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## 1. NMR spectra



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 a}$.


Figure S2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 a}$.


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 b}$.


Figure S4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{2 b}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 5} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a}$.


Figure S6. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 a}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 7} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$.
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Figure S8. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3 b}$.


Figure S9. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S10. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S11. COSY spectrum (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S12. HSQC spectrum (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{4 a}$.


Figure S13. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 b}$.


Figure S14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 b}$.



Figure S15. COSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 b}$.


Figure S16. HSQC spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{4 b}$.


Figure $\mathrm{S} 17 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 a}$.


Figure S18. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 a}$.



Figure $\mathbf{S 1 9 .} \mathrm{COSY}$ spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $5 \mathbf{a}$.


Figure S20. HSQC spectrum ( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 a}$.



Figure S21. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $5 \mathbf{b}$.


Figure S22. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 b}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 3}$. COSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 b}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 2 4}$. HSQC spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{5 b}$.


Figure S25. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{6 a}$.


Figure S26. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{6 a}$.


Figure S27. COSY spectrum (DMSO- $d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{6 a}$.


Figure S28. HSQC spectrum ( DMSO- $_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of $\mathbf{6 a}$.


Figure S29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{6 b}$.


Figure S30. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT- 135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{6 b}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 1}$. COSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{b}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 3 2}$. HSQC spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{6 b}$.


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{7 a}$.


Figure S34. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{7 a}$.




Figure S35. COSY spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{7 a}$.


Figure S36. HSQC spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $7 \mathbf{a}$.




Figure S37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) of 7b.


Figure S38. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and DEPT-135 spectra (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, 100 MHz ) of $\mathbf{7 b}$.




Figure S39. COSY spectrum (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz ) of $7 \mathbf{7 b}$.


Figure S40. HSQC spectrum (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ of $\mathbf{7 b}$.

## 2. RP-HPLC chromatograms



Figure S41. HPLC chromatogram of 4a. $\mathrm{R}_{t}=14.9 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=99.1 \%$.


Figure S42. HPLC chromatogram of $\mathbf{4 b} . \mathrm{R}_{t}=13.0 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=100 \%$.


Figure S43. HPLC chromatogram of $5 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{R}_{t}=15.9 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=99.5 \%$.


Figure S44. HPLC chromatogram of $\mathbf{5 b}$. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=14.1 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=98.8 \%$.


Figure S45. HPLC chromatogram of $\mathbf{6 a}$. $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=12.4 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=98.2 \%$.


Figure S46. HPLC chromatogram of $\mathbf{6 b}$. $\mathrm{R}_{t}=10.5 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=98.9 \%$.


Figure S47. HPLC chromatogram of $7 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=12.7 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=97.1 \%$.


Figure S48. HPLC chromatogram of 7b. $\mathrm{R}_{t}=10.7 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=98.2 \%$.


Figure S49. HPLC chromatogram of $\mathbf{5 b} / \mathbf{5 b} \mathbf{b}^{\prime} . \mathrm{R}_{t}$ of $\mathbf{5 b}=14.0 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=49.4 \% ; \mathrm{R}_{t}$ of $\mathbf{5 b} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{\prime}}=14.2 \mathrm{~min}, \%$ area $=50.6 \%$.

## 3. Functional assays



Figure S50. Concentration-response curve of DA at human $D_{2} R$. The mean $\pm$ SEM (vertical bars) of each measure was determined in duplicate.


Figure S51. Concentration-response curves of peptidomimetics $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ at human $\mathrm{D}_{2} R$ (including the concentration-response curve of DA for comparison). Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent measurements.


Figure S52. Concentration-response curve of DA in the presence of 0.01 nM of MIF-1 (including the concentration-response curve of DA). ${ }^{1}$ Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD (vertical bars) of two independent experiments with duplicate measurements.

