## Supporting Information

# Design A Highly Reactive Trifunctional Core Molecule to Obtain Hyperbranched Polymers with Over A Million Molecular Weight in One-Pot Click Polymerization 

Xiaosong Cao, Yi Shi, Xiaofeng Wang, Robert W. Graff and Haifeng Gao*
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556-5670, United States

* Corresponding author. Email: hgao@nd.edu

Materials. 3-Butyn-1-ol (Sigma-Aldrich, 97\%), succinic anhydride (Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq$ 99\%), 3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-1-ethyl-carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC•HCl, Chem-Impex), 4-(dimethylamino) pyridine (DMAP, Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 99 \%$ ), thionyl chloride (TCI), chloroform (BDH, $\geq 99.8 \%$ ), tris(4-glycidyloxy phenyl) methane (Sigma-Aldrich, 99\%), sodium azide (VWR), ammonium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich, ACS grade), triethylamine (Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq$ 99\%), isobutyryl chloride (Acros, 99\%), acetyl chloride (Acros, 99\%), ascorbic acid (Alfa Aesar, $99+\%$ ), copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate ( $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{BDH}, \mathrm{ACS}$ grade), triethylene glycol monoethyl ether (Sigma-Aldrich, 99\%), $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ "-pentamethyldiethylenetriamine (PMDETA, Sigma-Aldrich, 99\%), dimethylformamide (DMF, Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 99.8 \%$ ), and methanol (Sigma-Aldrich,
$\geq 99.8 \%$ ) were used as received. Tetrahydrofuran (THF, Sigma-Aldrich, $\geq 99.0 \%$ ) was distilled over sodium/benzophenone and dichloromethane (DCM, Sigma-Aldrich, $>99.5 \%$ was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to use.2,2-Bis(azidomethyl)propane-1,3-diol, ${ }^{1,2}$

3-azido-2-(azidomethyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)propyl isobutyrate, ${ }^{3}$ tris(3-hydroxypropyltriazolylmethyl)amine (THPTA) ${ }^{4}$ were synthesized according to previous literature. Regarding to the explosive nature, all azide compounds were synthesized, purified and stored according to the standard safety rules with caution. ${ }^{5}$

Characterization. The THF size exclusion chromatography (SEC) was equipped with Polymer Standards Services (PSS) columns (guard, $10^{5}, 10^{3}$, and $10^{2} \AA$ SDV columns) at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with THF flow rate $=1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, a differential refractive index (RI) detector (Wyatt Technology, Optilab T-rEX) using PSS WinGPC 7.5 software. The apparent molecular weights were calculated based on linear poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) standards. The detectors employed to measure the absolute molecular weights of hyperbranched polymers in THF SEC were the RI detector and a multi-angle laser light scattering (MALLS) detector (Wyatt Technology, DAWN HELEOS II) with the light wavelength at 658 nm . Absolute molecular weights were determined using ASTRA software from Wyatt Technology with the pre-measured $\mathrm{dn} / \mathrm{dc}$ value 0.0847 for all hyperbranched polymers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and rotating-frame Overhauser effect spectroscopy (ROESY) was acquired on a Bruker 500 MHz spectrometer at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) measurements were performed on a Bruker MicroTOF-II
spectrometer (electrospray ionization source (ESI) with time-of-flight mass analyzer). The hydrodynamic size $\left(D_{h}\right)$ of the samples were determined using dynamic light scattering (DLS) equipped with Zetasizer Nano-ZS (He-Ne laser wavelength at 633 nm , Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK).

