

Supporting information for:

Optimization across water-energy nexus for integrating heat, power and water for industrial processes coupled with hybrid thermal-membrane desalination

Kerron J. Gabriel ^a, Mahmoud M. El-Halwagi* ^a, Patrick Linke ^b

^a Texas A&M University, Chemical Engineering Department, College Station, TX 77843 USA

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas A&M University at Qatar, P.O. Box 23874, Doha, Qatar

Steam and water properties

The steam and water properties were estimated via correlations developed by Al-Azri et al. ¹ with some modifications for this study. These equations are shown in Table S1.

Table S1 Correlations for steam and water thermodynamic properties

Equation	Description
$T_{sat} = 117.66 P_{sat}^{0.2219}$	Saturation temperature ^a (14.7 ≤ P _{sat} ≤ 2400 psia) Error = ±0.79%
$T_{sat} = 102.80 P_{sat}^{0.2741}$	Saturation temperature ^a (1.5 ≤ P _{sat} ≤ 14.7 psia) Error = ±1.76%
$s_{sat}^f = 1.6308 \times 10^{-3} T_{sat} - 3.0838 \times 10^{-2}$	Saturated water entropy ^a (1.5 ≤ P _{sat} ≤ 14.7 psia) Error = ±0.87%
$s_{sat}^v = -3.9857 \times 10^{-9} T_{sat}^3 + 5.4089 \times 10^{-6} T_{sat}^2 - 3.3698 \times 10^{-3} T_{sat} + 2.2658$	Saturated steam entropy ^a (1.5 ≤ P _{sat} ≤ 2400 psia) Error = ±0.37%
$s^v = s_{sat}^v + 0.46883 - 0.46883 \exp(-k_T \Delta T_{sat})$	Entropy of steam ^a 14.7 psia < P < 1500 psia
$k_T = 1.3845 \times 10^{-2} \left(\frac{T_{sat}}{1000} \right)^2 - 9.8258 \times 10^{-3} \left(\frac{T_{sat}}{1000} \right) + 3.1462 \times 10^{-3}$	T _{sat} ≤ T ≤ 1300 °F Error = ±1.36%
$\Delta T_{sat} = T - T_{sat}$	
$H_{sat}^f = 4.2433 \times 10^{-5} T_{sat}^2 + 9.8773 T_{sat} - 31.146$	Saturated water enthalpy ^a 1.5 psia < P _{sat} < 14.7 psia Error = ±0.02%

^a This study; ^b Adopted from work by Al-Azri et al. ¹

Table S1 Correlations for steam and water thermodynamic properties(*Continued*)

Equations	Descriptions
$H_{sat}^f = 4.5395 \times 10^{-4} T_{sat}^2 + 0.74207 T_{sat} + 4.8112$	Saturated water enthalpy ^a 14.7 psia < P _{sat} < 1500 psia Error = ±1.26%
$H_{sat}^v = -2.0449 \times 10^{-4} T_{sat}^2 + 0.47202 T_{sat} + 1.0595$	Saturated steam enthalpy ^a 1.5 psia < P _{sat} < 14.7 psia Error = ±0.01%
$H^v = 0.2029 T_{sat} (s^v)^{3.647} + 817.35$	Enthalpy steam ^b Error = ±0.66%

Turbine modeling

Table S2 Regression coefficients used in isentropic efficiency equation

Coefficient	Back Pressure turbines		Condensing turbines	
	W^{\max}	W^{\max}	W^{\max}	W^{\max}
	< 4.1 MMBtu/hr	> 4.1 MMBtu/hr	< 5.12 MMBtu/hr	> 5.12 MMBtu/hr
a_o [Btu/hr]	-0.1508	-1.038755556	-0.115877778	-0.062488889
a_1 [Btu/hr-°F]	0.00065	0.003461111	0.000555556	0.000777778
b_o [Btu/hr]	0.961977778	1.111644444	1.195233333	1.166466667
b_1 [Btu/hr-°F]	0.000844444	0.000261111	0.000333333	0.000166667

The coefficients are taken from Varbanov et al. ² and Mavromatis and Kokosiss ³ with original values expressed in SI units.

