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Figures



Fig. S1. FTIR profiles of (A) PCL-Gelatin, (B) PCL-Collagen, (C) PCL-Elastin and (D) PCL systems obtained via electrospinning (Stage 1) and after the solvent extraction stage (Stage 2). (E) Water contact angle (WCA) measurements obtained for each system (PCL-Gelatin, PCL-Collagen, PCL-Elastin and PCL) obtained via electrospinning (Stage 1) and after the solvent extraction stage (Stage 2). Images of the drops during the WCA measurements have also been included.


| ROUGHNESS | Sa $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Sq $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | Sz/Sa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCL/Gelatin | $0.63 \pm 0.14$ | $0.80 \pm 0.10$ | $11.95 \pm 2.18$ |

Fig. S2. SEM images (A) before and (B) after solvent extraction of the PCL-Gelatin system. (C) A topographical image and different roughness parameters $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}} / \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ratio) for the PCL/Gelatin system were also included.


Fig. S3. SEM images after protein rebinding of the MI products (PCL-Gelatin, PCLCollagen, PCL-Elastin and PCL) in different protein solutions (gelatin, collagen and elastin).


Fig. S4. Water contact angle (WCA) measurements obtained for PCL and PCL-Gelatin systems obtained via electrospinning (Stage 1) and after the solvent extraction stage after each consecutive rebinding process (rebinding 1, 2 and 3). Images of the drops during the WCA measurements have also been included.

## Tables

Table S1. \%N content obtained for the PCL-Gelatin and PCL systems after performing each stage of the molecular imprinting process and varying the template rebinding molecule (gelatin, collagen and elastin).

| SYSTEMS | \%N |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Electrospinning | Solvent Extraction | Template Rebinding |  |  |
|  |  |  | Gelatin | Collagen | Elastin |
| PCL/Gelatin | $3.31 \pm 0.12$ | - | $2.83 \pm 0.17$ | $1.78 \pm 0.07$ | $0.73 \pm 0.04$ |
| PCL | - | - | $1.34 \pm 0.16$ | $1.50 \pm 0.06$ | $0.49 \pm 0.02$ |

Table S2. Mean fiber diameter ( nm ) and uniformity (\%) before and after protein rebinding of the MI products (PCL-Gelatin, PCL-Collagen, PCL-Elastin and PCL) in different protein solutions (gelatin, collagen and elastin).

| SYSTEMS | Mean Fiber Diameter (nm) |  |  |  |  |  | Uniformity (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GELATIN |  | COLLAGEN |  | ELASTIN |  | GELATIN |  | COLLAGEN |  | ELASTIN |  |
|  | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| PCL/Gelatin | $298 \pm 89$ | $258 \pm 92$ | $288 \pm 89$ | $307 \pm 74$ | $298 \pm 89$ | $323 \pm 73$ | 71.01 | 69.10 | 71.01 | 75.89 | 71.01 | 77.40 |
| PCL/Collagen | $294 \pm 123$ | $330 \pm 104$ | $294 \pm 123$ | $372 \pm 126$ | $294 \pm 123$ | $364 \pm 147$ | 58.16 | 68.48 | 58.16 | 66.13 | 58.16 | 59.62 |
| PCL/Elastin | $150 \pm 69$ | $202 \pm 58$ | $150 \pm 69$ | $211 \pm 76$ | $150 \pm 69$ | $209 \pm 88$ | 58.39 | 71.28 | 58.39 | 63.98 | 58.39 | 57.89 |
| PCL | $441 \pm 112$ | $419 \pm 90$ | $441 \pm 112$ | $424 \pm 81$ | $441 \pm 112$ | $481 \pm 118$ | 74.60 | 78.52 | 75.16 | 80.90 | 75.16 | 75.47 |

Table S3. \%N content obtained for the PCL-Gelatin and PCL systems obtained via electrospinning after performing the rebinding stage of the MI process varying the pH of the solution ( 3,6 and 9 ), the immersion time ( 1,2 and 4 h ) and the template solution concentration ( $0.5,0.05,0.005$ and $0.0005 \%$ ).

| SYSTEMS | \% N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Template Rebinding Stage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | pH |  |  | Immersion time |  |  | Solution Concentration |  |  |  |
|  | pH 3 | pH 6 | pH 9 | 1 h | 2 h | 4 h | 0.5\% | 0.05\% | 0.005\% | 0.0005\% |
| PCL/Gelatin | $2.83 \pm 0.17$ | $1.64 \pm 0.24$ | $1.54 \pm 0.21$ | $1.91 \pm 0.11$ | $2.83 \pm 0.17$ | $3.44 \pm 0.05$ | $2.83 \pm 0.17$ | $2.47 \pm 0.13$ | $1.38 \pm 0.06$ | $0.44 \pm 0.16$ |
| PCL | $1.34 \pm 0.16$ | $0.80 \pm 0.10$ | $0.26 \pm 0.04$ | $0.29 \pm 0.08$ | $1.34 \pm 0.16$ | $1.29 \pm 0.09$ | $1.34 \pm 0.16$ | $0.62 \pm 0.12$ | $0.23 \pm 0.07$ | $0.11 \pm 0.10$ |

Table S4. \%N content obtained for the PCL-Gelatin and PCL systems after performing each stage of the molecular imprinting process and varying the number of consecutive cycles performed: One cycle (C1), two cycles (C2) or three cycles (C3).

| SYSTEMS | \%N |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cycles |  |  |
|  | C 1 | C 2 | C 3 |
| PCL/Gelatin | $2.83 \pm 0.17$ | $3.05 \pm 0.28$ | $3.65 \pm 0.35$ |
| PCL | $1.34 \pm 0.16$ | $1.93 \pm 0.12$ | $2.12 \pm 0.09$ |

