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Table S 1 . Refined atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters for $\mathrm{Mg}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Mn}_{2+\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ $(x=0.17,0.49,0.69)$.

| Atoms | Wyckoff | Occupancy | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {eq }}{ }^{\text {a }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.83(2)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.17} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mg 1 | $1 a$ | 0.83(2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0199(16) |
| Mn1 | $1 a$ | 0.17(2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0199(16) |
| Mn2 | $2 d$ | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 2/3 | 0.6304(2) | $0.0143(5)$ |
| As1 | $2 d$ | 1 | 1/3 | 2/3 | 0.23653(14) | 0.0130(4) |
| $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.52(2)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.48} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mg 1 | $1 a$ | 0.52(2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0222(13) |
| Mn1 | $1 a$ | 0.48(2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0222(13) |
| Mn2 | $2 d$ | 1 | $1 / 3$ | 2/3 | 0.6317(2) | $0.0148(5)$ |
| As1 | $2 d$ | 1 | 1/3 | 2/3 | 0.23523(15) | 0.0130(4) |
| $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.31(3)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.69} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mg 1 | $1 a$ | 0.31(3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0285(17) |
| Mn1 | $1 a$ | 0.69(3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0285(17) |
| Mn2 | $2 d$ | 1 | 1/3 | 2/3 | 0.6324(4) | 0.0203(8) |
| As1 | $2 d$ | 1 | 1/3 | 2/3 | 0.2340(3) | 0.0195(7) |

${ }^{a} \quad U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.


Figure S1. (a) Powder X-ray diffraction of titled compounds $\mathrm{Mg}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Mn}_{2+\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{As}_{2}(\mathrm{x}=0.17,0.48,0.69)$. The theoretical calculated patterns of $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.83(3)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.17} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ are provided for comparison as well. The small peak marked with * at about $44.4^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.52(2)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.48} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ indicates possible As impurity. (b) Calculated lattice parameters from the PXRD results vs. $x$. The calculated lattice parameters are slightly different with SXRD results, which may be caused by the test error.


Figure S2. EDS analysis on the composition of single crystals for $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.83(2)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.17} \mathrm{As}_{2}$.
Sample 1

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 9.15 | 21.01 |
| Mn L | 41.55 | 42.23 |
| As L | 49.31 | 36.76 |

Sample 2

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 9.28 | 21.24 |
| Mn L | 41.92 | 42.49 |
| As L | 48.80 | 36.27 |

Sample 3

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 9.04 | 20.92 |
| Mn L | 39.56 | 40.50 |
| As L | 51.40 | 38.59 |

The content of Mn calculated from EDS: 41.7\%
The content of Mn calculated from SXRD: 43.4\%


Figure S3. EDX analysis on the composition of single crystals for $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.52(2)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.48} \mathrm{As}_{2}$.
Sample 1

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 7.53 | 17.65 |
| Mn L | 43.40 | 45.02 |
| As L | 49.07 | 37.33 |

Sample 2

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 7.82 | 18.36 |
| Mn L | 41.06 | 42.68 |
| As L | 51.13 | 38.97 |

Sample 3

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 6.16 | 14.80 |
| Mn L | 42.55 | 45.22 |
| As L | 51.29 | 39.97 |

The content of Mn calculated from EDS: 44.3\%
The content of Mn calculated from SXRD: 49.6\%


Figure S4. EDX analysis on the composition of single crystals for $\mathrm{Mg}_{0.31(3)} \mathrm{Mn}_{2.69} \mathrm{As}_{2}$.
Sample 1

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 5.83 | 13.96 |
| Mn L | 45.65 | 48.35 |
| As L | 48.52 | 37.69 |

Sample 2

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 5.11 | 12.32 |
| Mn L | 47.19 | 50.35 |
| As L | 47.70 | 37.32 |

Sample 3

| Element | Weight\% | Atomic\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mg L | 5.82 | 13.91 |
| Mn L | 46.32 | 48.98 |
| As L | 47.86 | 37.11 |

The content of Mn calculated from EDS: 49.2\%
The content of Mn calculated from SXRD: 53.8\%


Figure S5. Schematic diagram of the spin configuration for $M H$ curve at 5 K .