## Synthesis of $A B_{2}$ monomer, $B_{3}$ and $B_{3}$ cores



Scheme S1. Synthetic procedures of $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer, $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ cores

Synthesis of compound 1. 3-Butyn-1-ol ( $35.0 \mathrm{~g}, 499.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), succinic anhydride $(25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 249.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 50 mL dry THF in a 100 mL flask. The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux for 24 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was then dissolved in 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with brine $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was recrystallized in toluene, giving compound 1 as white solid ( $36.4 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 1.99-2.01 $(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), \quad 2.51-2.55 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), \quad 2.63-2.71 \quad(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), \quad 4.19-4.23 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), \quad 9.61 \quad(1 \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $19.11\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right)$, 28.94-29.10 $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), 62.67\left(\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{CCH}}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 70.19\left(\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{CCH}}^{2}\right)$, $80.08\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 172.08\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), 178.52\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$193.0471; found 193.0462.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{A B}_{2}$ monomer. To a 250 mL round-bottom flask were added sequentially with compound $1 \quad(8.6 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 50.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, 3-azido-2-(azidomethyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)propyl isobutyrate ( $11.8 \mathrm{~g}, 46.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(19.4 \mathrm{~g}, 101.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry methylene chloride $(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMAP $(2.1 \mathrm{~g}$, $16.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to be stirred at room temperature overnight before washed with water $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the remaining residual was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/diethyl ether, $4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give 15.4 g light yellow liquid of the targeted $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer ( $82 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $\delta$, ppm ): 1.17-1.19 $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), \quad 2.00-2.02 \quad\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), \quad 2.52-2.55 \quad(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), \quad 2.55-2.62 \quad\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), \quad 2.65-2.68 \quad(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), 3.43\left(4 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 4.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 4.07(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ ), 4.19-4.22 (2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta$, ppm): $\quad 19.13\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), \quad 29.07, \quad 29.11 \quad\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), \quad 34.18$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 43.39 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOC}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $51.53 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 62.64-62.70 $\left(\mathrm{CHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OOCCH}_{2}\right), \quad 63.08 \quad\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), \quad 70.20$ $\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 80.10\left(\mathrm{HC}_{\mathrm{ClCH}}^{2}\right), 171.81,172.05\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), 176.47$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 431.1650$; found

Synthesis of $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ core. ${ }^{6}$ THPTA ( $500.0 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and chloroform ( 4 mL ) were charged in a 25 mL flask. Thionyl chloride ( $542.9 \mathrm{mg}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was diluted with chloroform ( 2 mL ) and then added dropwise to the suspension at room temperature. After refluxing for 12 hours, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting red-brownish viscous compound was then dissolved in DMSO ( 8 mL ), to which $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(449.1 \mathrm{mg}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. The mixture was partitioned between ethyl acetate and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The remaining residual was purified by silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate/methanol, $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give 380.9 mg of brownish yellow solid ( $65 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 2.09-2.16 ( 6 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 3.29-3.33\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 3.69\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 4.38-4.42$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 7.72\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHN}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 29.71$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 47.27,47.42\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right), 48.36\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), 124.35$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHN}), 144.21\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHN}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{19}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 510.2770; found 510.2776.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ core. The $\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{3}$ molecule was synthesized according to previous literature with slight modification. ${ }^{7}$ Tris(4-glycidyloxy phenyl) methane (2.3 g, 5 $\mathrm{mmol})$, sodium azide ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ammonium chloride ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dimethylformamide ( 10 ml ) were charged in a 25 mL flask and magnetically stirred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with 50 mL ethyl acetate, washed
with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 50 mL ), and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the remaining residual was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/ethyl acetate, 1:1 v/v) to give $\mathrm{B}^{*}{ }_{3}$ as a yellow solid ( $2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 2.45-2.50\left(3 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 3.08-3.19$, 3.47-3.56 (6H, $\left.\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHOH}\right), ~ 3.85-4.18\left(9 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 5.41$, 5.66, $5.98\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}\right), 6.72-7.27\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : 43.92-54.54
$\left(\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHOH}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}\right)$,
68.95-69.54
$\left(\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CHOH}\right)$, 111.16-157.13 $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 612.2290$; found 612.2291.

