1	Supporting Information
2 3	PHOTOCHEMICAL PRODUCTION AND PHOTOLYSIS OF ACRYLATE IN SEAWATER
4	
5	
6	Lei Xue and David J. Kieber*
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	Department of Chemistry, State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, 1 Forestry Drive, Syracuse, New York 13210
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	*Corresponding Author: djkieber@esf.edu, Phone: 1-315-470-6951, Fax: 1-315-470-6856
23	
24	Supporting Information is 10 pages including 6 figures and 1 table
25	

26	S1 Determination of Hourly Rates. Average, clear-sky photochemical production rates were
27	determined by first dividing the nM of acrylate produced during a photochemical experiment by
28	the hours samples were exposed to solar radiation. Observed hourly rates were then scaled to
29	rates that would be expected under cloudless, clear-sky conditions on days that the
30	photochemical experiments were conducted. This was done by dividing hourly rates by the ratio
31	of the photon exposure determined by nitrite actinometry to the clear-sky photon exposure
32	between 330-380 nm determined by the Simple Model of the Atmospheric Radiative Transfer of
33	Sunshine (SMARTS) model version 2.9.5 ¹ (https://www.nrel.gov/grid/solar-
34	resource/smarts.html) for the days each photochemical experiment was conducted. Data used for
35	these calculations are presented in Table S1.
36	The SMARTS hourly spectral irradiance (local time 07:00-17:00) for each irradiation
37	day was modeled following the protocol in Zhu and Kieber. ² Two input parameters were used in
38	the SMARTS model: aerosol optical depth at 550 nm (AOD _{550nm}) and total column ozone
39	concentrations. The daily AOD _{550nm} was from the Moderate-Resolution Imaging
40	Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and the total column ozone concentrations were from the Aura
41	Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) monthly averaged data. Both the ozone and AOD _{550nm} data
42	were from NASA's Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center
43	(https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/).
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	

S2

49 ′	Fable S1 Data used to calculate average,	clear-sky photochemical	production rates. All irradiations	were performed in Syracuse NY,
------	--	-------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------------

50 except for the Mo'orea samples that were irradiated at the Gump Research Station in Mo'orea, French Polynesia. Nitrite actinometry

and the SMARTS model integrated photon exposure between 330-380 nm. GOM denotes the Gulf of Mexico

Irradiation dates	Sample	Hours irradiated	Acrylate produced (nM)	Nitrite photon exposure (μmol quanta cm ⁻²)	SMARTS photon exposure (µmol quanta cm ⁻²)	Nitrite/ SMARTS	Clear-sky rate (nM h ⁻¹)
April 7	Mo'orea Pacific Ocean	10.0	0.51	225.2	259.8	0.867	0.059
April 16-17	Mo'orea coral reef	20.0	0.66	405.5	491.7	0.825	0.040
April 18-20	Mo'orea Pacific Ocean	30.0	1.34	580.7	726.7	0.799	0.056
April 24-25	Mo'orea coral reef	20.0	0.94	309.4	468.7	0.660	0.071
August 20	GOM coastal	8.5	1.16	231.8	244.4	0.948	0.144
August 24	Delaware Estuary	9.0	0.95	236.5	242.6	0.975	0.108
September 4	Georges Bank	8.6	0.88	208.8	223.4	0.935	0.109
September 10	GOM open ocean	8.8	0.48	206.9	214.2	0.966	0.056
September 20	North Pacific Ocean	8.0	0.47	176.2	188.6	0.934	0.063
September 24	coastal Rhode Island	8.0	0.79	161.4	180.3	0.895	0.110
October 23-25	Sargasso Sea	18.5	0.47	236.8	317.5	0.746	0.034



Figure S1 Hydrographic stations in the (A) Atlantic and (B) Pacific Ocean where samples were
collected for photochemical experiments. Station notation: a, coastal Rhode Island; b, Georges
Bank; c, mouth of the Delaware Estuary; d, Sargasso Sea; e, Gulf of Mexico, open ocean; f, Gulf
of Mexico, coastal; g, North Pacific; h, coral reef and Pacific Ocean waters off the island of
Mo'orea, French Polynesia.