Table S1. Percentage of increase of DA effect shown by the inactive compounds at 0.01 and 0.1 nM .

| Compound | \% Effect at 0.01 nM (Mean $\pm$ SD) | \% Effect at 0.1 nM (Mean $\pm$ SD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | $-65.24 \pm 11.12$ | $-98.45 \pm 11.97$ |
| 4b | $7.06 \pm 9.49$ | $9.31 \pm 15.42$ |
| $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $4.01 \pm 7.54$ | $-31.33 \pm 2.26$ |
| $\mathbf{5 b}$ | $2.92 \pm 12.34$ | $3.77 \pm 2.31$ |
| $\mathbf{6 b}$ | $-18.74 \pm 0.03$ | $-14.82 \pm 5.57$ |
| 7a | $3.34 \pm 0.82$ | $1.08 \pm 0.74$ |
| 7b | $-37.06 \pm 15.62$ | $4.54 \pm 5.44$ |

## 4. Tables of cartesian coordinates

Table S2. Cartesian coordinates of 4a, at the M06-2X level of theory, with zero imaginary frequencies and a total energy of -1030.83809828 hartree.

| Atom | Cartesian coordinates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -12.00261 | 0.08139 | 1.05445 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -12.12415 | 0.20791 | -0.30505 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -10.81593 | 0.62316 | 1.40751 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -10.16942 | 1.09529 | 0.30392 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -11.03181 | 0.82136 | -0.81788 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -8.84553 | 1.74695 | 0.36094 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | -8.27527 | 2.03897 | -0.82270 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -8.30013 | 1.98404 | 1.45518 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -7.06011 | 2.82815 | -1.03928 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -5.76223 | 2.08245 | -0.72222 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -7.10024 | 4.19368 | -0.33189 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -5.91215 | 5.10779 | -0.66015 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -6.03477 | 6.39688 | 0.15229 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -5.82115 | 5.42831 | -2.15277 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -4.81252 | 2.12377 | -1.51740 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | -5.68619 | 1.42244 | 0.44463 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -4.46237 | 0.77246 | 0.83414 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -3.34961 | 1.75901 | 1.12792 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -3.48602 | 2.96050 | 1.24098 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -2.18452 | 1.12965 | 1.26920 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -1.04995 | 1.95364 | 1.59326 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -13.03423 | -0.18085 | -0.73557 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -10.56413 | 0.60310 | 2.45750 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -10.86718 | 1.05234 | -1.86126 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -8.77612 | 1.77250 | -1.66112 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -7.15230 | 4.04169 | 0.75011 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -8.02989 | 4.68941 | -0.64075 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -4.98608 | 4.60167 | -0.35382 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.18526 | 7.05999 | -0.03975 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -6.06999 | 6.18945 | 1.22685 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -6.95046 | 6.93632 | -0.11927 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -6.76068 | 5.87300 | -2.50432 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.61688 | 4.54084 | -2.75943 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.01771 | 6.14804 | -2.34079 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -6.45736 | 1.50676 | 1.10366 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -4.11732 | 0.08702 | 0.05539 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -4.64039 | 0.18886 | 1.74016 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -0.21010 | 1.26826 | 1.68501 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -1.22420 | 2.47366 | 2.53684 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -0.87157 | 2.67259 | 0.79195 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -7.02687 | 2.99176 | -2.11708 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{}$ |  |  |  |

Table S3. Cartesian coordinates of 6a, at the M06-2X level of theory, with zero imaginary frequencies and a total energy of -971.70097388 hartree.

| Atom | Cartesian coordinates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -10.67352 | -1.54381 | 0.19783 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -10.59834 | -1.63697 | -1.16772 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -9.56332 | -0.90006 | 0.62211 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -8.77533 | -0.57495 | -0.44149 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -9.45895 | -1.05831 | -1.61429 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -7.49236 | 0.14453 | -0.30887 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | -6.83047 | 0.39189 | -1.45447 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -7.06365 | 0.47862 | 0.81110 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -5.61860 | 1.20145 | -1.60738 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -4.34658 | 0.47743 | -1.16113 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -5.73482 | 2.58926 | -0.95805 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -4.53254 | 3.50639 | -1.21778 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -4.74763 | 4.82610 | -0.47652 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -4.31089 | 3.76648 | -2.70835 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -3.36525 | 0.40919 | -1.91674 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | -4.32920 | -0.04693 | 0.07253 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -3.15216 | -0.72673 | 0.55456 |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | -1.94380 | 0.19684 | 0.64515 |
| $\mathbf{O}$ | -2.06150 | 1.41131 | 0.84620 |
| $\mathbf{N}$ | -0.75748 | -0.41449 | 0.53868 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -11.42324 | -2.13523 | -1.65342 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -9.46749 | -0.74318 | 1.68645 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -9.14511 | -0.99040 | -2.64678 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -7.24369 | 0.05702 | -2.31591 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.87646 | 2.47642 | 0.12042 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -6.63952 | 3.06157 | -1.36288 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -3.63104 | 3.03031 | -0.80566 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -3.89111 | 5.49445 | -0.60982 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -4.88925 | 4.66160 | 0.59670 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.63812 | 5.33838 | -0.86097 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.22473 | 4.16819 | -3.16405 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -4.02955 | 2.86085 | -3.25433 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -3.51134 | 4.49994 | -2.85486 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.13953 | 0.08417 | 0.67333 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -2.91529 | -1.58305 | -0.08368 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -3.35703 | -1.10078 | 1.56046 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -5.50227 | 1.32494 | -2.68460 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | -0.69322 | -1.40399 | 0.34127 |
| $\mathbf{H}$ | 0.09382 | 0.12044 | 0.65344 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{H}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{H}$ |  |  |  |