CuAAC polymerization of $\mathbf{A B}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}}$ in one pot. Typical procedures in the polymerization of $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer using molar ratios of $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right] 0:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=900: 1: 10: 50$ are described. $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer ( $800.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core ( $1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5.4 \mathrm{mg}$, $21.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $3.9 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{DMF}\left(\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ were charged in a 10 mL schlenk flask. This flask was capped with rubber septa and bubbled with nitrogen gas for 40 min, ascorbic acid ( $19.2 \mathrm{mg}, 108.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was then added into flask quickly and the flask was immersed in a thermostatic oil bath at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for initiating the polymerization. Samples were collected using deoxygenated syringes at each predetermined interval and were quenched by exposure to air and the addition of two equivalents of PMDETA. One portion was diluted by THF for SEC measurement. Another portion was diluted by $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ for the assessment of monomer conversion by ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectroscopy. The polymerization was stopped at 45 minutes and diluted
with 10 mL THF, and Cu catalyst was removed by adding two equivalents of PMDETA followed by passing a neutral alumina column ${ }^{8}$, the catalyst-free hyperbranched polymers were then purified by precipitating into large amount of methanol three times. The final product was dried under vacuum to a constant mass. The procedures for polymerization of $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer without core or with $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core were similar to those described above except removing $B_{3}$ core from the system or replacing $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core with 1 equiv. $\mathrm{B}^{*}$ core.

The procedure for polymerization of $A B_{2}$ monomer with $B_{3}$ core using sequential monomer addition is as follows. The first batch of polymerization was conducted at molar ratios of $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=100: 1: 10: 50$ in DMF with $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. After reaching a complete monomer conversion ( $>99 \%$ ), a $2^{\text {nd }}$ batch of deoxygenated $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer (200 equiv. to initial $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ ) in DMF ( 0.5 mol $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ of monomer) was added into the reaction system. Similarly, a $3^{\text {rd }}$ batch of 600 equiv. and a $4^{\text {th }}$ batch of 1800 equiv. of $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomers $\left(\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right.$ in DMF) were added sequentially when previous batch reached $99 \%$ conversion. Samples were taken using deoxygenated syringes right before adding each batch of monomers and diluted with THF for SEC measurement. The final hyperbranched polymers were purified by first adding two equivalents of PMDETA followed by passing a neutral alumina column, and then precipitating into large amount of methanol three times and then dried under vacuum to a constant mass.
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Figure S1. (A) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and (B) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S2. Comparison of the SEC traces of hyperbranched polymer synthesized by
CuAAC polymerization of $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ monomer at feed ratios of $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}$ : $\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=90: 1: 5$ and 200:1:5, $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$.


Figure S3. (A) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and (B) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S4. (A) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and (B) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathrm{B}_{3}{ }_{3}$ core in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Synthesis of molecules $\mathbf{B}_{2}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{G 1}, \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{1}}{ }^{\prime}$

Model compound





Scheme S2. Synthetic procedures of model compounds $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{~A}_{1}$, G1, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ '.

Synthesis of molecule $\mathbf{B}_{2}$. 2,2-Bis(azidomethyl)propane-1,3-diol (2.0 g, 10.7 $\mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 43.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dried methylene chloride ( 50 mL ) were charged in a dried 100 mL round bottomed flask. This flask was immersed in a
thermostatic ice bath at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was magnetically stirred for 10 min before dropwise addition of 2.1 equiv. of isobutyryl chloride ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 22.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was then allowed to proceed for additional 12 hours at room temperature before the methylene chloride solution was washed with brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was dried overnight using anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ before removing the solvent via rotary evaporation. The final product was purified via silica column chromatography with (hexanes/diethyl ether, $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as the spreading solvent, yielding $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ as a yellow liquid ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 1.13-1.15 ( 12 H , $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 2.51-2.57\left(3 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 3.38\left(4 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 3.98(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 19.10\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 34.16$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), \quad 43.40 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), \quad 51.61 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), \quad 62.67$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 176.45\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 349.1595$; found 349.1570 .

