Comprehensive *in vitro* characterization of the LSD1 small molecule inhibitor class in oncology

Natalia Sacilotto ξ⊥, Paola Dessanti ξ⊥, Michele M.P. Lufino ξ, Alberto Ortega ξ, Alejandra Rodríguez-Gimeno ξ, Jordi Salas ξ, Tamara Maes ξ, Carlos Buesa ξ, Cristina Mascaró ξ*, Robert Soliva ξ*

ξ Oryzon Genomics S.A. Carrer Sant Ferran 74, Cornellà de Llobregat, 08940, Barcelona, Spain.

 \perp These authors contributed equally.

* Corresponding authors

KEYWORDS. LSD1 inhibitors, cancer, Acute Myeloid Leukemia, Small cell lung cancer

Table of Contents for Supplementary information

Figures:

Figure S1. Jump dilution analysis of reversible LSD1 inhibitors

Figure S2. Dose-response curves of MAO-A and MAO-B inhibition for selected tool compounds

Figure S3. Efficacy in AML

Tables:

Table S1. Stability of compounds in aqueous buffer at 37°C

Table S2. Kinetic solubility, inhibition of LSD1 and other FAD dependent enzymes

Table S3. Kinetic parameters of LSD1 irreversible inhibition

Table S4. EC50 viability values for AML and SCLC cell lines

Table S5. EC₅₀ differentiation values for the AML cell line THP1

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Figure S1. Jump dilution analysis of reversible LSD1 inhibitors. The recovery of LSD1 activity upon jump dilution is reported as a percentage of the activity of LSD1 incubated and diluted in the absence of inhibitor (100% Activity). As a control, the percentage recovery of activity of LSD1 incubated with each compound and diluted with 10-fold the IC₅₀ was determined (Ctrl 10X). TCP was used as a control of no recovery upon jump dilution. Data represented as mean \pm St. Dev. of N=3, n=1.



Figure S2. Dose-response curves of MAO-A (A) and MAO-B (B) inhibition for selected tool compounds. Data are represented as mean \pm St. Dev. of N=2, n=2.



Figure S3. Efficacy in AML. Effect of tool (left panels) and clinical stage (right panels) LSD1 inhibitors on cell viability in the AML cell line MOLM13 (A) and MV(4;11) (B) following 96 hr incubation at concentrations ranging from 0.002 to 2,000 nM. All data are expressed as relative to the vehicle condition and represented as mean \pm St. Dev of N=2, n=3.

Compound	T = 0 days purity (%) ^a	T = 4 days purity (%)ª	T = 6 days purity (%)ª
tranylcypromine	96	97	95
phenelzine	94	5	4
pargyline	97	98	95
OG-668	99	96	95
SP-2509	88	74	68
pulrodemstat	98	98	92
GSK-2879552	99	98	96
bomedemstat	100	95	93
seclidemstat	88	74	72
iadademstat	99	94	91

Table S1. Stability of compounds in aqueous buffer at 37°C. ^a Purity values obtained by LCMS.

Compound	Turbidimetric Solubility (µM) ^a	LSD1 IC ₅₀ (µM) HRP	LSD1 IC ₅₀ (µM) HTRF	LSD2 IC ₅₀ (µM) HRP	LSD2 IC ₅₀ (µM) HTRF	MAO-A IC ₅₀ (µM)	MAO-B IC ₅₀ (µM)
tranylcypromine	500	69.86 ± 8.95	5.63 ± 0.47	>> 100	> 100	2.84 ± 0.45	0.73 ± 0.04
phenelzine	200,000	NDb	1,049.34 ± 150.10	۹DN	832.33 ± 99.01	0.42 ± 0.02	0.83 ± 0.005
pargyline	200,000	9,316.87 ± 97.97	7,102.93 ± 2,940.99	>> 100	3,009.68 ± 143.33	3.84 ± 0.14	0.24 ± 0.02
OG-668	100	4.72 × 10 ⁻² ± 1.38 × 10 ⁻²	$7.60 \times 10^{-3} \pm 1.31 \times 10^{-3}$	> 100	10 < IC ₅₀ < 100	> 100	> 100
SP-2509	33	2.56 ± 0.39	2.48 ± 1.21	 10^c 	> 10℃	> 10 ^c	> 10 ^c
pulrodemstat	100	1.68 × 10 ⁻² ± 1.97 × 10 ⁻³	$6.55 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.10 \times 10^{-4}$	> 100	≥ 100	≥ 100	> 100
GSK-2879552	100	1.10 ± 0.06	0.16 ± 0.002	>> 100	≥ 100	> 100	> 100
bomedemstat	100	0.25 ± 0.03	5.68 × 10 ⁻² ± 9.58 × 10 ⁻³	> 100	10 < IC ₅₀ < 100	> 100	> 100
seclidemstat	33	2.44 ± 0.66	1.33 ± 0.004	> 10 ^c	> 10°	> 10 ^c	> 10°
iadademstat	100	8.57 × 10 ⁻³ ± 6.61 × 10 ⁻⁴	3.26 × 10 ⁻⁴ ± 1.42 × 10 ⁻⁴	> 100	10 < IC ₅₀ < 100	> 100	> 100

