,2	6,1			
		(±0,0)	(±0,5)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,6)			
E10+R	3	0,4	5,0	0,1	0,1	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,2	5,9			
		(±0,0)	(±0,3)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,3)			
iBu	3	1,0	1,6	0,2	1,0	0,0	1,5	1,0	0,2	6,6			
		(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,2)	(±0,0)	(±0,4)			
FFV car													
Base	2	0,8	0,6	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,2	1,8			
		(±0,1)	(±1,7)	(±0,0)	(±0,4)	(±0,0)	(±0,7)	(±0,3)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)			
E10	3	0,7	3,5	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,3	4,6			
		(±0,1)	(±0,2)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)			
E10+R	3	0,9	3,6	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,1	0,0	0,3	5,0			
		(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,2)			
iBu	3	2,0	1,1	0,2	1,0	0,0	3,5	0,6	0,4	8,9			
		(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,3)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,7)			
E30	2	1,1	13,0	0,1	0,0	0,0	0,2	0,1	0,2	14,8			
		(±0,0)	(±1,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,9)			
E85	2	6,9	99,3	1,2	0,8	0,4	0,3	0,3	0,3	109,5			
		(±1,8)	(±9,0)	(±0,3)	(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±11,5)			

^aNumber of data points. ^bMean and standard deviation. Detection limit approximately 0.01 mg/km.

TABLES	65. Hydro	carbon	emissio	ns (mg/	km). ^{b, c}						
		Met-		Ethe-	Propa-	Prope-	Acety-	lsobu-	1,3-	Benze-	
	No ^a	hane	Ethane	ne	ne	ne	lene	tene	Butadie	ne	BTEX
MPFI ca	r										
Base	2	7,8	2,7	14,2	0,3	8,0	3,5	3,9	1,0	7,9	125,2
		(±0,6)	(±0,0)	(±0,5)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,3)	(±0,0)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,3)
E10	1	6,8	2,1	14,1	0,00	6,7	2,6	2,5	1,1	8,7	123,2
E10+R	2	7,5	2,1	14,1	0,17	6,7	3,2	2,8	0,8	7,5	127,1
		(±0,3)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±0,4)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,1)	(±2,2)
iBu	4	6,3	2,5	12,2	0,33	11,2	2,8	4,1	2,0	7,7	114,6
		(±0,3)	(±0,2)	(±0,4)	(±0,1)	(±0,3)	(±0,3)	(±0,1)	(±0,6)	(±0,3)	(±9,1)
DISI car											
Base	2	21,7	5,5	35,7	0,53	15,5	10,2	7,0	2,1	20,6	170,0
		(±0,8)	(±0,6)	(±0,4)	(±0,0)	(±0,2)	(±1,2)	(±0,2)	(±0,1)	(±0,5)	(±2,8)
E10	3	18,1	4,7	32,9	0,52	12,4	10,4	4,4	1,8	19,6	158,6
		(±0,3)	(±0,1)	(±1,8)	(±0,1)	(±0,5)	(±1,5)	(±0,2)	(±0,2)	(±0,5)	(±4,2)
E10+R	2	19,8	4,6	34,5	0,74	13,8	12,6	5,4	1,6	20,3	178,4
		(±0,6)	(±0,4)	(±1,0)	(±0,2)	(±0,4)	(±0,6)	(±0,1)	(±0,0)	(±0,1)	(±2,1)
iBu	3	20,1	5,5	33,6	0,89	20,8	10,9	9,8	2,1	20,1	162,2
		(±1,0)	(±0,2)	(±1,8)	(±0,2)	(±1,4)	(±1,8)	(±0,1)	(±0,3)	(±1,0)	(±12,4)
FFV car											
Base	2	11,2	5,0	17,7	0,67	11,9	7,7	5,6	3,2	8,3	83,7
		(±1,0)	(±0,7)	(±1,1)	(±0,3)	(±3,6)	(±0,0)	(±1,6)	(±0,2)	(±1,3)	(±8,5)
E10	1	13,0	3,7	21,4	0,53	10,9	9,6	3,8	3,3	9,6	99,5
E10+R	1	14,0	4,0	20,5	0,26	10,4	7,2	3,8	2,1	9,4	99,6
iBu	3	13,1	5,2	21,9	0,44	16,7	9,9	6,8	3,3	10,0	105,9
		(±0,4)	(±0,1)	(±0,3)	(±0,1)	(±0,5)	(±1,0)	(±0,4)	(±0,2)	(±0,5)	(±9,1)
E30	1	21,1	4,8	25,1	0,35	12,7	14,9	8,9	2,3	9,7	94,8
E85	1	109,0	15,0	129,8	0,54	7,5	76,1	10,1	3,1	16,7	161,4

^aNumber of data points. ^bMean and standard deviation. Detection limit is approximately 0.5 mg/km for 1,3butadiene, 0.7 mg/km for benzene and 0.1 mg/km for methane.