MED process modeling

The general mass and salt component balance equations for all effects, the down condenser and the distillate flashing boxes are given by Equations 50 - 55 and are summarized in

Table S3. In addition, the corresponding energy balances are given by Equations 56 - 64 for all the effects as well as the condenser, distillate flashing boxes and steam ejector. These energy balances are described in more detail by Gabriel et al. ⁴. They represent an alternative approach to modeling the MED-TVC process from the previously utilized specific heat capacity model.

Table S3 Mass and energy balance equations for MED-TVC process

Equations	Descriptions
$F^{MED} = \sum_{i \in N_{eff}} F_i^{MED}$	Total MED feed flow
$F_i^{MED} = V_i + B_i$	Mass balance on effect 1
$F_i^{MED} + B_{i-1} = V_i + B_i$	Mass balance on effect 2 to N_{eff}
$M_{sea}^{cond} = \frac{(V_N + M_{pot, N_{eff}-1}^{stm} - Dr) \cdot \lambda_{cond}}{Cp \cdot (T_f - T_{sea}^{IN})}$	Cooling seawater balance on condenser
$M_{feed}^{salt} = M_1^{salt}$	Salt balance on effect 1
$M_{feed}^{salt} + M_{i-1}^{salt} = M_i^{salt}$	Salt balance on effect 2 to N_{eff}
$Q_i^{EVAP} + M_{feed} H_{feed} + \frac{Q^{PRE}}{N_{eff}} = M_{feed,i}^{stm} H_i^v + M_{feed,i}^{brine} H_i^{brine}$	Energy balance on effect evaporator
$Q_i^{EVAP} = Q_i^{vap} + Q_i^{sens}$	Energy distribution of effect evaporator
$Q_i^{vap} = M_{feed,i}^{stm} H_i^v$	
$M_{feed,i-1}^{brine} H_{feed,i-1}^{brine} + M_{BF,i-1}^{brine} H_{BF,i-1}^{brine} = M_{BF,i}^{brine} H_{BF,i}^{brine} + M_{BF,i}^{stm} H_i^{v'}$	Energy balance on brine flashing (BF)
$M_{brine}^{MED} = M_{BF, N_{eff}}^{brine}$	MED brine flow
$D_{i-1} H_{i-1}^{f''} + M_i^c H_{i-1}^c = M_{pot,i}^{stm} H_i^{v''} + M_{pot,i}^{water} H_i^{f''}$	Distillate (D) flashing pot balance
$M_{pot,i}^{water} = M_{DS,i}^{water} + M_{BFW,i} + D_i$	Mass balance on flash pot outflow (W)
$M_{perm}^{MED} = D_{N-1} + (V_{N_{eff}} + M_{pot, N_{eff}-1}^{stm}) - Dr$	MED permeate flow
$S_{mot} \cdot H_{mot}^v + Dr \cdot H_{N_{eff}}^v + \sum_{i=1}^N M_{DS,i}^{water} H_i^{f''} = M_{DS}^{stm} H_{DS}^v + M_{DS}^c H_{DS}^f$	De-superheater balance

Heat transfer area calculations

The heat transfer coefficient, logarithmic mean temperature difference and corresponding area for each effect is calculated using equations given in Table S4.

Table S4 Equations for calculating the heat transfer area, logarithmic mean temperature difference and overall heat transfer coefficients for MED-TVC process