Synthesis of molecule A1. 3-Butyn-1-ol (3.0 g, 42.8 mmol ), triethylamine (11.6 $\mathrm{g}, 114.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dried methylene chloride $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ were charged in a 250 mL dried round bottomed flask. This flask was immersed in a thermostatic ice bath at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was magnetically stirred for 10 min before dropwise addition of 1.1 equiv. of isobutyryl chloride ( $3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 47.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was then allowed to proceed for additional 12 hours at room temperature before the methylene chloride solution was washed with brine $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was dried overnight using anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and condensed under reduced pressure. The final product was further purified via neutral alumina column chromatography to remove
residue quaternary ammonium salts, yielding colorless liquid ( $4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right): 2.00\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 2.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right)$, 2.51-2.55 (3H, CH3 $\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}$ ), 4.16-4.19 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \quad \mathrm{ppm}\right): 19.10\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right), 20.97\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 62.29$ $\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 70.07\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 80.22\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 170.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$135.0417; found 135.0410 .

Synthesis of compound 2. 3-Azido-2-(azidomethyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)propyl isobutyrate ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), succinic anhydride ( $627.4 \mathrm{mg}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( $510.8 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 60 mL dry THF in a 100 mL flask, 1.7 mL pyridine was then added. The reaction mixture was allowed to react at room temperature for 24 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was then dissolved in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and washed with brine $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The final product was purified via silica column chromatography with (hexanes/diethyl ether, $2: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as the spreading solvent. Compound 2 was then obtained as colorless liquid ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : 1.17-1.20 $\left(6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 2.54-2.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 2.64-2.73\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), 3.42\left(4 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 4.02(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 4.07\left(2 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 9.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \quad$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \quad \delta, \quad \mathrm{ppm}\right): 19.11 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 28.95,28.98$ $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), \quad 34.20 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), \quad 43.36$ $\left(\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOC}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), \quad 51.51 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), \quad 62.67, \quad 63.17$ $\left(\mathrm{CHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{OOCCH}_{2}\right), \quad 171.67 \quad\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right), \quad 176.62$
$\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$379.1337; found 379.1310 .

Synthesis of molecule G1. B3 ( $200.0 \mathrm{mg}, 392.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), butyryl alcohol (275.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.8 \mathrm{mg}, 39.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and DMF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were charged in a 10 mL schlenk flask. This flask was then capped and bubbled with nitrogen gas for 40 min, ascorbic acid ( $34.6 \mathrm{mg}, 196.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added into flask quickly and the flask was immersed in a thermostatic oil bath at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 hours, the reaction was allowed cooling to room temperature, diluted with $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and stirred with Cuprisorb resin to remove copper ions. The solution was filtered, solid washed and the combined solution concentrated under high vaccum to provide a brown solid. The residue was dispersed in acetonitrile, sonicated to break up the solid, filtered and washed with acetonitrile. After drying under vaccum, the resulting brownish yellow solid ( $270.2 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) was transferred to a 25 mL round-bottom flask, and compound $2(668.8 \mathrm{mg}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(720.8 \mathrm{mg}, 3.76 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DMAP ( $76.6 \mathrm{mg}, 626.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were added sequentially. The reaction mixture was allowed to be stirred at room temperature overnight before diluted with $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the remaining residual was purified by silica gel chromatography (ethyl acetate/methanol, 1:1 v/v) to give 530.2 mg targeted G1 compound (yield 82\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : 1.17-1.19 $\left(18 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 2.52-2.62(9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 2.63-2.65\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)$, 3.06-3.10 ppm ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ), $3.42\left(12 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 3.79\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$,
$4.01\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 4.05\left(6 \mathrm{H},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 4.35-4.42(18 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 7.55\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 7.84(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHN}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): $19.06\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), 25.55$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 29.03,29.07\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), 30.73\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $34.08 \quad\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOO}\right), \quad 43.30 \quad\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right), \quad 46.90-46.93 \quad\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $47.67\left(\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOC}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$, $51.47\left(\left(\mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$, 62.63, 63.02, 63.66
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}, \quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), \quad 122.64$ $\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), \quad 124.51 \quad\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHN}\right), \quad 144.29, \quad 144.53$ $\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHN}\right), 171.89,172.16\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right)$, 176.41 $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHCOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$. HRMS (ESI) calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{99} \mathrm{~N}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{18}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$1734.8042; found 1734.8038 .

