Quantum calculations in solution for large to very large molecules: a new linear scaling QM/continuum approach

Filippo Lipparini,^{*,†,‡,¶} Louis Lagardère,[¶] Giovanni Scalmani,[§] Benjamin Stamm,^{†,∥} Eric Cancès,[⊥] Yvon Maday,^{†,#,@} Jean-Philip Piquemal,^{‡,∥} Michael J. Frisch,[§] and Benedetta Mennucci[△]

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7598, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, F-75005, Paris, France, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7616, Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, F-75005, Paris, France, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Institut du Calcul et de la Simulation, F-75005, Paris, France, Gaussian, Inc., 340 Quinnipiac St. Bldg. 40, Wallingford, Connecticut 06492, USA., CNRS, UMR 7598 and 7616, F-75005, Paris, France, Université Paris-Est, CERMICS, Ecole des Ponts and INRIA, 6 & 8 avenue Blaise Pascal, 77455 Marne-la-Vallée Cedex 2, France, Institut Universitaire de France, Brown Univ, Division of Applied Maths, Providence, RI, USA, and Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, Università di Pisa, Via Risorgimento 35, 56126 Pisa, Italy

E-mail: filippo.lipparini@courriel.upmc.fr

In this supporting information, we will briefly derive the ddCOSMO contributions to the Fock matrix for a semiempirical Hamiltonian. Let ρ be the density of charge of the solute. The COSMO solves the electrostatics equation for such a density, accommodated into a hollow cavity Ω embedded into a metallic, infinite continuum. Here, the cavity is assumed to be the union of intersecting spheres, which is the case for both Van der Waals and Solvent Accessible Surface (SAS) cavities. Such a problem is solved by writing the total electrostatic potential as the sum of two terms: the potential produced by ρ in vacuo and a reaction contribution due to the polarization of the metal, usually called W. The electrostatic solvation energy is one half of the interaction energy between the inducing energy ρ and the reaction potential W:

$$E_s = \frac{1}{2} f(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \rho(\mathbf{r}) W(\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r}$$
(1)

In the ddCOSMO paradigm, the reaction potential is computed by solving a collection of interacting problems, one for each sphere composing the cavity, in an iterative fashion. In particular, for each sphere Ω_i the reaction potential can be expressed as

$$\forall \mathbf{r} \in \Omega_j, \quad W(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{\Gamma_j} \frac{\sigma_j(\mathbf{s})}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}|} d\mathbf{s} := (\mathcal{S}_j \sigma_j)(\mathbf{r})$$
(2)

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed

[†]Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7598, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, F-75005, Paris, France

[‡]Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, UMR 7616, Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, F-75005, Paris, France

[¶]Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Institut du Calcul et de la Simulation, F-75005, Paris, France

[§]Gaussian, Inc., 340 Quinnipiac St. Bldg. 40, Wallingford, Connecticut 06492, USA.

 $^{\|}$ CNRS, UMR 7598 and 7616, F-75005, Paris, France

 $^{^{\}perp}$ Université Paris-Est, CERMICS, Ecole des Ponts and INRIA, 6 & 8 avenue Blaise Pascal, 77455 Marnela-Vallée Cedex 2, France

[#]Institut Universitaire de France

[®]Brown Univ, Division of Applied Maths, Providence, RI, USA

 $^{^{\}bigtriangleup}$ Dipartimento di Chimica
e Chimica Industriale, Università di Pisa, Via Risorgimento 35, 56126 Pisa, Italy

where $\Gamma_j = \partial \Omega_j$ and the local apparent surface charge (ASC)

$$\sigma_j = \sum_{l=0}^{N} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} [\sigma_j]_l^m Y_l^m(\mathbf{s})$$

is an intermediate quantity used in the ddCOSMO algorithm, which is obtained by solving the ddCOSMO linear equations $L[\sigma] = g$. All the details can be found elsewhere;^{1,2} here it is sufficient to say that the right-hand side g is the solute's electrostatic potential (and therefore a quantity linear in the density matrix) weighted with a switching function and that the L matrix is not symmetric, but very sparse.

In order to couple the ddCOSMO to a SCF-like procedure, the ddCOSMO Fock matrix contribution has to be computed. Such a contribution is defined as the derivative of the ddCOSMO solvation energy with respect to the electronic density matrix $P_{\mu\nu}$:

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{s} = \frac{\partial E_{s}}{\partial P_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} f(\varepsilon) \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) \chi_{\nu}(\mathbf{r}) W(\mathbf{r}) + \rho(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{r})}{\partial P_{\mu\nu}} d\mathbf{r},$$
(3)

where the electronic density of the solute has been expanded in a basis of AO:

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu} \chi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) \chi_{\nu}(\mathbf{r})$$

For semiempirical methods, both equations 1 and 3 simplify greatly as the electrostatic properties can be described as the ones produced by a collection of atomic monopoles, dipoles and quadrupoles, which are related to the density matrix through a linear transformation, which depends on the specific parametrization. Let $[\Theta_j]_l^m$, $0 \le l \le 2, -l \le m \le l$ be such atomic multipoles (normalized so that the monopole is actually a charge, the l = 1 terms correspond to a dipole and the l = 2 to a quadrupole). The integral in eq. 1 simplifies to

$$E^{s}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \frac{1}{2}f(\varepsilon)\sum_{j=1}^{M}\int_{\Omega_{j}}d\mathbf{r}\sum_{l=0}^{2}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}[\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{j}]_{l}^{m}\delta(r)Y_{l}^{m}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\phi)W(r,\boldsymbol{\theta},\phi)$$
(4)