TABLE S6.	TABLE S6. Alcohols, ETBE and nitrogen-containing emissions (mg/km). ^b													
	No ^a	Ethanol	i-Buta- nol	ETBE	NO ₂	N₂O	NH3							
MPFI car														
Base	2	<7	<7	<7	<4	<4	24,0 (±0,1)							
E10	2	18,4 (±0,1)	<7	<7	5,5 (±0,2)	5,5 (±0,2)	21,9 (±1,4)							
E10+R	3	18,3 (±1,2)	<7	<7	<4	<4	18,0 (±0,2)							
iBu	3	<7	36,8 (±1,3)	<7	6,5 (±1,0)	6,5 (±1,0)	18,9 (±0,8)							
DISI car														
Base	2	<7	<7	<7	<4	<4	21,2 (±2,5)							
E10	2	13,7 (±0,5)	<7	<7	4,7 (±0,7)	4,7 (±0,7)	15,5 (±2,4)							
E10+R	3	16,7 (±1,5)	<7	<7	4,0 (±0,2)	4,0 (±0,2)	19,8 (±1,5)							
iBu	3	<7	46,8 (±7,4)	<7	<4	<4	5,1 (±2,7)							
FFV car														
Base	2	<7	<7	<7	5,9 (±0.1)	5,9 (±0.1)	5,6 (±0.0)							
E10	3	11,3 (+1,1)	<7	<7	6,5 (+0.5)	6,5 (+0.5)	5,0 (+0.5)							
E10+R	3	(,,,) 10,6 (±1.2)	<7	<7	(<u>+0,4</u>)	(_0,0) 4,9 (±0,4)	(,_) 7,9 (±1.4)							
iBu	2	<7	29,3 (±2,8)	<7	(, , , 7,2 (±1,7)	(_0, .) 7,2 (±1.7)	(±0.5)							
E30	2	93,6 (+1.5)	<7	<7	(<u>-</u> ,,,,) 5,6 (+0,6)	(<u>+</u> 1,1) 5,6 (+0,6)	(<u>-</u> 0,5) 5,4 (+0,5)							
E85	2	(±1,0) 2539,2 (±359,1)	45,6 (±6,4)	58,9 (±4,1)	(±0,0) 11,4 (±2,5)	(±0,0) 11,4 (±2,5)	(±2,7)							

^a Number of data points. ^b Mean and standard deviation. Detection limit appr. 7-9 mg/k	٢m
for ethanol, isobutanol and ETBE, 4 mg/km for NO ₂ and N ₂ O and 1 mg/km for NH ₃ .	