Figure S2 Spectral irradiance output from the 300 W xenon lamp between 280 and 430 nm after
it passed through Milli-Q water to remove IR and a Pyrex plate to remove UV radiation less than
290 nm.



Figure S3 Nitrite-based photochemical production rates of acrylate in the same samples shown in Figure 2 plotted against the CDOM absorption coefficient at 290 (a_{290nm} , triangle) or 390 nm (a_{390nm} , circle). The solid black and red lines are the best fit from linear regression analysis. The slopes and y-intercepts \pm std errors are: 1.37 ± 0.27 pM m (µmol quanta cm⁻²)⁻¹ and 2.08 ± 0.30 pM (µmol quanta cm⁻²)⁻¹ for 290 nm (black line) and 7.29 ± 1.18 pM m (µmol quanta cm⁻²)⁻¹ and 1.94 ± 0.26 pM (µmol quanta cm⁻²)⁻¹ for 390 nm (red line).





Figure S4 Decrease in the wavelength-dependent CDOM absorption coefficient during the irradiation of seawater samples using the solar simulator. For each seawater sample, wavelength-dependent absorption coefficients decreased from the initial spectrum to subsequent spectra at irradiation times of 2, 4, 7, and 10 h. All absorbance spectra were determined using an Ocean Optics spectrophotometer (model, SD-2000). The hourly photon exposure between 330 and 380 nm as determined by nitrite actinometry in the quartz flasks was 0.22 ± 0.01 mmol quanta cm⁻².



84

Figure S5 Acrylate photoproduction rate determined in seawater samples exposed to sunlight plotted against the rate determined in the same seawater samples exposed to the solar simulator. Both rates were calculated based on the photon exposure determined by nitrite actinometry. The solid line is the best fit line determined from linear regression analysis with a slope \pm std error = 0.94 ± 0.09 , a y-intercept \pm std error = -0.32 ± 0.33 pM (µmol quanta cm⁻²)⁻¹, and r = 0.98. The dashed lines denote the 95% confidence interval. Vertical and horizontal error bars denote the standard deviation of replicate samples (n = 3 or 4).



93 Figure S6 (A) Observed photochemical production of acrylate in 0.2 µm-filtered seawater samples collected from coastal Rhode Island (circles) and the Sargasso Sea (squares) exposed to 94 the solar simulator for up to 12 h. Solid diamonds denote the observed change in the acrylate 95 concentration in Milli-Q water during exposure to the solar simulator for 12 h; the initial acrylate 96 concentration used in the Milli-O water experiment was 100 nM. (B) Modeled photochemical 97 loss of 100 nM acrylate using the published first-order rate constant ($k_{photolysis}$) of 3×10^{-6} s⁻¹ (Wu 98 et al.³; solid triangles) and 1.3×10^{-7} s⁻¹ (Bajt et al.⁴; open triangles). The Bajt et al.⁴ k_{photolysis} was 99 based on 15% loss of acrylate after exposure of a seawater sample to sunlight for 30 days 100 assuming first-order kinetics. The Wu et al.³ and Bait et al.⁴ $k_{photolysis}$ rates constants were 101 normalized to the solar simulator spectral output between 330 and 380 nm (~7.5 suns). For all 102 solar simulator experiments, the hourly photon exposure between 330 and 380 nm was $0.22 \pm$ 103 0.01 mmol quanta cm⁻². 104

106

108 References

- (1) Gueymard, C. A. Parameterized transmittance model for direct beam and circumsolar
 spectral irradiance. *Sol. Energy* 2001, *71*, 325–346.
- 111 (2) Zhu, Y.; Kieber, D. J. Global model for depth-dependent carbonyl photochemical
 112 production rates in seawater. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 2020, *34*, e2019GB006431.
- Wu, X.; Liu, C.-Y.; Li, P.-F. Photochemical transformation of acrylic acid in seawater.
 Mar. Chem. 2015, *170*, 29–36.
- (4) Bajt, O.; Šket, B.; Faganeli, J. The aqueous photochemical transformation of acrylic acid.
 Mar. Chem. 1997, *58*, 255–259.

117