## 5. Drug-like parameters

Considering the parameters originally proposed by Lipinski's/Pfizer's rule of five, ${ }^{2}$ better absorption or permeation is more likely when molecular weight (MW) is no more than 500 Da , the calculated $\log \mathrm{P}$ (clogP) does not exceed 5, the number of H -bond acceptors (HBA) and H -bond donors (HBD) are no more than 10 and 5, respectively. ${ }^{2}$ Veber's parameters were later introduced and have been found to better discriminate between compounds that are orally active and those that are not for a large data set of compounds in the rat. ${ }^{3}$ These parameters include the number of rotatable bonds ( $n_{\text {rotb }}$ ) and the polar surface area (PSA), which should not exceed 10 and $140 \AA^{2}$, respectively. ${ }^{3}$ Considering these parameters, the drug-like properties for MIF-1 and peptidomimetics 4a and 6a were calculated using Molinspiration [http://www.molinspiration.com]. This cheminformatics software is highly useful for predicting the various properties of bioactive peptides and the results are relatable to QSAR studies. ${ }^{4}$ The results are listed in Table S4.

Table S4. Calculated drug-like properties for MIF-1 and peptidomimetics 4a and 6a. ${ }^{\text {ap }}$ Properties calculated using cheminformatics software [http://www.molinspiration.com]. ${ }^{\text {b }} / n$ silico BBB permeability using cheminformatics software [http://admet.scbdd.com/calcpre/index/], Category 0: BBB-; Category 1: BBB+; $B B$ ratio $\geq 0.1$ : $\mathrm{BBB}+$; BB ratio $<0.1: \mathrm{BBB}-$.

| Compd | MW ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\operatorname{clog} \mathrm{P}^{\text {a }}$ | HBA ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | HBD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $n_{\text {rotb }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {t }}$ PSA ${ }^{\text {a }} /$ A $^{\text {2 }}$ | BB ratio ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4a | 296.32 | 0.69 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 97.64 | 0.991 |
| 6a | 281.31 | -0.45 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 114.43 | 0.984 |
| MIF-1 | 284.36 | -0.93 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 113.32 | 0.847 |
| CNS ${ }^{+5}$ | $\leq 500$ | $\leq 5$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 5$ | $\leq 10$ | $\leq 140$ |  |

Accordingly to the Lipinski's/Pfizer's rule of five (Table S4), no violations were found for peptidomimetics $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{a}$ or MIF-1 neuropeptide. While the number of HBA are the same for $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{6 a}$, and MIF-1, both peptidomimetics display less HBD than the parent peptide, which may indicate better permeation. As expected, the presence of 3-Fu as a Pro surrogate and the reduced number of HBD of 3furoyl derivatives result in higher clogP ( 0.69 for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and -0.45 for $\mathbf{6 a}$ ), which compares favourably with the parent neuropeptide (clogP $=-0.93$ ), within the range of adequate $\mathrm{CNS}^{+} \operatorname{clog} \mathrm{P}(\leq 5)$.