Equations	Descriptions
$A_i^{vap} = \frac{Q_i^{vap}}{U_i^{eff} (T_{i-1}^c - T_i^{eff})}$	Vaporization heat transfer area for each evaporator
$A_i^{sens} = \frac{Q_i^{sens}}{U_i^{eff} (LMTD)_i^{sens}}$	Sensible heat transfer area for each evaporator
$A_i^{Total} = A_i^{vap} + A_i^{sens}$	Total heat transfer area for each evaporator
$A_{cond} = \frac{Q_{total}^{COND}}{U_{cond} (LMTD)_{cond}}$	Condenser heat transfer area
$(LMTD)_i^{sens} = \left[(T_{i-1}^c - T_f) \cdot (T_{i-1}^c - T_i^{eff}) \cdot \left(T_{i-1}^c - \frac{T_f + T_i^{eff}}{2} \right) \right]^{1/3}$	Logarithmic mean temperature difference for each effect based on approximation by Chen et al. ⁵
$(LMTD)_{cond} = \left[(T_{N_{eff}}^c - T_f) \cdot (T_{N_{eff}}^c - T_{sea}^{IN}) \cdot \left(T_{N_{eff}}^c - \frac{T_f + T_{sea}^{IN}}{2} \right) \right]^{1/3}$	Logarithmic mean temperature difference for condenser based on approximation by Chen et al. ⁵
$U_i^{eff} = 2.318 \times 10^{-6} (T_i^{eff})^3 - 2.0752 \times 10^{-4} (T_i^{eff})^2 + 1.4056 \times 10^{-3} (T_i^{eff}) + 1.9394$	Overall heat transfer coefficient for evaporator
$U_{cond} = -8.026 \times 10^{-8} (T_{N_{eff}}^c)^3 + 1.825 \times 10^{-4} (T_N^c)^2 + 1.537 \times 10^{-4} (T_{N_{eff}}^c) + 1.6175$	Overall heat transfer coefficient for condenser

Overall heat transfer coefficients for evaporator and condenser are presented in their original units as described in work by El-Dessouky et al. ⁶

Effect temperature profile

The temperature profile of the saturated vapor, condensate, brine and distillate are calculated using the equations given in Table S5.

Table S5 Equations for calculating the temperature profile of saturated vapor heat transfer area, logarithmic mean temperature difference and overall heat transfer coefficients for MED-TVC process

Equations	Description
$\Delta T^{eff} = \frac{T_1^{eff} - T_N^{eff}}{N_{eff} - 1}$	Temperature difference of all effects
$T^{DS} = T_1^{eff} + \Delta T^{eff}$	Temperature of steam from de-superheater
$T_{i+1}^{eff} = T_i^{eff} - \Delta T^{eff}$	Temperature of effects 2 to N_{eff}
$T_f \leq T_N^c - \Delta T_{min}^{cond}$	Feed seawater temperature constraint
$T_i^v = T_i^{eff} - BPE_i$	Temperature of vapor formed in each effect
$T_i^{brine} = T_i^{eff} + NEA_i^{brine}$	Temperature of flashed brine
$T_i^{flash} = T_i^v + NEA_i^{flash}$	Temperature of flashed distillate
$BPE = C(X_{sal}^{eff})^2 + D(X_{sal}^{eff})$	Boiling point elevation ⁷ .
$C = -4.584 \times 10^{-4} (T^{eff})^2 + 0.2823 (T^{eff}) + 17.95$	
$D = 1.536 \times 10^{-4} (T^{eff})^2 + 5.267 \times 10^{-2} (T^{eff}) + 6.56$	
$NEA_i^{brine} = \frac{33 \cdot (T_{i-1}^{eff} - T_i^{eff})^{0.55}}{T_i^v}$	Non-equilibrium allowance for flashing brine
$NEA_i^{flash} = \frac{0.33 \cdot (T_{i-1}^c - T_i^v)}{T_i^v}$	Non-equilibrium allowance for flashing distillate

Reverse Osmosis (RO) unit modeling

Design and operating parameters used in RO network optimization are given in Table S6. The RO unit was modeled using correlations developed by DOW and utilized in their Reverse Osmosis Systems Analysis (ROSA) Filmtec software ⁸. These equations are given in Table S7 along with the mass balances for the RO units.