Synthesis of molecule $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ '. To a 150 mL round-bottom flask were added sequentially with compound $1(572.4 \mathrm{mg}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylene glycol monoethyl ether ( $500.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{EDC} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, dry methylene chloride ( 10 $\mathrm{mL})$ and DMAP ( $13.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was allowed to be stirred at room temperature overnight before washed with water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine (10 mL ), and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was evaporated, and the remaining residual was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexanes/dichloromethane, $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give a colorless liquid ( $797.1 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ ): 1.19-1.23 (3H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $2.00\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right)$, 2.51-2.55 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ), 2.65-2.68 $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), \quad 3.50-3.55 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), \quad 3.57-3.72 \quad(10 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), \quad 4.18-4.22 \quad\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right)$,
4.24-4.27 $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta, \mathrm{ppm}\right) 15.31\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $19.06\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 29.14\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right), 62.48\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}\right), 64.04$, 66.76, 69.20, 69.96, 70.21, 70.74, 70.86, $\left(\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $80.08\left(\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 172.09,172.32\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}\right) . \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$353.1571; found 353.1548.



Figure S5. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra of model compounds (A) $B_{2}$, (C) $A_{1}$, (E) G1, (G) $A_{1}$ ' in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of model compounds (B) $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, (D) $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, (F) G1, (H) $\mathrm{Al}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Model reaction 1. Compounds $\mathrm{A}_{1}(66.0 \mathrm{mg}, 589.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $\mathrm{B}_{2}(96.1 \mathrm{mg}, 294.5$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}), \mathrm{B}_{3}(100.0 \mathrm{mg}, 196.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{DMF}(1.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ were charged in a 10 mL schlenk flask (alkyne groups from $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ equaled to azido groups from $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and equaled to azido groups from $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core). The first sample was collected and diluted by $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR measurement before adding $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(49.1 \mathrm{mg}, 196.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$. This flask was then capped and bubbled with nitrogen gas for 40 min , ascorbic acid ( 172.8 mg , $981.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added into flask quickly and the flask was immersed in a thermostatic oil bath at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to be stirred for 1 hour to ensure fully consumption of alkynyl groups. The second sample was then
taken and diluted with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, followed by adding 2 equiv. of PMDETA to Cu amount to reduce signal broadening. A precipitate with blue color was immediately observed representing a mixture of Cu , PMDETA, ascorbic acid and dehydroascorbic acid. Removal of the precipitate through filtration, extraction or neutral alumina chromatography offered better resolution in NMR spectra, however, was not applied since partial model compounds or products were also removed, introducing artifact.

The reaction was confirmed to be completed by disappearance of alkyne proton $-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ at 1.95 ppm as shown in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. To quantify the change in peak integrals, proton $-\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ at 1.11 ppm was selected as internal standard. The key group of signals at 3.36 ppm and 3.31 ppm , were attributed to methylene protons adjacent to azido groups $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)$ for $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}$, respectively (denoted as $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ ). The remaining signals after click reaction clearly indicated a conversion of $13 \%$ and $89 \%$ for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 1}$. In other words, the azido groups on $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core were consumed 7 times faster than those on $\mathrm{B}_{2}$. Importantly, the $51 \%$ total conversion for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ was nearly equal to the theoretical value, indicating high reliability of the experiment. After coupling with alkyne, the signal of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ shifts to $4.40 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ and 4.37 ppm $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, which are from di-triazole product and mono-triazole product separately, similar as the concept of D and L units in corresponding hyperbranched polymers. Deconvolution of partially overlapped $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b} 3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ shows roughly 2:1 ratio, in agreement with the ratio between D and L units in hyperbranched polymer when using equivalent catalyst to core species. Meanwhile, the signal of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ shifted to 4.33 ppm and overlapped with the peak of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 3}$ bearing similar chemical environment. Such
a high reactivity of azido groups on $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core is the key point to tune the molecular weight by simply adjusting the monomer to core ratio in polymerization.

