Supporting Information

Data-driven derivation of molecular substructures that enhance drug activity in Gram-negative bacteria

Dominik Gurvic^a, Andrew G. Leach^{b, c}, and Ulrich Zachariae^{a,*}

^a Computational Biology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dow Street, Dundee, DD1 5EH, United Kingdom.

^b Division of Pharmacy and Optometry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom.

^c Medchemica Limited, Mereside, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, SK10 4TG, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author <u>u.zachariae@dundee.ac.uk</u>

Table of Contents

| Synthetic Data Generation | . 2 |
|--|-----|
| Distributions of pMIC caused by transforms from Tab. 5 | . 3 |



Synthetic Data Generation

Fig. S1: Chemical space, represented by LogP and MW parameters, for three datasets: ENM_1--ENM_3 used to predict permeation compared to the initial permeation dataset (GN-actives only).



Fig. S2: Chemical space, represented by LogP and MW parameters, for three datasets: ENM_1--ENM_3 used to predict permeation compared to the initial permeation dataset (all data).



Distributions of pMIC caused by transforms from Tab. 5

Fig. S3: Distributions of change in pMIC caused by every transform from Tab. 5. Histogram titles correspond to first three columns of Tab. 5: 'Main Moiety', '±', 'Exchange Moiety' for every transform. (part 1)



Fig. S3: Distributions of change in pMIC caused by every transform from Tab. 5. Histogram titles correspond to first three columns of Tab. 5 : 'Main Moiety', '±', 'Exchange Moiety' for every transform. (part 2)



Fig. S3: Distributions of change in pMIC caused by every transform from Tab. 5. Histogram titles correspond to first three columns of Tab. 5: 'Main Moiety', '±', 'Exchange Moiety' for every transform. (part 3)