Table S6 Design and operating parameters for Reverse osmosis network (RON)

Parameter	Unit	Value
Final permeate pressure	psia	14.5
Final reject pressure	psia	14.5
Energy recovery device efficiency	%	80
Pump efficiency	%	80
Seawater feed temperature	°F	77
Maximum pressure drop across RO unit	psia	18.9
Maximum feed pressure to RO unit	psia	1015
Maximum number of modules in one unit		1000
Membrane area per module (6 elements/module)	ft ²	2641

Table S7 General modeling equations for reverse osmosis (RO) unit

Equations	Descriptions
$NM = \frac{M_{feed}^{RO}}{SM \cdot \bar{A}(\pi) \cdot TCF \cdot FF \cdot \rho_f \cdot \left[P_f - \frac{\Delta P_{fc}}{2} - P_p - \pi_{pf} \right]}$	Number of modules
$\pi_{pf} = \pi_f \left(\frac{\bar{C}_{fc}}{C_f} pf + \bar{R} - 1 \right)$	
$\text{if } \pi_f \leq 2 \quad \bar{A}(\pi) = 0.125$	Membrane permeability at 25°C
$\text{if } 25 \leq \pi_f \leq 200 \quad \bar{A}(\pi) = 0.125 - 0.011 \cdot \left(\frac{\pi_f - 25}{35} \right)$	
$\text{if } 200 \leq \pi_f \leq 400 \quad \bar{A}(\pi) = 0.070 - 0.0001 \cdot (\pi_f - 200)$	
$\text{if } T \geq 25, \quad TCF = \exp \left[2640 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{273 + T} \right) \right]$	Temperature correction factor
$\text{if } T \leq 25, \quad TCF = \exp \left[3020 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{298} - \frac{1}{273 + T} \right) \right]$	
$\overline{\Delta P}_{fc} = 0.04 \cdot \left(\frac{M_{feed}^{RO} + M_{brine}^{RO}}{2 \cdot NM \cdot \rho} \right)^2$	Average concentrate side system pressure drop
$\frac{\bar{C}_{fc}}{C_f} = -\frac{\ln(1-Y)}{Y}$	Approximate log-mean concentrates side to feed concentration ratio for system
$\pi_{feed} = 1.12 \cdot (273 + T) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{Nc} m_i \quad i \in Nc$	Feed osmotic pressure
$pf = \exp(0.7Y)$	Concentration polarization factor

$F^{RO} = M_{perm}^{RO} + M_{brine}^{RO}$	Mass balance on RO unit
$X_{feed}^{RO} F^{RO} = X_{perm}^{RO} M_{perm}^{RO} + X_{brine}^{RO} M_{brine}^{RO}$	Salt balance on RO unit
$X_{feed}^{RO} = \sum_{i \in Nc} X_{feed,i}^{RO}$	
$X_{perm}^{RO} = \sum_{i \in Nc} X_{perm,i}^{RO}$	
$X_{brine}^{RO} = \sum_{i \in Nc} X_{brine,i}^{RO} \quad i \in Nc$	
$Y = \frac{M_{perm}^{RO}}{F^{RO}}$	RO unit recovery
$\bar{R} = 1 - \frac{X_{perm}^{RO}}{X_{feed}^{RO}}$	Average salt rejection

The coefficients used to determine air cooling and cooling water tower power consumption rates are given in Table S8. In addition, cooling tower makeup water requirements based on evaporative losses is also calculated using coefficients given in Table S8. These parameters were adopted from previous studies ⁹.

Table S8 Cooling utility power and water requirement factors

Cooling parameter	Value
Air cooler draft fan power [kW/MMBtu/hr]	1.000
Cooling tower draft fan power [kW/MMBtu/hr]	0.092
Cooling tower water makeup [lb/MMBtu/hr]	1.000

NOMENCLATURE

A_i	Heat transfer area in i th effect
A_{ij}	Area for heat transfer between i th HPS and j th US
$\bar{A}(\pi)$	Membrane permeability
B_i	Brine flow from i th effect
BPE	Boiling point elevation
Cp	Specific heat capacity
$\frac{\bar{C}_{fc}}{C_f}$	Log mean concentrate-side to feed concentration ratio in RO unit