By exploiting equation 2 and using a multipolar expansion of the integral there involved, eq. 5 is recovered:

$$E^{s}(\mathbf{\Theta}) = \frac{1}{2}f(\varepsilon)\sum_{j=1}^{M}\sum_{l=0}^{2}\sum_{m=-l}^{l}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}}[\Theta_{j}]_{l}^{m}[\sigma_{j}]_{l}^{m}.$$
(5)

Now, for each atom i and for each atomic multipole l, m, let

$$[\Theta_i]_l^m = \sum_{\mu(i)\nu(i)} \Lambda_{ilm,i\mu\nu} P_{\mu\nu}$$
(6)

where the indexes $\mu(i), \nu(i)$ correspond to basis functions centered on the *i*-th atom. Notice that the matrix Λ is defined by the semiempirical method and contains the parameters;³ for the sake of compactness, we will write

$$\Theta = \Lambda P$$

In order to derive the ddCOSMO contribution to the Fock matrix, we can exploit the chain rule

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial E^s}{\partial \mathbf{P}} = \frac{\partial E^s}{\partial \Theta} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial \mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\dagger} \mathbf{\Xi},$$

where we introduced the "atomic Fock matrix"

$$[\Xi_i]_l^m = \frac{\partial E^s}{\partial [\Theta_i]_l^m}.$$
(7)

Therefore, we can compute the Fock matrix by assembling the derivatives of the solvation energy with respect to the atomic multipoles and the transform it in the AO basis through Λ . By differentiating eq. 5:

$$[\Xi_{i}]_{l}^{m} = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} \delta_{l \leq 2} [\sigma_{j}]_{l}^{m} + \sum_{j} \sum_{l'm'} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}} [\Theta_{j}]_{l'}^{m'} \frac{\partial [\sigma_{j}]_{l'}^{m'}}{\partial [\Theta_{i}]_{l}^{m}}$$

In order to compute the derivatives of σ with respect to the atomic multipoles, we differ-

entiate the ddCOSMO linear system of equations $\mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbf{g}$. The ddCOSMO matrix is a block-sparse matrix: for each pair of spheres j, k:

$$[L_{jk}]_{ll'}^{mm'} = -\sum_{n=1}^{N_g} w_n Y_l^m(\mathbf{y}_n) W_n^{jk} \frac{4\pi}{2l'+1} (t_n^{jk})^{l'} Y_{l'}^{m'}(\mathbf{s}_n^{jk}).$$

Here, $\{\mathbf{y}_n, w_n\}_{n=1}^{N_g}$ is the set of N_g points and weights of an appropriate Lebedev integration grid, W_n^{jk} is a weight depending on the overlap of the two spheres (it vanishes if the spheres do not intersect each other), t_n^{jk} is the distance from the center of sphere k to the n-th grid point on sphere j divided by the radius of sphere k, \mathbf{s}_n^{jk} is the unit vector pointing from the center of sphere k to the same point and Y_l^m is a real spherical harmonic. Furthermore,

$$[g_i]_l^m = \sum_n w_n Y_l^m(\mathbf{y}_n) U_n^i \Phi_n^i,$$

where U_n^i is a weight introduced in order to regularize the problem and Φ_n^i is the solute's electrostatic potential at the *n*-th grid point on the *i*-th sphere and is therefore the only quantity that depends explicitly on the atomic multipoles:

$$\frac{\partial [\sigma_j]_{l'}^{m'}}{[\Theta_i]_l^m} = \left([L_{ji}]_{l'l}^{m'm} \right)^{-1} \sum_n w_n Y_l^m(\mathbf{y}_n) U_n^i \frac{\partial \Phi_n^i}{\partial [\Theta_i]_l^m}$$

 $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{L}^{\dagger})^{-1} \mathbf{\Psi}$

Now, let $[\Psi_i]_m^l = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} [\Theta_i]_l^m$ and

By putting everything together, eq. 8 is recovered:

$$[\Xi_{i}]_{l}^{m} = \frac{\partial E^{s}}{\partial [\Theta_{i}]_{l}^{m}} = \frac{1}{2} f(\varepsilon) \left[\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} [\sigma_{i}]_{l}^{m} \delta_{l \leq 2} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{l'm'} [s_{j}]_{l'}^{m'} \frac{\partial [g_{j}]_{l'}^{m'}}{\partial [\Theta_{i}]_{l}^{m}} \right]$$
(8)

References

- Cancès, E.; Maday, Y.; Stamm, B. Domain decomposition for implicit solvation models. J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 139, 054111.
- (2) Lipparini, F.; Stamm, B.; Cancès, E.; Maday, Y.; Mennucci, B. Fast Domain Decomposition Algorithm for Continuum Solvation Models: Energy and First Derivatives. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2013, 9, 3637–3648.
- (3) Khandogin, J.; Gregersen, B. A.; Thiel, W.; York, D. M. Smooth Solvation Method for d-Orbital Semiempirical Calculations of Biological Reactions. 1. Implementation. J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 9799–9809.