$B_{2}$

$B_{3}$

Di-triazole $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{2}}$
$\qquad$



Figure S6. Model reaction 1 to illustrate higher reactivity of azido units on $B_{3}$ core with condition $\left[\mathrm{A}_{1}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=3: 1.5: 1: 1: 5$ in DMF at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}=0.02 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were taken in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ before adding Cu and ascorbic acid, and after reaching $100 \%$ conversion of $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ (2 equiv. of PMDETA to Cu amount was added to extract Cu from $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ and resulting derivatives, the mixture was without any purification).

Model reaction 2. Compounds $\mathrm{Al}^{\prime}(32.8 \mathrm{mg}, 103.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$, $\mathrm{B}_{2}(16.9 \mathrm{mg}, 51.9$ $\mu \mathrm{mol})$, G1 ( $30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 17.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 0.9 mL DMF were sequentially charged in a 10 $m L$ schlenk flask (alkyne groups from $A_{1}$ ' equaled to azido groups from $B_{2}$, equaled to azido groups from G1). The first and second sample were collected before and after adding $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$, and diluted by THF for SEC measurement. After adding $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.3$
$\mathrm{mg}, 17.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), the flask was then capped and bubbled with nitrogen gas for 40 min , ascorbic acid ( $15.2 \mathrm{mg}, 86.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added into flask quickly and the flask was immersed in a thermostatic oil bath at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to be stirred for 1 hour to ensure fully consumption of alkyne groups. The third sample was taken, from which one portion was diluted with THF without any further treatment while another portion was diluted by $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 2 equivalents of PMDETA to Cu amount for the assessment of alkyne conversion by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy.

The reaction was confirmed to be completed by disappearance of alkyne signal in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. SEC peak integration was used to quantify the conversion of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and G 1 since the NMR peaks from $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ protons overlapped due to the similar chemical environment. For better distinguishing the peaks between different products, $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ' with specific molecular weight was designed, in which the possible species in the product mixture after reaction, $\mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}_{2}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{G} 1, \mathrm{G} 1+\mathrm{nA}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ with formula weights of $326,656,986,1734,2064 \sim 3514$ separately, exhibited well-resolved peaks in SEC traces with RI detector. The reaction solvent DMF was used as an internal standard to calculate the conversion and yield of each species. In the first sample, the elution volume of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ was 29.7 mL while G 1 was 27.4 mL with slight tailing due to the amine group. In the second sample, $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ fully overlaps and the doubled peak height confirmed very similar mass between $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$. The total peak area of reaction mixture in the third sample nearly equals second sample since mass before and after click reaction are constant, indicating high reliability of the experiment. Three new peaks appeared at $25.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 27.7 \mathrm{~mL}$ and 28.5 mL
represented $\mathrm{G} 1+\mathrm{nA} 1^{\prime}$, di-triazole product and mono-triazole, respectively. Importantly, only $22 \% \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ was reacted and the G1 peak almost disappeared, indicating a higher reactivity of azido groups in $\mathrm{B}_{3}$-containing G1 molecule.