co	
20	

TABLE S7. F	PAHem	issions	(µg/km). ^b						
		Benz[a		Chrys	Benzo[b	Benzo[Benzo[a	Indeno[
	No ^a]anthr	DMBA	ene]fluorant	k]fluor]pyrene	1,2,3-	BaPeq	PAH7
MPFI car										
Base	1	1,1	0,3	0,5	1,2	0,4	1,2	0,6	2,9	5,2
E10	1	1,4	0,2	0,8	1,5	0,4	1,6	0,9	4,0	6,7
E10+R	1	1,3	0,2	0,7	1,9	0,5	2,0	0,9	4,2	7,5
iBu	1	2,1	0,3	1,3	2,6	0,7	2,4	1,0	6,6	10,4
DISI car										
Base	2	16,1	0,4	9,2	22,3	6,1	20,4	11,5	47,8	86,0
		(±0,2)	(±0,4)	(±0,2)	(±0,6)	(±0,3)	(±0,3)	(±0,9)	(±1,3)	(±1,7)
E10	2	11,1	0,7	6,6	17,0	5,0	14,1	8,9	34,9	63,3
		(±1,0)	(±0,1)	(±0,8)	(±1,9)	(±0,4)	(±0,6)	(±1,3)	(±3,0)	(±5,1)
E10+R	2	11,4	0,7	6,7	18,0	4,7	14,7	8,4	35,8	64,8
		(±1,5)	(±0,0)	(±0,7)	(±2,6)	(±0,5)	(±1,2)	(±0,8)	(±3,8)	(±7,3)
iBu	2	10,2	0,6	5,8	15,1	4,0	13,4	8,4	32,1	57,4
		(±0,7)	(±0,0)	(±0,3)	(±1,1)	(±0,3)	(±1,6)	(±0,3)	(±2,7)	(±4,2)
FFV car										
Base	0									
E10	1	1,7	0,0	0,9	2,3	0,6	1,9	0,9	4,9	8,4
E10+R	1	2,3	0,0	1,3	3,1	0,8	2,5	1,3	6,4	11,4
iDu	1	27	0.0	1 /	2.4	1.0	2.0	1 2	7.2	12.0
IDU	I	∠,1	0,0	1,4	3,4	1,0	3,0	5,1	1,3	12,9
E30	1	2,2	0,0	1,1	2,5	0,7	2,6	1,4	6,1	10,5
E85	1	2,7	0,7	1,4	4,0	1,1	7,0	2,9	13,5	19,8

^aNumber of data points. ^bMean and standard deviation. Detection limit appr. 0.04 μ g/km for MPFI and 0.08 μ g/km for DISI and FFV.

TABLE S8. A	FABLE S8. Average percentage changes in emissions between fuels over the European test cycle at -7 °C.																		
						Formalde	Acetalde	Sum alde-			Sum				Acety-		1,3-Buta-		
		со	HC	NOx	PM	hyde	hyde	hyde (11)	Ethanol	i-Butanol	alcohols	Methane	Ethene	Propene	lene	i-Butene	diene	BTEX	PAH7
Low-level of	oxygenates	compared v	with Base																
E10	FFV	5 %	6%	-6 %	-7%	-5 %	460 %	163 %	9824 %	bd	693 %	16 %	21%	-8 %	25 %	-32 %	4%	19 %	
E10+R	FFV	4 %	17 %	-18 %	14 %	19 %	484 %	184 %	9134 %	bd	675 %	26 %	16 %	-13 %	-6 %	-32 %	-36 %	19 %	а
iBu	FFV	4%	31 %	-23 %	34 %	165 %	77 %	404 %	bd	1437 %	1190 %	17 %	24 %	41%	28 %	23 %	2 %	27 %	а
E10	DISI	-21 %	-11 %	11 %	-16 %	34 %	372 %	236 %	35514 %	bd	271 %	-17 %	-8 %	-20 %	1%	-37 %	-16 %	-7 %	-26 %
E10+R	DISI	-30 %	-7 %	33 %	-5%	23 %	366 %	221 %	43332 %	bd	347 %	-9 %	-3 %	-11 %	23 %	-23 %	-25 %	5%	-25 %
iBu	DISI	-25 %	-6 %	11 %	-10 %	242 %	53 %	262 %	bd	790 %	681 %	-8 %	-6 %	34 %	7%	40 %	-1%	-5 %	-33 %
E10	MPFI	-19 %	-2 %	33 %	41%	41 %	616 %	380 %	2571 %	bd	350 %	-12 %	-1%	-17 %	-25 %	-37 %	10 %	-2 %	28 %
E10+R	MPFI	-14 %	1%	24 %	15 %	38 %	618 %	376 %	2554 %	bd	358 %	-4 %	-1%	-17 %	-9 %	-29 %	-20 %	2 %	43 %
iBu	MPFI	-35 %	-4 %	48 %	110 %	162 %	13 %	852 %	bd	882 %	582 %	-19 %	-14 %	39 %	-20 %	5 %	95 %	-8%	99 %
E10+R com	pared with I	E10																	
E10+R	FFV	-1%	10 %	-13 %	23 %	26 %	4%	8%	-7 %	bd	-2 %	8%	-4 %	-5 %	-25 %	0%	-38 %	0%	36 %
E10+R	DISI	-11 %	5%	20 %	13 %	-8 %	-1%	-4 %	22 %	bd	21 %	9%	5%	12 %	21%	23 %	-10 %	12 %	2 %
E10+R	MPFI	4%	3%	-1%	-13 %	2 %	0%	0%	1%	bd	3 %	6 %	0%	0%	13 %	8%	-20 %	2 %	12 %
E85 and E30) compared	with Base																	
E85	FFV	151 %	766 %	-52 %	118%	802 %	15812 %	6130 %	2222054 %	2291 %	112827 %	875 %	635 %	-37 %	892 %	82 %	-2 %	93 %	а
E30	FFV	46 %	52 %	-36 %	13 %	47 %	1984 %	740 %	81851 %	bd	4465 %	88 %	42 %	7%	94 %	60 %	-29 %	13 %	а
^a Result wit	h Base is m	issing for Fl	V. Compar	ed with E1	0, for E85 +	-136% and f	or E30 +269	%. Bd = E	Below detect	ion limit.									