D_i	Distillate mass flowrate from i th flash pot
Dr	Mass flowrate of entrained steam from final effect
F	Flowrate
FF	Fouling factor of RO unit
H	Enthalpy of stream
$LMTD$	Logarithmic mean temperature difference
\dot{m}	Mass flowrate of stream
M	Mass flowrate of stream
N	Number of effects
NEA	Non-equilibrium allowance
NM	Number of modules
pf	Concentration polarization factor
P_f	Feed pressure to RO unit
P_p	Permeate pressure from RO unit
$\overline{\Delta P}_{fc}$	Average concentrate-side system pressure drop for RO unit
Q	Heat exchanger duty
\bar{R}	Average salt rejection of RO unit
S	Steam mass flowrate
SM	Module surface area of RO unit
$SUMDT_{i,j}$	Sum of heat exchanger temperature differences
T	Temperature
TCF	Temperature correction factor of RO unit
ΔT	Temperature difference
U	Heat transfer coefficient of heat exchanger
V_i	Vapor flow from i th effect
X	Salt concentration in feed to RO unit
Y	Recovery of RO unit

Greek letters

η	Unit efficiency
--------	-----------------

λ	Latent heat of vaporization
π_f	Osmotic pressure of seawater feed to RO unit
ρ	Density of fluid

Defined sets

N_c	Number of components
-------	----------------------

Subscripts and superscripts

a	Actual exit conditions
BF	Brine flashing
BFW	Boiler feed water stream
c	Condensate stream
$cond$	Condenser
DS	De-superheater
EX	Exit conditions
exh	Exhaust conditions
f	Saturated water conditions
f'	Saturated water conditions in brine flashing
f''	Saturated water conditions in distillate flashing
MED	Multi-effect distillation unit
mot	Motive
RO	Reverse osmosis unit
s	Salt
s'	Salt in brine flashing
v	Vapor conditions
v'	Vapor conditions in brine flashing
v''	Vapor conditions in distillate flashing
vac	Vacuum conditions

REFERENCES

- (1) Al-Azri, N.; Al-Thubaiti, M.; El-Halwagi, M., An algorithmic approach to the optimization of process cogeneration. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy* **2009**, 11, (3), 329-338.
- (2) Varbanov, P.; Doyle, S.; Smith, R., Modelling and optimization of utility systems. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **2004**, 82, (5), 561-578.

- (3) Mavromatis, S.; Kokossis, A., Conceptual optimisation of utility networks for operational variations — I. Targets and level optimisation. *Chemical Engineering Science* **1998**, 53, (8), 1585-1608.
- (4) Gabriel, K. J.; Linke, P.; El-Halwagi, M. M., Optimization of multi-effect distillation process using a linear enthalpy model. *Desalination* **2015**, 365, 261-276.
- (5) Chen, J., Comments on improvements on a replacement for the logarithmic mean. *Chemical Engineering Science* **1987**, 42, (10), 2488-2489.
- (6) El-Dessouky, H. T.; Ettouney, H. M.; Al-Juwayhel, F., Multiple Effect Evaporation—Vapour Compression Desalination Processes. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design* **2000**, 78, (4), 662-676.
- (7) Sharqawy, M. H.; Lienhard, J. H.; Zubair, S. M., Thermophysical properties of seawater: A review of existing correlations and data. *Desalination and Water Treatment* **2010**, 16, (1-3), 354-380.
- (8) Dow Water & Process Solutions FILMTEC™ Reverse Osmosis Membranes Technical Manual.
<http://www.dowwaterandprocess.com/en/resources/reverse-osmosis-technical-manual>
- (9) Gabriel, K. J.; Linke, P.; Jiménez-Gutiérrez, A.; Martínez, D. Y.; Noureldin, M.; El-Halwagi, M. M., Targeting of the Water-Energy Nexus in Gas-to-Liquid Processes: A Comparison of Syngas Technologies. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **2014**, 53, (17), 7087-7102.