Considering the Veber's parameters (Table S4), 4a displays higher $n_{\text {rotb }}$ than $\mathbf{6 a}$ and MIF-1 ( $n_{\text {rotb }}=$ 8), which may reduce its permeability. Topological polar surface area ( ${ }^{(t P S A}$ ) was used instead of PSA, which provides results of practically the same quality as the classical 3D PSA, however, the calculations are two to three orders of magnitude faster. ${ }^{6}$ Molecules with high ${ }^{\text {tPSA }}\left(>140 \mathrm{~A}^{2}\right.$ ) tend to display a low ability to permeate cell membranes. ${ }^{7}$ For molecules intended to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), a PSA less than $90 \AA^{2}$ is usually needed, ${ }^{8}$ ideally in the range of $60-70 \AA^{2} . .^{7,8}$ Among the MIF- 1 derivatives, $\mathbf{4 a}$ exhibits the best ${ }^{t}$ PSA ( $97.64 \AA^{2}$ ), while $\mathbf{6 a}$ and MIF-1 have comparable ${ }^{t}$ PSA prediction ( 114.43 and 113.32 $\AA^{2}$, respectively). Nonetheless, all the compounds display 'PSA predictions within the limits ( $<140 \AA^{2}$ ).

In silico blood-brain (BB) permeation experiments were also performed to determine the probabilities of peptidomimetics to cross the BBB. The results are listed in Table S4. BB permeation experiments show that all the tested compounds are considered BBB+ (BB ratio $\geq 0.1$ ). Remarkably, peptidomimetics $4 \mathbf{a}$ and 6a display better BB permeation (BB ratios of 0.991 and 0.984 for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$, respectively) than MIF-1 neuropeptide ( BB ratio of 0.847 ). These results are in line with the superior lipophilic character observed for $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ in comparison with MIF-1. A close inspection of the BBB permeation data shows that the replacement of Pro in the MIF-1 structure by 3-Fu (peptidomimetic 6a) leads to a pronounced effect on the BB permeability. Peptidomimetics $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ are thus considered to be within the "drug-like" limits and are expected to show enhanced BBB permeation profiles than the parent neuropeptide.

## 6. Cytotoxicity evaluation of peptidomimetics 4a and 6a in hAd-MSCs

## 3-Furoyl-based peptidomimetics display no cytotoxicity in hAd-MSCs

The human adipose mesenchymal stem cells (hAd-MSCs) are widely used as in vitro models for cytotoxicity studies. ${ }^{9}$ In this regard, peptidomimetics $4 \mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ were screened on hAd-MSCs. In this assay, cell viability is estimated based on the ability of viable cells to reduce the tetrazolium compound 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H tetrazolium (MTS, inner salt) into the corresponding formazan derivative by functional mitochondrial enzymes. ${ }^{1}$ The results of the cytotoxicity assay (MTS) on hAd-MSC are depicted in Figure S53. The results obtained show no cytotoxic effect of $4 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$ in the range of concentrations tested. The results demonstrate that these compounds are considered non-cytotoxic up to $0.02 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$.


Figure S53. Cell viability of hAd-MSCs tested by the MTS assay, where viable cells were quantified by measuring the $\mathrm{OD}_{490}$ of sample wells. hAd-MSCs were treated with peptidomimetics $\mathbf{4 a}(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{6 a}(\mathbf{B})$ at different concentrations ( $0,0.001,0.01$ and $0.02 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ) for 72 h . The results were obtained from four wells and three independent experiments and values are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed using One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test with the previous Shapiro-Wilk normality test. No statistically significant differences were found either between the different concentrations tested or with the control (CTRL).

## Methods

## Cytotoxicity in hAd-MSC cell culture

To study the cytotoxicity effect of $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 a}$, human adipose mesenchymal stem cells (hAd-MSCs) were used and the CellTiter 96 AQueous (Promega) cell proliferation assay (MTS assay) performed. hAdMSCs were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (D6546 Sigma Aldrich, Spain) supplemented with $10 \%(v / v)$ fetal bovine serum (FBS, F7524 Sigma Aldrich, Spain) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \%$ carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. Cells were seeded at a density of $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells per $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in 96 well plates and incubated for 24,48 , and 72 h with increasing concentrations ( $0.001,0.01$, and 0.02 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) of the peptidomimetics dissolved in the standard culture medium. For control condition, cells were incubated for the same times with standard culture medium. After the different times of incubation, $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MTS was added to each well followed by 3 h of incubation in dark at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the optical density (OD) was read on a multi-well microplate reader at 490 nm . The OD value is directly proportional to the number of metabolic active living cells, so higher OD values indicate a greater number of cells and less cytotoxicity of the compounds.
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