Table S2. Kinetic solubility, inhibition of LSD1 and other FAD dependent enzymes. ^aHighest soluble concentration. ND^b not determined: the compound is fluorescent at HRP assay wavelength. ^cCompound not soluble at 100 μ M. Data are represented as mean \pm St. Dev. of N=2 or 6, n=2 or 3.

Compound	LSD1 K _i (µM)	LSD1 k _{inact} (sec ⁻¹)	LSD1 k _{inact} /K _I (M ⁻¹ sec ⁻¹)
tranylcypromine	360.13 ± 244.54	0.011 ± 0.004	33.67 ± 11.56
phenelzine	ND ^a	ND ^a	ND ^a
pargyline	ND ^b	ND ^b	ND ^b
OG-668	0.626 ± 0.186	0.016 ± 0.005	$2.57 \times 10^4 \pm 1.04 \times 10^3$
GSK-2879552	2.137 ± 1.267	0.013 ± 0.003	$6.73 \times 10^3 \pm 2.43 \times 10^3$
bomedemstat	1.189 ± 0.435	0.018 ± 0.001	$1.63 \times 10^4 \pm 6.68 \times 10^3$
iadademstat	0.017 ± 0.001	0.020 ± 0.003	1.19 × 10 ⁶ ± 2.39 × 10 ⁵

Table S3. Kinetic parameters of LSD1 irreversible inhibition. ND^a not determined: the compound is fluorescent at HRP assay wavelength. ND^b not determined: no time-dependent inhibition was observed at the tested concentrations. Data are represented as mean \pm St. Dev. of N=2, n=1.

	EC ₅₀ (nM)			
	TF1a	MOLM-13	MV(4;11)	NCI-H510A
Compound	Mean ± St. Dev.			
tranylcypromine	>2,000	>2,000	>2,000	>2,000
phenelzine	>2,000	>2,000	>2,000	>2,000
pargyline	>2,000	>2,000	>2,000	>2,000
OG-668	1.55 ± 0.57	0.91 ± 0.61	0.48 ± 0.15	1.17 ± 0.84
SP-2509	1,624.50 ± 260.92	203.35 ± 6.72	422.00 ± 42.99	56.24 ± 1.75
pulrodemstat	7.87 ± 3.65	0.96 ± 0.51	2.90 ± 1.98	1.77 ± 0.67
GSK-2879552	76.43 ± 20.71	40.19 ± 0.47	39.59 ± 3.21	109.48 ± 30.72
bomedemstat	31.06 ± 9.24	5.38 ± 0.42	4.20 ± 0.78	10.46 ± 0.73
seclidemstat	1,261.50 ± 96.87	284.65 ± 18.03	885.65 ± 692.05	184.35 ± 0.92
iadademstat	0.09 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.17	0.10 ± 0.06	0.16 ± 0.03

Table S4. EC₅₀ viability values for AML and SCLC cell lines. EC_{50} values were calculated using the GraphPadPrism 9 software. Data are represented as mean \pm St. Dev. of N=2, n=3

Relative EC ₅₀ (nM)		
Compound	THP-1 cells	
tranylcypromine	1,402.00	
phenelzine	>2,000	
pargyline	>2,000	
OG-668	0.62	
SP-2509	>2,000	
pulrodemstat	2.34	
GSK-2879552	31.23	
bomedemstat	4.15	
seclidemstat	>2,000	
iadademstat	0.04	

Table S5. EC₅₀ differentiation values for the AML cell line THP1. EC₅₀ values were calculated using the GraphPad 9 Prism software. N=1