$\left[B_{2}\right]$
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$B_{2}$ conversion $=22 \%$ (from $\left[B_{2}\right]$ peak area)

Figure S7. Model reaction 2 to illustrate the relayed higher reactivity of azido groups on core species with conditions $\left[\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right] 0:\left[\mathrm{B}_{2}\right] 0:[\mathrm{G1}] 0:\left[\mathrm{CuSO} 4 \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0:[$ ascorbic acid $] 0=$ 6:3:1:1:5 in DMF at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\mathrm{G} 1]_{0}=0.02 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$. SEC samples (diluted with THF) were taken before adding Cu and ascorbic acid, and after reaching $100 \%$ conversion of $\mathrm{A}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ using DMF as an internal standard.


Figure S8. 2D ROESY NMR spectrum of a purified hyperbranched polymer (reaction condition: $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=900: 1: 10: 50,\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{L}^{-1}$, conv. $99 \%$ ) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S9. A) Representative structure, B) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and C) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of a purified hyperbranched polymer (reaction condition: $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=900: 1: 10: 50,\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, conv. $99 \%$ ) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The ligand-exchange experiment. $B_{3}$ and a purified hyperbranched homopolymer (denoted as HP, synthesized at feed ratio of $\left.\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=90: 1: 5\right)$ were individually prepared in DMF- $d_{7}$ solution: $\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}(\mathrm{~A}$, Figure S 10$)$, $[\text { triazole }]_{0}$ in $\mathrm{HP}=1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ (C, Figure S10) and transferred to NMR tubes for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. The triazole protons in $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core, D units and L units in HP were observed at 8.16 ppm , 8.08-8.13 ppm and $8.00-8.02 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively. $\mathrm{B}_{3}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complex ( B , Figure S 10 ) was then prepared by adding $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ascorbic acid to $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ in DMF- $d_{7}$ solution at the feed ratio of $\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=1: 1: 2$, which generated immediate shift and broadening of the triazole proton signal to 8.52 ppm . Subsequently, addition of HP solution in the complex solution at $1: 1$ volume ratio introduced fast ligand exchange of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ catalyst between the $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ and the polytriazole units in HP, indicated by diagnostic NMR chemical shifts to $8.43 \mathrm{ppm}, 8.13-8.25 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 8.07-8.10 ppm for the triazole protons in $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core, D units and L units of HP , respectively.

In Figure $\mathrm{S} 10-\mathrm{D}$, the fraction of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ in each complex was estimated based on the equation: ${ }^{9}$

$$
\delta=n_{a} \delta_{a}+n_{b} \delta_{b}
$$

where $\delta=8.43 \mathrm{ppm}$ represents the population-averaged chemical shifts of $\mathrm{B}_{3} / \mathrm{B}_{3}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ triazole protons, $\delta_{a}=8.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\delta_{b}=8.52 \mathrm{ppm}$ represent the chemical shifts of triazole protons in free $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core (Figure $\mathrm{S} 10-\mathrm{A}$ ) and $\mathrm{B}_{3}-\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complex (Figure $\mathrm{S} 10-\mathrm{B}$ ), and $n$ represents the mole fraction of each complex. It was calculated that roughly $25 \%$ of copper was complexed with hyperbranched homopolymer in sample D .


Figure S10. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (DMF- $\mathrm{d}_{7}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the triazole protons in (A): $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core, (B): $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ core with the addition of Cu catalyst $\left(\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=\right.$ 1:1:2), (C): purified hyperbranched homopolymer (HP), (D): equilibrated mixture of (B) and (C).


Figure S11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR peaks of triazole protons and methylene protons adjacent to triazole groups in hyperbranched polymers at various molar ratios of $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ to Cu catalyst. Reaction condition: $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}:[\text { ascorbic acid }]_{0}=900: 1: x: 5 \mathrm{x}$ in DMF at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C},\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}=0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$.


Figure S12. Pictures of a typical hyperbranched polymer before and after extraction of Cu catalyst using 2 equiv. of PMDETA to Cu . The hyperbranched polymer in this picture was synthesized under conditions of $\left[\mathrm{AB}_{2}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{B}_{3}\right]_{0}:\left[\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right] 0$ : ascorbic acid $]_{0}=900: 1: 10: 50$.
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