TABLE S9. p-Values calculated with two-sample t-test assuming equal variances. p-values < 0.05 indicates that mean values for fuels are different with 95% confidence interval (bold).

						Formalde	Acetalde	Sum alde-			Sum				Acety-		1,3-Buta-		
		со	HC	NOx	PM	hyde	hyde	hyde (11)	Ethanol	i-Butanol	alcohols	Methane	Ethene	Propene	lene	i-Butene	diene	BTEX	PAH7
Low-level	oxygenates	compared	with Base																
E10	FFV	0,43	0,56	0,02	0,64	0,78	0,00	0,00	0,00	bd	0,00	0,05	0,04	0,33	0,00	0,03	0,38	0,09	а
E10+R	FFV	0,67	0,08	0,04	0,41	0,24	0,00	0,00	0,00	bd	0,00	0,01	0,07	0,17	0,07	0,03	0,00	0,08	а
iBu	FFV	0,41	0,07	0,12	0,14	0,00	0,01	0,00	bd	0,00	0,00	0,06	0,03	0,02	0,09	0,11	0,77	0,12	а
E10	DISI	0,01	0,05	0,05	0,03	0,08	0,00	0,00	0,00	bd	0,00	0,01	0,19	0,01	0,94	0,00	0,17	0,08	0,05
E10+R	DISI	0,00	0,07	0,06	0,66	0,09	0,00	0,00	0,00	bd	0,00	0,19	0,38	0,05	0,22	0,02	0,03	0,14	0,11
iBu	DISI	0,00	0,47	0,04	0,15	0,01	0,00	0,00	bd	0,01	0,01	0,23	0,30	0,02	0,74	0,00	0,94	0,55	0,02
E10	MPFI	0,09	0,60	0,12	0,07	0,03	0,01	0,01	0,00	bd	0,00	0,24	0,74	0,00	0,07	0,00	0,10	0,02	а
E10+R	MPFI	0,06	0,69	0,22	0,05	0,04	0,00	0,00	0,00	bd	0,00	0,61	0,79	0,00	0,50	0,00	0,06	0,41	а
iBu	MPFI	0,01	0,56	0,04	0,26	0,01	0,41	0,00	bd	0,00	0,00	0,02	0,01	0,00	0,08	0,17	0,16	0,25	а
E10+R com	pared with I	E10																	
E10+R	FFV	0,92	0,16	0,05	0,01	0,22	0,25	0,06	0,54	bd	0,79	а	а	а	а	а	а	а	а
E10+R	DISI	0,07	0,21	0,07	0,28	0,29	0,90	0,60	0,05	bd	0,01	0,05	0,45	0,07	0,22	0,01	0,39	0,02	0,89
E10+R	MPFI	0,03	0,46	0,58	0,07	0,85	0,95	0,88	0,92	bd	0,71	0,09	0,47	0,82	0,20	0,00	0,02	0,14	а
E85 and E3	0 compared	with Base																	
E85	FFV	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,02	0,08	0,01	0,01	0,02	0,02	0,02	0,00	0,00	0,06	0,00	0,02	0,73	0,01	а
E30	FFV	0,03	0,03	0,00	0,57	0,02	0,01	0,00	0,00	bd	0,00	0,01	0,03	0,52	0,00	0,03	0,03	0,32	а
^a Missing r	eplicate test	s.							-										•